



Data Resource Guide

Fiscal Year 2022

Successful Youth
Strong Leaders
Safer Communities

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

Data Resource Guide Fiscal Year 2022

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

State of Maryland
Larry Hogan, Governor
Sam Abed, Secretary
December 2022

This guide fulfills the statutory reporting requirements set forth in Md. Human Services Code Ann. §9-204 regarding the agency's comprehensive juvenile services plan as well as reporting juvenile recidivism rates.

This *Data Resource Guide* as well as previous editions can be found on the DJS website:
djs.maryland.gov/Pages/Data-Resource-Guides.aspx

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

EXECUTIVE STAFF

Sam Abed, Secretary
Jay Cleary, Chief of Staff
Cory Fink, Deputy Secretary for Community Operations
Lynette Holmes, Deputy Secretary for Support Services
Wallis Norman, Deputy Secretary for Operations
Eric Solomon, Director of Communications
Melanie Graves, Executive Director for Juvenile Services
Education Program (JSEP)
Kara Aanenson, Director of Legislation and Policy

PROJECT LEADERS

Lynette Holmes	Fang Qian
John Irvine	Urjita Rami
Susan Nicely	

REVIEW TEAM

Patricia Elmore	Falguni Patel
Gabriel Emmanuel	Fang Qian
Kate Figiel-Miller	Urjita Rami
Shane Hall	George Rich
Lynette Holmes	Abigail Sanders
John Irvine	Terri Sobus
Felecia Hudson	Marci Stables
Lavinia Jackson	Xiaohui “Naomi” Yu
Susan Nicely	

LAYOUT DESIGN

Susan Nicely

The DJS Executive Staff and Project Leaders would like to thank everyone who contributed to this document. Our appreciation goes to the members of the agency who contributed a wealth of information with special thanks to Toni Christian, Michael DiBattista, Laura Estupinan-Kane, Mat Fonseca, Lisa Garry, Lisa Reynolds, and Denise Victory. We would also like to thank Jill Farrell at the University of Maryland, School of Social Work, The Institute for Innovation and Implementation, and Boyce Williams at the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services. We are especially grateful to the field staff who gather the data necessary to complete the *Data Resource Guide*.

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION

DJS COVID-19 Pandemic Response	iv
DJS Therapy Dog Program	v
DJS Accomplishments	vi
The Juvenile Justice Reform Council (JJRC)	ix
Commonly Used Acronyms	xi
Terms and Concepts	xii

SECTION I: AN OVERVIEW OF THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES

Introduction to the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services (DJS)	3
Organizational Chart	6
DJS Operating Expenditures, FY 2022	7
Juvenile Justice in Maryland - A Historical Evolution	8
Department of Juvenile Services Historical Evolution Highlights (pullout)	13
Maryland Juvenile Justice System Process Flowchart (pullout)	14
Steps in the Juvenile Justice System	15
Professional Training and Education Unit (PTEU)	16
Flow of FY 2022 Case Referrals	18

SECTION II: INTAKE AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION

Introduction to Intake and Community Supervision	21
Statewide, Regional, and County Activity	26
Pre-Court Supervision	96
Regional, County, and Long-Term Trends	100

SECTION III: DETENTION

Introduction to Detention	121
Statewide Activity for Detention Centers	126
Youth Charged as Adults Pending Transfer	134
Specific Detention Facility Information	136
Alternatives to Detention (ATD)	150

SECTION IV: TREATMENT PROGRAMS FOR COMMITTED YOUTH

Introduction to Treatment Programs for Committed Youth	157
Committed Statewide and by Type	162
State-Operated Treatment Programs for Committed Youth	178
Community-Based Family Therapy Programs	188

SECTION V: RECIDIVISM RATES

Measuring Recidivism Rates	193
Recidivism Rates for Treatment Program Releases	195
Recidivism Rates for Youth with Probation Dispositions	206

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Community Services Staffing Distribution as of June 30, 2022	211
Appendix B: Detention Center Staffing (Filled Positions) as of June 30, 2022	212
Appendix C: State-Operated Treatment Program Staffing (Filled Positions) as of June 30, 2022	212
Appendix D: State-Operated Facility Expenditures, FY 2022	213
Appendix E: State-Operated Facility and Treatment Program Per Diem Rates, FY 2022	214
Appendix F: Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI)	215
Appendix G: Accountability Incentives Management (AIM)	219
Appendix H: Intake Decision Tool	221
Appendix I: Peace Orders	229
Appendix J: Census Information, 2021 Population Estimates by Race (Age 11-17)	230
Appendix K: Crimes of Violence	231
Appendix L: Maryland Citations Pertaining to DJS and Juvenile Jurisdiction	231
Appendix M: Relative Rate Index (RRI)	234
Appendix N: Offenses Under Original Adult Court Jurisdiction for Youth Under 18	237
Appendix O: Classification of Offenses	238
Appendix P: Other Offenses From Intake and Community Supervision Section Offense Tables	242
Appendix Q: Number and Ratio of Youth, Cases, and Placements by County, FY 2022	246
Appendix R: Annotated List of Forms/Tools Previously Published in the DRG	247
Appendix S: Treatment Programs with Active Contracts for Services, FY 2022	248

DJS STATISTICAL SUMMARY INFORMATION SHEET (PULLOUT)	249
---	-----

DJS COVID-19 Pandemic Response



As the COVID-19 pandemic entered its second year in FY 2022, DJS continued to remain vigilant and take proactive measures to control the potential spread of infection among staff, youth and families. These infection control measures included universal masking, social distancing, stepped-up cleaning and disinfection procedures within DJS facilities, mandatory screening and quarantine for all youth admissions, contact tracing, telework for eligible employees, and increased use of phone and video calls. For a comprehensive description of the Department's COVID-19 pandemic response, please see the DJS COVID-19 page at: <https://djs.maryland.gov/Pages/COVID-19.aspx>.

On August 5, 2021, Governor Hogan announced that State employees who work in congregate care settings were required to receive their first dose of the COVID-19 vaccine by September 1, 2021. Coinciding with the Governor's announcement, the Maryland Department of Health (MDH) issued an order that required that all employees in facilities operated by DJS show proof of their vaccine status by September 1, 2021 and any staff who fail to do so will be subject to mandatory weekly COVID-19 testing and be required to wear appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE).



While many staff in DJS facilities have already received their COVID-19 vaccine, DJS will continue to monitor vaccination rates among DJS facility staff and stress the importance of the COVID-19 vaccine as the best available defense for youth and staff against the virus.

Even with restrictive COVID-19 infection control measures in place, the highly contagious Omicron variant swept through DJS facility staff and youth much in the same way that it impacted the general public. Departmental facilities experienced a surge in COVID-19-positive cases among facility staff and youth from December 2021 through February 2022. The use of medical isolation for COVID-19-positive youth and quarantines for facility living units increased proportionally during this time period. The high number of COVID-19 infections led to significant numbers of DJS facility staff being out on sick leave. Thus, DJS leadership implemented suspensions of in-person family visitation as well as in-person education and programming by outside vendors and volunteers.



Despite these hardships, DJS facilities remained stable and safe during that unprecedented time. This was mostly due to the perseverance of facility and community staff and senior leadership who took extra shifts in DJS facilities. By March 2022, all of the youth and staff who had COVID-19 had recovered, and DJS facility operations returned to normal. DJS Executive leadership is grateful for and proud of the DJS staff who ensured that DJS facilities were able to navigate that challenging time as safely as possible.

The Department continues to closely monitor and report the number of COVID-19 cases among staff and youth in DJS facilities. The DJS COVID-19 page on the Department's website provides helpful information regarding the agency's infection control measures and other reforms to its operations in order to reduce the risk among DJS staff and youth. Additionally, data related to COVID-19 positive results among DJS staff, youth, and private providers are provided on a daily basis.

DJS THERAPY DOG PROGRAM

The Department's first therapy dog joined the youth and staff at Western Maryland Children's Center in 2019. Since then, dogs have been paired with five more DJS-operated programs throughout the State. The dogs are specially trained to be part of the DJS treatment team at their respective facilities. The response to the DJS Therapy Dog Program has been overwhelmingly positive. Youth report that they feel more calm and content when interacting with the dogs. Facility staff also form an attachment to the dogs as they play and care for the dogs throughout the day.

DJS works closely with a breeder who engages in a rigorous selection and training process to determine which dog is most suitable to work with youth in a congregate care environment. Prior to the therapy dog being assigned to a facility, a DJS staff person must volunteer to be a handler and take on the responsibility of taking care of the dog pursuant to DJS policy. Each dog is assigned to a handler who brings the dog to the facility each day and takes the dog to their home each evening.

During the COVID-19 pandemic, therapy dogs have provided much-needed comfort and a calming atmosphere that has improved the environment at every facility where the program has been implemented. Plans are currently under way to expand the Therapy Dog Program to additional DJS facilities and bring all the benefits to more youth and staff. In these challenging times, the joy of a furry, four-legged friend has value beyond measure.

FY 2022 DJS Therapy Dogs

Ace – Cheltenham Youth Detention Center

Ashe – Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center

Bayr – Western Maryland Children's Center

Clay – Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School

Colt – Victor Cullen Center

Ginger – Backbone Mountain Youth Center



Ace




Ashe



Bayr



Ginger



Colt



Clay

DJS Accomplishments

When Sam Abed was appointed Secretary of the Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) in February 2011, the Department faced serious challenges that impacted its ability to deliver adequate and appropriate services to youth in its care. At the time of his appointment, DJS facilities were overcrowded and, consequently, suffered from instability and a lack of accountability for staff and youth. Additionally, the Department's community operations struggled to adequately supervise youth in the community due to large caseloads and lack of appropriate oversight by management.

Secretary Abed has built his leadership of the Department on three pillars: (1) The Developmental Model, which bases reforms on the science of adolescent brain development, (2) Data-driven decision-making, and (3) Transparency in DJS operations. These pillars reflect the values of treating youth in an age- and developmentally appropriate manner, basing decisions on objective data rather than anecdotal evidence or trends, and building trust in DJS by sharing data with stakeholders and the public.

Since 2011, DJS has successfully implemented reforms that specifically addressed the Department's challenges and moved the agency towards a community-based approach to juvenile justice. Some of these reforms include:

- Creating the Central Review Committee (CRC) to move youth more quickly among placements and drive down the number of youth waiting in DJS facilities.
- Drafting and/or revising nearly 200 Department policies and procedures.
- Creating a structured Quality Assurance process for DJS facilities and community offices to ensure executive-level oversight and accountability for departmental operations.
- Driving down the time to hire new staff in order to maintain full staffing at DJS facilities.
- Implementing the SafeMeasures cloud-based case management system to provide real-time oversight for DJS community case managers and supervisors.
- Beginning in 2012, publishing an annual *Data Resource Guide (DRG)* to provide objective data for stakeholders and the public regarding DJS operations.
- Creating the Office of Family Engagement and Office of Equity and Inclusion (OEI) to increase fairness and equity for youth and families of all groups across the state.
- Building relationships with law enforcement, the courts, legislators, the Juvenile Justice Monitoring Unit (JJMU), youth advocacy groups, and other stakeholders.

After a decade of successful reforms and partnerships, the data demonstrate a massive shift in the juvenile justice landscape in Maryland. The number of youth entering the juvenile system at intake and the number of youth in DJS facilities reached all-time lows. Youth with lower risk and need profiles are diverted from court involvement. As of FY 2022, spending on DJS community-based programs is almost 170% higher than spending for out-of-home residential programs, which is unprecedented in agency history. These results have aligned with the values espoused by Secretary Abed's administration and demonstrate the improved outcomes for Maryland's youth and families.

Trend Overview FY 2013 to FY 2022


Complaints




Crime



Detention



Dispositions and Committed ADP



DJS Accomplishments (cont.)

Below are a few highlights and details regarding important trends:

- **Reduction in Juvenile Intakes**

The number of juvenile complaints brought to DJS intake units (i.e. “the front door” of the juvenile justice system) has decreased by 61% in the last decade (FY 2013-FY 2022). This drastic drop in youth coming in to the juvenile justice system reflects a variety of factors including increased availability of community resources for youth and families; increased use of diversion at the time of intake; improvements to standardized risk assessment tools; decreases in the number of youth who re-offend after involvement with DJS; and changes in practices by law enforcement, who are the source of virtually all juvenile complaints. (See the long-term trends section on pages 114-117 for data demonstrating this reduction.)

- **Reduction in Youth Detention Population**

The number of youth in DJS detention facilities has declined 53% between FY 2013 and FY 2022. This significant reduction is due in part to reforms aimed at limiting the use of detention to youth who pose a risk to public safety. As a result of this reduction in detention population, DJS is better able to focus its resources on higher-risk youth, such as youth charged as adults. (See the long-term trends section on pages 114-117 for data demonstrating this reduction.)

- **Reduction in Youth Committed Treatment Population**

The number of youth committed to DJS for treatment has declined 86% between FY 2013 and FY 2022. This dramatic drop in youth in the “deep end” of the juvenile system is attributable in part to reforms such as objective risk assessment tools and intentional efforts to divert lower-risk youth to more appropriate services. The reduction in the population of committed youth has allowed DJS to shift resources to community programs that support youth and families in Maryland. (See the long-term trends section on pages 114-117 for data demonstrating this reduction.)

- **Reduction of Out-of-State Placements**

The average daily population of DJS youth sent to out-of-state placements has dropped by 94% from FY 2013 to FY 2022. While the declines in the overall DJS population have contributed to this significant decrease, DJS reform efforts to treat lower-risk youth in their communities and, thus, make more in-state treatment beds available for higher-need youth played a role, as well. Consistent with research findings, youth who are treated closer to their homes, families, social networks and schools are more likely to have successful outcomes. (See the long-term trends section on pages 114-117 for data demonstrating this reduction.)

- **Funding for Community Resources Now Exceeds Funding for Residential Treatment by almost 170%**

In light of the significant declines in juvenile complaints, and detention, committed and out-of-state populations, DJS is committing more funds to community resources than to out-of-home residential treatment for the first time in its history. Consistent with the Adolescent Developmental Model, DJS has made an intentional effort to focus its resources in the community and lessen the use of residential treatment whenever possible. As a result of the Department’s reform efforts and in collaboration with system partners like the Maryland courts, DJS has officially shifted its resources to a community-first treatment strategy rather than looking primarily to out-of-home residential options. Research supports the conclusion that youth with lower risk/need profiles have improved long-term outcomes when they are appropriately supported in their home communities.

- **Reduction in Youth Recidivism Rates (12-Month Reconviction Rates)**

The recidivism rate for DJS youth on probation declined from 19.4% to 13.8% between FY 2016 and FY 2020. This measurement is based on youth who are either reconvicted or re-adjudicated for a new offense within a year of disposition. This decline reflects in part reforms that adjusted supervision levels in the community based on a youth’s risk level, as well as the deployment of an automated case management system to ensure that DJS staff are properly supervising youth. The recidivism rate for treatment program releases declined from 20.3% to 15.2% between FY 2016 and FY 2020 as well. (See the recidivism section beginning on page 193 for data demonstrating these reductions.)

The Juvenile Justice Reform Council (JJRC)

The Juvenile Justice Reform Council (JJRC) was created by the 2019 Maryland Legislative Session in Senate Bill 856/Chapter 252. The Council included legislators, representatives from the judiciary, prosecutorial and defense bars, state child-serving agencies, law enforcement, and representatives from national and local organizations with experience in juvenile justice policy reform, and was chaired by the Secretary of DJS.

The JJRC was created to use a data-driven approach to develop a statewide framework of policies investing in strategies to increase public safety and reduce recidivism of youth offenders. The council was charged with:

- (1) convening and working with an advisory stakeholder group to conduct roundtable discussion forums seeking public input in all geographic regions of the State;
- (2) researching best practices for the treatment of juveniles who are subject to the criminal and juvenile justice systems;
- (3) identifying and making recommendations to limit or otherwise mitigate risk factors that contribute to juvenile contact with the criminal and juvenile justice systems; and
- (4) requesting technical assistance from specified entities, including the Council of State Governments.

The JJRC, with the assistance of the Vera Institute of Justice, researched best practices regarding treatment of criminal/juvenile justice-involved youth and identified recommendations to limit or mitigate risk factors contributing to contact with justice systems. The council reviewed data provided by the Governor's Office of Crime Prevention, Youth, and Victim Services (GOCPYVS), DJS, and the Vera Institute for Justice and received testimony and presentations from a variety of sources, including individuals who were previously charged with adult crimes as juveniles.

The council submitted an interim report in December 2019, and recommendations to the Governor and the General Assembly in January 2021. These included extending the work of the council beyond June 30, 2021. Chapter 596 of the 2021 Maryland Legislative Session extended the work of the council until June 30, 2022, with a supplemental report to the Governor and the General Assembly due on or before October 1, 2021.

As part of its charge, JJRC was required to conduct roundtable discussion forums seeking public input in all geographic regions of the State. To complete this task, JJRC worked with the Local Management Boards (LMBs) to plan, coordinate, and ultimately conduct 16 regional listening sessions from January 2020 through March 2020. The goal of the listening sessions was to seek input from the public, advocates, justice system stakeholders, families, and young people as to their experience with the system and opportunities for reform. With the assistance of the LMBs, JJRC received public testimony from over 530 individuals around the state regarding issues related to education, family and youth engagement, community safety, community programming, and behavioral health and substance use services. Public testimony identified several policy areas that shaped the JJRC agenda including juvenile probation, minimum age of juvenile court jurisdiction, diversion, out-of-home placements, education, and youth charged as adults. The council voted to recommend that the State end the automatic charging of juveniles as adults and instead require that all court proceedings against juveniles be initiated in the juvenile court system. The JJRC also voted to include race equity measures when reviewing specific issue areas and to review recommendations through a race impact assessment.

Though JJRC concluded its work with the fall 2022 report, it is succeeded by the "Commission on Juvenile Justice Reform and Emerging Best Practices" established by SB691/HB459. The commission has 11 members which include elected officials, state agency representatives, and juvenile justice experts appointed by the Governor. DJS and DHS are responsible for providing staff to the commission. The commission is tasked with researching culturally competent evidence-based practices relating to child welfare, juvenile rehabilitation, mental health services for children, preventative and intervention services for juveniles.

Additional information, data, presentations and reports from the JJRC can be found at the web addresses below:

<https://djs.maryland.gov/Pages/Juvenile-Justice-Reform-Council.aspx>

<https://dls.maryland.gov/policy-areas/juvenile-justicereform-council>

The Juvenile Justice Reform Council (JJRC) (cont.)

2022 LEGISLATIVE CHANGES

During the 2022 session of the Maryland General Assembly, legislation (Senate Bill 691/House Bill 459) was introduced and passed putting many of the JJRC recommendations into statute. The following changes went into effect June 1, 2022.

Minimum Age of Jurisdiction Recommendation

- Eliminates juvenile court jurisdiction for children under the age of 13, except children between the ages of 10-12 alleged to have committed a Crime of Violence (14-101).

Intake / Pre-court Supervision

- Eliminates requirement of victim consent for informal adjustment.
- Eliminates requirement for State's Attorney approval to proceed with an informal adjustment for non-violent felonies.
- Establishes a statutory process for the court to return a petition back to DJS intake for assessment, services and supervision, rather than formal prosecution.

Detention Utilization

- Requires Courts to consider a validated risk assessment and require a court review every 14 days.
- Requires DJS to develop a community release plan within 10 days of a decision to detain a child.
- Prohibits detention for misdemeanor offenses, except handgun violations and repeat misdemeanor offenses.
- Prohibits use of detention for technical violations of probation.

Probation

- Sets a statutory maximum length of supervision for juvenile probation:
 - Misdemeanor Offenses
 - Maximum initial term is 6 months. The court may extend probation in 3-month increments if there is good cause to extend the probation and the purpose of the extension is to ensure the child completes a treatment or rehabilitative program or service.
 - The total maximum time a youth may be on probation for a misdemeanor offense is 1 year.
 - Felony Offenses
 - Maximum initial term is 1 year.
 - Up to 1 year, the court may extend probation in 3-month increments if there is good cause to extend the probation and the purpose of the extension is to ensure the child completes a treatment or rehabilitative program or service.
 - Beyond the first year, the court may extend the probation period up to an additional two years if the court finds clear and convincing evidence that there is good cause to extend probation and it is in the best interest of the child.
 - The total maximum time a youth may be on probation for a felony offense is 3 years.
- There are no statutory time frames for Crimes of Violence
 - DJS will manage community supervision for young people charged with a Crime of Violence pursuant to current policy and procedures.

Utilization of Out-of-Home Committed Placements

- Prohibits commitment to DJS for misdemeanors and technical violations of probation, except firearm offenses.

