Maryland Department of
Juvenile Services
Treating • Supporting • Protecting

One Center Plaza
120 West Fayette Street
Baltimore, MD 21201

Anthony G. Brown
Lt. Governor

Martin O'Malley
Governor

Sam Abed
Secretary

DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES
RECIDIVISM REPORT

JANUARY 1, 2013

INTRODUCTION

Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) is required to report to the General Assembly on the recidivism rates of children committed to DJS for placement in residential care. Md Code, Human Services, §9-204. The General Assembly further required that the report include: (1) recidivism rates for all children committed to DJS for placement in residential care; (2) recidivism rates by region for all children committed to DJS for placement in residential care; and (3) recidivism rates for each residential care program in which a child committed to DJS is placed. DJS is directed to include data from the prior three fiscal years and include recidivism rates that are calculated for one year and three year time frames. Additionally, DJS has expanded the annual recidivism study to encompass youth on probation.

Table of Contents

Measuring Recidivism Rates

Measuring recidivism for juvenile offenders is a vital part of program evaluation, and is an essential part of understanding the effectiveness of interventions to address delinquency. Though other outcomes are also important to study, including education, employment, substance abuse, and other non-offense-related outcomes, recidivism remains the key measure of success for juvenile justice programs.

A Note to Readers

For purposes of this report, rates will be combined for the juvenile and adult system into three overall categories using the above mentioned Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism measures and labeled as:

1. Rearrest
2. Reconviction
3. Reincarceration

Post-Commitment Recidivism

DJS has undertaken and published annual recidivism reports for many years, which focused on youth returning from committed out-of-home placements. These studies have been refined and expanded over the years to encompass all out-of-home committed programs (from foster placements to secure confinement), to include information on both juvenile and adult reoffending, and to show three levels of recidivating: a new alleged offense, whether that new offense resulted in conviction, and whether a new out-of-home placement resulted. Presenting all three levels of recidivism provides a fuller picture of the level of new involvement, and is less influenced by police or court practices which can skew results if too narrow a focus is given. Youth are followed for three years from release, and results are shown by year, level of recidivism, demographics, county, program type, and by individual program. It is important to note that although rates are presented by committed program, recidivism is affected by more than just the quality of the program. The quality of aftercare supervision after a youth is released, the community and/or family to which youth return, local economic opportunities, and other factors beyond the Department's control can all affect outcomes.

Probation Recidivism

Committed out-of-home placements make up a relatively small portion of the cases managed by the Department. This year, DJS has expanded the annual recidivism study to encompass youth on probation. This cohort includes all youth newly placed under DJS supervision by a juvenile court, most often under a probation order, though occasionally youth are placed on DJS in-home supervision under a commitment order. These cases are included in the study. Recidivism events are tracked from the date of the start of supervision for one, two and three years, regardless of how long a youth remains under supervision. Cases supervised in home under the Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI) program are included in this study group.

Recidivism Definition

The juvenile justice community has not reached a consensus on how best to define recidivism with one measure. Therefore, consistent with other studies, DJS focuses on several measures, including subsequent juvenile and/or criminal involvement of youth. Many youth under supervision or released from committed residential programs are 17 years old, and it is therefore important to include information from the adult criminal justice system and report both juvenile and adult recidivism rates. For purposes of recidivism studies, all new offenses are included, which encompasses new delinquent offenses, new criminal offenses, status and traffic offenses, and technical violations of probation not resulting from a new offense. It is important to note that only those new adjudications, convictions, commitments and incarcerations that stem from a new offense are included. All recidivism rates are youth counts, taking into consideration the juvenile entry first and then the adult entry. If a youth is found to have recidivated in both the juvenile and adult systems, the recidivism event is counted once. It is, however, possible for a youth to show up in both the probation and committed study cohorts. The following chart shows the two study groups, probation and committed, used to analyze the recidivism rates. It shows the cohort definition, date used to define the follow-up period, to what unit the case is assigned, and the summary data tables to be presented.

Cohort	Definition	Clock Starts	Assigned to	Data Tables Presented
Probation	Youth with new probation disposition in FY, who were not already on probation or aftercare, and youth with new committed disposition in FY, not already committed, and with no out-of-home placement.	Date of Probation or Committed Disposition	Region of Jurisdiction of Case Manager	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, VPI
Committed	Youth released from committed program in FY	Date of final release, excluding transfers	Final program from which youth was released	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, Program Type, Program

Recidivism	Definition	Date Used	Includes	Excludes	Data Source	Follow Up
Juvenile Arrest	Arrests referred to DJS	Complaint date within follow-up period	All complaints referred to DJS	Arrests in other states, arrests for charges occurring prior to release arrests diverted by police and not referred to DJS	DJS ASSIST	12, 24, 36 Months
Adult Arrest	Adult arrest	Arrest date within follow-up period	All Maryland arrests under adult court jurisdiction	None	CJIS	12, 24, 36 Months
Juvenile Adjudication	Sustained delinquent adjudication of complaints included in re-referral count	Date of disposition within follow-up period	Sustained and adjudicated offenses with delinquent finding.	Continued cases	DJS ASSIST	12, 24, 36 Months
Adult Conviction	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest count	Date of disposition within follow-up period	Guilty verdict with sustained charges	None	CJIS	12, 24, 36 Months
Juvenile Commitment	Commitment stemming from complaints included in referral and adjudication count	Date of admission to program	New committed admission stemming from re-referral and re-adjudication, even if commitment order already existed from a prior commitment	Commitments not resulting in out of home placement	DJS ASSIST	12, 24, 36 Months
Adult Incarceration	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest count with jail time given either in the district or circuit court	Date of conviction within follow-up period	Guilty verdict with sustained charges and confinement in a local or district court	None	CJIS	12, 24, 36 Months

Data Sources

Information from two different databases (juvenile and adult) is retrieved, processed, and compiled for each of the selected released cohorts. Data gathering involved the following procedures:

- A list of all youths released from the DJS' committed residential programs is obtained from the Department's computerized system, known as ASSIST. In earlier years the Department used the then computerized system Information System for Youth Services (ISYS).
- A master file containing gender, race, date of birth, county of jurisdiction, region of jurisdiction, county of residence, region of residence, the last program name, and program type from which youth was released during the release cohort is created.
- Using the fields mentioned in the master file, sub-programs are created to obtain subsequent referrals, adjudications, and commitments with related fields. Each sub-program data set is compiled in such a way to help divide the follow-up time into one, two, and three years after release.
- To obtain the arrest and disposition information from the Criminal Justice Information System (CJIS), a list of all youth released from each year's cohort is arranged according to the specified data layout.
- The CJIS uses DJS youth name, date of birth, race and gender as identification or index fields to match the data to provide criminal history record information. Before the program actually looks for the matches, it checks the input data for validity. A check is made if a valid match is found and no check is made for any invalid match against 'Identification /Index' field and these records are added to a text file and returned to DJS with the rest of the response data. This allows DJS to check the unmatched data and submit it for another match run.
    - Name match is as follows: Smith, Gregory will match with Smith, Gregory and Smith Greg. Smith, Greg will match with Smith, Greg and Smith, Gr, but not with Smith, Gregory. DJS youth names are given in full and checked for any abbreviation as Gr or Greg for example.
- Any youth who recidivated in both systems is included in the DJS recidivism and counted only once by discarding that youth in the adult system.

General Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases

12-, 24-, and 36-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates for FY 2009-2011 Releases, Tracked Through FY 2012

Follow-up Period	FY 2009			FY 2010			FY 2011		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration
12 Months	57.3%	19.2%	14.1%	56.2%	19.4%	13.6%	45.3%	18.7%	12.3%
24 Months	70.2%	34.8%	27.9%	69.5%	36.0%	28.7%	N/A	N/A	N/A
36 Months	76.3%	45.5%	39.4%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

- Recidivism rates for FY 2011 releases at 12-months were:
  - Rearrest - 45.3%
  - Reconviction - 18.7%
  - Reincarceration - 12.3%
- When comparing the reoffending pattern at 12-months for FY 2010 and FY 2011 releases, all measures of recidivism decreased. FY 2011 releases were less likely to be rearrested than both FY 2010 and FY 2009 releases (45.3% versus 56.2% or 57.3%)

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates by Demographics, FY 2011 Releases

Demographics	FY 2011 Releases						
	Total	*Rearrests*		*Reconvictions*		*Reincarcerations*	
Race/Ethnicity							
Black	1,070	492	46.0%	201	18.8%	141	13.2%
White	349	160	45.8%	64	18.3%	32	9.2%
Hispanic/Other	83	29	34.9%	16	19.3%	11	13.3%
Sex							
Male	1,290	599	46.4%	255	19.8%	174	13.5%
Female	212	82	38.7%	26	12.3%	10	4.7%
Age at Release							
11 and Under	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
12	6	5	83.3%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%
13	5	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	N/A
14	62	38	61.3%	17	27.4%	9	14.5%
15	179	120	67.0%	52	29.1%	30	16.8%
16	298	182	61.1%	67	22.5%	34	11.4%
17	459	210	45.8%	79	17.2%	54	11.8%
18 or older	493	124	25.2%	63	12.8%	56	11.4%
Total	***1,502***	***681***	***45.3%***	***281***	***18.7%***	***184***	***12.3%***

Note: Throughout this report, programs that had no releases within a fiscal year will have N/A reported for all recidivism measures.

- Demographic data for 12-month recidivism rates are presented in the table above:
  - Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures.
  - Black and white youth had similar rearrest rates that were higher than Hispanic/Other youth. For reconviction, Hispanic/Other youth had the highest rates, and for reincarceration Blacks and Hispanic/Other youth had similar rates that were higher than white youth.
  - Some age groups comprise a small number of youth. Therefore the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

Note: All data represents both juvenile and/or adult recidivism

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates for FY 2009-2011 Releases, by Region and County

Region/ County	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Rearrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
R-I (Balt. City)	**329**	**72.6%**	**21.6%**	**17.0%**	**372**	**66.7%**	**20.1%**	**17.4%**	**398**	**45.5%**	**17.8%**	**14.1%**
Baltimore City	329	72.6%	21.6%	17.0%	372	66.7%	20.1%	17.4%	398	45.5%	17.8%	14.1%
R-II (Central)	**274**	**61.3%**	**25.2%**	**18.6%**	**209**	**62.7%**	**23.4%**	**15.3%**	**197**	**55.3%**	**24.4%**	**15.7%**
Baltimore Co.	144	65.3%	26.4%	20.8%	116	66.4%	23.3%	18.1%	126	54.8%	21.4%	15.9%
Carroll	60	53.3%	26.7%	13.3%	37	51.4%	24.3%	10.8%	43	53.5%	37.2%	16.3%
Harford	48	58.3%	20.8%	14.6%	38	57.9%	26.3%	10.5%	14	64.3%	14.3%	14.3%
Howard	22	63.6%	22.7%	27.3%	18	72.2%	16.7%	16.7%	14	57.1%	21.4%	14.3%
R-III (Western)	**139**	**53.2%**	**19.4%**	**10.8%**	**155**	**59.4%**	**30.3%**	**16.1%**	**128**	**52.3%**	**25.0%**	**10.2%**
Allegany	25	52.0%	16.0%	8.0%	23	56.5%	26.1%	21.7%	25	56.0%	8.0%	8.0%
Frederick	49	53.1%	14.3%	8.2%	58	58.6%	29.3%	12.1%	40	55.0%	27.5%	7.5%
Garrett	9	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	12	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	10	40.0%	20.0%	10.0%
Washington	56	57.1%	28.6%	16.1%	62	62.9%	35.5%	17.7%	53	50.9%	32.1%	13.2%
R-IV (Eastern)	**181**	**47.5%**	**11.6%**	**8.8%**	**176**	**55.1%**	**12.5%**	**10.2%**	**149**	**41.6%**	**12.1%**	**6.7%**
Caroline	14	50.0%	14.3%	7.1%	15	46.7%	26.7%	20.0%	13	38.5%	15.4%	7.7%
Cecil	18	27.8%	11.1%	5.6%	17	70.6%	29.4%	17.6%	14	42.9%	7.1%	0.0%
Dorchester	15	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	12	50.0%	8.3%	8.3%	9	33.3%	11.1%	11.1%
Kent	16	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7	57.1%	14.3%	14.3%	7	42.9%	14.3%	0.0%
Queen Anne's	14	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18	44.4%	11.1%	5.6%	7	57.1%	14.3%	0.0%
Somerset	11	63.6%	9.1%	9.1%	6	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	11	27.3%	9.1%	9.1%
Talbot	18	66.7%	11.1%	0.0%	12	41.7%	0.0%	0.0%	9	44.4%	11.1%	0.0%
Wicomico	51	47.1%	15.7%	13.7%	65	61.5%	12.3%	12.3%	55	45.5%	9.1%	5.5%
Worcester	24	45.8%	12.5%	12.5%	24	45.8%	4.2%	4.2%	24	37.5%	20.8%	16.7%
R-V (Southern)	**251**	**54.6%**	**17.9%**	**13.1%**	**238**	**45.4%**	**16.0%**	**12.6%**	**231**	**41.6%**	**19.0%**	**10.4%**
Anne Arundel	111	52.3%	12.5%	9.0%	114	52.6%	18.4%	15.8%	128	43.8%	21.1%	12.6%
Calvert	32	50.0%	15.6%	12.5%	23	47.8%	13.0%	8.7%	14	35.7%	7.1%	0.0%
Charles	68	63.2%	26.5%	16.2%	68	33.8%	7.4%	7.4%	55	32.7%	12.7%	7.3%
St. Mary's	40	50.0%	20.0%	20.0%	33	42.4%	27.3%	15.2%	34	50.0%	26.5%	11.8%
R-VI (Metro)	**387**	**50.6%**	**18.1%**	**13.4%**	**414**	**51.0%**	**17.9%**	**10.6%**	**370**	**43.2%**	**18.1%**	**13.2%**
Montgomery	183	53.0%	19.7%	13.1%	159	47.2%	23.3%	11.9%	169	45.6%	24.3%	16.6%
Prince George's	204	48.5%	16.7%	13.7%	255	53.3%	14.5%	9.8%	201	41.3%	12.9%	10.4%
Out-of-State	**25**	**32.0%**	**4.0%**	**0.0%**	**21**	**14.3%**	**9.5%**	**9.5%**	**29**	**20.7%**	**3.4%**	**3.4%**
Statewide Total	***1,586***	***57.3%***	***19.2%***	***14.1%***	***1,585***	***56.2%***	***19.4%***	***13.6%***	***1,502***	***45.3%***	***18.7%***	***12.3%***

