

# NONTIDAL WETLAND COMPENSATION FUND - FY20 -

Prepared by:

Wetlands and Waterways Program Water and Science Administration

Prepared for:

The Maryland General Assembly Annapolis, MD

William Ferguson, President of the Senate Maryland General Assembly

Adrienne A. Jones, Speaker of the House Maryland General Assembly

MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT 1800 Washington Boulevard | Baltimore, MD 21230 | mde.maryland.gov 410-537-3314 | 800-633-6101 x3314 | TTY Users: 7-1-1

### NONTIDAL WETLAND COMPENSATION FUND ANNUAL REPORT FOR FY20

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

REQUIREMENT	3
FUND USE	3
BACKGROUND	3
Nontidal Wetlands Protection Act	4
Regulatory Program	4
Mitigation Program	5
Monitoring Program	5
Mitigation Banking	6
Proposed Regulation Changes	6
FEDERAL COMPENSATORY MITIGATION RULE	6-8
SUMMARY	8
FY20 PROGRAMMATIC MITIGATION PROJECTS	7
Memorandum of Understanding with Chesapeake Bay Trust	7-8
EV20 FINANCIAL DATA	Q

#### NONTIDAL WETLAND COMPENSATION FUND

#### **REQUIREMENT**

Section 5-909 (c) (5) of the Environment Article, *Annotated Code of Maryland*, states that at the end of the fiscal year, the Maryland Department of the Environment (Department or MDE) shall prepare an annual report on the Nontidal Wetland Compensation Fund (Comp Fund) that includes an accounting of all financial receipts and expenditures to and from the Comp Fund, and shall provide a copy of the report to the General Assembly as provided under §2-1246 of the state Government Article. This report covers FY20.

#### **FUND USE**

The use of the Comp Fund is established under Section 5-909 (c) (3) and (4) of the Environment Article, *Annotated Code of Maryland*, which states:

- (3) Funds in the Nontidal Wetland Compensation Fund may be used only for the creation, restoration, or enhancement of nontidal wetlands, including:
  - (i) Acquisition of land;
  - (ii) Acquisition of easements;
  - (iii) Maintenance of mitigation sites;
  - (iv) Purchase of credits in mitigation banks; and
  - (v) Contractual services necessary to accomplish the intent of this paragraph.
- (4) Funds credited and any interest accrued to the Comp Fund:
  - (i) Shall remain available until expended; and
  - (ii) May not be reverted to the General Fund under any other provision of law.

#### **BACKGROUND**

Maryland's nontidal wetlands are inland freshwater areas not subject to tidal influence. They typically have water-saturated soils or periodic high groundwater levels, and vegetation adapted to wet conditions and periodic flooding. Nontidal wetlands are commonly known as marshes, swamps, bogs, wet meadows, and bottomland forests. There are between 440,000 and 460,000 acres of vegetated nontidal wetlands in Maryland, comprising 7 to 7.4% of the state's land mass.

Nontidal wetlands help protect the Chesapeake Bay, the Coastal Bays, and streams by filtering phosphorus, nitrogen, and other pollutants from upland runoff. They form natural flood retention areas able to store floodwaters and slowly release them downstream, reducing flood damages.

Wetland vegetation helps stabilize stream banks and reduce streambank erosion. Nontidal wetlands provide organic material for the food chain and habitat for fish and wildlife, some of which are endangered. Wetlands are also the exclusive home to many rare plants. They are areas of scenic beauty and provide recreational opportunities for many Marylanders.

#### **Nontidal Wetlands Protection Act**

The 1987 Chesapeake Bay Agreement included a commitment to increase the protection of nontidal wetlands. To honor its commitment, Maryland created a special task force to develop a comprehensive wetland protection policy. Due to continued wetland losses and an existing inefficient federal regulatory framework, the task force recommended a new state law. In 1989, the Maryland General Assembly endorsed the task force recommendation by enacting the Nontidal Wetlands Protection Act.