Commonly Used Acronyms

ACA	American Correctional Association
ADP	Average Daily Population
AIM	Accountability Incentives Management
ALOS	Average Length of Stay
ARIMA	Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average
ART®	Aggression Replacement Training
ASSIST	Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools
ATD	Alternatives to Detention
AWOL	Absent Without Leave
BCDC	Baltimore City Detention Center
BCJJC	Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center
CBT	Cognitive Behavioral Therapy
CD	Community Detention
CDS	Controlled Dangerous Substances
CHHS	Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School
CINA	Child in Need of Assistance
CINS	Child in Need of Supervision
CJAMS	Child, Juvenile, and Adult Management System
CJIS	Criminal Justice Information System
CJJA	Council of Juvenile Justice Administrators
CMS	Case Management Specialist
CMSS	Case Management Specialist Supervisor
COMAR	Code of Maryland Regulations
COP	Certificate of Placement
COV	Crime of Violence
CRC	Central Review Committee
CTM	Comprehensive Treatment Model
CYDC	Cheltenham Youth Detention Center
CYPM	Crossover Youth Practice Model
DBT	Dialectical Behavioral Therapy
DDA	Developmental Disabilities Administration (part of MDH)
DHS	Department of Human Services (formerly DHR)
DJS	Department of Juvenile Services
DMC	Disproportionate Minority Contact
DPSCS	Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services
DRAI	Detention Risk Assessment Instrument
DRG	Data Resource Guide
EBS	Evidence-Based Services
EM	Electronic Monitoring
ERC	Evening Reporting Center
FAFP	For Authorization of Formal Petition
FCT	Family Centered Treatment

FFT	Functional Family Therapy (an EBS)
FY	Fiscal Year
GPS	Global Positioning System
ICFA	Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions
IDT	Intake Decision Tool
JDAI	Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative
JJMU	Juvenile Justice Monitoring Unit
JSEP	Juvenile Services Education Program
LESCC	Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center
MAST	Multi-Disciplinary Assessment Staffing Team
MCASP	Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning
MDH	Maryland Department of Health (formerly DHMH)
METS	Maryland Evaluation & Treatment Services (formerly SMART)
MLDS	Maryland Longitudinal Data System
MSDE	Maryland State Department of Education
MST	Multisystemic Therapy (an EBS)
OEI	Office of Equity and Inclusion
OJJDP	Office of Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention
OPD	Office of the Public Defender
PBIS	Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports
PREA	Prison Rape Elimination Act
QRTP	Qualified Residential Treatment Program
RA	Resident Advisor
RAI	Risk Assessment Instrument
RIAL	Racial Impact Assessment Lens
RICA	Regional Institute for Children and Adolescents
RRI	Relative Rate Index
RTC	Residential Treatment Center
RTSP	Residential Treatment Service Plan
SAB	State Advisory Board (DJS)
SAO	State's Attorney's Office
SHI	Social History Investigation
SOS	Spotlight on Schools Program
START	Social Skills Training & Aggression Replacement Techniques
TAMAR-Y	Trauma, Addiction, Mental Health, and Recovery - Youth
TFC	Treatment Foster Care
TGH	Therapeutic Group Home
TIC	Trauma-Informed Care
TSP	Treatment Service Plan
VOP	Violation of Probation
WMCC	Western Maryland Children's Center

Terms and Concepts

Absent Without Leave (AWOL): A condition describing a youth who absconds from a non-secure residential program.

Accountability Incentives Management (AIM): A structured statewide system of responses to deter negative behaviors and encourage positive behaviors of youth under court-ordered community supervision. AIM seeks to reduce technical violations of supervision by ensuring certain, fair, and immediate responses to youth behavior to promote successful completion of supervision.

Adjudicatory Hearing: A proceeding before a juvenile judge or magistrate to determine whether allegations made against a youth are true. If the allegations concerning the commitment of a delinquent act are found to be true, the youth may be adjudicated delinquent.

Admission: See definition for “commitment versus admission” and “placement versus admission.”

Aftercare: Supervision and individualized treatment services provided to youth in the community following discharge from a residential program. A youth is assigned an aftercare worker at the time of commitment.

Alternatives to Detention (ATD): Community-based program options that provide structured supervision and accountability of youth who would otherwise be detained pending adjudicatory hearings in the juvenile court.

ASSIST: The Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools used as the DJS client database from 1999-2022.

Average Daily Population (ADP): Daily population of youth averaged over the number of days in a given time period.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS): Average total number of days in residential placement between admission and release. Youth detained in more than one facility during a contiguous stay are counted as a single placement. For youth in committed treatment facilities who are temporarily quarantined in another facility, those days are “credited” to their original facility length of stay.

Case Management Specialist (CMS): DJS staff who provide case management services to youth in community and residential settings. Case managers provide supervision, develop treatment plans, link youth with necessary resources and services, monitor progress, and modify treatment plans as needed.

Central Review Committee (CRC): A committee that convenes weekly to hear case reviews of youth at risk of removal from a committed treatment placement, direct changes in the youths’ provision of services, and make youth placement transfer decisions. Members include the Directors of the Behavioral Health and Resource Offices, the Executive Directors of Residential Placements and Community Supervision, and a representative from the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE).

Certificate of Placement (COP): The document that reflects a youth’s placement location, services, and authorizes service payment.

CHALLENGE: A behavior modification program focused on improving youth behavior and maintaining safety and security for youth in DJS detention facilities. It helps youth develop positive behaviors through a system of rewards and support and teaches youth to take responsibility for their behavior. In DJS treatment programs, CHALLENGE has been updated to incorporate PBIS principles and was renamed STARR in 2018.

Child in Need of Assistance (CINA): A youth who is found by the court to require court intervention, such as removal from the home and placement with a foster family or relative, because of abuse or neglect by a parent, guardian, or other person responsible for their care.

Child in Need of Supervision (CINS): A youth who is found by the court to need guidance, treatment, or rehabilitation because the youth committed an offense applicable only to children, is habitually truant, poses a risk of injury to themselves or others, or is ungovernable (See definition for “Ungovernable”).

Child, Juvenile, and Adult Management System (CJAMS): The main DJS case management information system scheduled to replace ASSIST in FY 2023.

Cognitive Behavioral Theory: A way of understanding the relationship between thoughts, feelings, body sensations, and behaviors and how that relationship impacts the lives of individuals. The theory holds that what we think, feel, and do affect each other and can sometimes keep us in unhelpful patterns, spinning or feeling stuck. Learning to identify our cycles and having skills to disrupt unhelpful cycles that keep us stuck gives us the ability to choose new ways of thinking or doing that help us move forward. Use of cognitive-behavioral theory in treatment has been shown by research to reduce violence, help people cope with trauma, anxiety, and depression, and ultimately prevent crime by changing the lives of youth involved in gangs, gun violence, and substance abuse.

Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT): A problem-focused, evidence-based form of treatment that puts into practice Cognitive-Behavioral Theory.

Commitment versus Admission: A commitment is a court order placing a delinquent youth in the Department's care, which usually results in placement into an out-of-home program, but in some cases services may instead be provided in home. An admission occurs when a youth physically arrives at a facility and is officially entered onto the facility's roster, and this normally occurs some weeks after the court order. A single admission to an out-of-home program could be the result of multiple commitments - a youth may be committed by more than one court, or be committed on multiple charges - and a youth may be admitted to several programs under a single commitment order. Thus, the number of commitments will not equal the number of admissions to treatment programs.

Community Detention (CD): A DJS program that monitors a delinquent child or a child alleged to be delinquent who is placed in the home of a parent, guardian, custodian, other fit person, or in shelter care, as a condition of probation or as an alternative to detention (ATD). Community detention often includes electronic monitoring (EM).

Complaint: A written statement made by any person or agency to a DJS intake officer which, if true, would support allegations of a juvenile petition.

Comprehensive Treatment Model (CTM): A data-driven policy developed to better match treatment services and length of stay to youth needs. CTM seeks to enhance the youth's experience within residential treatment programs and increase community success.

Crimes of Violence: Offenses designated as crimes of violence in Maryland's Annotated Code (see Appendix K for more detail). Includes primarily felony offenses, but also some misdemeanors.

Crossover Youth Practice Model (CYPM): A program to coordinate casework of youth who are dually involved in both the juvenile justice system and the child welfare system and foster increased communication and collaboration between the staff members of DJS and local Department of Social Services. DJS partnered with Georgetown University to implement the CYPM in Prince George's County in 2015. Since then, the model is being slowly rolled out in other Maryland counties.

Delinquent Act: An act which would be a crime if committed by an adult.

Delinquent Child: A child who has committed a delinquent act and requires guidance, treatment, or rehabilitation.

Detention: The temporary care of respondents who, pending court dispositions and other hearings, require secure custody for the protection of themselves or the community, in physically restricting facilities or circumstances. A youth may be detained if a court determines that they might fail to appear for a future hearing, continue to break the law, or pose a threat to the public or themselves. Detention may be utilized pending a court hearing or after disposition. A youth's detention status is required to be reviewed every 14 days.

Detention Hearing: A court proceeding to determine whether a youth shall be placed in or continue in detention.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI): An assessment of a youth's risk to reoffend and/or fail to appear for future court dates used to guide whether the youth should be detained, placed in a detention alternative, or released to a parent/guardian.

Dialectical Behavioral Therapy (DBT): A evidence-based, cognitive behavioral intervention that helps individuals learn how to better manage negative emotions, reduce impulsive and other negative behaviors, improve relationships, and improve the quality of their lives. DBT has four components: mindfulness, distress tolerance, emotion regulation, and interpersonal effectiveness and involves a combination of individual therapy, skills groups, and coaching.

Terms and Concepts (cont.)

Direct Care Staff: An employee whose primary duty is to provide direct supervision of youth.

Disposition: The action taken by the juvenile court that outlines whether the youth requires guidance, treatment, or rehabilitation and, if so, the nature of such assistance, e.g., probation terms or commitment. (Note: In adult courts, this is known as a “sentence.”)

Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC): A rate of contact with the juvenile justice system among youth of a specific minority group that is significantly different from the rate of contact for whites (i.e., non-Hispanic White) or for other minority groups (See RRI definition).

Diversion: A program or practice where the primary goal is to reduce the occurrence of juvenile delinquency by diverting a youth from the traditional juvenile justice system and providing an alternative to formal processing. Diversion programs and practices vary in terms of the juvenile justice contact point at which the youth is diverted and the types of services provided. Youth may be diverted by law enforcement before arrest, during DJS intake, or between adjudication and disposition.

Electronic Monitoring (EM): A statewide program providing close monitoring of youth in the community as an alternative to residential placement/detention. Youth wear an ankle bracelet that electronically monitors their movement and compliance to established location parameters. This may involve the use of global positioning systems (GPS).

Escape: Absconding from a secure DJS residential program (including Youth Centers) or detention facility (including Community Detention).

Evening Reporting Center (ERC): A program that serves youth as an alternative to detention. Youth are required to report daily to ensure the youth are monitored and get back to court for hearings.

Evidence-Based Services (EBS): Programs that have been found to be effective based on the results of rigorous evaluations.

Family Centered Treatment (FCT): A trauma treatment model of home-based therapy designed for families who are at risk of dissolution or in need of reunification. It is also designed to serve youth who move among the child welfare, behavioral health, and juvenile justice systems. During treatment, FCT practitioners aim to help families identify their core emotional issues, identify functions of behaviors in a family systems context, change the emotional tone and behavioral interaction patterns among family members, and develop secure relationships by strengthening attachment bonds.

Family Engagement: A collaborative relationship between families and DJS throughout the youth’s involvement in the system.

Family Peer Support Initiative: A program that connects DJS youth and families at any stage of DJS contact with a family peer support specialist who has had previous experience with the juvenile justice system. Family peer support specialists help guide a family through the juvenile justice process, connect families to community-based services, and increase family empowerment. The program began in 2018 in Baltimore City and Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Prince George’s, and Wicomico counties.

Family Therapy: A behavioral health intervention designed to identify and address family patterns and issues that affect the functioning of the family as a whole, and individual family members. These may include behavioral patterns and issues, mental health and substance use issues, patterns and styles of communication, as well as family transitions and changes.

Felony vs. Misdemeanor: In Maryland a crime is either a felony or a misdemeanor. Generally, felonies are the more serious of these two types of crimes. However, there is no clear line for determining whether a crime is a felony or misdemeanor based on the statutory maximum penalty associated with the offense. Unless specified in a statute or the offense was a felony at common law, a crime is considered a misdemeanor. Most statutes specify whether a crime is a misdemeanor or a felony. Common law crimes retain common law grades as either felonies or misdemeanors unless changed through the legislative process. The General Assembly may choose to label a statutory crime a felony or misdemeanor independent of the amount of punishment the statute provides. The General Assembly may also choose to change the status of a crime from a misdemeanor to a felony or a felony to a misdemeanor.

Fiscal Year (FY): The time period measured from July 1 of one year to June 30 of the following year. FY 2022 runs from July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022.

For Authorization of Formal Petition (FAFP): A decision by a DJS intake officer to authorize the State’s Attorney to petition the court for formal processing.

Functional Family Therapy (FFT - an EBS): An outcome-driven prevention/intervention program for youth demonstrating the entire range of maladaptive behaviors such as delinquency, violence, substance use, Conduct Disorder, Oppositional Defiant Disorder, or Disruptive Behavior Disorder. Flexible delivery of service is provided by one- or two-person teams to clients in home, clinic, school, juvenile court, community-based programs, and at re-entry from institutional placement.

Global Positioning System (GPS): A global navigation satellite system that provides location and time information for youth monitored with a global positioning system receiver.

Group Home: A residential program licensed by DHS, DJS, or MDH to provide 24-hour supervised out-of-home care for 4 or more youth providing a formal program of basic care, social work, and health care services.

Hardware Secure Facility: A facility that relies primarily on the use of construction and hardware such as locks, bars, and fences to restrict freedom.

Informal Supervision: See definition for “Pre-Court Supervision.”

Intake: The process for reviewing a complaint against a youth and determining whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction and whether judicial action is in the best interest of the public and/or the youth. During intake, youth and their family may be offered services. DJS has statutory authority to screen all juvenile complaints referred.

Juvenile Court: A division of the Circuit Court in Maryland.

Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI): A best practice model of the Annie E. Casey Foundation that addresses inappropriate and unnecessary use of detention and reduces the failures of juveniles to appear in court. A primary goal of JDAI is to reduce overcrowding in detention centers by safely maintaining youth in the community in detention alternatives without jeopardizing public safety or increasing the number of youth who fail to appear for court.

Juvenile Justice Monitoring Unit (JJMU): Administratively housed in the Office of the Attorney General, the unit investigates the needs of children under the jurisdiction of DJS and determines whether their needs are being met in compliance with State law. This includes evaluating the conditions of youth housing, reporting on treatment of and services to youth, and investigating allegations of child abuse.

Juvenile Justice Reform Council (JJRC): A council established by law in 2019 and authorized through 2022 that used a data-driven approach to identify recommendations to increase public safety and reduce youth recidivism. The JJRC included members from all three branches of State government, other key stakeholders, and national experts. Many of its recommendations were passed in the 2022 legislative session and implemented starting June 1, 2022.

Juvenile Services Education Program (JSEP): Operated by DJS since July 1, 2022, JSEP provides educational services to detained and committed youth in DJS facilities across the state. An appointed board that includes the secretaries of DJS and the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) sets policy for the JSEP. Prior to July 1, 2022, JSEP was a part of MSDE.

Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP): The DJS process that uses integrated case management to assess risks and needs of youth throughout their DJS involvement and develop interventions to accomplish the goals of public safety and youth rehabilitation. MCASP enables DJS to strengthen individualized service plans for youth and families and match them with appropriate services/programs, to track youth progress, and to ensure that each youth receives the level of supervision consistent with his or her risk to public safety.

Maryland Evaluation and Treatment Services (METS - formerly SMART): A web-based platform that provides key case management functions, including assessments, contacts, and treatment service planning in coordination with the DJS ASSIST system.

Terms and Concepts (cont.)

Maryland Longitudinal Data System (MLDS): A research data center established by State law in 2010 to allow research and policy evaluation using matched, de-identified data from the State education, workforce, and (as of October 2019) DJS data systems.

Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commissions (MPCTC): Under DPSCS, MPCTC is vested with the authority to set standards of initial selection and training for all governmental law enforcement, correctional, parole and probation, and juvenile services employees in the State of Maryland, and to otherwise upgrade the professionalism of these officers. MPCTC provides certification and ongoing training to DJS staff.

MDTHINK: Maryland's Total Human-services Integrated Network is a cloud-based platform allowing multiple State agencies to share and manage data. DJS will be joining DHS in participating in MDTHINK with the implementation of the CJAMS management information system to align with the Governor's data sharing initiatives.

Misdemeanor: See definition for "felony versus misdemeanor."

Multidisciplinary Assessment Staffing Team (MAST): A specialized regional diagnostic team responsible for assessing and evaluating youth who are detained and at risk of out-of-home placement, prior to disposition. Following an in-depth review, the MAST prepares security and treatment recommendations to the juvenile court. The MAST includes psychologists, social workers, community and facility case managers and supervisors, resource specialists, MSDE representatives, and individuals from other disciplines as needed.

Multisystemic Therapy (MST - an EBS): An intensive family- and community-based treatment program that addresses the serious anti-social behavior of juvenile offenders. The major goal of MST is to empower parents and youth with the skills and resources needed to independently resolve the difficulties that arise in coping with family, peer, school, and neighborhood problems. Intervention strategies include family therapy, structural family therapy, behavioral parent training, and cognitive behavior therapies. MST is a home-based model of service delivery.

Pending Placement: A temporary status for youth who have been committed to an out-of-home residential treatment program and are awaiting placement, including youth removed from a committed placement. Youth may be "pending placement" in a variety of settings including: detention facility, home, home with additional services, home under community detention and/or electronic monitoring, family shelter care, structured shelter care, acute care hospitals, or psychiatric respite care programs.

Petition: A formal written request filed by the State's Attorney's Office with the juvenile court alleging that a child is delinquent, in need of supervision (CINS) or in need of assistance (CINA).

Placement versus Admission: A placement is based on a decision made by an intake officer or judge to place a youth into detention or a treatment program. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Thus, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions.

Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS): An implementation framework for maximizing the selection and use of evidence-based prevention and intervention practices along a multi-tiered continuum that supports the academic, social, emotional, and behavioral competence of youth in DJS facilities. PBIS principles guide the provision of medical, behavioral health, education, and recreation services, as well as Trauma-Informed Care (TIC) and the Department's STARR program. Facility teams use data on youth behavior to evaluate the effectiveness of facility operations, and youth behaviors and progress.

Pre-Adjudication Coordination and Training (PACT): An alternative to detention program in Baltimore City that serves youth ages 14 to 17. It utilizes a youth development model and collaborates with participants, their families, DJS, and other partners to develop a plan to address the underlying issues which lead to anti-social or delinquent behavior.

Pre-Court Supervision: An agreement consented to by the youth and parent/guardian diverting the case from formal court proceedings. The term is up to 90 days and may be extended to 180 days for substance abuse and mental health treatment needs by DJS or as otherwise ordered by the court. Failure to comply with the supervision agreement may result in a formal petition being filed.

Probation: Court-ordered supervision of youth in the community requiring youth to meet court-ordered probation conditions (general and case specific), including, for example, school attendance, employment, community service, restitution, counseling, or participation in substance abuse treatment.

Qualified Residential Treatment Program (QRTP): A residential program that has a trauma-informed treatment model that does the following: a) addresses the needs, including clinical needs as appropriate, of youth with serious emotional or behavioral disorders or disturbances; b) implements the treatment identified for youth within the required 30 calendar day assessment of placement appropriateness; c) has registered or licensed nursing staff and other licensed clinical staff available on-site 24 hours a day, 7 days a week and; d) is accredited by any of the independent, not-for-profit organizations approved by the US Department of Health and Human Services.

Racial Equity: A condition that would be achieved if one's racial or ethnic identity no longer predicted, in a statistical sense, how one fares.

Recidivism: Subsequent juvenile/adult delinquent or criminal involvement of youth released from treatment programs or youth placed on probation.

Relative Rate Index (RRI): A measure of Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) with the juvenile justice system. It is a standardized tool used to assess the level of racial disparity introduced at each decision point (detention, court referral, etc.) For example, RRI compares the detention rate for white youth with the detention rate for youth of a specific minority group. (See Appendix M)

Re-entry: A broad term used to refer to the transition from out-of-home committed placement to community supervision. Generally, re-entry involves a plan to ensure youth are connected to school, employment, and continued treatment services when returning to the community.

Removal from Committed Placement (formerly called an ejection): A youth's removal from an out-of-home placement upon determination that the youth failed to comply with the rules and conditions of the program. Following a removal, a youth may require a new out-of-home placement. A youth may remain in detention pending a new placement.

Resident Advisor: DJS staff member who provides supervision, support, care, and monitoring of youth placed in DJS-operated facilities.

Residential Treatment Center (RTC): A mental health facility for children and adolescents with long-term serious emotional, behavioral, and psychological problems. RTCs provide intensive services and should only be considered when therapeutic services available in the community are insufficient to address a youth's needs. In addition to Maryland RTCs, DJS uses a variety of out-of-state providers, including RTCs funded through Medical Assistance, with rates set by the Maryland Interagency Rates Committee, and facilities that are not RTCs and serve moderate- to high-risk multi-problem youth. These are youth who may be exhibiting moderate psychiatric symptoms and aggressive behavior, or who have histories of unsuccessful/repeated placements and/or hospitalizations. Treatment models vary depending on the client focus of the program, but all provide individualized treatment plans, are comprehensive in services, highly structured, treatment oriented, and behaviorally focused.

Residential Treatment Service Plan (RTSP): A structured treatment planning tool to guide treatment services for youth placed in DJS-operated treatment programs.