- When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of releases. Some counties have a small number of releases; therefore if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

Note: All data represents both juvenile and/or adult recidivism

Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases by Program Type

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates for Releases[1] by Program Type Summary, FY 2009 - FY 2011*

Totals for Each Program Type	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Foster Care	90	58.9%	16.7%	14.4%	71	43.7%	11.3%	8.5%	81	45.7%	13.6%	7.4%
Group Home	415	56.6%	19.0%	13.5%	417	57.3%	17.5%	12.2%	333	38.7%	15.6%	10.5%
Independent Living	35	51.4%	20.0%	20.0%	31	48.4%	9.7%	6.5%	35	40.0%	17.1%	17.1%
ICFA	186	52.2%	17.2%	9.7%	237	51.9%	18.6%	13.1%	197	49.2%	23.9%	13.7%
RTC	157	49.0%	12.1%	8.3%	169	47.3%	14.2%	9.5%	206	49.5%	17.5%	9.2%
Out-of-State	114	59.6%	14.9%	13.2%	112	55.4%	16.1%	13.4%	143	42.0%	15.4%	12.6%
State-Operated	589	61.1%	22.9%	17.1%	548	62.0%	25.0%	17.3%	507	47.7%	21.1%	14.4%

[1] Totals presented in the table above include each type of facility reported in that broad category. (For example: "Total Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as In-Home Foster Care). Total State-Operated includes some Privately-Operated Facilities. See page 170 for separate totals.

- Analysis of trends is presented on the specific program type's page.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism for Foster Care Releases, FY 2009 - FY 2011*

Treatment Foster Care	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1	0	0	3	0	0	0
Board of Child Care	2	2	1	1	4	1	0	0	3	1	1	0
Children's Choice (Stevensville)	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Concern	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Contemporary Family Services, Inc	6	3	1	1	7	4	0	0	7	2	1	0
Foundations for Home and Community	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Greenleaf	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Hearts & Homes for Youth - Family Ties	2	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	0
Maple Shade Youth and Family Services	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD-Baltimore	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	5	3	2	2
Mentor MD-Community Based	44	30	10	9	30	12	4	4	12	6	1	1
Mentor MD-Easton	3	1	0	0	6	2	0	0	16	6	0	0
Multi-Dimensional TFC (Baltimore Co.)	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	1	0	0
Multi-Dimensional TFC (Mont Co.)	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	4	2	1
Pressley Ridge	16	8	3	2	8	5	3	2	14	10	4	2
PSI Services III	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
San Mar	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	1	0	0
Woodbourne Center	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Treatment Foster Care Total	***83***	***59.0%***	***18.1%***	***15.7%***	***64***	***43.8%***	***10.9%***	***9.4%***	***78***	***46.2%***	***14.1%***	***7.7%***
In-Home Foster Care												
In-Home Foster Care Total	***7***	***57.1%***	***0.0%***	***0.0%***	***7***	***42.9%***	***14.3%***	***0.0%***	***3***	***33.3%***	***0.0%***	***0.0%***
Total Foster Care	***90***	***58.9%***	***16.7%***	***14.4%***	***71***	***43.7%***	***11.3%***	***8.5%***	***81***	***45.7%***	***13.6%***	***7.4%***

- For Total Foster Care releases (including treatment and in-home foster care) between FY 2009 and FY 2011, all measures decreased: rearrest by 13.2%, reconviction by 3.1%, and reincarceration by 7.0%.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism for Group Home Releases, FY 2009 - FY 2011*