The law was one of the first state laws in the country to declare a goal of "no net loss" of wetland acreage and function, and to strive for a net gain in wetlands over time. Additional legislative goals included:

- Protection of waters of the state;
- Prevention of further degradation and losses of nontidal wetlands due to human activity by regulating all activities that may impact a nontidal wetland;
- Mitigation or compensation for authorized nontidal wetland losses; and
- Expedient project reviews by instituting a coordinated application review process and imposing strict application review deadlines.

#### **Regulatory Program**

The Department's wetlands and waterways regulatory program provides the state government with an opportunity to promote environmentally sensitive development. Through its permit application review process, MDE attempts to prevent wetland loss by requiring an applicant to evaluate project designs that will avoid wetland impacts. Based on this evaluation of alternatives, if MDE finds that impacts are unavoidable, the applicant is required to utilize the project design that will minimize the wetland impacts, and provide appropriate mitigation for those impacts.

Mitigation, required for all unavoidable impacts that are authorized by MDE, means that the applicant must replace lost wetland acreage, function and value. This is usually accomplished by requiring the creation of new wetlands, restoration of matured wetlands, enhancement of degraded wetlands, or some acceptable combination. The Department may also accept monetary compensation if it is determined that mitigation for nontidal wetland losses is not a feasible alternative. The payment is deposited into the state's Comp Fund and used by the state to construct nontidal wetlands throughout Maryland.

#### **Mitigation Program**

Maryland achieves its "no net loss" goal through a variety of mechanisms, including voluntary efforts of private landowners, state initiatives, and the regulatory program. Success often requires consideration of wetland types and values. In the regulatory process, wetland types and values can dictate the extent of avoidance and minimization prior to consideration of compensatory mitigation. The regulatory program achieves "no net loss" through two types of mitigation efforts designed to replace lost wetland acreage and function:

- Permittee mitigation requires a permittee to create, restore, or enhance nontidal wetlands. In instances where a permittee demonstrates that it is impractical to mitigate for wetland losses associated with a project, a permittee may be allowed to pay a specified amount into the Comp Fund; and
- Programmatic mitigation is performed by the state for nontidal wetland losses generally less than 5,000 square feet or for permittees who have paid into the

#### Comp Fund.

This report summarizes the use of the Comp Fund for mitigation activities undertaken by MDE during FY20.

#### **Monitoring Program**

The state is constantly striving to improve its mitigation program. Prior to implementation of Maryland's program, failure of mitigation projects was largely due to insufficient monitoring for hydrology, poor design, and the lack of follow-up by regulatory agencies. The state has analyzed these factors to ensure enhanced success of mitigation projects. To address these issues, the state requires the following:

- Monitoring hydrology to determine suitability of site;
- Design review;
- Five (5) years of post-construction monitoring to confirm 85% success rate on vegetative cover, presence of wetland hydrology, and establishment of active hydric soil conditions;
- A surety bond or other financial assurance payable to the state and conditioned upon the successful completion of the mitigation project according to an approved mitigation plan; and
- Long-term protection mechanisms for the site.

The Department completed a comprehensive evaluation of its compensatory mitigation program in 2007. The Department has also expanded a formal assessment protocol to evaluate and document success of mitigation sites, including functional gains. In 2011, the Department updated the Maryland Nontidal Wetland Mitigation Guidance document to clarify policies on wetland mitigation.

#### **Mitigation Banking**

Another tool available to offset wetland losses is mitigation banking. Mitigation banking is the restoration, creation or enhancement of wetlands undertaken expressly for the purpose of providing compensation credits for wetland losses from future activities. In 1993, Maryland developed standards and adopted regulations for the establishment and operation of nontidal wetland mitigation banks. In 2016, revisions were made to the mitigation banking section of the state's Nontidal Wetlands statute to further encourage banking.

#### **Proposed Regulation Changes**

MDE is working to revise the state's nontidal wetland mitigation regulations, including those related to mitigation banking and the in-lieu fee (ILF) program. These regulation changes are intended to reflect the 2016 mitigation banking statute revisions, provide consistency with the 2008 federal Compensatory Mitigation Rule, and provide clarity on the use of the Comp Fund. The Department acknowledges that increases in the ILF rates will be needed in order to get the ILF program approved by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and encourage mitigation banking; any increases to ILF rates would be included as part of revisions to the nontidal wetland mitigation regulations. To get feedback on these proposed revisions, MDE has been meeting with stakeholders and the public as part of an outreach effort on the proposed revisions.