Resolved at Intake: A determination by the DJS Intake officer that furthering the case by forwarding it to the State's Attorney's Office for formal processing or informal pre-court supervision would be disadvantageous to the interests of the youth and to public safety.

Restorative Justice: An approach that seeks to repair the harm caused by criminal behavior by involving the community in rehabilitating youth and holding them accountable for their behavior. It includes victim-offender mediation, conferencing, dialogue circles, victim assistance, restitution, and community service.

SafeMeasures: An analytic service provided by Evident Change that provides timely management reports and indicators to DJS case management, supervisory, and executive staff. SafeMeasures pulls data from existing DJS databases and creates visual indicators and reports to ensure that the agency's requirements for effective facility operations, case management, and supervision are tracked and met.

Safety Planning Initiative (SPI): An intense supervision initiative for youth in DJS care who are witnesses to, or victims of violent acts, or otherwise at risk of violence.

Seven Challenges: An evidence-based program for youth with substance use issues that combines process groups and journaling. Seven Challenges focuses on helping youth make thoughtful decisions about their substance use and lives, and commit to change, as well as supporting youth in their changes.

Terms and Concepts (cont.)

Shelter Care: Temporary, short-term (1-30 days), non-secure housing of youth who are awaiting court disposition. Shelter beds serve as an alternative to detention or other short-term circumstance where family or other housing is not available.

Social History Investigation (SHI - formerly PDI): The written study of a youth and his/her family that is presented to the juvenile court. A SHI emphasizes social and legal histories as well as the domain areas of: family functioning, substance abuse, mental health, somatic health, education, employment, and life skills.

Social Skills Training and Aggression Replacement Techniques (START): Based on Aggression Replacement Training (ART), which is an evidence-based, cognitive behavioral, group intervention for committed youth with chronic aggression, START focuses on developing youth's social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning.

Spotlight on Schools (SOS) Program: An initiative to place case managers onsite at certain key schools across the state. DJS Spotlight workers monitor and respond immediately to attendance issues, referrals for disruptive behavior, suspensions, and drop-out issues for youth under DJS supervision, and offer prevention and early intervention services to other at-risk students. In addition, SOS workers provide immediate, on-site intake services for students involved in delinquent activity.

Staff Secure: Residential programs where youth movement is controlled by staff supervision rather than by restrictive architectural features.

STARR Program: A behavior motivation program that incorporates PBIS principles and is focused on improving youth behavior. It is used throughout each treatment program and involves youth and staff. The STARR program teaches youth skills to address negative behaviors and emotions and develop positive behaviors through a structured reinforcement system that includes rewards, supports, and levels. Youth are taught to take responsibility for their behavior, and a safe, predictable, and positive environment is maintained within facilities. It accomplishes these goals by focusing on the following positive behaviors that are captured in the STARR acronym: Solve Problems in a Mature and Responsible Manner; Task Focused; Act as a Role Model; Respect Self, Others, Facility Property, and Rules; Responsible for my Behavior.

State Advisory Board: A Board that recommends policies and programs to the Secretary of Juvenile Services to improve State juvenile services. The Board helps plan development and use of resources, and helps inform the public of the Department's work. By law, it includes at least one youth member who was under the Department's jurisdiction as well as a parent or guardian of a youth who was under the Department's supervision. The 21 Board members are appointed by the Governor for 3-year terms.

Transfer/Reverse Waiver Hearing: A hearing in which a court with criminal jurisdiction over a case excluded by statute from juvenile jurisdiction waives it and transfers the child to juvenile court. This is permitted if it is "in the interests of the child or society." However, the court may not transfer a case of any child who (1) has previously been convicted of an excluded offense; (2) has previously been waived/transferred to juvenile court and adjudicated delinquent; or (3) is accused of first degree murder and was at least 16 at the time of commission. The law specifies various factors that must be considered in making a reverse waiver determination.

Trauma, Addictions, Mental Health, and Recovery for Youth (TAMAR-Y): A structured trauma education group that teaches youth to identify stress and trauma triggers. Youth also learn skills such as deep breathing and mindfulness techniques to address their reactions to stress and trauma triggers. TAMAR-Y uses a multimedia approach that includes videos to stimulate discussion, and incorporates expressive arts therapies that involve writing and drawing activities to engage youth and help them develop a better understanding of their experiences. Some of the topics covered in groups include: Understanding Trauma's Impact, Dealing with Triggers, Trauma and Addiction - How We Cope, Keeping It Together - Containment, Who I Am, and Connections.

Trauma-Informed Care (TIC): A service delivery approach that takes into account past trauma and the resulting coping mechanisms when attempting to understand behaviors and provide services. Trauma-informed care is a framework or a lens through which we recognize the prevalence of early adversity in the lives of youth, view presenting problems as symptoms of maladaptive coping, and understand how early trauma shapes a youth's fundamental beliefs about the world and affects his or her behavior. In trauma-informed counseling clinicians apply the principles of trauma-informed care by identifying youth's strengths and positive coping strategies in order to assist the youth in managing stress.

Trauma Specific Treatment (TST): Treatment services that address traumatic stress as well as any co-occurring disorders (including substance use and mental disorders) that developed during or after trauma. Trauma Specific Treatments directly address trauma related symptoms and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD). Trauma Focused-Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (TF-CBT) is an example of a trauma specific treatment.

Treatment Service Plan (TSP): A written document identifying treatment objectives, services, and service linkages that address the needs of the youth and family. It also examines the safety and appropriateness of the youth's placement, guides agency recommendations to the juvenile court for permanency planning (where appropriate), and monitors level of supervision and services required.


Under 13 (U-13) Initiative: A school-based intervention designed to provide support and services for juvenile offenders ages 12 years and younger through collaborative partnerships between DJS, Baltimore City/Prince George's County Public Schools, the local Department of Social Services, and other child-serving stakeholders.

Ungovernable: Being beyond the control of parents, guardians, or custodians or being disobedient of parental authority.


Violation of Probation (VOP): A hearing conducted by the court to determine if the conditions of community supervision have been violated. A VOP is considered a technical violation if it does not involve a new delinquent offense.

Youth: The preferred term for individuals under 18 years of age as used by DJS.

Youth Charged as an Adult: Juveniles can be charged as adults in certain sets of circumstances. If a child is 14 or more and commits a crime of violence, then the adult court has original jurisdiction. For youth aged 16 or 17, an adult charge is also possible for certain other offenses. (See Appendix N).



Section I: An Overview of the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services



Through the CHAMPS program, DJS youth participated in the Just Serve Initiative by creating handmade cards and postcards for seniors and patients in local area nursing facilities.

Introduction to the Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

The Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) is an executive agency charged with the responsibility of appropriately managing, supervising, and treating youth who are involved in the juvenile justice system in Maryland. DJS provides individualized care and treatment to youth who have violated the law or who pose a safety risk to themselves or others. In recent years, DJS has increased its emphasis on treatment appropriate to youth needs, especially evidence-based services, and has also bolstered diversion and alternative to detention (ATD) programs and services that show positive outcomes for youth and communities. Objective screening and assessment tools are utilized to manage youth with the guidance of data collected. DJS works with community and agency partners to achieve meaningful improvements to the outcomes of the youth served.

TREATMENT AND POSITIVE YOUTH DEVELOPMENT FOCUS

The Department focuses on strategies that treat youth's unmet needs, support positive youth development, and are known to limit reoffending. Current strategies include evidence-based services, pre-court supervision, alternatives to detention, and DJS is currently rolling out staff-led cognitive behavioral theory and a comprehensive model of treatment within committed treatment programs. Research-supported family therapy programs, which are evidence- and community-based, address the needs of youth to reduce further system involvement and out-of-home placements. These include Functional Family Therapy (FFT) and Multisystemic Therapy (MST). Pre-court supervision holds youth accountable for their actions while avoiding formal processing in the courts and also gives access to community-based supports and treatments. ATD programs such as Community Detention (CD) and Evening Reporting Centers (ERCs) allow youth to remain in the home or a shelter care program and to continue with school or work while maintaining community ties, support systems, or alternative care instead of being detained. ATD programs allow youth to be held accountable but remain out of detention, which is associated with positive outcomes.

OBJECTIVE ASSESSMENT TOOLS

Key case decisions are guided by objective screening and assessment tools. For example, DJS Intake Officers are statutorily empowered to screen juvenile offenses and determine which complaints should be referred to the State's Attorney's Office (SAO) for formal court petitioning. The Intake Decision Tool (IDT) identifies a youth's risk of recidivism based on tested and validated risk factors, and helps identify youth strengths and service needs. Implemented in July 2020, and a part of the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment and Service Planning (MCASP) process, the tool is intended to ensure equitable decisions that are developmentally appropriate, culturally proficient, and tailored to the risks, needs, and strengths of youth (See Appendix H).

The Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) evaluates if a youth should be held in secure detention, placed in a supervised detention alternative, or released while awaiting a court hearing. This helps ensure secure detention is reserved only for youth who pose a risk to public safety, to themselves, or who would not otherwise appear in court (See Appendix F).

If a youth has been adjudicated delinquent, the Department utilizes the MCASP Risk & Needs Assessment to identify the youth's treatment and security needs, which serve as the basis for the Treatment Service Plan (TSP) development. Using an objective instrument allows the Department to make better matches between youth and the course of treatment available within the continuum of care which ranges from in-home probation supervision with services, to community-based out-of-home treatment, and state- and privately-operated secure programs. All are designed to address youth needs and the factors that led the youth to delinquent behavior.

MANAGING WITH DATA

Data gathered from assessment tools, and other information collected by caseworkers, investigators, auditors, and facility staff, are used at all levels of DJS management. Reports are available to field staff and managers through the Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools (ASSIST), the Maryland Evaluation and Treatment Services (METS), and SafeMeasures reporting systems. Research and Evaluation staff regularly analyze data and generate reports for agency decision makers, the Governor's Office, and the Maryland General Assembly. This *Data Resource Guide* represents the most comprehensive report of the Department's aggregated data, and it is expanded each year as new data become available. Data are shown at the state, region, county, and program level, which is crucial for analysis because statewide trends can mask local trends. DJS is transitioning to a new case management system called CJAMS (Child, Juvenile, and Adult Management System), and expects its rollout to occur during FY 2023. Developed in partnership with the Maryland Department of Human Services (DHS), CJAMS is securely hosted on a state government shared platform. This will provide current technology to collect, analyze, and share data to improve business intelligence and better support not only DJS staff, but also fellow agencies, and Maryland communities.

PARTNERSHIPS

Throughout the State, the Department works with local government, law enforcement, courts, health departments, attorneys, and other stakeholders to keep youth in the Department safe and supported. Field staff make referrals to community-based providers who help meet the treatment needs of youth under supervision in the community. DJS is partnering with organizations such as Roca, Mentor Maryland, and Choice at UMBC to provide and improve services to youth.

Key partner State agencies include the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), which will continue to play a role in education services even as it transitioned staffing and programming to DJS, effective July 1, 2022. DHS and the Maryland Department of Health (MDH) collaborate with DJS at many levels to deliver services in the most effective manner. DJS also works closely with the Maryland State Police (MSP) and the Governor's Office of Crime Prevention, Youth, and Victim Services to ensure information is shared across agencies and public safety goals are met.

EQUITY AND INCLUSION

The Office of Equity and Inclusion (OEI) is a dedicated unit of agency staff who focus on youth outcomes and targeted reforms for specific youth populations distinguished by race, ethnicity, gender, sex, and sexuality. The DJS Racial Equity Framework involves a series of actions taken to examine and develop enhancements to policy and practices that may otherwise directly or indirectly yield racially disparate outcomes for youth and families of color. Initiatives include: extensive staff capacity building to engage in race equity dialogue and solutions; routine data collection and reporting on youth of color to help better understand inequity and to monitor progress of implemented equity reforms; use of a specialized equity lens analysis tool to vet agency policy and practice for specific impact on youth of color; and adoption of model practices that are proven or promoted to be effective for youth and families of color.

FAMILY ENGAGEMENT

DJS recognizes that family engagement is critical to positive outcomes for youth involved in the juvenile justice system. Keeping families engaged and informed throughout the legal process is a priority for the Department. The OEI works to ensure family members are knowledgeable about their loved one's detention, court orders, treatment, supervision, and re-entry into their communities and schools. It also promotes the active participation of families in the case planning process to support youth and reduce their risks for recidivism.

YOUTH VOICE IN FACILITY OPERATIONS

Youth Voice is a crucial part of improving facility programming, operations, and overall facility culture. In 2020, DJS launched roundtables for youth representatives from each detention facility and treatment program. Since then, 16 roundtables have been held with over 165 youth participants. Roundtables involve fun icebreakers, video presentations to highlight youth projects, and the opportunity for open dialogue with representatives from the education and recreation departments. In addition to the roundtables, DJS provides other opportunities such as advisory boards, focus groups, leadership positions, and surveys for youth to be involved and have meaningful inclusion in the development of services, programs, and policies related to the systems that affect them. Implemented Youth Voice highlights include: "What's next in my life?" panels with stakeholders; dietary improvement including alternative entrées, salad options, and cultural meal days; organizing a 5K race to raise money for breast cancer awareness; and updates for phone calls, mail, and notification of family death or illness policies.

FACILITY REALIGNMENT

In November 2021, DJS announced a major facility realignment based on current youth population, demographics, and a desire to locate facilities closer to the communities where youth live. The realignment calls for the closure of the Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center, the Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center, Mountain View, and the Green Ridge Youth Center. The Department will construct a new co-ed treatment facility and expand the existing Cheltenham Youth Detention Center.

Not only will these moves better support agency needs, but they will also modernize the facilities, reduce the Department's footprint, and ultimately keep more youth closer to their families and other community support systems. All staff affected by this realignment will have the opportunity to transfer into other critical roles within the Department, including residential and community-based positions. Additionally, the realignment provides DJS access to a larger and more diverse labor pool for future recruitment.

Proposed Realignment:

- Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center – closed March 2, 2022
- Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center – closure FY 2025
- Mountain View (6 beds) and Green Ridge Youth Center – closure FY 2027
- New Girls Detention Wing at the Cheltenham Youth Detention Facility – opening FY 2025
- New Treatment Center on the Cheltenham Campus – opening FY 2027

DJS Strategic Plan

MISSION OF THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES (DJS)

By law, DJS is a child-serving agency responsible for assessing the individual needs of referred youth and providing intake, detention, probation, commitment, and aftercare services.

DJS collaborates with youth, families, schools, community partners, law enforcement, and other public agencies to coordinate services and resources to contribute to safer communities.

Vision

Successful Youth, Strong Leaders, Safer Communities

DJS Goals

1. Improve positive outcomes for justice-involved youth.
2. Only use incarceration when necessary for public safety.
3. Keep committed and detained youth safe while delivering services to meet youth needs.
4. Ensure a continuum of care for justice-involved youth that is age- and developmentally appropriate.
5. Build, value, and retain a diverse, competent, and professional workforce.
6. Enhance the quality, availability, and use of technology to improve services for staff, youth, and families.

DJS Values

- The safety of the citizens of Maryland and the fair, safe, and humane treatment for all youth in our care
- The families, communities, stakeholders, and staff who support positive change in our youth
- The experience, expertise, diversity, and integrity of our staff
- Fairness and cultural competence regardless of race, ethnicity, gender, sexual identity, or religion
- Creating opportunities for youth and families to promote positive growth and development
- A community-based approach with the least restrictive appropriate interventions
- Continuous improvement and learning in all individuals
- Excellence, innovation, and quality practices based on data and research
- Change for the growth opportunities it brings
- Accountability
- Collaboration and teamwork

Re-Entry Strategic Plan Goals

The DJS Strategic Re-Entry Plan was completed in collaboration with agency stakeholders, including the Judiciary, Office of the Public Defender, State's Attorney's Office, service providers, the advocacy community, and many levels of DJS staff. The plan provides a framework of goals, objectives, measures, and strategies to ensure that youth returning from treatment can successfully transition to life in their home communities. Objectives focus on transition planning, family engagement, school re-enrollment, and connecting youth to community service providers and work opportunities. The plan resulted in the creation of regional re-entry specialist and family engagement positions, ensuring comprehensive discharge planning through the use of checklists, collecting key performance data both prior to and after release, and using performance reports to ensure accountability and measure success.

- Goal 1: Reduce recidivism by providing supervision to all youth returning home from committed care.
- Goal 2: Engage families of committed youth at all key case planning decision points.
- Goal 3: Connect all committed youth needing educational services to local education resources.
- Goal 4: Connect all youth to local employment services and resources.
- Goal 5: Connect all youth in need of behavioral or somatic health services to local resources to provide continuity of care.





Bar Chart
2022

```
graph TD
    Sec[Secretary] -.-> AG[Office of the Attorney General]
    Sec --> ED[Executive Director - Education]
    Sec --> LP[Office of Legislation & Policy]
    Sec --> CS[Chief of Staff]
    Sec --> S[Minority Business Enterprise]
    ED --> DSE[Deputy Secretary Support Services]
    ED --> DCO[Deputy Secretary Community Operations]
    ED --> DRO[Deputy Secretary Residential Operations]
    DSE --> RES[Research & Evaluation]
    DSE --> IT[Information Technology]
    DSE --> BFS[Budget & Fiscal Services]
    DSE --> CPB[Capital Planning & Budgeting]
    DSE --> FOM[Facility/Office Maintenance]
    DSE --> GS[General Services]
    DSE --> HR[Human Resources]
    DSE --> PTEU[Professional Training & Education Unit]
    DSE --> P[Procurement]
    DCO --> EDO1[Executive Director - Community Operations]
    DCO --> EDO2[Executive Director - Resource Office]
    DCO --> EDO3[Executive Director - Strategic Initiatives]
    DCO --> EDO4[Director - Education/Transition]
    DCO --> EDO5[Executive Director - Residential]
    DCO --> EDO6[Executive Director - Health Services]
    DCO --> EDO7[Managing Director of BCJIC]
    DCO --> PSC[Program Services - Volunteer Coordinator]
    EDO1 --> R1[1st Region: Dorchester, Somerset, Worcester]
    EDO1 --> R2[2nd Region: Cecil, Kent, Queen Anne's, Talbot]
    EDO1 --> R3[3rd Region: Baltimore County, Harford]
    EDO1 --> R4[8th Region: Baltimore City]
    EDO2 --> R5[4th Region: Allegany, Garrett, Washington]
    EDO2 --> R6[5th Region: Anne Arundel, Carroll, Howard]
    EDO2 --> R7[6th Region: Frederick, Montgomery]
    EDO2 --> R8[7th Region: Calvert, Charles, Prince George's, St. Mary's]
    EDO3 --> PM[Program Manager - Roca Rewire]
    EDO3 --> IC[Interstate Compact]
    EDO4 --> BJC[Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center]
    EDO4 --> WM[CWestern Maryland Children's Center]
    EDO4 --> LESC[Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center]
    EDO4 --> ANCC[Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center]
    EDO4 --> CYDC[Cheltenham Youth Detention Center]
    EDO4 --> CHHS[Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School]
    EDO4 --> T[Transportation]
    EDO5 --> GRJC[Green Ridge Youth Center]
    EDO5 --> VC[CVictor Cullen Center]
    EDO5 --> GCC[Garrett County Children's Center]
    EDO5 --> BMC[B Backbone Mountain Youth Center]
    EDO5 --> CHPS[CHAMPS Program]
    EDO6 --> HSA[Health Services Administrator]
    EDO6 --> N[Nursing]
    EDO6 --> FNS[Food & Nutritional Services]
    EDO6 --> SHP[Special Health Programs]
    EDO6 --> MD[Medical Director]
    EDO7 --> BHD[Behavioral Health Director]
    EDO7 --> PS[Psychology Services]
    EDO7 --> SWS[Social Worker Services]
    EDO7 --> SAS[Substance Abuse Services]
```

DJS Operating Expenditures, FY 2022

During the fiscal year ending June 30, 2022, the Department expended a total of \$254.0 million. The first pie chart reflects the major cost centers for which these funds were expended, and the second shows the expenditures by major object group. See Appendices D and E for additional information on facility costs.



Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

Juvenile Justice in Maryland – A Historical Evolution

1800s

- 1830: Maryland Legislature passed “An Act to Establish a House of Refuge for Juvenile Delinquents” that created for the first time an authority to provide “troubled children” with homes, education, and job training.
- 1850: House of Refuge opened.
- 1850-1882: Maryland built four “reform schools” for young people, governed by private boards and segregated by race and sex.
- 1866: Maryland Industrial School for Girls opened, operated under various names, finally as the Montrose School, and closed in 1988.
- 1870: House of Reformation & Instruction for Colored Children opened. It operated under various names, was renamed to the Cheltenham Youth Facility in 1992 and became Cheltenham Youth Detention Center in 2016.
- 1882: Industrial Home for Colored Girls opened. It merged with Montrose School for Girls in 1962.

1910-1918

- House of Refuge (opened in 1850) became Maryland School for Boys (1910) and in 1918 became the Maryland Training School for Boys.

1922

- State Department of Education operated the “training schools.”

1943

- State Department of Public Welfare, Bureau of Child Welfare, Division of Institutions operated the “training schools.”

1960s

- 1966-1969: State Department of Juvenile Services became the central coordinating agency for juvenile investigation, probation and aftercare services, and for State juvenile, diagnostic, training, detention, and rehabilitation institutions.
- 1967: State Department of Juvenile Services assumed administrative responsibilities for all State children’s centers and boys’ “forestry camps.”
- 1968: Patterson House (Group Home for Girls) opened. It closed in 1992.
- 1969: Department of Health and Mental Hygiene organized the Juvenile Services Administration to administer all schools, youth detention centers, forestry camps, and probation/aftercare programs.