Group Home	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
All That Matters, Inc.	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
ARC of Washington Co.	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Big Pines Children's Home	15	13	6	3	12	7	4	2	6	2	0	0
Board of Child Care	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	6	0	0	13	5	1	1
Catoctin Summit	21	11	2	0	15	7	3	1	8	2	0	0
Cedar Ridge Ministries & Jordan House	22	16	5	4	31	22	9	5	21	15	7	5
Children's Home	3	3	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eastern Point	6	4	0	0	8	4	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Florence Crittenton	7	1	0	0	14	6	1	1	2	1	0	0
Greentree Adolscent	18	10	3	2	12	6	0	0	9	2	1	0
Hearts and Homes	31	22	9	6	35	15	5	3	36	18	10	7
Home of New Beginnings Pregnant Teens & Teen Mothers	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Jane Egenton House	4	2	1	1	13	9	3	2	2	1	0	0
Karma Academy for Boys	24	9	3	2	31	14	4	1	16	3	2	1
Kent Youth Boys	7	2	0	0	16	8	3	3	8	5	1	0
KEYS	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Koba Institute	26	20	5	4	17	17	5	5	5	1	1	1
Larrabee House Girls Residential	5	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Maple Shade Youth and Family Services, Inc	4	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
MD Sheriff's Yth Ranch	2	1	0	0	7	5	0	0	7	2	0	0
Morning Star Youth Academy	63	37	9	8	57	35	12	10	42	16	9	7
Mt Clare House	4	3	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0
New Dominion Residential Services	12	5	3	2	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Oak Hill House	18	11	4	3	13	7	4	4	17	6	4	3
One Love	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1	0	0
Our House	21	13	8	6	19	14	3	2	19	3	2	2
Potomac Ridge Crownsville	21	9	3	3	22	16	5	5	19	6	4	3
Salem Residential	2	0	0	0	5	2	1	1	10	4	1	0
San Mar- Anna Findlay High Intensity	7	1	1	0	17	6	2	1	14	3	3	2
St Ann's Prenatal Progr.	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Starflight Enterprises	4	3	1	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
TuTTie's Place	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	3	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Way Home - Mt Manor	8	3	1	1	4	0	0	0	9	4	0	0
Group Home Total	***357***	***56.3%***	***18.5%***	***13.2%***	***363***	***58.1%***	***18.2%***	***13.2%***	***271***	***37.3%***	***17.0%***	***11.8%***

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism for Group Home Releases, FY 2009 - FY 2011* (Continued)

Therapeutic Group Home (TGH)	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
All That Matters, Inc.	5	4	1	1	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0
Board of Child Care	7	4	1	0	6	2	1	0	3	1	0	0
Cedar Ridge Ministries Faith Cotta	1	1	1	1	2	2	0	0	4	1	0	0
Guide	8	6	3	2	10	7	2	1	11	7	2	2
Hearts & Homes Youth Muncaster Mill	2	2	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Maple Shade	12	5	1	1	6	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Mary's Mount Manor	5	1	0	0	5	2	1	1	10	5	1	0
Mosaic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0
Redl House	5	4	2	1	7	5	0	0	12	6	1	1
San Mar - Allegany Girls	7	5	3	2	14	6	1	0	14	7	2	0
San Mar - Jack E. Barr	5	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	6	0	0	0
Villa Maria	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
TGH Total	***58***	***58.6%***	***22.4%***	***15.5%***	***54***	***51.9%***	***13.0%***	***5.6%***	***62***	***45.2%***	***9.7%***	***4.8%***
Total Group Home & TGH	***415***	***56.6%***	***19.0%***	***13.5%***	***417***	***57.3%***	***17.5%***	***12.2%***	***333***	***38.7%***	***15.6%***	***10.5%***

For Total Group Home (including Therapeutic Group Home) releases between FY 2009 and FY 2011 all measures decreased:

- The rearrest rate decreased 17.9%, the reconviction rate decreased 3.4% and the reincarceration rates decreased 3.0%.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism for Intermediate Care for Addictions Facility (ICFA) Releases, FY 2009 - FY 2011*

ICFA	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Lois E. Jackson Unit	68	30	11	5	93	51	17	12	90	47	21	9
MTC-Mountain Manor	97	56	16	8	144	72	27	19	107	50	26	18
Right Turn of Maryland	21	11	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total ICFA	***186***	***52.2%***	***17.2%***	***9.7%***	***237***	***51.9%***	***18.6%***	***13.1%***	***197***	***49.2%***	***23.9%***	***13.7%***

For Total ICFA releases between FY 2009 and FY 2011:

- The rearrest rate decreased 3.0%.
- The reconviction rate increased 6.7% and the reincarceration rate increased 4.0%.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism for Independent Living Releases, FY 2009 - FY 2011*

Independent Living	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Board of Child Care	2	0	0	0	3	1	1	1	3	1	1	1
Damamli	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	1	1	1
Future Bound	3	1	0	0	5	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
Hearts & Homes-Starting Over	3	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Jumoke	3	2	1	1	3	1	0	0	4	2	2	2
Martin Pollack	5	3	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD-Baltimore	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
New Pathways-Independ.	3	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Transition Age Yth Prog.	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Independent Living Total	*21*	*42.9%*	*14.3%*	*14.3%*	*15*	*33.3%*	*6.7%*	*6.7%*	*15*	*26.7%*	*26.7%*	*26.7%*
Alternative Living Units												
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
NCIA -Youth in Transition Program	8	5	2	2	13	8	2	1	18	10	2	2
REM Maryland, Inc.	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Alternative Living Units Total	*10*	*60.0%*	*20.0%*	*20.0%*	*15*	*66.7%*	*13.3%*	*6.7%*	*19*	*52.6%*	*10.5%*	*10.5%*
Respite Care												
Sheppard Pratt Towson Respite	3	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Thomas O'Farrell Youth Center Respite	1	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Independent Living, Alternative Living Units, and Respite Care	*35*	*51.4%*	*20.0%*	*20.0%*	*31*	*48.4%*	*9.7%*	*6.5%*	*35*	*40.0%*	*17.1%*	*17.1%*

For Total Independent Living releases including Alternative Living Units and Respite Care:

- Between FY 2009 and FY 2011 all measures decreased:
  - The rearrest rate decreased 11.4%.
  - The reconviction rate and reincarceration rates each decreased 2.9%.
- Between FY 2010 and FY 2011
  - The rearrest rate decreased 8.4%.
  - The reconviction rate increased 7.4% and the reincarceration rate increased 10.6%.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism for RTC Releases, FY 2009 - FY 2011*