#### FEDERAL COMPENSATORY MITIGATION RULE

On April 10, 2008, USACE and the U.S Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published a new Compensatory Mitigation Rule clarifying how to provide compensatory mitigation for unavoidable impacts to the nation's wetlands and streams. The premise is that the rule will promote greater consistency, predictability, and ecological success of mitigation projects under the Clean Water Act. According to EPA, the primary goals of the Mitigation Rule are to:

- Implement environmentally effective standards for compensatory mitigation that are based on best available science and incorporate key National Research Council recommendations for improving the success of compensatory mitigation;
- Create a "level playing field" among the three compensatory mitigation mechanisms through equivalent standards and greater accountability, so that providers of timely, high-quality mitigation are preferred, because there is greater assurance that the compensatory mitigation will be successful;
- Increase the efficiency and predictability of the process of proposing compensatory mitigation and approving new mitigation banks and ILF programs; and
- Enhance public participation in compensatory mitigation decision-making.

The most significant change required by the Mitigation Rule is that projects provided by all three compensation mechanisms (i.e., permittee-responsible compensatory mitigation, mitigation banks, and ILF mitigation) must have mitigation plans, which include the same 12 fundamental components: objectives; site selection criteria; site protection instruments (e.g., conservation easements); baseline information (for impact and compensation sites); credit determination methodology; mitigation work plan; maintenance plan; ecological performance standards; monitoring requirements; long-term management plan; adaptive management plan; and financial assurances. In addition, the Mitigation Rule requires a watershed approach to locating mitigation. The Mitigation Rule also changes the hierarchy of acceptable mitigation projects. The most preferred option is mitigation bank credits, which are usually in place before the activity is permitted. ILF program credits are second in the preference hierarchy, because they may involve larger, more ecologically valuable compensatory mitigation projects as compared to permittee-responsible mitigation. Permittee-responsible mitigation is the third option.

The Mitigation Rule became effective on June 9, 2008. According to EPA, the Mitigation Rule revises the requirements for ILF programs in order to address concerns regarding their past performance and equivalency with the standards imposed on mitigation banks and permitteeresponsible mitigation. The reforms to improve accountability and performance include:

- An advance planning requirement;
- A cap on the number of advance credits that can be released for sale before an ILF project site is secured and a mitigation plan is approved;
- Improved financial accounting requirements; and
- The same interagency/public review and ecological/administrative requirements as mitigation banks.

While the Mitigation Rule sets strict requirements for all mitigation options, it has additional requirements for mitigation banks and ILF programs. Among other things, an Interagency Review Team (IRT) must review the financial assurances, credit release schedule, service areas, long-term management plan, and reporting information. ILF programs must include a comprehensive planning framework to be used when selecting mitigation sites. To meet this requirement, MDE utilizes its mitigation prioritization documents and a GIS-based Watershed

Resource Registry developed by an interagency workgroup, which included MDE.

The Department's nontidal wetlands ILF Program, which is funded through the Comp Fund, has been operating since 1991, and is both well-established and successful. Historically, the majority of projects permitted by MDE authorized minor wetland impacts, which required small mitigation projects. The purpose of the ILF Program is to accept monetary payments from permittees with small mitigation requirements so that MDE can construct larger, more environmentally sustainable projects. Since the Mitigation Rule attempts to transform the ILF Program into a mitigation bank, and the state does not currently operate its program as a bank, Maryland has been evaluating its existing ILF Program for compliance with the federal Mitigation Rule.

The IRT, comprised of the USACE, EPA, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the National Marine Fisheries Service and the Maryland Department of Natural Resources, continues to evaluate MDE's ILF Program. The results of this review will require MDE to modify its regulations to address a myriad of new federal requirements. Another consequence is that expenditures from the Comp Fund have been significantly reduced while MDE revises the ILF Program to meet the requirements of the Mitigation Rule.