1970s

- 1970: Alfred D. Noyes Children’s Center opened in Montgomery County.
- 1972: Group Home for Boys opened; it became the William Donald Schaefer House in 1992. It closed in 2016.
- 1972: Maryland Youth Residence Center (MYRC) opened. It closed in 2007.

1980s

- 1982: J. DeWeese Carter Center opened.
- 1985: Maryland Training School for Boys became the Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School (CHHS).
- 1987: Juvenile Services Administration became an independent agency.
- 1988: The Montrose School closed.
- 1989: The Department of Juvenile Services became a cabinet-level department.

1990s

- 1992: Victor Cullen Academy, which was a former tuberculosis sanatorium, opened.
- 1992: Boys’ Village of Maryland was renamed to Cheltenham Youth Facility (CYF).
- 1999: In November, DJS replaced its existing mainframe application, Information System for Youth Services (ISYS), with a client-server system referred to as the Automated Statewide System of Information Support Tools (ASSIST).

2002

- Victor Cullen Academy closed. (It reopened as the Victor Cullen Center in 2007.)
- HB 1081 - Required the establishment of a community detention program.
- HB 1011 - Required the Department to operate the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center (BCJJC) as a centralized regional juvenile justice intake, assessment, court, and detention facility for Baltimore City and specified the powers and duties related to the Center.
- HB 962 - Authorized the juvenile court to adopt a treatment service plan recommended by the Department in making a disposition on a specified petition, and required DJS to ensure that a plan adopted by the court was implemented within 25 days after disposition.

2003

- Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center opened in October.
- Under Education Article §22-305, the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) began to assume responsibility for educating youth in DJS facilities. This was completed in 2013.

2004

- Day/Evening Reporting Center in Baltimore City opened in December.
- SB 767 - Required that a child discharged from a committed residential placement receive step-down aftercare according to specified parameters.

2005

- Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School ceased operations as a committed facility in July and remained as a detention facility.
- HB 1339 - Established a Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program in Baltimore City and County.

2007

- Victor Cullen Center reopened in July.
- Maryland Youth Residence Center closed in October.
- SB 359 - Reorganization and Regionalization required DJS to serve youth with specified programming that delivered services on a regional basis.

2008

- Group Home Reform - Keeping Maryland Youth in Maryland Per Diem Reduction Initiative.
- Violence Prevention Initiative (VPI), focused on intensive supervision for high-risk youth, began in Baltimore City in January and statewide in November.
- Day/Evening Reporting Center opened in Prince George's County in November.
- SB 742 - Provided that all contracted residential child care programs must post a "Residents' Bill of Rights" in the facility and provide residents and their parents/guardians a handbook of the policies of the provider.

2009

- Collaboration with Operation Safe Kids (OSK) to serve VPI youth in Baltimore City and Prince George's County.
- Silver Oak Academy opened as a private provider serving only DJS youth.

2010

- HB 1382 - Allowed DJS to share juvenile information and collaborate with juvenile justice agencies in the District of Columbia and Virginia.

Juvenile Justice in Maryland – A Historical Evolution (continued)

2011

- SB 62 - Authorized the State Department of Education and the Department of Juvenile Services to share educational records when necessary to ensure the appropriate delivery of services.
- HB 1190 - Expanded the Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program to include Cecil, Montgomery, and Prince George's Counties.
- The committed female treatment youth moved from Thomas J.S. Waxter Facility to J. DeWeese Carter Center in November.

2012

- SB 245 - Allowed DJS to begin to develop a continuum of care by granting the agency the ability to move youth between committed placements.
- Central Review Committee was formed to implement the terms of SB 245 and commenced its operation in July. Legislation expired in 2016, but the committee maintained the function of reviewing cases.
- The Tier I Human Sex Trafficking Screening Tool was adopted at the Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center in March to screen for victims of human sex trafficking and was expanded to the Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center in December.

2013

- HB 245 - Expanded the Department's ability to share juvenile information and collaborate with juvenile justice agencies in Delaware, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia.
- MSDE completed assumption of responsibility for educating youth in all DJS facilities.
- Under-13 (U-13) Initiative commenced in Baltimore City in May.
- DJS employees received training on recognizing the signs of human sex trafficking victimization among youth involved in the juvenile justice system.

2014

- The Department began screening for victims of human sex trafficking at the Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School in March.
- The U-13 Initiative expanded to Prince George's County in May.

2015

- CrossoverYouth Practice Model (CYPM) Initiative began in Prince George's County in April with the intention of expanding statewide over a multi-year implementation.
- Accountability Incentives Management (AIM) - DJS Graduated Responses Initiative was implemented statewide in July.
- SB 172 - Required eligible youth who were charged as adults and required detention to be held in juvenile detention facilities.

2016

- DJS Re-entry Strategic Plan was approved in January.
- Offices of Family Engagement and Equity and Systems Reform were created in February.
- William Donald Schaefer House was closed on May 26, 2016.
- VPI ended at the close of FY 2016.
- On November 17, 2016, the new Cheltenham Youth Detention Center opened with a capacity of 72 beds, replacing the 115-bed Cheltenham Youth Facility.
- Federal Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) audits were completed, and all DJS-operated facilities were found 100% compliant with standards.

2017

- Behavioral Health Diversion Initiative (BHDI) pilot, an initiative to screen and divert youth for mental health services funded by the Annie E. Casey Foundation, was implemented in Baltimore City and Wicomico County.

2018

- Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) was implemented in DJS committed facilities in January.
- Family Peer Support Initiative was implemented in September in Baltimore City and Prince George's, Baltimore, Anne Arundel, and Wicomico Counties to link youth and families involved in the juvenile justice system to community resources.
- Savage Mountain Youth Center reopened as a hardware secure facility with enhanced educational and therapeutic programming.
- DJS began screening for victims of human sex trafficking at Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center and Cheltenham Youth Detention Center.

2019

- American Correctional Association (ACA) national accreditation was awarded to Victor Cullen Center on January 12 and J. DeWeese Carter Center on August 3.
- HB 606 - Established the Juvenile Justice Reform Council for two years.
- SB 704 - DJS joined as a partner in the Maryland Longitudinal Data System (MLDS) for research and evaluation.

2020

- The J. DeWeese Carter Youth Facility for females was closed on June 30, 2020. The youth were moved to the new Mountain View program for females on the grounds of Backbone Mountain Youth Center. The Mountain View Program was officially opened on June 19, 2020.
- Meadow Mountain Youth Center closed on June 30, 2020.
- Savage Mountain Youth Center was renamed Garrett Children's Center on June 3, 2020.
- COVID-19 Overview (see page vi for additional COVID information):
 - Governor Hogan declared a state of emergency and the existence of a catastrophic health emergency on March 3, 2020.
 - Governor Hogan moved Maryland to Elevated Level 2 pandemic response, which included telework for state employees on March 12, 2020.
 - On March 14, 2020, Savage Mountain Youth Center closed. The youth were moved to Victor Cullen Center, and staff were reassigned to Victor Cullen Center and the other youth centers. Savage Mountain Youth Center reopened in June under new name (noted above).
 - On March 16, 2020, Maryland courts suspended normal operations, with courthouses closed to the public and juvenile court operations limited to detention hearings and certain emergency matters.
 - On March 20, 2020, J. DeWeese Carter Center was temporarily closed and youth were moved to the Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center. J. DeWeese Carter Center was reopened in May (prior to its permanent closure on June 30, 2020 as noted above).
 - On June 5, 2020, the courts entered the first phase of a gradual return to full operations. This initial step added arrangements, hearings without witnesses, treatment plan reviews, and non-contested hearings to the list of authorized juvenile court matters. Contested matters including adjudications and transfer/waiver hearings resumed on July 20, with the juvenile court reaching fully operational status on August 31.

Juvenile Justice in Maryland – A Historical Evolution (continued)


2021

- The Juvenile Justice Reform Council (JJRC) submitted its report to the Governor and General Assembly in January, and authorization was extended through June 2022.
- COVID-19 vaccination clinics were held for staff and eligible youth.
- SB 497 - Transferred the responsibility of implementing educational programming from the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) to the Juvenile Services Education Program (JSEP) Board within DJS.
 - JSEP Board convened its first monthly meeting on August 9, 2021.
 - The new Superintendent of JSEP began her duties in December 2021.
- Baltimore City launched the state pilot of Roca's Rewire Cognitive Behavioral Theory training and coaching for community supervision staff in May.
- DJS realigned its regional community structure to directly align with the Maryland Judicial Circuits, moving from six to eight operational regions on July 1, 2021.
- DJS participated in a Length of Stay (LOS) Policy Academy, where national experts and practitioners worked to develop clear policies to link risk, treatment dosage, and length of stay for youth in a committed treatment facility.
- Females were housed at Mountain View until December 22, 2021 when the facility was changed to a triage quarantine unit for Western Maryland treatment programs.

2022


- SB 691 - The reform legislation recommended by the JJRC passed and was implemented June 1. (See pages xi-xii for complete detail).
- DJS assumed full responsibility for implementing juvenile educational programming from the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) on July 1, 2022. The JSEP Board sets policy for the program, while a Board-appointed superintendent implements educational services to DJS youth.
- In partnership with the Roca Impact Institute, DJS received a grant of nearly \$1 million from OJJDP to integrate Rewire Cognitive Behavior Theory as part of an agency-wide juvenile probation transformation effort.
- Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center closed on March 2, 2022.
- Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center reopened on March 2, 2022.

Department of Juvenile Services Historical Evolution Highlights Since 2011



Maryland Juvenile Justice System

Process Flowchart



STEPS IN THE JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM (SEE PROCESS FLOWCHART)

1. Youth can be referred to DJS by law enforcement, schools, citizens, and parents. Some police departments run diversion programs, and only those youth who fail out of the program would be referred to DJS. Youth may also be apprehended by law enforcement agencies (on a writ or warrant) for failing to appear in court or violating the conditions of supervision while in an alternative to detention program, for example. Youth who have been charged as an adult who are transferred to the juvenile system are also then processed by DJS Intake as a juvenile complaint.
2. If requested by law enforcement, DJS makes an emergency detention decision to determine if a youth requires secure detention until the next court day. This decision is guided by the Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI).
3. Guided by the Intake Decision Tool (IDT), the intake complaint is assessed by an intake officer, who has statutory authority to determine how the case should be handled. The options are:
 - Disapprove as legally insufficient - refer to services as appropriate;
 - Resolve, when it is determined that furthering the case would be disadvantageous to the interests of the youth and to public safety. Refer to services as appropriate;
 - Enter into a pre-court supervision agreement where the family agrees to certain conditions, including participation in treatment services as appropriate, without court involvement. This is the main DJS court diversion program; or
 - Formally authorize the State's Attorney to petition the juvenile court for a hearing.
4. At the detention hearing, the juvenile court determines if detention is required until the adjudicatory or dispositional hearing. Youth may also be detained directly by the juvenile court in cases where the youth is presented during court hours, either on a new charge, a writ or warrant, or due to a violation or sanction of a supervision order (probation, community detention, drug court, etc.). DJS operates all secure juvenile detention centers.
5. Youth who present a lower risk may also be supervised in programs providing alternatives to secure detention. These programs ensure that the youth is available to attend the adjudicatory hearing. Youth must comply with certain restrictions, which may include house arrest, electronic monitoring, or evening reporting centers. Structured shelter is also used for cases where the youth cannot return home after arrest but otherwise represents a lower risk.
6. DJS formally authorizes the State's Attorney to petition the juvenile court. The State's Attorney then reviews the complaint and may dismiss it, file a petition to the juvenile court, or return the case to DJS Intake for pre-court diversion.
7. At the adjudicatory hearing (a fact-finding hearing), the juvenile court determines the outcome of the petitioned charges, which can be sustained or not sustained.
8. While a youth is awaiting disposition and/or supervision or placement, DJS conducts a series of assessments and investigations which will guide the DJS recommendation to the court on how the case should be handled.
9. For cases where a charge is sustained, a disposition hearing is held to determine if the youth is delinquent. If the youth is found delinquent, the court determines whether the youth requires DJS supervision and treatment under a probation order or a commitment order. A commitment order usually indicates an out-of-home placement.
10. Youth with a court-ordered disposition of probation receive supervision and services while they reside at home. Supervision intensity varies depending on the risk level of youth. Standard community supervision levels include low, moderate, high, and intensive supervision.
11. Youth with a court-ordered disposition of commitment who require placement in an out-of-home program may continue to wait in detention (pending placement) until an appropriate placement becomes available.
12. Youth who are committed to an out-of-home placement may be placed in a broad variety of programs (state-run or private, secure or non-secure) depending on the risk level and treatment needs of the youth.
13. DJS provides committed diversion programs for youth who might otherwise be placed out of home. These in-home programs provide services and treatment to the youth and family, and include Functional Family Therapy (FFT), Multisystemic Therapy (MST), and Family Centered Treatment (FCT).
14. Youth returning home from a committed placement are supervised on aftercare by DJS case managers who ensure that youth are connected in the community with required services including education, employment, and health services.

Professional Training and Education Unit (PTEU)

The PTEU contributes to the agency's goal to build, value, and retain a diverse, competent, and professional workforce by providing opportunities for staff to enhance their knowledge, skills, and abilities. Through in-person and virtual training, PTEU's work is youth-centered and aims to equip staff so that residential and community service delivery to our youth is effective. PTEU trains staff at all levels of the agency, including Entry Level Training (ELT) for its newest employees, in-service professional development for existing staff, as well as supervisor and management training for its emerging leaders. Our training programs provide learning environments that encourage upward mobility within the Department.

The PTEU Certification Unit is specialized in and familiar with the various certification processes under the Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commissions (MPCTC) and has a comprehensive understanding of the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) training practices and performance-based standards. The Certification Unit ensures that all mandated DJS employees acquire and retain required certifications, tracks instructor certifications, maintains training records, and plays a critical role in the audit process to ensure the Department's compliance with COMAR and MPCTC requirements.

The Certification Unit also develops and maintains training curriculum. The development of curriculum is a multistep, ongoing, and cyclical process that progresses from conducting an analysis, to designing and implementing a program, and then finally to evaluating the program for effectiveness. PTEU sees curriculum development as a participatory process utilizing subject matter experts (SMEs), Curriculum Design and Development Teams (CDDTs), and a project team charter to help ensure agreement of both goals and processes in reviewing and/or developing curriculums.

The Entry Level Training Academy (ELT) is the first training experience for mandated DJS employees. This 5-week program includes a 12-hour grammar class taught by Carroll Community College that awards five college credits to students who successfully complete ELT. This provides a good foundation to support and encourage staff to pursue career aspirations with higher education.

On-the-Job Training (OJT) provides 90 hours of personal coaching and hands-on learning experiences from experienced staff after ELT is successfully completed. Through OJT, staff build confidence and proficiency in their ability to perform their job duties.

In-service Training provides annual offerings that ensure mandated staff maintain their MPCTC certification to work directly with youth, and non-mandated staff have professional development opportunities to maintain certifications and licenses required to complete their job duties. Through in-service training, DJS staff also receive additional certifications in Crisis Prevention Management (CPM), First Aid/CPR, and Youth Mental Health First Aid (YMHFA).

Supervisor Training Program is taking on a new format. In FY 2021, PTEU partnered with Virginia Commonwealth University (VCU) Performance Management Group to develop a three-tiered training curriculum. As of FY 2022, PTEU delivers:

- Tier 1 – Aspiring Supervisor Program (ASP) 4 days
- Tier 2 – First Line Supervisor Training (FLST) 6 days
- Tier 3 – Emerging Leaders Program (ELP) 8 days

First Line Administrator Program (FLAP) continues to be offered through the MPCTC. Per COMAR, mandated employees who are hired as or promoted to an Administrator position must complete the required FLAP training within one year of hire or promotion. PTEU collaborates with MPCTC to ensure DJS staff complete the requirement.


Training highlights during FY 2022:

- A reorganization of the unit aligned PTEU with DJS' new structure for Support, Community, and Residential Operations. A major paradigm shift for PTEU's operations was to adjust from a six- to an eight-region approach. PTEU also expanded its Certification Unit, which is now also responsible for the oversight and coordination of Curriculum Development, Basic Instructor Training, Supervisor Programs, and Mental Health First Aid.
- PTEU completed its work with VCU to redesign the Supervisor Programs. PTEU staff are now certified to deliver 360° Feedback Coaching and the Myers Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI®) during the 3-tier Supervisor programs.
- To support the Behavioral Health (BH) Unit's efforts to implement more trauma-informed approaches to working with youth, PTEU delivered the 16-hour Think Trauma: A Training for Working with Justice Involved Youth to Residential and Community Operations staff.
- PTEU continues to work harmoniously with core teams within DJS to develop training materials and launch implementations of the Comprehensive Treatment Model (CTM) and Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT) programs.



The third ELT class that graduated in 2022

Flow of FY 2022 Case Referrals*



In FY 2022:

- There were a total of 10,782 juvenile complaints processed by DJS.
 - 31.4% (3,390) of those juvenile complaints were referred to the State's Attorney for the authorization of a formal petition (as opposed to being resolved at intake or youth receiving pre-court supervision).
- Of the 3,390 juvenile complaints referred to the State's Attorney, 88.8% (3,009) were petitioned and resulted in a court disposition. The remaining 11.2% includes 7.2% not petitioned (244), 3.7% denied by State's Attorney (126), 0.3% initial petition withdrawn (11).
- Of the 3,009 court dispositions:
 - 22.3% (671) were pending disposition as of October 2022.
 - 30.0% (904) received probation and 10.3% (309) were committed to DJS.
 - The remaining 37.4% (1,125) included stet, jurisdiction waived to adult court, dismissed, closed, nolle prosequi, services not ordered, committed to other agency or "other". (See *Intake & Community Supervision, Case Forwarding Decision and Court Action table* for more detail.)
- Of the 309 committed court dispositions, there were 74.8% (231) committed admissions (172 distinct youth). The remaining 25.2% (78) include community commitments and youth who had already been in a committed placement prior to the new intake.
 - Of the 231 committed admissions, 57.6% (133) were placed into DJS-operated facilities, while 42.4% (98) were placed into non-DJS operated facilities.
 - Of the 98 committed admissions to non-DJS operated facilities:
 - 45.9% (45) were group home admissions (including ICFA and Silver Oak Academy) and 42.9% (42) were RTC admissions.
 - The remaining 11.2% (11) were out-of-state admissions.

* Many FY 2022 cases were pending court action at the time of final data collection for this *Data Resource Guide*.

Section II: Intake and Community Supervision

In observance of Memorial Day, Backbone Mountain Youth Center youth, DJS Cumberland County Field Office staff, and DJS Region 4 Allegany staff volunteered to place flags on the graves of our hero veterans at the Rocky Gap Veterans Cemetery.

Introduction to Intake and Community Supervision

The Department provides a continuum of services to youth in the community across multiple stages of the juvenile justice system. Youth enter the system at the point of intake. At this stage, DJS intake officers receive complaints from persons or agencies (e.g. private citizens, schools, victims, or law enforcement agencies) and assess whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction and whether judicial action is warranted. Community services staff supervise DJS-involved youth who have been placed on informal (or Pre-Court) supervision, community detention and electronic monitoring (CD/EM), probation, and aftercare supervision. Case management and supervision will be described in more detail below.

Reform Efforts

In FY 2022 the Department partnered with Roca Rewire to train and implement Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) principles in community supervision practices. Community detention, pre-adjudication and post-adjudication case managers will receive this training to provide them with practical skills to teach to youth about interrupting problematic thoughts, feelings, and actions in order to make positive change. Baltimore City (Region 8) and Baltimore County/Harford County (Region 3) completed training and are currently in the coaching and implementation phase of the CBT roll-out. The Department has secured a grant to train the remaining six regions over a three-year period.

Pre-Adjudication Services

The DJS Pre-Adjudication Services unit oversees statewide Intake and Community Detention Operations. The Intake unit is responsible for managing and monitoring a uniform statewide approach for the review of intake complaints. They ensure that appropriate assessments and reviews are conducted and that service referrals are made to promote the best interest of the child and public safety. Community Detention Operations oversees programs that serve as alternatives to secure detention for youth who have allegedly committed a new offense and are pending adjudication. These alternatives to detention (ATD) programs include:

- Community Detention (CD) without electronic monitoring, CD with electronic monitoring (EM), or CD with GPS monitoring
- Evening Reporting Centers (ERC) - Baltimore City, PACT Baltimore City, Prince George's County, Montgomery County, and Wicomico County

Intake decisions must be fair and equitable, despite the fact that systemic racism, biases, and prejudices can influence which youth are referred to DJS intake. In 2017, the Department began revising its policies and procedures to integrate best practices in the juvenile

Pre-Adjudication Services Reform Principles:

- Divert youth from court involvement when possible;
- Hold youth accountable for harm to victims and communities;
- Increase access to services and supports that address risk and needs;
- Increase opportunities for positive outcomes in school, workforce, and community;
- Ensure developmentally appropriate decisions;
- Prioritize restorative approaches;
- Foster positive youth development and resiliency;
- Engage youth and family in case planning; and
- Identify trauma and understand the impact of traumatic experiences.

justice field in conjunction with lessons learned based on data analysis and the experiences of youth who present at DJS intake. Specifically, the Department partnered with the University of Maryland School of Social Work to develop a validated, objective intake decision tool that would identify a youth's risk of recidivism, strengths, and service needs. This new tool, implemented in July 2020, is intended to ensure fair and equitable decisions that are developmentally appropriate, culturally proficient, and tailored to the risks, needs, and strengths of youth. Throughout the intake process, the focus is on positive youth development (recognizing and building on the strengths and assets of youth) and family engagement (actively involving the youth and family voice in all aspects of case planning) – both of which promote successful outcomes. Supported by the tool, the Department aims to divert youth from court involvement when possible and refer the youth and family to services when needed, including high-risk youth who may be safely supervised by DJS with interventions that reduce the risk of recidivism.