Residential Treatment Centers	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Baltimore RTC	4	2	1	0	2	2	1	1	8	4	1	1
Behav. Hlth-An. Arund.	5	2	1	1	2	1	0	0	5	2	1	1
Behav. Hlth- East. Shore	12	9	1	1	15	12	4	4	19	11	4	2
Good Shepherd Center Females Program	26	10	2	1	27	15	2	1	19	12	4	2
Good Shepherd Center Males Program	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1	0	0
Jefferson School	7	4	2	2	8	3	2	1	10	3	1	0
New Directions Chesap. Treatment Ctr. Hickey	9	1	0	0	14	4	1	1	13	5	1	1
Potomac Ridge	22	10	3	2	16	6	1	1	16	7	2	2
Rockville	3	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	2	0	0
Sheppard Pratt Towson	2	1	1	0	4	0	0	0	5	5	1	1
Villa Maria	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	2	2	1	0
Woodbourne	16	7	2	2	18	10	4	2	15	5	2	1
Residential Treatment Center Total	***106***	***45.3%***	***12.3%***	***8.5%***	***108***	***50.0%***	***13.9%***	***10.2%***	***117***	***50.4%***	***15.4%***	***9.4%***
Psychiatric Hospitals												
Behavioral Health Hospital Rockville	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	0	0	0
Eastern Shore Acute Unit	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	2	0	0
Sheppard Pratt Hospital Towson	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	2	1	1
Spring Grove Hospital Ctr	26	15	3	2	28	8	2	1	44	22	11	4
Thomas Finan Center	4	1	0	0	4	1	1	1	3	2	0	0
Psychiatric Hospital Total	***32***	***50.0%***	***9.4%***	***6.3%***	***32***	***28.1%***	***9.4%***	***6.3%***	***55***	***50.9%***	***21.8%***	***9.1%***
Diagnostic Units / CEU												
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	5	4	1	1	9	6	1	1	10	4	1	1
Children's Home Diagnostic Shelt. (Female)	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0
RICA Rockville	12	7	1	0	13	7	4	2	12	6	2	0
Woodbourne	1	1	1	1	7	4	1	0	11	4	3	2
Diagnostic Units / CEU Total	***19***	***68.4%***	***15.8%***	***10.5%***	***29***	***58.6%***	***20.7%***	***10.3%***	***34***	***44.1%***	***17.6%***	***8.8%***
Total RTC	***157***	***49.0%***	***12.1%***	***8.3%***	***169***	***47.3%***	***14.2%***	***9.5%***	***206***	***49.5%***	***17.5%***	***9.2%***

For RTC releases including Psychiatric Hospitals and Diagnostic Units/CEU:

- Between FY 2009 and FY 2011:
  - The rearrest rate and reincarceration rate increased less than 1% (0.5% and 0.9% respectively). The reconviction rate increased 5.4%.
- Between FY 2010 and FY 2011:
  - The rearrest rate increased 2.2% and the reconviction rate increased 3.3%.
  - The reincarceration rate decreased less than 1%.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism for Out-of-State (OOS) Facility Releases, FY 2009 - FY 2011*

Residential Treatment Facility - OOS	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
AdvoServ Programs	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Cottonwood Treatment Center	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0	4	1	0	0
Devereux - Florida	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	3	1	1	0	0	0	0
Devereux - Georgia	6	3	0	0	3	2	2	2	4	2	2	2
Keystone Newport News	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0
Laurel Heights Hospital	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Macon Behavioral Health	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hope Carolinas	2	2	0	0	3	3	2	1	1	0	0	0
North Spring Behavioral Healthcare	2	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
PA Clinical Schools, Inc	2	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	1	0	0
Palmetto Behav. Health	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
Pines	5	3	0	0	4	2	0	0	3	1	1	0
Southern Peaks Regional	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Three Rivers - Midland Campus	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0
Residential Treatment Facility Total	*19*	*68.4%*	*5.3%*	*0.0%*	*22*	*63.6%*	*22.7%*	*18.2%*	*21*	*47.6%*	*14.3%*	*9.5%*
Staff Secure - OOS												
ARCS York Sp Needs Prog	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Bennington School	3	1	0	0	4	2	1	1	2	0	0	0
Canyon State Academy	3	2	0	0	13	8	1	0	16	9	2	1
Clarinda Academy	19	10	2	2	17	9	3	2	21	11	8	8
Cornell Abraxas Intens.	13	10	6	6	3	2	1	1	9	4	2	2
Glen Mills Schools (PA)	8	4	1	0	14	6	0	0	15	4	1	1
Lakeside Acad-Residen.	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Natchez Trace Yth Acad.	7	6	1	1	10	10	2	2	5	2	1	1
National Center on Institutions & Alternat.	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0
Summit Academy	3	3	1	1	2	1	0	0	12	4	1	1
Woodward Youth Corporation	8	3	1	1	3	1	1	1	9	5	1	1
Staff Secure-OOS Total	*64*	*60.9%*	*18.8%*	*17.2%*	*66*	*59.1%*	*13.6%*	*10.6%*	*92*	*44.6%*	*17.4%*	*16.3%*

- The Out-of-State table is continued on the next page and the trend analysis is presented there.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism for Out-of-State Facility Releases (OOS), FY 2009 - FY 2011* (continued)

Hardware Secure - OOS	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
CCS - Turning Point	1	1	0	0	6	2	1	1	2	2	1	0
Cornell Abraxas Acad.	8	4	0	0	6	3	1	1	8	2	1	1
Mid-Atlantic - Luzerne	6	2	0	0	5	1	1	1	11	3	0	0
Mid Atlantic - West.PA	12	7	3	3	4	2	1	1	8	2	1	0
Southwest Indiana Reg.	4	2	1	1	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Hardware Secure - OOS Total	*31*	*51.6%*	*12.9%*	*12.9%*	*24*	*37.5%*	*16.7%*	*16.7%*	*30*	*30.0%*	*10.0%*	*3.3%*
Total Out-of-State	*114*	*59.6%*	*14.9%*	*13.2%*	*112*	*55.4%*	*16.1%*	*13.4%*	*143*	*42.0%*	*15.4%*	*12.6%*

Between FY 2009 and FY 2011, for Out-of-State releases including Residential Treatment Facilities, Staff Secure, and Hardware Secure:

- Rearrest rates decreased by 17.6% and reincarceration rates decreased 0.6%
- Reconviction rates increased 0.5%

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism for State Operated and Privately Operated Facility Releases, FY 2009 - FY 2011*

State Operated Facilities	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Backbone Mtn Yth Ctr	67	36	13	12	83	50	18	14	85	37	13	10
Cheltenham ReDirect	125	78	32	23	121	63	27	20	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Green Ridge	82	47	21	13	82	55	31	17	88	53	26	15
Meadow Mtn Yth Ctr	66	33	8	5	70	51	19	15	84	33	12	6
Savage Mtn Yth Ctr	66	49	15	10	44	30	12	6	62	28	13	10
Victor Cullen Center	74	44	18	15	68	44	18	13	98	44	22	21
Waxter Children's Ctr	8	1	1	1	12	5	1	1	11	3	3	1
William Donald Schaefe House	54	36	13	11	43	26	6	4	26	19	7	3
Total State Operated	*542*	*59.8%*	*22.3%*	*16.6%*	*523*	*62.0%*	*25.2%*	*17.2%*	*454*	*47.8%*	*21.1%*	*14.5%*
Privately Operated Facilities												
Silver Oak Academy	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	25	16	5	5	53	25	11	7
Thomas O'Farrell Youth Center	47	36	14	11	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Privately Operated	*47*	*76.6%*	*29.8%*	*23.4%*	*25*	*64.0%*	*20.0%*	*20.0%*	*53*	*47.2%*	*20.8%*	*13.2%*
Total State & Privately Operated	*589*	*61.1%*	*22.9%*	*17.1%*	*548*	*62.0%*	*25.0%*	*17.3%*	*507*	*47.7%*	*21.1%*	*14.4%*

For State-Operated Facility releases including Privately-Operated Facilities

- Between FY 2009 and FY 2011 rearrest rates decreased 13.4%. Reconviction rates decreased 1.8% and reincarceration rates decreased 2.7%.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.