In order to get the ILF Program approved under the Mitigation Rule, the Department is required to submit a Draft Prospectus and Final Prospectus (concept plans), followed by a Draft Instrument and Final Instrument, which all are reviewed and approved by the IRT. The Department submitted a Draft Prospectus, followed by the Final Prospectus to the IRT, which was put on public notice in fall 2015. The Department also submitted a preliminary Draft Instrument to the IRT, which provides greater detail on the ILF Program's operation, incorporating the IRT comments, in spring 2017. The Department received IRT comments on this document. Some of the revisions proposed to the nontidal wetland mitigation regulations, including the proposed ILF rates, would significantly affect the ILF Program's operations. Therefore, until the regulation revisions move forward, the Department cannot proceed with revisions to the Draft Instrument. After the ILF Instrument is approved by the IRT, MDE can begin accepting payments for compensatory mitigation projects in accordance with the ILF Instrument, the Mitigation Rule requirements, and the state's revised regulations.

#### **SUMMARY**

The Comp Fund is a special revenue fund, which was created by the action of the 1989 General Assembly. The Compensation Fund began receiving revenue in 1991, when the Nontidal Wetlands Regulatory Program went into effect.

Comp Fund revenues are derived primarily from contributions made to the Comp Fund for permitted nontidal wetland losses for which MDE has determined that mitigation is not a feasible alternative for a Permittee.

#### FY20 PROGRAMMATIC MITIGATION PROJECTS

#### Memorandum of Understanding with Chesapeake Bay Trust

The Department signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Chesapeake Bay Trust (CBT) for \$1 million in December 2013 to develop a Request for Proposal (RFP) to solicit wetland restoration, creation, and enhancement projects. Selected projects will use Comp Fund

revenues to provide wetland mitigation to meet the Department's "no net loss" goal, while also meeting Mitigation Rule requirements. The FY14 RFP was advertised in spring 2014, but no projects were selected by a peer review team. As a result of this RFP, some worthwhile proposals were submitted to the Department, but the projects exceeded the \$1 million RFP cap. To accommodate the potential for these larger projects, the Department signed another MOU with CBT in FY15 for an additional \$800,000, and an MOU in FY17 for an additional \$700,000. The Department and CBT advertised revised RFPs in late 2014, late 2015, and early 2017.

The Department awarded funds for two wetland mitigation projects during the FY15 RFP: 1) 10 acres of wetland restoration on the Beltsville Agricultural Research Center (BARC), within the Anacostia watershed of Prince George's County; and 2) approximately 70 acres wetland restoration/enhancement within the Nassawango watershed of Wicomico County. Design for both projects occurred in FY16. Construction for the Nassawango project ran from FY16 through FY17. The majority of construction for the BARC site was completed in FY17, with the remainder completed in FY18. Monitoring was required for 2 years after completion of construction, with some funding being held until successful completion of monitoring, which should finish in FY20. The Department awarded funds for two additional wetland mitigation projects in the FY16 RFP, but the landowners for both projects have withdrawn their proposals.

The Department awarded funds in the FY17 RFP for two projects that will be constructed in FY20: 1) A 13-acre wetland restoration in the Miles River watershed of Talbot County; and 2) a 10-acre wetland restoration in the Isle of Wight watershed of Worcester County. Both of these projects are currently in the design phase.

#### **FY20 FINANCIAL DATA**

# STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES NONTIDAL WETLAND COMPENSATION FUND FY20

July 1, 2019 - June 30, 2020

#### **REVENUES**

Adjusted Fund Balance as of June, 2019 \$2,460,486.58

FY20 Revenue 93,293.00

FY20 Earned Interest 0.00

FY20 Accrued Revenues 0.00

**Total FY20 Revenues \$2,553,799.58** 

**Total Revenue and Adjusted Fund Balance \$2,553,799.58** 

#### **EXPENDITURES**

**Total FY20 Expenditures 667,774.72** 

Indirect Cost Allocation Rate 15.40% (no indirect) 0.00

NONTIDAL WETLAND COMPENSATION FUND

BALANCE AS OF JUNE 30, 2020 \$1,886,004.86