Allegany County Community DJS Office and the Allegany County Board of Education collaborated to develop a girls' group, "Lemons to Lemonade."

Intake

Intake officers review all delinquent and Child in Need of Supervision (CINS) complaints, citations, referrals for service, and peace order requests. Intake officers determine within 25 days whether the juvenile court has jurisdiction, and whether judicial action is in the best interest of the public or the child. DJS intake officers are authorized to: (a) disapprove a complaint as legally insufficient; (b) resolve the matter at intake with or without services; (c) propose an informal adjustment period (also called Pre-Court supervision); or (d) authorize the filing of a petition by the State's Attorney's Office. The Department is required to forward all violent felonies and handgun violation complaints to the State's Attorney's Office for review. The intake decision-making process may involve an interview with the youth, parent and/or guardian, and where applicable, the victim(s).

The intake decision is guided by the Intake Decision Tool (IDT - see Appendix H). This tool includes a newly validated version of the MCASP Intake Risk Screen, the tool used by the Department to guide intake decisions between FY 2010 and FY 2019. The validated risk screen incorporated into the new Intake Decision Tool generates

a risk score, which measures the risk of reoffending. Importantly, the new IDT collects detailed information on social history factors, e.g., school, use of free time, employment, relationships in the community, and family, that are used to identify service needs, as well as prompting the appropriate service referrals. The new tool also requires that the intake officer consider any aggravating and mitigating factors, as well as any service access considerations that may be barriers to youth obtaining services.

In addition to assessing the merit of complaints received at intake and making the case forwarding decision, DJS intake officers are responsible for determining whether youth who have been taken into custody require secure detention or may be released to a parent, guardian, or other responsible adult and, if so, under what conditions. Some youth are released to a caregiver without any additional restrictions or conditions. Other youth are released to a caregiver and required to participate in an alternative to detention (ATD) program such as Community Detention, ERC, or shelter pending their court hearing.

Intake officers are directed by statute (Md. Code, Courts and Judicial Proceedings, §3-8A-15) to authorize detention if deemed necessary to protect the youth or others, or if the youth is deemed likely to leave the jurisdiction of the court. Shelter care may be utilized for youth requiring care, but not in a secure setting. Additionally, shelter care can be utilized if a parent, guardian, or custodian is not available to provide supervision and care until the youth returns to court.

The decision to authorize detention is guided by a decision-making tool called the Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI). The DRAI is designed to provide an objective assessment of the probability that a youth will reoffend or fail to appear for future court dates. The DRAI was most recently validated in FY 2017, and most recently updated in December 2022 (see Appendix F).

Intake services are provided by the DJS regions during normal working hours (Monday-Friday, 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.). The Baltimore City Region provides 24/7 intake services and houses a centralized intake unit at the Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center, which provides statewide intake services during non-traditional work hours and/or holidays for all regions across the state.

Pre-Adjudication Services – Pre-Court Supervision (Informal Adjustment)

As discussed in the previous section, one option for a case received at DJS intake is to handle the case informally, without involving the juvenile court, through an informal adjustment option called Pre-

Court supervision. This is conducted under an agreement executed by the DJS intake officer that stipulates conditions of the supervision period; it requires consent by the youth, parent/guardian, and victim (where applicable). Approval by the State's Attorney's Office is required if the case involves a violent felony offense. For non-violent felonies, when the alleged offense does not involve the use of a handgun or threat to cause physical injury or bodily harm, after conducting an intake conference, DJS can proceed with Pre-Court supervision and does not need approval from the State's Attorney's Office. DJS must make reasonable efforts to contact the victim prior to proceeding with the Pre-Court supervision agreement.

Pre-Court agreements are tailored to the individual circumstances of the case, and they may include the payment of restitution, the completion of community service hours, as well as participation in specialized community-based services and counseling or treatment programs such as substance abuse treatment. Agreements are generally in effect for a period of 90 days; however, in some circumstances the period may be extended to 180 days to allow for the youth to participate in a substance abuse or mental health treatment program. If a youth fails to meet the conditions of the agreement, the DJS intake officer may elect to forward the case to the State's Attorney's Office for review.

Pre-Adjudication Services – Community Detention

DJS provides regional Community Detention services, with or without electronic monitoring, typically for youth whose cases have been forwarded to the juvenile court at intake. The program operates 24/7 and serves as the primary ATD. Community Detention allows youth to continue participating in community activities such as school or work, and it helps maintain community ties and support systems.

Community Detention supervision includes face-to-face and telephone contacts, as well as random unannounced visits at home, school, and work. The level of supervision depends on the assessed supervision needs of each youth and the court-ordered release conditions. Community Detention Officers (CDOs) are trained to monitor violations of court-ordered release conditions and to report any changes in a youth's home or school situation. CDOs work closely with the youth and family to ensure compliance with the program and intervene in accordance with the Department's graduated responses (AIM initiative) when addressing Community Detention violations. Youth who violate the conditions of release may be withdrawn from the program and placed in secure detention.

The electronic monitoring component provides an additional layer of supervision. Compliance with the program is monitored electronically

using an ankle bracelet worn by the youth and a receiver located in the youth's home. All electronic notifications related to the youth's schedule and equipment functionality are received in real time. The Command Center and assigned CDO carefully review the information to ensure that supervision violations receive proper intervention and follow-up. The Command Center, located in Baltimore City, is centrally operated and provides 24/7 staffing.

Post-Adjudication Services

The DJS Community Supervision unit consists of 30 local offices throughout the state that oversee the case management operations for youth under court-ordered probation and aftercare services. Community Services also includes the Resource Units, which assist in facilitating in-home and out-of-home treatment services for youth. After adjudication, efforts to divert youth from the deep end of the system focus on community-based treatment rather than out-of-home committed care. When youth are being evaluated for services as part of a pre-disposition social history investigation, or by a Multidisciplinary Assessment Staffing Team (MAST), a community treatment plan is part of the review to ensure that only the most at-risk youth receive out-of-home residential treatment. After disposition, DJS case managers will regularly review youth's progress and length of stay on probation, in placement, or on aftercare to ensure services are being delivered and are effective in moving the youth towards successful closure. DJS has moved towards a system of continuous case management, where – to the extent possible – a youth's case will be assigned to and managed by the same case manager for the duration of court-ordered supervision.

MAST is a specialized diagnostic team responsible for assessing youth detained pending court disposition and at risk of placement. The MAST includes a psychologist, social worker or professional



Youth enjoying a "last day of school bash" in Washington County.



Baltimore ERC youth visiting a construction project to observe workers in action while learning about budgeting, blueprints, and construction planning.

counselor, substance abuse counselor, community case manager, detention facility case manager supervisor, resource specialist, and a Juvenile Services Education Program (JSEP) representative. Following completion of assessments, a MAST or community staffing meeting is held, which includes the participation of youth and family. MAST completes an in-depth review of the case information, and the team develops and submits a report, which includes both security and treatment recommendations, to the juvenile court for consideration at disposition.

Probation

Youth who are adjudicated and found delinquent by the juvenile court may be placed on probation under the supervision of a DJS case management specialist (CMS). Probation is the most frequently used juvenile court disposition. A probation term requires youth to abide by general supervision conditions, as well as any special conditions imposed by the court. At the start of probation supervision (or in some instances, prior to disposition if ordered by the juvenile court), a Social History Investigation and report are completed by the assigned CMS. This report describes the social adjustment and circumstances of the youth and their family. The CMS also completes the MCASP Risk & Needs Assessment, which builds on the IDT but provides a much broader and more comprehensive assessment of individual risk, needs, and strengths. The MCASP Risk & Needs Assessment categorizes youth as having either low, moderate, or high need on each of the following treatment domains (which have been shown to influence risk for reoffending): (a) School; (b) Use of Free Time; (c) Employment;

(d) Community Relationships; (e) Family; (f) Alcohol and Drugs; (g) Mental Health; and (h) Attitudes and Aggression. See Appendix R for more information on MCASP Risk & Needs Assessment items.

The CMS uses the Social History Investigation and MCASP Risk & Needs Assessment to develop recommendations to the juvenile court and to create a Treatment Service Plan (TSP). A TSP is completed for each youth under court-ordered supervision and includes the recommended supervision level for the youth, specific goals for the youth and family to meet, and a statement of services to be provided to the youth and family. In developing the TSP, input from youth, parents and/or guardians, and service providers (as appropriate) is also solicited.

Aftercare and Re-entry

Aftercare supervision is similar to probation supervision in that the CMS performs many of the same supervisory tasks and relies on the same decision-making tools (e.g., the MCASP Risk & Needs Assessment). Aftercare services are provided for youth who have been committed to or released from the custody of DJS for placement outside the home, or for the receipt of in-home services in some jurisdictions. A CMS is assigned to the case upon commitment. Aftercare planning is initiated at commitment, before the youth is placed in a residential program. Plans are guided by risk and needs assessments, are updated according to youth's progress in residential care, and also include identification and monitoring of services needed upon release. Building on services provided in the residential placement, the CMS is also responsible for managing the re-entry process so youth and family are linked to services and possible continuation of treatment in the community. Youth's adjustment and compliance with any court directives is monitored. Additionally, a variety of programs are available to assist youth returning to the community, including independent living programs, transitional educational services, and employment programs (e.g., job readiness training, career exploration, and vocational training). As a step-down to community supervision, some youth are also monitored with GPS supervision. GPS may also be ordered by the court, or as a graduated response by a case manager for high-risk cases, and for youth on home passes from secure placement.

Accountability Incentives Management (AIM)

Instituted in 2015, the AIM initiative gives DJS case managers a structured system of graduated responses to deter negative behaviors and encourage positive behaviors of youth under court-ordered community supervision. AIM seeks to reduce technical violations of Community Detention, probation, or aftercare supervision by ensuring certain, fair,

and immediate responses to youth behavior that promote successful completion of supervision.


Interstate Compact (IC) Unit

The IC unit provides for the cooperative supervision of probation and aftercare youth who move from state to state, as well as the return of runaways, absconders, and escapees. A new compact, which includes all 50 states and territories (except Puerto Rico), was enacted in 2014 forming the Interstate Commission for Juveniles (ICJ). This

Commission governs each member state as to the provision of proper supervision or return of delinquent youth and status offenders on probation or aftercare who have absconded, escaped, or run away and, in so doing, have endangered their own safety or the safety of others. It is the responsibility of each member state to provide supervision and services to ICJ cases using the same standards that prevail for its own youth placed on probation or aftercare supervision.

For a detailed description of the DJS COVID-19 response and reforms, see page vi.

DJS Offices by County and Region for FY 2022*



* For additional information about the Circuit Court in each region, go to <https://www.courts.state.md.us/circuit>.

Total Statewide Activity

There are eight regions across the state that encompass 24 jurisdictions.

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	1.8%	4.6%	3.9%
Citizen	1.8%	1.8%	3.6%
Police*	92.7%	90.7%	90.3%
Violation of Probation**	3.7%	2.9%	2.2%
Total Complaints	14,893	7,100	10,782

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMEALED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	47.5%	47.0%	57.1%
Informaled	13.4%	11.5%	11.4%
Authorized Formal Petition	39.1%	41.5%	31.4%
Total Complaints	14,893	7,100	10,782
Court Action for Formealed Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	12.0%	10.4%	11.2%
Stet	9.5%	8.0%	10.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	33.3%	28.4%	20.3%
Pending Disposition*	5.6%	8.2%	19.8%
Services Not Ordered	1.7%	2.3%	1.0%
Probation	26.6%	28.0%	26.7%
Committed to DJS	10.0%	13.3%	9.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Other**	0.7%	0.7%	1.2%
Total Formal Complaints	5,830	2,948	3,390

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	2,701.2	1,669.9	1,384.6
Pre-Court	582.6	220.2	305.1
Probation	1,605.4	1,069.5	815.2
Aftercare	513.2	380.1	264.3
Committed-Aftercare	314.7	146.5	132.7

* Average daily active cases

• U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Statewide population (ages 11-17) increased 4.8% (from 530,962 to 556,355).

• Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 31.4% of complaints were formaled, while 11.4% were informaled.

• Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 27.6%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 39.1% to 31.4%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 24.7% were High risk, 34.0% were Moderate risk, and 41.4% were Low risk.

• Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 45.8 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.6 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 86.2 days.

• Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 17.0% to 12.3%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 12.1% to 8.8%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 60.5% to 71.1%.

• Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 26.2% (153) to 18.4% (57).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	62.9%	58.1%	62.0%
White	28.1%	32.9%	28.2%
Hispanic/Other	9.1%	9.0%	9.8%
Sex			
Male	71.0%	74.7%	70.0%
Female	29.0%	25.3%	30.0%
Age			
11 and under	4.8%	3.8%	4.1%
12	5.0%	4.5%	6.0%
13	9.5%	7.1%	10.1%
14	14.1%	14.3%	14.8%
15	18.8%	17.4%	19.3%
16	21.7%	20.4%	20.7%
17	23.2%	27.4%	20.9%
18-20	2.8%	5.2%	4.2%
Total Complaints	14,893	7,100	10,782

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	51.5%	52.0%	59.3%
Assault (Felony)	2.5%	2.2%	6.7%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	22.0%	18.9%	7.8%
Carjacking	1.4%	2.7%	7.8%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.3%	1.7%	1.1%
Handgun Violation	2.9%	10.6%	15.2%
Harassment	0.7%	0.3%	0.4%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Murder	0.4%	0.0%	2.6%
Other Person ⁺	12.1%	5.0%	2.4%
Robbery	3.7%	5.9%	10.4%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.9%	3.0%	3.2%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.4%	1.7%	1.1%
Property Offenses	29.5%	29.5%	22.7%
Arson	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.9%	3.4%	2.8%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.2%	2.6%	4.3%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.4%	2.5%	1.3%
Malicious Destruction	5.8%	3.5%	2.4%
Other Property ⁺	3.0%	1.0%	0.2%
Theft (Felony)	2.9%	2.9%	3.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	8.6%	13.0%	8.7%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.8%	2.4%	1.1%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.0%	1.1%	0.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.8%	1.3%	0.2%
Citations	4.8%	0.7%	0.4%
Alcohol Violation	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.4%	0.2%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.6%	0.5%	0.4%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.7%	0.2%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.2%	15.3%	16.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.5%	4.4%	6.7%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.6%	6.6%	1.7%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.6%	1.3%	3.5%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.4%	3.0%	4.5%
Total Offenses	18,815	1,151	462

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

** Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	12.3%	16.4%	44.0%
Felony	8.8%	15.3%	16.8%
- Person-to-Person	1.7%	4.1%	3.6%
- Property	5.5%	5.3%	4.9%
- Drugs	1.3%	1.2%	1.3%
- Unspecified	0.2%	4.6%	7.1%
Misdemeanor	71.1%	68.0%	39.2%
- Person-to-Person	49.8%	47.0%	23.6%
- Property	18.2%	16.4%	10.4%
- Drugs	1.6%	1.3%	0.3%
- Unspecified	1.4%	3.3%	4.9%
Ordinance Offenses	0.6%	0.1%	0.0%
Citations	4.9%	0.2%	0.0%
CINS	2.3%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Dispositions	10,782	904	309

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Female Statewide Activity

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	0.5%	1.8%	0.7%
Citizen	3.0%	2.7%	5.6%
Police*	94.4%	93.6%	92.5%
Violation of Probation**	2.1%	1.8%	1.3%
Total Complaints	4,326	1,793	3,230

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAELED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	60.7%	64.2%	72.0%
Informaled	14.0%	12.2%	11.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	25.3%	23.6%	16.5%
Total Complaints	4,326	1,793	3,230

Court Action for Formaeled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	13.1%	12.5%	16.7%
Stet	12.1%	11.1%	12.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	34.0%	30.7%	21.4%
Pending Disposition*	6.4%	9.9%	17.8%
Services Not Ordered	0.8%	3.1%	2.3%
Probation	27.1%	23.4%	25.3%
Committed to DJS	5.8%	8.3%	2.8%
Committed to Other Agency	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%
Other**	0.4%	0.7%	1.5%
Total Formal Complaints	1,096	423	533

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	548.6	294.1	247.3
Pre-Court	178.7	56.6	88.6
Probation	300.8	185.8	125.2
Aftercare	69.1	51.7	33.5
Committed-Aftercare	43.1	17.9	11.8

* Average daily active cases

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Female population (ages 11-17) increased 4.4% (from 260,592 to 272,180).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):*
 - 16.5% of complaints were formaled, while 11.5% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):*
 - Total complaints decreased 25.3%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 25.3% to 16.5%.
 - *Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):*
 - For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 21.7% were High risk, 29.0% were Moderate risk, and 49.3% were Low risk.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 37.6 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.7 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 85.0 days.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 7.4% to 4.2%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 7.0% to 5.0%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 70.0% to 80.4%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 37.5% (24) to 20.0% (3).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	63.7%	55.0%	61.7%
White	29.3%	38.1%	30.0%
Hispanic/Other	7.0%	6.9%	8.3%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	4.2%	4.1%	4.0%
12	6.2%	6.0%	7.8%
13	10.4%	8.0%	13.1%
14	15.1%	13.6%	16.3%
15	19.8%	16.4%	19.0%
16	21.1%	20.1%	19.3%
17	21.4%	28.1%	17.8%
18-20	1.9%	3.7%	2.8%
Total Complaints	4,326	1,793	3,230

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	60.1%	60.0%	62.1%
Assault (Felony)	1.7%	2.6%	6.9%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	33.5%	31.0%	41.4%
Carjacking	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.8%	0.6%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	3.2%	6.9%
Harassment	1.4%	1.3%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	19.1%	13.5%	3.4%
Robbery	0.9%	3.2%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.4%	2.6%	3.4%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.5%	1.3%	0.0%
Property Offenses	22.3%	25.8%	34.5%
Arson	0.6%	1.9%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.6%	2.6%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.9%	0.6%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.9%	5.8%	27.6%
Other Property ⁺	2.8%	1.9%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.6%	2.6%	3.4%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	7.6%	9.0%	3.4%
Drug-Related Offenses	1.9%	1.9%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Citations	5.4%	0.6%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.5%	11.6%	3.4%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.6%	0.6%	3.4%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.0%	5.8%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.7%	3.2%	0.0%
Total Offenses	4,808	155	29

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

^{**} Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	4.2%	7.4%	13.3%
Felony	5.0%	12.6%	13.3%
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	3.7%	6.7%
- Property	3.1%	5.9%	6.7%
- Drugs	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	80.4%	79.3%	73.3%
- Person-to-Person	62.0%	59.3%	66.7%
- Property	16.7%	14.8%	6.7%
- Drugs	0.9%	2.2%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	3.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	5.9%	0.7%	0.0%
CINS	3.8%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	3,230	135	15
% of Statewide	30.0%	14.9%	4.9%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Statewide Activity for Youth Under Age 13

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citizen	2.1%	2.2%	5.6%
Police*	97.7%	97.6%	94.3%
Violation of Probation**	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Total Complaints	1,466	589	1,081

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES*, FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	56.9%	61.6%	73.0%
Informed	17.5%	15.4%	13.2%
Authorized Formal Petition	25.6%	22.9%	13.8%
Total Complaints	1,466	589	1,081
Court Action for Formaled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	13.0%	14.1%	17.4%
Stet	14.1%	8.1%	7.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	35.1%	23.7%	26.2%
Pending Disposition*	6.9%	12.6%	34.2%
Services Not Ordered	1.1%	2.2%	0.0%
Probation	25.8%	30.4%	10.7%
Committed to DJS	1.9%	7.4%	2.7%
Committed to Other Agency	1.9%	1.5%	0.0%
Other**	0.3%	0.0%	1.3%
Total Formal Complaints	376	135	149

* Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	170.5	80.7	71.4
Pre-Court	69.5	22.3	34.2
Probation	80.2	46.8	28.9
Aftercare	20.8	11.6	8.3
Committed-Aftercare	0.5	1.0	0.1

* Average daily active cases

• U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Under13 population (ages 11-17) increased 4.0% (from 150,446 to 156,479).

• Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 13.8% of complaints were formalized, while 13.2% were informalized.

• Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 26.3%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 25.6% to 13.8%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 50.0% were High risk, 33.3% were Moderate risk, and 16.7% were Low risk.

• Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 34.5 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 23.0 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 104.8 days.

• Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 15.4% to 9.5%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 6.8% to 3.9%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 71.3% to 83.2%.

• Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 42.9% (3) to 25.0% (1).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS*, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	71.5%	59.9%	64.8%
White	25.4%	34.5%	29.6%
Hispanic/Other	3.1%	5.6%	5.6%
Sex			
Male	69.4%	69.3%	64.9%
Female	30.6%	30.7%	35.1%
Age			
8 and under	53	20	51
9	93	31	53
10	177	73	88
11	396	148	246
12	747	317	643
Total Complaints	1,466	589	1,081

* Data for age are not presented in percentages due to the small size of some categories.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	66.2%	41.7%	75.0%
Assault (Felony)	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	37.0%	12.5%	50.0%
Carjacking	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	4.2%	0.0%
Harassment	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	13.8%	16.7%	0.0%
Robbery	1.6%	4.2%	25.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	3.3%	4.2%	0.0%
Property Offenses	25.9%	41.7%	0.0%
Arson	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.2%	4.2%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.3%	25.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	2.8%	4.2%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	5.6%	8.3%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	0.9%	4.2%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.3%	4.2%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	3.6%	12.5%	25.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.7%	8.3%	25.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.2%	4.2%	0.0%
Total Offenses	1,591	24	4

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

^{**} Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	9.5%	6.2%	25.0%
Felony	3.9%	6.2%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.4%	6.2%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	83.2%	87.5%	75.0%
- Person-to-Person	62.9%	50.0%	50.0%
- Property	18.4%	18.8%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.4%	18.8%	25.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	2.4%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	1,081	16	4
% of Statewide	10.0%	1.8%	1.3%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Statewide Activity for Youth of Color

The data shown on these pages provide additional detail for youth of color (non-white youth).

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	2.3%	6.2%	4.9%
Citizen	2.1%	1.7%	3.7%
Police*	91.4%	88.6%	88.9%
Violation of Probation**	4.2%	3.4%	2.5%
Total Complaints	10,712	4,766	7,737

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	45.6%	41.7%	55.8%
Informed	11.8%	9.8%	9.7%
Authorized Formal Petition	42.6%	48.5%	34.6%
Total Complaints	10,712	4,766	7,737

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	11.1%	9.6%	10.4%
Stet	9.2%	7.8%	9.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	35.5%	29.4%	21.2%
Pending Disposition*	5.2%	8.6%	20.2%
Services Not Ordered	1.8%	2.2%	1.1%
Probation	26.1%	27.2%	26.3%
Committed to DJS	9.7%	13.8%	10.2%
Committed to Other Agency	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Other**	0.7%	0.6%	0.9%
Total Formal Complaints	4,567	2,313	2,674

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	2,052.1	1,275.6	1,040.2
Pre-Court	376.7	135.5	190.3
Probation	1,244.7	821.9	636.2
Aftercare	430.7	318.3	213.7
Committed-Aftercare	244.3	102.0	103.1

* Average daily active cases

• U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Youth of Color population (ages 11-17) increased 10.2% (from 294,323 to 324,302).

• Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 34.6% of complaints were formalized, while 9.7% were informalized.

• Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 27.8%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 42.6% to 34.6%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 26.4% were High risk, 34.3% were Moderate risk, and 39.3% were Low risk.

• Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 59.6 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.9 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 68.9 days.

• Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 20.6% to 14.4%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 14.2% to 9.6%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 58.9% to 70.9%.

• Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 25.7% (114) to 18.3% (50).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	87.4%	86.6%	86.3%
White	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	12.6%	13.4%	13.7%
Sex			
Male	71.5%	76.7%	70.8%
Female	28.5%	23.3%	29.2%
Age			
11 and under	4.9%	3.5%	4.0%
12	5.3%	4.6%	5.9%
13	9.8%	7.3%	10.0%
14	13.9%	14.7%	14.9%
15	19.3%	18.4%	20.1%
16	22.1%	20.6%	20.3%
17	21.8%	25.5%	20.4%
18-20	2.9%	5.4%	4.4%
Total Complaints	10,712	4,766	7,737

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	54.9%	53.4%	59.9%
Assault (Felony)	2.9%	1.8%	7.5%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	22.3%	18.1%	7.0%
Carjacking	1.8%	3.3%	8.7%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.5%	1.9%	0.7%
Handgun Violation	3.6%	13.0%	16.1%
Harassment	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.1%	0.0%	0.7%
Murder	0.6%	0.0%	2.6%
Other Person ⁺	12.9%	4.2%	2.6%
Robbery	4.6%	7.1%	11.3%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.8%	2.5%	1.7%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.2%	1.1%	1.0%
Property Offenses	28.5%	29.2%	22.8%
Arson	0.6%	0.4%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	3.3%	3.3%	2.2%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.0%	2.4%	4.6%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.0%	2.0%	1.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.0%	2.0%	2.6%
Other Property ⁺	2.7%	0.9%	0.2%
Theft (Felony)	3.4%	3.3%	3.4%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	8.5%	14.8%	8.9%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.6%	2.2%	0.7%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.0%	1.0%	0.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.5%	1.2%	0.2%
Citations	3.4%	0.4%	0.5%
Alcohol Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	1.7%	0.1%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.5%	0.3%	0.5%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.1%	14.6%	16.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.7%	4.5%	7.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.2%	5.9%	1.7%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.6%	1.5%	2.9%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.6%	2.7%	4.6%
Total Offenses	14,172	905	416

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

^{**} Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	14.4%	18.3%	47.6%
Felony	9.6%	15.3%	15.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.6%	3.4%	2.2%
- Property	6.4%	6.0%	4.8%
- Drugs	1.3%	1.0%	0.7%
- Unspecified	0.2%	5.0%	7.3%
Misdemeanor	70.9%	66.2%	37.4%
- Person-to-Person	51.4%	45.7%	22.7%
- Property	16.8%	16.5%	10.3%
- Drugs	1.3%	1.1%	0.4%
- Unspecified	1.4%	2.8%	4.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%
Citations	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	1.7%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions	7,737	704	273
% of Statewide	71.8%	77.9%	88.3%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region 1

Regional Director: Jennifer Wimbrow-Jenkins
Counties of: Dorchester, Somerset, Wicomico, and Worcester
1st Judicial Circuit

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	0.5%	1.3%	1.2%
Citizen	0.9%	1.0%	1.9%
Police*	96.1%	94.2%	94.6%
Violation of Probation**	2.5%	3.4%	2.3%
Total Complaints	1,765	1,077	1,413

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAELED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	61.6%	65.2%	74.3%
Informaled	8.2%	7.1%	4.8%
Authorized Formal Petition	30.1%	27.7%	20.9%
Total Complaints	1,765	1,077	1,413
Court Action for Formaeled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	14.8%	11.7%	16.9%
Stet	10.9%	10.1%	13.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.9%	1.3%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	36.5%	26.2%	19.3%
Pending Disposition*	4.5%	7.0%	15.9%
Services Not Ordered	2.4%	4.7%	0.7%
Probation	22.6%	22.8%	18.3%
Committed to DJS	6.4%	13.8%	14.6%
Committed to Other Agency	0.6%	1.3%	0.0%
Other**	0.4%	1.0%	1.0%
Total Formal Complaints	532	298	295

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	204.0	142.6	101.3
Pre-Court	42.3	20.4	18.8
Probation	127.8	100.1	66.3
Aftercare	33.9	22.1	16.2
Committed-Aftercare	21.8	11.2	19.3

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Region 1 population (ages 11-17) increased 3.5% (from 18,814 to 19,464).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 20.9% of complaints were formaled, while 4.8% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 19.9%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 30.1% to 20.9%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 32.9% were High risk, 37.1% were Moderate risk, and 30.0% were Low risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 26.9 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.6 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 87.1 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 7.9% to 4.9%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 7.3% to 7.1%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 59.8% to 74.0%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 50.0% (17) to 25.6% (11).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	67.4%	56.8%	65.5%
White	28.9%	37.6%	28.7%
Hispanic/Other	3.7%	5.6%	5.8%
Sex			
Male	62.8%	70.1%	62.8%
Female	37.2%	29.9%	37.2%
Age			
11 and under	9.9%	2.9%	4.7%
12	6.1%	4.9%	8.6%
13	12.0%	7.0%	11.1%
14	13.2%	14.5%	16.2%
15	16.0%	13.6%	21.3%
16	17.6%	20.9%	19.1%
17	23.0%	33.1%	17.3%
18-20	2.2%	3.1%	1.8%
Total Complaints	1,765	1,077	1,413

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	52.7%	53.2%	48.7%
Assault (Felony)	1.9%	1.6%	3.9%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	20.6%	27.4%	15.8%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	1.6%	2.6%
Handgun Violation	0.7%	3.2%	3.9%
Harassment	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.2%	0.0%	2.6%
Other Person ⁺	24.0%	11.3%	9.2%
Robbery	0.7%	3.2%	3.9%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.1%	1.6%	5.3%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.0%	3.2%	1.3%
Property Offenses	23.3%	24.2%	31.6%
Arson	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.2%	3.2%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.9%	1.6%	5.3%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.6%	9.7%	13.2%
Other Property ⁺	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.9%	0.0%	6.6%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	6.7%	9.7%	6.6%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.6%	6.5%	2.6%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.2%	0.0%	2.6%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.4%	6.5%	0.0%
Citations	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	3.3%	1.6%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	8.2%	14.5%	17.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.8%	8.1%	2.6%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.0%	1.6%	5.3%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	1.6%	5.3%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.9%	3.2%	3.9%
Total Offenses	2,519	62	76

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

** Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	4.9%	5.6%	30.2%
Felony	7.1%	14.8%	18.6%
- Person-to-Person	2.1%	3.7%	7.0%
- Property	3.6%	3.7%	2.3%
- Drugs	1.4%	0.0%	4.7%
- Unspecified	0.0%	7.4%	4.7%
Misdemeanor	74.0%	77.8%	51.2%
- Person-to-Person	50.5%	55.6%	27.9%
- Property	19.9%	7.4%	16.3%
- Drugs	2.1%	7.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.6%	7.4%	7.0%
Ordinance Offenses	4.2%	1.9%	0.0%
Citations	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	3.0%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	1,413	54	43
% of Statewide	13.1%	6.0%	13.9%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Dorchester County

310 Gay St., Cambridge, MD 21613 (ph) 410-228-6452

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	0.3%	2.0%	1.2%
Citizen	0.8%	1.5%	1.2%
Police*	98.7%	93.2%	95.8%
Violation of Probation**	0.3%	3.4%	1.8%
Total Complaints	389	205	337

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAELED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	50.6%	49.3%	66.5%
Informaled	12.9%	13.7%	3.9%
Authorized Formal Petition	36.5%	37.1%	29.7%
Total Complaints	389	205	337

Court Action for Formaeled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	16.2%	9.2%	21.0%
Stet	9.9%	2.6%	12.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	51.4%	28.9%	20.0%
Pending Disposition*	4.2%	5.3%	11.0%
Services Not Ordered	2.1%	1.3%	1.0%
Probation	15.5%	25.0%	15.0%
Committed to DJS	0.7%	17.1%	18.0%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	2.6%	2.0%
Total Formal Complaints	142	76	100

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	40.0	27.2	20.5
Pre-Court	11.3	6.6	3.9
Probation	22.6	14.8	14.4
Aftercare	6.2	5.9	2.1
Committed-Aftercare	2.8	2.3	6.3

* Average daily active cases

• U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Dorchester County population (ages 11-17) increased 6.8% (from 2,558 to 2,732).

• Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 29.7% of complaints were formaled, while 3.9% were informaled.

• Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 13.4%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 36.5% to 29.7%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 52.9% were High risk, 47.1% were Moderate risk, and 0.0% were Low risk.

• Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.8 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.1 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 83.9 days.

• Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 12.2% to 4.5%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 9.8% to 13.9%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 66.3% to 72.4%.

• Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 0.0% (0) to 27.8% (5).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	74.3%	81.5%	80.1%
White	22.9%	16.6%	14.8%
Hispanic/Other	2.8%	2.0%	5.0%
Sex			
Male	64.8%	70.7%	64.1%
Female	35.2%	29.3%	35.9%
Age			
11 and under	18.3%	5.9%	11.0%
12	7.7%	11.2%	15.1%
13	12.3%	10.7%	13.4%
14	14.1%	15.1%	16.6%
15	14.4%	16.6%	21.7%
16	21.3%	19.5%	12.8%
17	11.6%	18.0%	8.3%
18-20	0.3%	2.9%	1.2%
Total Complaints	389	205	337

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	44.2%	17.4%	34.2%
Assault (Felony)	1.4%	0.0%	2.6%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	19.6%	0.0%	21.1%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.7%	0.0%	5.3%
Harassment	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.3%	0.0%	2.6%
Other Person ⁺	17.9%	17.4%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.7%	0.0%	2.6%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	36.9%	56.5%	50.0%
Arson	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	4.3%	8.7%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.0%	0.0%	7.9%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.6%	26.1%	23.7%
Other Property ⁺	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	4.9%	0.0%	10.5%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	9.4%	21.7%	7.9%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.6%	8.7%	2.6%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	2.6%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.6%	8.7%	0.0%
Citations	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.5%	17.4%	13.2%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.7%	13.0%	5.3%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.4%	0.0%	7.9%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	4.3%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	586	23	38

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

^{**} Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	4.5%	0.0%	33.3%
Felony	13.9%	26.7%	11.1%
- Person-to-Person	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	10.1%	13.3%	5.6%
- Drugs	1.5%	0.0%	5.6%
- Unspecified	0.0%	13.3%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	72.4%	73.3%	55.6%
- Person-to-Person	52.2%	26.7%	22.2%
- Property	17.5%	20.0%	22.2%
- Drugs	2.1%	13.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	13.3%	11.1%
Ordinance Offenses	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	4.7%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	337	15	18
% of Statewide	3.1%	1.7%	5.8%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Somerset County

12155 Elm St., Suite B, Princess Anne, MD 21853 (ph) 410-845-4680

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	0.3%	2.5%	1.8%
Citizen	2.3%	3.4%	7.0%
Police*	95.6%	89.0%	90.1%
Violation of Probation**	1.7%	5.1%	1.2%
Total Complaints	298	118	171

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	54.0%	58.5%	80.1%
Informaled	11.4%	5.1%	1.8%
Authorized Formal Petition	34.6%	36.4%	18.1%
Total Complaints	298	118	171

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	34.0%	34.9%	45.2%
Stet	13.6%	7.0%	0.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	25.2%	11.6%	12.9%
Pending Disposition*	0.0%	2.3%	9.7%
Services Not Ordered	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	19.4%	25.6%	16.1%
Committed to DJS	3.9%	18.6%	16.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	103	43	31

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	38.3	14.2	10.1
Pre-Court	9.3	2.2	1.6
Probation	22.9	9.6	7.0
Aftercare	6.1	2.4	1.5
Committed-Aftercare	3.3	1.9	3.3

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Somerset County population (ages 11-17) decreased 1.4% (from 2,153 to 2,123).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 18.1% of complaints were formaled, while 1.8% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 42.6%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 34.6% to 18.1%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 47.1% were High risk, 23.5% were Moderate risk, and 29.4% were Low risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 33.3 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.4 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 102.3 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 11.9% to 8.8%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 3.4% to 5.3%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 67.8% to 78.4%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 100.0% (4) to 20.0% (1).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	75.5%	63.6%	73.7%
White	21.1%	33.1%	20.5%
Hispanic/Other	3.4%	3.4%	5.8%
Sex			
Male	72.1%	75.4%	66.7%
Female	27.9%	24.6%	33.3%
Age			
11 and under	24.2%	4.2%	8.2%
12	5.7%	7.6%	9.9%
13	13.4%	2.5%	12.3%
14	14.1%	17.8%	16.4%
15	18.1%	19.5%	18.1%
16	11.4%	13.6%	15.8%
17	12.8%	31.4%	17.0%
18-20	0.3%	3.4%	2.3%
Total Complaints	298	118	171

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	62.8%	60.0%	100.0%
Assault (Felony)	4.8%	20.0%	20.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	29.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	20.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	21.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	3.4%	20.0%	40.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Arson	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.3%	20.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	10.7%	20.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.7%	20.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	7.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	290	5	5

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

^{**} Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	8.8%	20.0%	20.0%
Felony	5.3%	60.0%	40.0%
- Person-to-Person	4.7%	40.0%	40.0%
- Property	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	78.4%	20.0%	40.0%
- Person-to-Person	54.4%	20.0%	40.0%
- Property	18.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	2.3%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>171</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.6%</i>	<i>0.6%</i>	<i>1.6%</i>

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Wicomico County

201 Baptist St., Room 1134, Suite 21, Salisbury, MD 21801 (ph) 410-713-3800

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	0.3%	2.3%	0.9%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Police*	94.4%	91.3%	95.9%
Violation of Probation**	5.3%	6.4%	2.8%
Total Complaints	695	311	540

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	65.8%	63.3%	79.8%
Informaled	3.3%	2.6%	3.0%
Authorized Formal Petition	30.9%	34.1%	17.2%
Total Complaints	695	311	540

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	7.0%	8.5%	8.6%
Stet	11.6%	13.2%	23.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	34.0%	27.4%	18.3%
Pending Disposition*	7.0%	5.7%	16.1%
Services Not Ordered	1.4%	2.8%	0.0%
Probation	25.6%	23.6%	17.2%
Committed to DJS	11.2%	16.0%	15.1%
Committed to Other Agency	1.4%	1.9%	0.0%
Other**	0.9%	0.9%	1.1%
Total Formal Complaints	215	106	93

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	81.6	67.2	45.7
Pre-Court	7.6	3.4	2.6
Probation	58.2	54.2	34.7
Aftercare	15.8	9.6	8.4
Committed-Aftercare	11.6	4.9	6.6

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Wicomico County population (ages 11-17) increased 2.2% (from 10,523 to 10,753).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 17.2% of complaints were formaled, while 3.0% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 22.3%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 30.9% to 17.2%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 17.2% were High risk, 41.4% were Moderate risk, and 41.4% were Low risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 29.6 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.9 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 82.1 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 10.3% to 5.9%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 10.6% to 5.6%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 69.5% to 82.8%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 45.8% (11) to 21.4% (3).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	78.1%	68.2%	74.1%
White	18.6%	26.7%	18.1%
Hispanic/Other	3.3%	5.1%	7.8%
Sex			
Male	57.4%	64.0%	59.4%
Female	42.6%	36.0%	40.6%
Age			
11 and under	3.7%	3.5%	2.0%
12	7.3%	4.8%	8.0%
13	14.1%	12.5%	12.0%
14	16.1%	25.4%	20.4%
15	18.4%	14.1%	25.7%
16	17.1%	15.4%	20.6%
17	20.4%	21.2%	10.0%
18-20	2.7%	2.9%	1.3%
Total Complaints	695	311	540

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	63.6%	62.5%	52.9%
Assault (Felony)	1.7%	0.0%	5.9%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	23.8%	43.8%	11.8%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	0.0%	5.9%
Handgun Violation	1.0%	6.2%	5.9%
Harassment	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	32.1%	6.2%	5.9%
Robbery	1.2%	0.0%	5.9%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	5.9%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.9%	6.2%	5.9%
Property Offenses	19.9%	6.2%	23.5%
Arson	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.8%	0.0%	5.9%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.8%	0.0%	5.9%
Other Property ⁺	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	5.9%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	5.5%	6.2%	5.9%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.7%	6.2%	5.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.9%	0.0%	5.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.8%	6.2%	0.0%
Citations	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	7.0%	25.0%	17.6%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.6%	6.2%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.5%	6.2%	5.9%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.0%	5.9%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.6%	12.5%	5.9%
Total Offenses	1,090	16	17

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

** Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	5.9%	0.0%	21.4%
Felony	5.6%	6.2%	21.4%
- Person-to-Person	1.3%	0.0%	7.1%
- Property	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.4%	0.0%	7.1%
- Unspecified	0.0%	6.2%	7.1%
Misdemeanor	82.8%	93.8%	57.1%
- Person-to-Person	56.7%	68.8%	35.7%
- Property	22.4%	6.2%	14.3%
- Drugs	2.6%	6.2%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.1%	12.5%	7.1%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	3.0%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	540	16	14
% of Statewide	5.0%	1.8%	4.5%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Worcester County

203 River St., Snow Hill, MD 21863 (ph) 410-632-0206

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	1.0%	0.0%	1.4%
Citizen	1.6%	0.9%	2.5%
Police*	96.9%	98.2%	93.7%
Violation of Probation**	0.5%	0.9%	2.5%
Total Complaints	383	443	365

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	71.3%	75.6%	70.7%
Informaled	9.9%	7.9%	9.9%
Authorized Formal Petition	18.8%	16.5%	19.5%
Total Complaints	383	443	365

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	8.3%	5.5%	9.9%
Stet	6.9%	15.1%	7.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	30.6%	30.1%	22.5%
Pending Disposition*	4.2%	13.7%	25.4%
Services Not Ordered	4.2%	13.7%	1.4%
Probation	31.9%	17.8%	25.4%
Committed to DJS	6.9%	4.1%	8.5%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	72	73	71

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	44.1	34.0	25.1
Pre-Court	14.2	8.2	10.7
Probation	24.1	21.6	10.2
Aftercare	5.8	4.2	4.1
Committed-Aftercare	4.1	2.1	3.1

* Average daily active cases

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

• U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Worcester County population (ages 11-17) increased 7.7% (from 3,580 to 3,857).

• Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 19.5% of complaints were formaled, while 9.9% were informaled.

• Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 4.7%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition increased from 18.8% to 19.5%.

• Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 14.3% were High risk, 28.6% were Moderate risk, and 57.1% were Low risk.

• Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 20.1 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 21.9 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 80.2 days.

• Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 3.2% to 1.9%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 5.0% to 3.8%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 47.9% to 60.5%.

• Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 40.0% (2) to 33.3% (2).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	34.5%	35.7%	35.6%
White	59.8%	56.2%	60.8%
Hispanic/Other	5.7%	8.1%	3.6%
Sex			
Male	63.4%	72.7%	64.7%
Female	36.6%	27.3%	35.3%
Age			
11 and under	1.6%	0.7%	1.1%
12	2.3%	1.4%	2.7%
13	6.5%	2.5%	7.1%
14	6.3%	5.6%	9.6%
15	11.7%	10.4%	15.9%
16	19.6%	27.3%	24.4%
17	47.3%	49.0%	36.4%
18-20	4.7%	3.2%	2.7%
Total Complaints	383	443	365

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	35.1%	88.9%	62.5%
Assault (Felony)	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	10.8%	55.6%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.0%	0.0%	6.2%
Handgun Violation	0.5%	5.6%	0.0%
Harassment	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.2%	0.0%	6.2%
Other Person ⁺	15.9%	11.1%	37.5%
Robbery	0.9%	11.1%	12.5%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.4%	5.6%	0.0%
Property Offenses	17.5%	0.0%	6.2%
Arson	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	7.1%	0.0%	6.2%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.3%	5.6%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.9%	5.6%	0.0%
Citations	16.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	14.6%	5.6%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	11.2%	0.0%	31.2%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	1.3%	0.0%	18.8%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.3%	0.0%	12.5%
Total Offenses	553	18	16

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

^{**} Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	1.9%	11.1%	50.0%
Felony	3.8%	0.0%	16.7%
- Person-to-Person	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%
Misdemeanor	60.5%	83.3%	33.3%
- Person-to-Person	37.8%	77.8%	16.7%
- Property	18.9%	0.0%	16.7%
- Drugs	2.2%	5.6%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	16.2%	5.6%	0.0%
Citations	15.6%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	1.9%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	365	18	6
% of Statewide	3.4%	2.0%	1.9%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region 2

Acting Regional Director: Chris Dulik
Counties of: Caroline, Cecil, Kent, Queen Anne's, and Talbot
2nd Judicial Circuit

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	0.6%	1.5%	0.9%
Citizen	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%
Police*	97.5%	95.9%	97.3%
Violation of Probation**	1.6%	2.2%	1.8%
Total Complaints	799	464	767

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	58.4%	60.8%	63.0%
Informaled	13.1%	13.6%	10.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	28.4%	25.6%	26.5%
Total Complaints	799	464	767
Court Action for Formaled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	7.0%	8.4%	16.7%
Stet	13.2%	6.7%	14.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	2.2%	1.7%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	35.2%	37.8%	27.1%
Pending Disposition*	6.2%	13.4%	17.2%
Services Not Ordered	0.4%	3.4%	1.5%
Probation	29.1%	25.2%	18.2%
Committed to DJS	5.7%	3.4%	4.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	227	119	203

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	103.1	73.3	65.8
Pre-Court	32.3	16.1	19.0
Probation	56.6	48.4	40.0
Aftercare	14.2	8.9	6.7
Committed-Aftercare	6.8	6.8	5.2

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Region 2 population (ages 11-17) increased 1.4% (from 21,216 to 21,508).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 26.5% of complaints were formaled, while 10.6% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 4.0%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 28.4% to 26.5%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 33.3% were High risk, 52.4% were Moderate risk, and 14.3% were Low risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 22.2 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 22.1 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 78.1 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 5.8% to 4.6%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 9.7% to 5.3%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 61.9% to 74.7%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 53.8% (7) to 30.0% (3).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	38.2%	34.9%	42.6%
White	55.7%	57.8%	49.8%
Hispanic/Other	6.1%	7.3%	7.6%
Sex			
Male	73.6%	70.0%	66.0%
Female	26.4%	30.0%	34.0%
Age			
11 and under	7.5%	5.4%	3.4%
12	5.1%	4.1%	7.3%
13	9.8%	9.9%	11.9%
14	15.1%	11.6%	17.7%
15	15.4%	16.6%	17.9%
16	18.0%	20.5%	20.1%
17	28.2%	28.7%	20.2%
18-20	0.9%	3.2%	1.6%
Total Complaints	799	464	767

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	46.8%	49.0%	33.3%
Assault (Felony)	1.2%	6.1%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	26.3%	32.7%	25.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	13.0%	8.2%	0.0%
Robbery	0.4%	0.0%	8.3%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.9%	2.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	26.4%	26.5%	58.3%
Arson	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.4%	2.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.5%	2.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.1%	2.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	3.0%	10.2%	25.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	5.7%	10.2%	33.3%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.2%	4.1%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.5%	4.1%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	10.2%	6.1%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.0%	6.1%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	7.6%	14.3%	8.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.6%	2.0%	8.3%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.8%	12.2%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	1,115	49	12

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

** Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	4.6%	2.7%	10.0%
Felony	5.3%	32.4%	30.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	8.1%	0.0%
- Property	3.7%	16.2%	30.0%
- Drugs	0.8%	5.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.1%	2.7%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	74.7%	64.9%	60.0%
- Person-to-Person	52.3%	54.1%	30.0%
- Property	17.7%	10.8%	20.0%
- Drugs	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.0%	0.0%	10.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	8.9%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	6.5%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	767	37	10
% of Statewide	7.1%	4.1%	3.2%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Caroline County

317 Carter Ave., Suite 105, Denton, MD 21629 (ph) 410-819-6556

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%
Citizen	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	96.2%	94.7%	94.9%
Violation of Probation**	2.7%	2.6%	5.1%
Total Complaints	184	76	137

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAELED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	65.8%	64.5%	64.2%
Informaled	6.0%	9.2%	6.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	28.3%	26.3%	29.2%
Total Complaints	184	76	137

Court Action for Formaeled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	1.9%	10.0%	30.0%
Stet	9.6%	0.0%	10.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	53.8%	40.0%	37.5%
Pending Disposition*	1.9%	0.0%	2.5%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	10.0%	5.0%
Probation	23.1%	35.0%	7.5%
Committed to DJS	9.6%	5.0%	7.5%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	52	20	40

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	18.0	10.2	10.8
Pre-Court	3.6	1.5	2.2
Probation	11.6	8.0	6.1
Aftercare	2.9	0.7	2.5
Committed-Aftercare	1.7	3.4	0.8

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Caroline County population (ages 11-17) increased 2.5% (from 3,074 to 3,152).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 29.2% of complaints were formaled, while 6.6% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 25.5%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition increased from 28.3% to 29.2%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 45.5% were High risk, 54.5% were Moderate risk, and 0.0% were Low risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 22.9 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 23.6 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 64.1 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 6.6% to 3.6%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 10.5% to 5.1%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 48.7% to 70.1%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 40.0% (2) to 33.3% (1).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	46.2%	52.6%	55.5%
White	49.5%	39.5%	38.7%
Hispanic/Other	4.3%	7.9%	5.8%
Sex			
Male	71.2%	75.0%	66.4%
Female	28.8%	25.0%	33.6%
Age			
11 and under	7.1%	9.2%	0.0%
12	4.9%	3.9%	4.4%
13	15.2%	11.8%	6.6%
14	20.1%	11.8%	16.1%
15	12.5%	22.4%	15.3%
16	18.5%	18.4%	32.8%
17	20.7%	21.1%	21.9%
18-20	1.1%	1.3%	2.9%
Total Complaints	184	76	137

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	44.8%	25.0%	66.7%
Assault (Felony)	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	28.6%	25.0%	33.3%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.5%	0.0%	33.3%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	23.2%	75.0%	0.0%
Arson	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	6.4%	50.0%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	8.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	8.4%	0.0%	33.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.5%	0.0%	33.3%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	203	4	3

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

** Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	3.6%	0.0%	33.3%
Felony	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	70.1%	100.0%	66.7%
- Person-to-Person	47.4%	33.3%	33.3%
- Property	16.8%	66.7%	0.0%
- Drugs	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	0.0%	33.3%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	8.8%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	12.4%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>137</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.3%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>	<i>1.0%</i>

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS, FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Cecil County

106 E. Main St., Suite 102, Elkton, MD 21921 (ph) 410-996-2800

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	0.6%	0.9%	1.2%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	98.2%	95.4%	96.5%
Violation of Probation**	1.2%	3.7%	2.3%
Total Complaints	329	217	259

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMEALED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	51.4%	55.8%	52.9%
Informaled	14.6%	15.7%	19.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	34.0%	28.6%	27.8%
Total Complaints	329	217	259

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	8.0%	9.7%	12.5%
Stet	17.9%	8.1%	6.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	4.5%	1.6%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	21.4%	33.9%	13.9%
Pending Disposition*	11.6%	22.6%	38.9%
Services Not Ordered	0.9%	3.2%	1.4%
Probation	30.4%	16.1%	25.0%
Committed to DJS	3.6%	4.8%	1.4%
Committed to Other Agency	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	112	62	72

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	51.1	44.7	39.3
Pre-Court	12.8	8.9	10.6
Probation	31.7	30.4	26.6
Aftercare	6.6	5.3	2.1
Committed-Aftercare	2.6	0.9	2.3

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Cecil County population (ages 11-17) increased 0.5% (from 9,457 to 9,502).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 27.8% of complaints were formaled, while 19.3% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 21.3%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 34.0% to 27.8%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 14.3% were High risk, 42.9% were Moderate risk, and 42.9% were Low risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 27.9 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 21.3 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 111.6 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 7.4% to 3.5%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 8.8% to 7.3%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 74.2% to 83.0%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP did not change from 100.0% (4) to 100.0% (1).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	33.7%	31.3%	27.0%
White	63.5%	65.0%	69.5%
Hispanic/Other	2.7%	3.7%	3.5%
Sex			
Male	75.1%	69.6%	66.8%
Female	24.9%	30.4%	33.2%
Age			
11 and under	7.9%	3.7%	3.9%
12	6.1%	3.7%	13.1%
13	8.2%	12.9%	13.9%
14	14.9%	13.4%	19.7%
15	18.2%	17.5%	17.4%
16	18.8%	18.0%	13.9%
17	25.5%	25.8%	17.4%
18-20	0.3%	5.1%	0.8%
Total Complaints	329	217	259

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	46.6%	26.1%	0.0%
Assault (Felony)	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	28.5%	26.1%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	10.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	34.1%	30.4%	100.0%
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.4%	4.3%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	10.0%	4.3%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	5.4%	21.7%	100.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.6%	4.3%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.1%	4.3%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	4.9%	13.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.9%	13.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	7.3%	26.1%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.1%	4.3%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.3%	21.7%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	369	23	1

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

^{**} Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	7.3%	44.4%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	5.0%	33.3%	100.0%
- Drugs	1.5%	5.6%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	83.0%	55.6%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	54.1%	50.0%	0.0%
- Property	25.5%	5.6%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	3.1%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>259</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.4%</i>	<i>2.0%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Kent County

120 Broadway, Suite 9, Centreville, MD 21617 (ph) 410-819-4180

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%
Citizen	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Police*	98.6%	97.0%	98.9%
Violation of Probation**	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	72	33	88

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMEALED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	70.8%	72.7%	71.6%
Informaled	18.1%	12.1%	4.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	11.1%	15.2%	23.9%
Total Complaints	72	33	88

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	25.0%	20.0%	33.3%
Stet	25.0%	40.0%	19.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	37.5%	40.0%	23.8%
Pending Disposition*	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%
Committed to DJS	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	8	5	21

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	7.8	2.6	0.8
Pre-Court	4.7	1.5	0.8
Probation	1.9	0.5	0.0
Aftercare	1.2	0.7	0.0
Committed-Aftercare	0.4	0.0	0.0

* Average daily active cases

• U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Kent County population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.8% (from 1,526 to 1,514).

• Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 23.9% of complaints were formaled, while 4.5% were informaled.

• Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints increased 22.2%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition increased from 11.1% to 23.9%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- There were no Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions.

• Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 14.9 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 22.9 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 58.5 days.

• Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 0.0% to 8.0%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 15.2% to 3.4%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 57.6% to 62.5%.

• Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- There were no commitments resulting from VOP in either year.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	38.9%	30.3%	54.5%
White	58.3%	57.6%	33.0%
Hispanic/Other	2.8%	12.1%	12.5%
Sex			
Male	62.5%	54.5%	61.4%
Female	37.5%	45.5%	38.6%
Age			
11 and under	8.3%	9.1%	5.7%
12	5.6%	0.0%	6.8%
13	12.5%	9.1%	25.0%
14	16.7%	15.2%	26.1%
15	25.0%	21.2%	14.8%
16	11.1%	12.1%	12.5%
17	20.8%	33.3%	9.1%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	72	33	88

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	46.8%	100.0%	0.0%
Assault (Felony)	2.7%	50.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	24.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	12.6%	50.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	19.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	11.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	12.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	111	2	0

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

** Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	8.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Felony	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	62.5%	50.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	40.9%	50.0%	0.0%
- Property	14.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	10.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	15.9%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>88</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.8%</i>	<i>0.2%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Queen Anne's County

120 Broadway, Suite 9, Centreville, MD 21617 (ph) 410-819-4180

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	1.1%	5.3%	1.5%
Citizen	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Police*	96.8%	93.0%	97.8%
Violation of Probation**	2.1%	0.0%	0.7%
Total Complaints	95	57	137

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	61.1%	54.4%	70.1%
Informaled	17.9%	17.5%	7.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	21.1%	28.1%	22.6%
Total Complaints	95	57	137

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	10.0%	6.2%	19.4%
Stet	15.0%	0.0%	29.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	6.2%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	35.0%	31.2%	35.5%
Pending Disposition*	0.0%	6.2%	0.0%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	30.0%	50.0%	9.7%
Committed to DJS	10.0%	0.0%	6.5%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	20	16	31

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	13.7	8.5	7.4
Pre-Court	5.3	2.8	2.6
Probation	5.1	4.2	2.8
Aftercare	3.3	1.6	1.9
Committed-Aftercare	1.3	1.4	0.9

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Queen Anne's County population (ages 11-17) increased 1.1% (from 4,474 to 4,524).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 22.6% of complaints were formaled, while 7.3% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints increased 44.2%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition increased from 21.1% to 22.6%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 100.0% were Moderate risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 20.6 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.3 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 42.2 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 3.5% to 0.7%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 5.3% to 0.7%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 54.4% to 75.2%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP did not change from 50.0% (1) to 50.0% (1).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	36.8%	35.1%	38.7%
White	53.7%	59.6%	50.4%
Hispanic/Other	9.5%	5.3%	10.9%
Sex			
Male	74.7%	73.7%	73.0%
Female	25.3%	26.3%	27.0%
Age			
11 and under	6.3%	1.8%	1.5%
12	2.1%	5.3%	2.9%
13	6.3%	5.3%	7.3%
14	14.7%	5.3%	12.4%
15	6.3%	12.3%	18.2%
16	24.2%	29.8%	27.7%
17	38.9%	40.4%	27.0%
18-20	1.1%	0.0%	2.9%
Total Complaints	95	57	137

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	46.0%	66.7%	100.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	22.2%	33.3%	100.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	16.4%	33.3%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	11.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	19.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	8.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	9.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	11.6%	33.3%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	9.5%	33.3%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	189	3	2

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

** Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	75.2%	100.0%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	55.5%	100.0%	100.0%
- Property	11.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	15.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	8.0%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>137</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.3%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>	<i>0.6%</i>

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Talbot County

301 Bay St., Suite 201, Easton, MD 21601 (ph) 410-822-5010

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	1.7%	0.0%	0.7%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	97.5%	100.0%	99.3%
Violation of Probation**	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	119	81	146

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAELED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	57.1%	70.4%	67.8%
Informaled	13.4%	9.9%	5.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	29.4%	19.8%	26.7%
Total Complaints	119	81	146

Court Action for Formaeled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Stet	0.0%	6.2%	17.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	51.4%	56.2%	35.9%
Pending Disposition*	0.0%	6.2%	7.7%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	40.0%	31.2%	28.2%
Committed to DJS	2.9%	0.0%	10.3%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	35	16	39

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	12.4	7.3	7.5
Pre-Court	5.9	1.4	2.8
Probation	6.4	5.4	4.5
Aftercare	0.2	0.6	0.2
Committed-Aftercare	0.8	1.0	1.2

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Talbot County population (ages 11-17) increased 4.9% (from 2,685 to 2,816).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 26.7% of complaints were formaled, while 5.5% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints increased 22.7%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 29.4% to 26.7%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 100.0% were High risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 24.6 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 22.7 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 114.3 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 4.9% to 8.9%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 12.3% to 7.5%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 48.1% to 71.2%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- There were no commitments resulting from VOP in either year.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	38.7%	29.6%	54.8%
White	43.7%	54.3%	34.9%
Hispanic/Other	17.6%	16.0%	10.3%
Sex			
Male	79.0%	70.4%	60.3%
Female	21.0%	29.6%	39.7%
Age			
11 and under	7.6%	7.4%	6.2%
12	5.0%	6.2%	4.1%
13	6.7%	3.7%	9.6%
14	7.6%	9.9%	15.8%
15	13.4%	9.9%	22.6%
16	14.3%	25.9%	16.4%
17	42.9%	33.3%	24.0%
18-20	2.5%	3.7%	1.4%
Total Complaints	119	81	146

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	49.4%	76.5%	0.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.4%	11.8%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	25.1%	47.1%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	17.3%	11.8%	0.0%
Robbery	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.8%	5.9%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	31.7%	17.6%	100.0%
Arson	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	2.9%	0.0%	33.3%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	5.8%	17.6%	66.7%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.1%	5.9%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.8%	5.9%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	243	17	6

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

^{**} Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	8.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	7.5%	36.4%	50.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.4%	27.3%	0.0%
- Property	4.8%	0.0%	50.0%
- Drugs	1.4%	9.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	71.2%	63.6%	50.0%
- Person-to-Person	57.5%	54.5%	0.0%
- Property	12.3%	9.1%	50.0%
- Drugs	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>146</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>1.4%</i>	<i>1.2%</i>	<i>1.3%</i>

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region 3

Regional Director: Celena Falline
Counties of: Baltimore and Harford
3rd Judicial Circuit

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	1.9%	3.1%	2.5%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	94.4%	93.3%	94.6%
Violation of Probation**	3.7%	3.6%	2.9%
Total Complaints	3,563	1,584	2,532

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAELED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	52.3%	48.6%	63.3%
Informaled	6.3%	8.0%	6.0%
Authorized Formal Petition	41.3%	43.4%	30.6%
Total Complaints	3,563	1,584	2,532
Court Action for Formaeled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	7.4%	5.7%	6.2%
Stet	17.4%	13.2%	15.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	24.9%	18.3%	17.5%
Pending Disposition*	6.4%	6.7%	18.0%
Services Not Ordered	1.2%	1.3%	0.4%
Probation	33.8%	38.2%	31.8%
Committed to DJS	7.5%	14.7%	7.3%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Other**	1.0%	1.3%	2.7%
Total Formal Complaints	1,472	688	776

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	428.5	307.0	246.5
Pre-Court	76.7	35.6	45.6
Probation	284.1	218.5	161.2
Aftercare	67.7	52.9	39.6
Committed-Aftercare	35.6	16.5	22.1

* Average daily active cases

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Region 3 population (ages 11-17) increased 6.2% (from 94,910 to 100,790).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 30.6% of complaints were formaled, while 6.0% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 28.9%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 41.3% to 30.6%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 26.3% were High risk, 36.8% were Moderate risk, and 36.8% were Low risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 46.7 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.2 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 92.0 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 18.6% to 13.8%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 14.5% to 9.5%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 65.5% to 74.6%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 27.0% (30) to 12.3% (7).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	73.9%	70.8%	70.2%
White	21.4%	24.6%	22.5%
Hispanic/Other	4.7%	4.7%	7.3%
Sex			
Male	69.4%	74.7%	71.6%
Female	30.6%	25.3%	28.4%
Age			
11 and under	3.8%	3.0%	4.6%
12	5.8%	4.7%	5.7%
13	10.2%	7.4%	10.0%
14	15.0%	18.6%	14.7%
15	19.2%	18.5%	19.7%
16	22.3%	19.6%	21.5%
17	20.7%	25.3%	20.5%
18-20	2.9%	3.0%	3.4%
Total Complaints	3,563	1,584	2,532

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	52.2%	47.3%	53.0%
Assault (Felony)	3.4%	1.9%	8.4%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	24.7%	20.9%	4.8%
Carjacking	1.2%	2.6%	12.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	2.8%	5.1%	13.3%
Harassment	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
Murder	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	8.9%	4.2%	2.4%
Robbery	4.5%	7.1%	8.4%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.9%	3.5%	2.4%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.6%	1.3%	0.0%
Property Offenses	36.2%	35.7%	41.0%
Arson	0.9%	1.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	4.0%	5.1%	3.6%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.5%	5.5%	9.6%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	4.3%	3.2%	3.6%
Malicious Destruction	7.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	4.5%	1.0%	1.2%
Theft (Felony)	2.8%	6.8%	6.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	10.1%	12.2%	16.9%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.8%	2.3%	1.2%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.2%	1.0%	1.2%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.6%	1.3%	0.0%
Citations	2.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	1.4%	0.3%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.4%	14.5%	4.8%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	2.3%	1.2%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.9%	8.7%	1.2%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	1.3%	2.4%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.0%	2.3%	0.0%
Total Offenses	4,049	311	83