One, Two, and Three Year Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates for FY 2009 Releases by Program Type

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrests			Reconvictions			Reincarcerations		
		1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr
Total Foster Care	***90***	***58.9%***	***68.9%***	***78.9%***	***16.7%***	***35.6%***	***47.8%***	***14.4%***	***28.9%***	***42.2%***
Treatment Foster Care	83	59.0%	69.9%	78.3%	18.1%	38.6%	50.6%	15.7%	31.3%	44.6%
In- Home Foster Care	7	57.1%	57.1%	85.7%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%
Total Group Homes	***415***	***56.6%***	***70.1%***	***76.9%***	***19.0%***	***37.3%***	***46.7%***	***13.5%***	***29.2%***	***38.8%***
Group Home	357	56.3%	69.5%	75.9%	18.5%	38.1%	47.9%	13.2%	30.3%	40.6%
Therapeutic Group Home	58	58.6%	74.1%	82.8%	22.4%	32.8%	39.7%	15.5%	22.4%	27.6%
Total Independent Living	***35***	***51.4%***	***68.6%***	***71.4%***	***20.0%***	***28.6%***	***45.7%***	***20.0%***	***25.7%***	***42.9%***
Independent Living	21	42.9%	66.7%	71.4%	14.3%	19.0%	42.9%	14.3%	19.0%	38.1%
Alternative Living Units	10	60.0%	70.0%	70.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%
Respite Care	4	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	50.0%	75.0%	75.0%	50.0%	50.0%	75.0%
ICFA Total	***186***	***52.2%***	***63.4%***	***69.9%***	***17.2%***	***29.0%***	***38.2%***	***9.7%***	***21.5%***	***31.7%***
RTC Total	***157***	***49.0%***	***66.2%***	***72.0%***	***12.1%***	***24.2%***	***35.7%***	***8.3%***	***15.3%***	***28.0%***
Residential Treatment Facility	106	45.3%	62.3%	68.9%	12.3%	22.6%	33.0%	8.5%	13.2%	24.5%
Psychiatric Hospital	32	50.0%	65.6%	71.9%	9.4%	25.0%	37.5%	6.3%	18.8%	34.4%
Diagnostic Units/CEU	19	68.4%	89.5%	89.5%	15.8%	31.6%	47.4%	10.5%	21.1%	36.8%
Out-of-State (OOS) Total	***114***	***59.6%***	***72.8%***	***78.1%***	***14.9%***	***31.6%***	***47.4%***	***13.2%***	***30.7%***	***44.7%***
Residential Treatment Facility	19	68.4%	68.4%	73.7%	5.3%	21.1%	36.8%	0.0%	15.8%	31.6%
Staff Secure - OOS	64	60.9%	78.1%	82.8%	18.8%	32.8%	50.0%	17.2%	32.8%	50.0%
Hardware Secure - OOS	31	51.6%	64.5%	71.0%	12.9%	35.5%	48.4%	12.9%	35.5%	41.9%
State Operated Total	***542***	***59.8%***	***72.1%***	***77.7%***	***22.3%***	***37.5%***	***48.2%***	***16.6%***	***30.8%***	***43.0%***
Backbone Mountain Youth Ctr	67	53.7%	64.2%	68.7%	19.4%	35.8%	52.2%	17.9%	34.3%	50.7%
Cheltenham ReDirect	125	62.4%	72.0%	76.0%	25.6%	37.6%	44.8%	18.4%	32.0%	39.2%
Green Ridge	82	57.3%	64.6%	74.4%	25.6%	43.9%	54.9%	15.9%	31.7%	46.3%
Meadow Mountain Youth Ctr	66	50.0%	71.2%	75.8%	12.1%	34.8%	51.5%	7.6%	27.3%	48.5%
Savage Mountain Youth Center	66	74.2%	86.4%	92.4%	22.7%	39.4%	48.5%	15.2%	33.3%	43.9%
Victor Cullen Center	74	59.5%	73.0%	81.1%	24.3%	37.8%	45.9%	20.3%	31.1%	41.9%
Waxter Children's Center	8	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%
William Donald Schaefer House	54	66.7%	83.3%	85.2%	24.1%	33.3%	42.6%	20.4%	25.9%	33.3%
Thomas O' Farrell (Privately Operated - DJS Youth Only)	***47***	***76.6%***	***85.1%***	***89.4%***	***29.8%***	***51.1%***	***57.4%***	***23.4%***	***44.7%***	***51.1%***
Statewide Total	***1,586***	***57.3%***	***70.2%***	***76.3%***	***19.2%***	***34.8%***	***45.5%***	***14.1%***	***27.9%***	***39.4%***

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2009 releases at 1 year and 3 years post release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 57.3% and within 3 years it was 76.3%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 19.2% and within 3 years it was 45.5%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 14.1% and within 3 years it was 39.4%.

One and Two Year Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates for FY 2010 Releases by Program Type

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrests		Reconvictions		Reincarcerations	
		1 Yr	2Yr	1 Yr	2Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr
Total Foster Care	***71***	***43.7%***	***59.2%***	***11.3%***	***29.6%***	***8.5%***	***23.9%***
Treatment Foster Care	64	43.8%	57.8%	10.9%	29.7%	9.4%	25.0%
In-Home Foster Care	7	42.9%	71.4%	14.3%	28.6%	0.0%	14.3%
Total Group Homes	***417***	***57.3%***	***69.8%***	***17.5%***	***34.8%***	***12.2%***	***27.1%***
Group Home	363	58.1%	70.0%	18.2%	36.4%	13.2%	28.9%
Therapeutic Group Home	54	51.9%	68.5%	13.0%	24.1%	5.6%	14.8%
Total Independent Living	***31***	***48.4%***	***64.5%***	***9.7%***	***25.8%***	***6.5%***	***25.8%***
Independent Living	15	33.3%	46.7%	6.7%	26.7%	6.7%	26.7%
Alternative Living Units	15	66.7%	80.0%	13.3%	26.7%	6.7%	26.7%
Respite Care	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
ICFA Total	***237***	***51.9%***	***65.8%***	***18.6%***	***30.0%***	***13.1%***	***23.6%***
RTC Total	***169***	***47.3%***	***63.9%***	***14.2%***	***30.8%***	***9.5%***	***21.9%***
Residential Treatment Facility	108	50.0%	64.8%	13.9%	28.7%	10.2%	20.4%
Psychiatric Hospital	32	28.1%	53.1%	9.4%	31.3%	6.3%	21.9%
Diagnostic Units/CEU	29	58.6%	72.4%	20.7%	37.9%	10.3%	27.6%
Out-of-State (OOS) Total	***112***	***55.4%***	***66.1%***	***16.1%***	***33.0%***	***13.4%***	***27.7%***
Residential Treatment Facility	22	63.6%	72.7%	22.7%	45.5%	18.2%	40.9%
Staff Secure - OOS	66	59.1%	68.2%	13.6%	30.3%	10.6%	22.7%
Hardware Secure - OOS	24	37.5%	54.2%	16.7%	29.2%	16.7%	29.2%
State Operated Total	***523***	***62.0%***	***74.2%***	***25.2%***	***42.8%***	***17.2%***	***35.0%***
Backbone Mountain Youth Ctr	83	60.2%	80.7%	21.7%	42.2%	16.9%	33.7%
Cheltenham ReDirect	121	52.1%	65.3%	22.3%	40.5%	16.5%	35.5%
Green Ridge Youth Center	82	67.1%	75.6%	37.8%	50.0%	20.7%	36.6%
Meadow Mountain Youth Ctr	70	72.9%	85.7%	27.1%	50.0%	21.4%	42.9%
Savage Mountain Youth Center	44	68.2%	75.0%	27.3%	52.3%	13.6%	38.6%
Victor Cullen Center	68	64.7%	77.9%	26.5%	36.8%	19.1%	32.4%
Waxter Children's Center	12	41.7%	41.7%	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%
William Donald Schaefer House	43	60.5%	67.4%	14.0%	34.9%	9.3%	27.9%
Silver Oak (Privately-Operated DJS Youth Only)	***25***	***64.0%***	***88.0%***	***20.0%***	***48.0%***	***20.0%***	***40.0%***
Statewide Total	***1,585***	***56.2%***	***69.5%***	***19.4%***	***36.0%***	***13.6%***	***28.7%***

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2010 releases at 1-year with 2-years post-release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 56.2% and within 2 years it was 69.5%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 19.4% and within 2 years it was 36.0%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 13.6% and within 2 years it was 28.7%.

General Recidivism Rates for Probation Placements

12-, 24-, and 36-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates for FY 2009-2011 New Probation Youth, Tracked Through FY 2012

Follow-up Period	FY 2009			FY 2010			FY 2011		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*
12 Months	44.6%	16.0%	6.0%	44.8%	17.7%	6.9%	46.7%	19.0%	7.8%
24 Months	55.4%	27.0%	14.2%	57.7%	29.3%	15.4%	N/A	N/A	N/A
36 Months	62.2%	35.1%	21.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

- Recidivism rates for FY 2011 youth at 12-months were:
  - Rearrest - 46.7%
  - Reconviction - 19.0%
  - Incarceration - 7.8%
- The following reoffending patterns were noted at 12-months when comparing FY 2010 and FY 2011:
  - All measures increased less than 2% (1.9%, 1.3%, 0.9% respectively).

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates by Demographics, FY 2011 New Probation Youth

Demographics	FY 2011						
	Total	*Rearrest*		*Reonviction*		*Incarceration**	
Race/Ethnicity							
Black	1,833	929	50.7%	346	18.9%	134	7.3%
White	843	330	39.1%	135	16.0%	53	6.3%
Hispanic/Other	187	77	41.2%	62	33.2%	37	19.8%
Sex							
Male	2,302	1,128	49.0%	468	20.3%	201	8.7%
Female	561	208	37.1%	75	13.4%	23	4.1%
Age at Disposition							
11 and Under	42	11	26.2%	2	4.8%	1	2.4%
12	82	36	43.9%	17	20.7%	3	3.7%
13	175	93	53.1%	38	21.7%	16	9.1%
14	312	153	49.0%	69	22.1%	31	9.9%
15	550	282	51.3%	129	23.5%	61	11.1%
16	692	359	51.9%	152	22.0%	53	7.7%
17	764	334	43.7%	115	15.1%	45	5.9%
18 or older	246	68	27.6%	21	8.5%	14	5.7%
Total	*2,863*	*1,336*	*46.7%*	*543*	*19.0%*	*224*	*7.8%*

Note: The probation cohort reflects youth placed on probation (not youth released from probation) and committed community youth. Therefore, recidivism is measured starting at the disposition date.

- Demographic data for 12-month recidivism rates are presented in the table above:
  - Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures.
  - Black youth had the highest rearrest rates. Hispanic/Other youth had the highest reconviction rates and reincarceration rates.
  - Some age groups comprise a small number of youth. Therefore the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

*Since the probation cohort includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates for FY 2009-2011 New Cases, by Region and County

Region/ County	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	# of Youth	Rearrest	Recon-viction	Incar-ceration *	# of Youth	Rearrest	Recon-viction	Incar-ceration *	# of Youth	Rearrest	Recon-viction	Incar-ceration *
R-I (Balt. City)	**942**	**58.3%**	**24.5%**	**8.1%**	**778**	**56.8%**	**25.3%**	**8.6%**	**684**	**57.9%**	**24.9%**	**11.3%**
Baltimore City	942	58.3%	24.5%	8.1%	778	56.8%	25.3%	8.6%	684	57.9%	24.9%	11.3%
R-II (Central)	**1,004**	**43.5%**	**13.9%**	**4.6%**	**793**	**44.3%**	**17.4%**	**5.9%**	**743**	**47.6%**	**20.5%**	**8.5%**
Baltimore Co.	621	46.4%	15.0%	4.7%	505	47.5%	20.2%	6.1%	478	47.9%	20.7%	7.7%
Carroll	100	31.0%	10.0%	2.0%	69	33.3%	11.6%	2.9%	67	41.8%	26.9%	14.9%
Harford	155	45.8%	14.2%	5.2%	105	42.9%	8.6%	5.7%	85	54.1%	20.0%	10.6%
Howard	128	36.7%	11.7%	5.5%	114	37.7%	16.7%	7.0%	113	45.1%	15.9%	6.2%
R-III (Western)	**228**	**47.8%**	**20.2%**	**4.8%**	**224**	**43.8%**	**21.4%**	**6.3%**	**188**	**50.0%**	**25.0%**	**8.5%**
Allegany	36	47.2%	16.7%	5.6%	28	28.6%	10.7%	7.1%	37	62.2%	21.6%	5.4%
Frederick	80	55.0%	28.8%	6.3%	86	53.5%	22.1%	3.5%	60	50.0%	35.0%	16.7%
Garrett	30	30.0%	10.0%	3.3%	23	26.1%	8.7%	4.3%	23	21.7%	4.3%	4.3%
Washington	82	47.6%	17.1%	3.7%	87	43.7%	27.6%	9.2%	68	52.9%	25.0%	4.4%
R-IV (Eastern)	**308**	**40.9%**	**10.7%**	**7.5%**	**279**	**49.1%**	**13.3%**	**8.6%**	**268**	**42.2%**	**13.8%**	**6.7%**
Caroline	27	37.0%	7.4%	7.4%	17	58.8%	5.9%	5.9%	16	43.8%	12.5%	0.0%
Cecil	73	34.2%	11.0%	4.1%	71	42.3%	12.7%	4.2%	76	35.5%	10.5%	2.6%
Dorchester	26	26.9%	7.7%	7.7%	27	40.7%	18.5%	14.8%	29	44.8%	6.9%	3.4%
Kent	12	50.0%	8.3%	0.0%	10	70.0%	30.0%	10.0%	5	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%
Queen Anne's	19	42.1%	10.5%	5.3%	10	50.0%	10.0%	10.0%	19	42.1%	15.8%	0.0%
Somerset	14	42.9%	14.3%	0.0%	9	44.4%	0.0%	0.0%	11	27.3%	27.3%	27.3%
Talbot	28	35.7%	3.6%	3.6%	23	34.8%	0.0%	0.0%	13	38.5%	7.7%	0.0%
Wicomico	68	47.1%	14.7%	13.2%	78	55.1%	16.7%	12.8%	72	45.8%	20.8%	16.7%
Worcester	41	53.7%	12.2%	12.2%	34	55.9%	14.7%	11.8%	27	51.9%	3.7%	0.0%
R-V (Southern)	**433**	**40.4%**	**11.8%**	**4.8%**	**420**	**41.0%**	**13.8%**	**4.5%**	**366**	**41.8%**	**17.8%**	**5.5%**
Anne Arundel	208	45.2%	12.5%	7.2%	223	47.5%	17.5%	6.7%	197	47.7%	19.8%	7.1%
Calvert	75	29.3%	6.7%	1.3%	73	34.2%	8.2%	0.0%	54	31.5%	7.4%	5.6%
Charles	109	42.2%	12.8%	1.8%	83	30.1%	9.6%	1.2%	84	38.1%	21.4%	2.4%
St. Mary's	41	31.7%	14.6%	7.3%	41	39.0%	12.2%	7.3%	31	32.3%	12.9%	3.2%
R-VI (Metro)	**789**	**32.6%**	**11.4%**	**5.6%**	**757**	**33.8%**	**12.9%**	**7.0%**	**614**	**36.8%**	**11.7%**	**4.9%**
Montgomery	278	40.3%	19.1%	7.2%	267	39.7%	21.7%	9.7%	210	46.7%	21.4%	9.0%
Prince George's	511	28.4%	7.2%	4.7%	490	30.6%	8.2%	5.5%	404	31.7%	6.7%	2.7%
Statewide Total	***3,704***	***44.6%***	***16.0%***	***6.0%***	***3,251***	***44.8%***	***17.7%***	***6.9%***	***2,863***	***46.7%***	***19.0%***	***7.8%***

- When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of releases. Some counties have a small number of releases; therefore if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

*Since the probation cohort includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.

Probation - VPI Recidivism

The table below shows recidivism outcomes for a subset of the probation cohort who were assigned to VPI community supervision during their probation term. These data should not be used to generalize to the VPI program as a whole. The analysis excludes VPI participants in placement, under aftercare supervision as well as probation youth with a prior probation disposition. As in the general probation cohort analysis, the follow-up period begins on the disposition date.

12-Month Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism Rates for FY 2009-2011 for Probation Youth on VPI

Region	FY 2009				FY 2010				FY 2011			
	PB Cohort VPI	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Incarc-eration*	PB Cohort VPI	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Incarc-eration*	PB Cohort VPI	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Incarc-eration*
Region I (Balt. City)	208	76.0%	41.8%	17.3%	161	77.0%	42.9%	17.4%	86	70.9%	31.4%	17.4%
Region II (Central)	75	66.7%	33.3%	14.7%	73	56.2%	32.9%	19.2%	53	64.2%	30.2%	17.0%
Region III (Western)	10	60.0%	40.0%	20.0%	7	85.7%	57.1%	14.3%	6	66.7%	50.0%	33.3%
Region IV (Eastern)	9	88.9%	33.3%	22.2%	13	84.6%	30.8%	23.1%	6	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Region V (Southern)	33	66.7%	21.2%	18.2%	36	58.3%	27.8%	22.2%	35	65.7%	25.7%	2.9%
Region VI (Metro)	64	62.5%	29.7%	17.2%	85	50.6%	22.4%	12.9%	59	37.3%	10.2%	3.4%
Statewide Total	**399**	**71.2%**	**36.3%**	**17.0%**	**375**	**65.6%**	**34.7%**	**17.3%**	**245**	**60.0%**	**24.9%**	**11.8%**

- Some regions have a small number of youth in the analysis group. Therefore the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, it is not advisable to compare data across regions.
- Between FY 2009 and FY 2011, statewide:
  - All recidivism measures decreased (rearrest by 11.2%, reconviction by 11.4, and incarceration by 5.2%)

*Since youth in VPI are part of the probation cohort that includes youth who were not previously placed in a committed out-of-home program, "incarceration" reflects the first commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.