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

^{**} Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	13.8%	21.9%	56.1%
Felony	9.5%	15.0%	15.8%
- Person-to-Person	1.5%	3.2%	1.8%
- Property	6.3%	8.9%	10.5%
- Drugs	1.7%	1.2%	1.8%
- Unspecified	0.0%	1.6%	1.8%
Misdemeanor	74.6%	63.2%	28.1%
- Person-to-Person	49.4%	42.5%	10.5%
- Property	23.0%	16.6%	17.5%
- Drugs	1.2%	1.2%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.0%	2.8%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions	2,532	247	57
% of Statewide	23.5%	27.3%	18.4%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Baltimore County

431 Eastern Blvd., Ste. 100, Essex, MD 21221 (ph) 410-780-1200; 1 Rolling Cross Road, Catonsville, MD 21228 (ph) 410-341-1200;
9700 Old Harford Road, Bldg 22, Parkville, MD 21234 (ph) 410-663-7695

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	2.1%	3.4%	2.7%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	94.1%	93.0%	94.4%
Violation of Probation**	3.8%	3.6%	2.9%
Total Complaints	3,111	1,348	2,232

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	52.0%	49.0%	65.0%
Informaled	5.7%	7.9%	5.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	42.4%	43.0%	29.5%
Total Complaints	3,111	1,348	2,232

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	7.6%	6.2%	5.9%
Stet	19.4%	15.5%	18.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	24.8%	17.9%	17.6%
Pending Disposition*	6.2%	6.9%	16.3%
Services Not Ordered	1.4%	1.2%	0.5%
Probation	33.2%	39.3%	32.4%
Committed to DJS	7.1%	11.9%	7.6%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.4%	0.5%	1.1%
Total Formal Complaints	1,318	580	658

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	339.8	242.3	207.1
Pre-Court	63.8	30.6	40.2
Probation	234.9	179.2	135.9
Aftercare	41.1	32.4	31.0
Committed-Aftercare	19.8	12.2	16.0

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Baltimore County population (ages 11-17) increased 6.9% (from 71,941 to 76,906).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 29.5% of complaints were formaled, while 5.6% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 28.3%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 42.4% to 29.5%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 28.1% were High risk, 35.9% were Moderate risk, and 35.9% were Low risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 33.5 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.0 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 81.2 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 20.5% to 14.1%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 13.5% to 8.8%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 65.1% to 75.4%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 24.7% (23) to 14.0% (7).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	75.6%	72.6%	71.9%
White	19.6%	23.1%	20.7%
Hispanic/Other	4.8%	4.3%	7.4%
Sex			
Male	69.2%	74.1%	70.8%
Female	30.8%	25.9%	29.2%
Age			
11 and under	3.8%	2.6%	4.5%
12	5.8%	4.8%	5.6%
13	10.2%	7.8%	10.4%
14	14.8%	18.3%	14.9%
15	19.5%	18.1%	20.0%
16	22.7%	20.3%	21.2%
17	20.3%	25.4%	20.1%
18-20	2.8%	2.6%	3.2%
Total Complaints	3,111	1,348	2,232

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	52.4%	46.4%	53.9%
Assault (Felony)	3.4%	2.3%	9.2%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	24.5%	19.5%	5.3%
Carjacking	1.3%	3.1%	13.2%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.3%	0.8%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	3.1%	5.7%	11.8%
Harassment	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
Murder	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	9.5%	5.0%	2.6%
Robbery	4.6%	6.9%	9.2%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Property Offenses	36.5%	37.2%	39.5%
Arson	0.8%	1.1%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	3.7%	5.4%	2.6%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.7%	6.5%	7.9%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	4.6%	3.4%	3.9%
Malicious Destruction	6.7%	0.8%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	4.6%	1.1%	1.3%
Theft (Felony)	2.8%	4.2%	6.6%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	10.5%	14.6%	17.1%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.6%	1.9%	1.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.2%	0.8%	1.3%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.4%	1.1%	0.0%
Citations	1.8%	0.4%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	1.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	6.5%	14.2%	5.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.3%	2.7%	1.3%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.8%	8.0%	1.3%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.8%	2.6%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.1%	2.7%	0.0%
Total Offenses	3,637	261	76

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

^{**} Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	14.1%	22.5%	58.0%
Felony	8.8%	12.7%	16.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.2%	2.3%	2.0%
- Property	5.9%	7.5%	10.0%
- Drugs	1.7%	0.9%	2.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	1.9%	2.0%
Misdemeanor	75.4%	64.8%	26.0%
- Person-to-Person	49.7%	42.3%	8.0%
- Property	23.7%	18.3%	18.0%
- Drugs	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.1%	3.3%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions	2,232	213	50
% of Statewide	20.7%	23.6%	16.2%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Harford County

2 South Bond Street, Bel Air, MD 21014 (ph) 410-836-4680

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	0.4%	1.3%	0.7%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	96.5%	95.3%	96.3%
Violation of Probation**	3.1%	3.4%	3.0%
Total Complaints	452	236	300

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	54.9%	46.2%	51.3%
Informaled	11.1%	8.1%	9.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	34.1%	45.8%	39.3%
Total Complaints	452	236	300

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	5.8%	2.8%	7.6%
Stet	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	3.2%	0.0%	0.8%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	25.3%	20.4%	16.9%
Pending Disposition*	7.8%	5.6%	28.0%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Probation	39.6%	32.4%	28.8%
Committed to DJS	11.7%	29.6%	5.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Other**	6.5%	5.6%	11.9%
Total Formal Complaints	154	108	118

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	88.7	64.8	39.5
Pre-Court	12.9	5.1	5.5
Probation	49.1	39.2	25.4
Aftercare	26.7	20.5	8.6
Committed-Aftercare	15.8	4.3	6.1

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Harford County population (ages 11-17) increased 4.0% (from 22,969 to 23,884).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 39.3% of complaints were formaled, while 9.3% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 33.6%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition increased from 34.1% to 39.3%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 16.7% were High risk, 41.7% were Moderate risk, and 41.7% were Low risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 59.8 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.4 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 102.9 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 7.6% to 12.0%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 19.9% to 14.7%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 67.8% to 68.3%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 38.9% (7) to 0.0% (0).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	62.2%	60.6%	58.0%
White	33.8%	32.6%	36.0%
Hispanic/Other	4.0%	6.8%	6.0%
Sex			
Male	70.1%	78.4%	77.3%
Female	29.9%	21.6%	22.7%
Age			
11 and under	4.0%	5.1%	5.3%
12	5.3%	3.8%	6.3%
13	10.6%	5.1%	7.3%
14	16.6%	19.9%	13.0%
15	17.0%	20.8%	17.0%
16	19.5%	15.7%	23.3%
17	23.5%	24.2%	23.0%
18-20	3.5%	5.5%	4.7%
Total Complaints	452	236	300

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	50.7%	52.0%	42.9%
Assault (Felony)	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	26.7%	28.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.7%	2.0%	28.6%
Harassment	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	3.6%	8.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	3.9%	10.0%	14.3%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	5.1%	4.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	33.7%	28.0%	57.1%
Arson	2.2%	2.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	6.1%	4.0%	14.3%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	28.6%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.2%	2.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	2.9%	20.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	6.6%	0.0%	14.3%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.6%	4.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.6%	2.0%	0.0%
Citations	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	5.8%	16.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.6%	12.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	412	50	7

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

^{**} Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	12.0%	17.6%	42.9%
Felony	14.7%	29.4%	14.3%
- Person-to-Person	4.3%	8.8%	0.0%
- Property	9.0%	17.6%	14.3%
- Drugs	1.3%	2.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	68.3%	52.9%	42.9%
- Person-to-Person	46.7%	44.1%	28.6%
- Property	18.0%	5.9%	14.3%
- Drugs	3.7%	2.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	300	34	7
% of Statewide	2.8%	3.8%	2.3%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region 4

Regional Director: Derek Getic
Counties of: Allegany, Garrett, and Washington
4th Judicial Circuit

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	0.1%	0.6%	1.1%
Citizen	4.3%	3.5%	14.1%
Police*	90.8%	93.1%	82.0%
Violation of Probation**	4.8%	2.7%	2.9%
Total Complaints	1,179	620	760

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	42.7%	58.2%	57.1%
Informaled	21.9%	10.8%	18.4%
Authorized Formal Petition	35.5%	31.0%	24.5%
Total Complaints	1,179	620	760
Court Action for Formaled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	28.7%	32.3%	16.1%
Stet	4.1%	1.6%	5.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	26.3%	22.9%	24.7%
Pending Disposition*	1.2%	2.1%	14.0%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%
Probation	26.6%	22.4%	30.6%
Committed to DJS	11.5%	18.8%	7.0%
Committed to Other Agency	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%
Total Formal Complaints	418	192	186

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	164.0	97.7	95.4
Pre-Court	64.5	16.8	29.8
Probation	76.2	58.7	48.0
Aftercare	23.3	22.1	17.7
Committed-Aftercare	20.3	15.6	12.9

* Average daily active cases

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Region 4 population (ages 11-17) increased 0.5% (from 21,068 to 21,182).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 24.5% of complaints were formaled, while 18.4% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 35.5%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 35.5% to 24.5%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 17.9% were High risk, 32.1% were Moderate risk, and 50.0% were Low risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 23.6 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.4 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 54.3 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 7.6% to 4.6%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 6.0% to 4.3%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 73.4% to 79.3%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 29.2% (14) to 46.2% (6).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	38.0%	33.2%	37.5%
White	58.5%	65.0%	58.2%
Hispanic/Other	3.5%	1.8%	4.3%
Sex			
Male	67.3%	65.3%	61.1%
Female	32.7%	34.7%	38.9%
Age			
11 and under	9.1%	9.8%	6.6%
12	7.9%	9.7%	8.2%
13	12.2%	11.0%	15.9%
14	17.9%	15.8%	20.4%
15	19.0%	17.1%	15.7%
16	15.9%	16.5%	18.0%
17	16.5%	18.5%	13.7%
18-20	1.5%	1.6%	1.6%
Total Complaints	1,179	620	760

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	53.4%	67.9%	25.0%
Assault (Felony)	1.2%	3.8%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	26.9%	32.1%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.6%	2.6%	6.2%
Harassment	1.6%	2.6%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	17.7%	20.5%	0.0%
Robbery	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.4%	2.6%	6.2%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.3%	3.8%	12.5%
Property Offenses	24.3%	19.2%	62.5%
Arson	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.2%	9.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	3.1%	5.1%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	7.0%	5.1%	62.5%
Drug-Related Offenses	1.3%	2.6%	6.2%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.6%	1.3%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.8%	1.3%	6.2%
Citations	5.8%	2.6%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	1.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.4%	1.3%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.9%	7.7%	6.2%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.9%	5.1%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.5%	2.6%	6.2%
Total Offenses	1,191	78	16

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

** Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	4.6%	3.5%	7.7%
Felony	4.3%	14.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	2.4%	7.0%	0.0%
- Property	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	7.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	79.3%	78.9%	92.3%
- Person-to-Person	57.9%	56.1%	23.1%
- Property	18.7%	21.1%	61.5%
- Drugs	0.7%	1.8%	7.7%
- Unspecified	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	4.2%	3.5%	0.0%
CINS	6.8%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	760	57	13
% of Statewide	7.0%	6.3%	4.2%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Allegany County

1 James Day Dr., Cumberland, MD 21502 (ph) 301-722-1600

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Citizen	0.9%	3.1%	4.4%
Police*	97.4%	95.9%	94.9%
Violation of Probation**	1.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Total Complaints	463	290	274

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	52.3%	66.9%	57.7%
Informed	15.1%	4.5%	18.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	32.6%	28.6%	23.7%
Total Complaints	463	290	274

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	16.6%	10.8%	13.8%
Stet	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	27.2%	33.7%	15.4%
Pending Disposition*	0.0%	1.2%	4.6%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	38.4%	26.5%	56.9%
Committed to DJS	16.6%	27.7%	7.7%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	151	83	65

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	62.2	47.7	46.3
Pre-Court	16.7	2.5	9.6
Probation	36.0	35.4	29.2
Aftercare	9.6	9.8	7.5
Committed-Aftercare	11.4	9.2	8.7

* Average daily active cases

• U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Allegany County population (ages 11-17) decreased 4.5% (from 5,754 to 5,494).

• Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 23.7% of complaints were formalized, while 18.6% were informalized.

• Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 40.8%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 32.6% to 23.7%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 28.6% were High risk, 57.1% were Moderate risk, and 14.3% were Low risk.

• Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 14.3 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.0 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 65.2 days.

• Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 8.6% to 5.1%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 6.6% to 5.8%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 69.0% to 76.6%.

• Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 24.0% (6) to 0.0% (0).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	30.7%	25.9%	24.5%
White	66.5%	73.8%	71.5%
Hispanic/Other	2.8%	0.3%	4.0%
Sex			
Male	67.2%	55.2%	59.1%
Female	32.8%	44.8%	40.9%
Age			
11 and under	8.9%	14.8%	10.9%
12	5.6%	12.8%	8.0%
13	11.4%	10.7%	13.5%
14	20.5%	14.8%	23.7%
15	19.4%	20.3%	16.8%
16	16.0%	14.5%	16.1%
17	17.3%	11.4%	10.2%
18-20	0.9%	0.7%	0.7%
Total Complaints	463	290	274

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	51.0%	65.1%	37.5%
Assault (Felony)	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	23.1%	30.2%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.0%	12.5%
Harassment	1.1%	4.7%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	18.0%	18.6%	0.0%
Robbery	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	3.5%	4.7%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.3%	7.0%	25.0%
Property Offenses	27.0%	30.2%	37.5%
Arson	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.5%	14.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	6.4%	9.3%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	7.5%	7.0%	37.5%
Drug-Related Offenses	1.5%	2.3%	12.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.7%	2.3%	12.5%
Citations	5.5%	2.3%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.2%	2.3%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	10.3%	0.0%	12.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.7%	0.0%	12.5%
Total Offenses	455	43	8

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

^{**} Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	5.8%	5.4%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	3.3%	5.4%	0.0%
- Property	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	76.6%	91.9%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	51.5%	59.5%	60.0%
- Property	23.4%	29.7%	20.0%
- Drugs	0.7%	2.7%	20.0%
- Unspecified	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	5.1%	2.7%	0.0%
CINS	7.3%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	274	37	5
% of Statewide	2.5%	4.1%	1.6%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Garrett County

7000 Thayer Center, Oakland, MD 21550 (ph) 301-334-8608

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%
Citizen	7.8%	3.1%	21.9%
Police*	91.4%	95.8%	74.0%
Violation of Probation**	0.9%	1.0%	3.1%
Total Complaints	116	96	96

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	59.5%	69.8%	57.3%
Informaled	25.9%	16.7%	27.1%
Authorized Formal Petition	14.7%	13.5%	15.6%
Total Complaints	116	96	96

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	23.5%	0.0%	20.0%
Stet	0.0%	0.0%	13.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	11.8%	15.4%	20.0%
Pending Disposition*	5.9%	7.7%	13.3%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	41.2%	76.9%	20.0%
Committed to DJS	17.6%	0.0%	6.7%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%
Total Formal Complaints	17	13	15

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	29.9	23.3	23.7
Pre-Court	8.5	2.9	6.6
Probation	17.8	16.2	14.0
Aftercare	3.6	4.1	3.2
Committed-Aftercare	2.4	0.0	0.4

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Garrett County population (ages 11-17) decreased 7.8% (from 2,280 to 2,103).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 15.6% of complaints were formaled, while 27.1% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 17.2%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition increased from 14.7% to 15.6%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 33.3% were High risk and 66.7% were Low risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 27.8 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 17.4 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 38.1 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 0.0% to 9.4%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 3.1% to 4.2%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 64.6% to 45.8%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- There were no commitments resulting from VOP in either year.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	15.5%	13.5%	8.3%
White	82.8%	85.4%	91.7%
Hispanic/Other	1.7%	1.0%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	75.0%	69.8%	62.5%
Female	25.0%	30.2%	37.5%
Age			
11 and under	5.2%	6.2%	4.2%
12	5.2%	11.5%	6.2%
13	12.1%	12.5%	16.7%
14	8.6%	14.6%	21.9%
15	21.6%	9.4%	10.4%
16	19.0%	13.5%	19.8%
17	27.6%	30.2%	19.8%
18-20	0.9%	2.1%	1.0%
Total Complaints	116	96	96

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	28.2%	75.0%	100.0%
Assault (Felony)	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	12.7%	75.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	6.3%	0.0%	100.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	28.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	9.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	14.1%	25.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.4%	25.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	18.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	142	4	1

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

** Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	9.4%	0.0%	100.0%
Felony	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	45.8%	66.7%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	30.2%	66.7%	0.0%
- Property	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	15.6%	33.3%	0.0%
CINS	25.0%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>96</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>0.9%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>	<i>0.3%</i>

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Washington County

44 N. Potomac St., Suite 300, Hagerstown, MD 21740 (ph) 301-791-7171

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	0.2%	1.7%	1.5%
Citizen	6.3%	4.3%	19.0%
Police*	85.5%	88.5%	74.9%
Violation of Probation**	8.0%	5.6%	4.6%
Total Complaints	600	234	390

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAELED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	32.0%	42.7%	56.7%
Informaled	26.3%	16.2%	16.2%
Authorized Formal Petition	41.7%	41.0%	27.2%
Total Complaints	600	234	390

Court Action for Formaeled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	36.4%	55.2%	17.0%
Stet	6.8%	3.1%	6.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	26.8%	14.6%	31.1%
Pending Disposition*	1.6%	2.1%	19.8%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%
Probation	18.4%	11.5%	16.0%
Committed to DJS	8.0%	13.5%	6.6%
Committed to Other Agency	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	250	96	106

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	71.9	26.7	25.4
Pre-Court	39.3	11.4	13.6
Probation	22.5	7.1	4.8
Aftercare	10.1	8.2	7.0
Committed-Aftercare	6.5	6.4	3.8

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Washington County population (ages 11-17) increased 4.2% (from 13,034 to 13,584).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 27.2% of complaints were formaled, while 16.2% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 35.0%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 41.7% to 27.2%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 11.1% were High risk, 27.8% were Moderate risk, and 61.1% were Low risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 28.8 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.9 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 59.6 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 9.4% to 3.1%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 6.4% to 3.3%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 82.5% to 89.5%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 40.0% (8) to 85.7% (6).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	48.0%	50.4%	53.8%
White	47.7%	45.7%	40.5%
Hispanic/Other	4.3%	3.8%	5.6%
Sex			
Male	66.0%	76.1%	62.1%
Female	34.0%	23.9%	37.9%
Age			
11 and under	10.0%	5.1%	4.1%
12	10.2%	5.1%	8.7%
13	12.8%	10.7%	17.4%
14	17.7%	17.5%	17.7%
15	18.2%	16.2%	16.2%
16	15.3%	20.1%	19.0%
17	13.7%	22.6%	14.6%
18-20	2.2%	2.6%	2.3%
Total Complaints	600	234	390

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	61.3%	71.0%	0.0%
Assault (Felony)	1.2%	9.7%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	33.2%	29.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.0%	6.5%	0.0%
Harassment	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	21.5%	25.8%	0.0%
Robbery	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	21.0%	6.5%	100.0%
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.9%	3.2%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	6.4%	3.2%	100.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	1.3%	3.2%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.5%	3.2%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.6%	19.4%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.3%	12.9%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.9%	6.5%	0.0%
Total Offenses	594	31	7

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

** Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	3.1%	11.8%	0.0%
Felony	3.3%	35.3%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.5%	11.8%	0.0%
- Property	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	23.5%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	89.5%	52.9%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	69.2%	47.1%	0.0%
- Property	17.7%	5.9%	100.0%
- Drugs	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	2.1%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>390</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.6%</i>	<i>1.9%</i>	<i>2.3%</i>

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region 5

Regional Director: Delmonica Hawkins
Counties of: Anne Arundel, Carroll, and Howard
5th Judicial Circuit

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	1.4%	3.3%	3.0%
Citizen	0.4%	0.7%	1.2%
Police*	95.9%	94.8%	94.8%
Violation of Probation**	2.3%	1.2%	1.0%
Total Complaints	2,517	980	1,435

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAELED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	49.6%	46.3%	57.3%
Informaled	15.9%	19.0%	16.8%
Authorized Formal Petition	34.5%	34.7%	25.9%
Total Complaints	2,517	980	1,435
Court Action for Formaeled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	11.9%	10.3%	12.9%
Stet	8.3%	7.1%	9.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	30.1%	26.8%	14.0%
Pending Disposition*	11.6%	14.4%	23.4%
Services Not Ordered	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Probation	23.7%	26.2%	29.0%
Committed to DJS	13.7%	13.2%	10.2%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Other**	0.1%	0.9%	0.5%
Total Formal Complaints	869	340	372

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	454.1	264.6	209.9
Pre-Court	113.1	45.4	60.3
Probation	258.5	158.6	102.4
Aftercare	82.4	60.6	47.2
Committed-Aftercare	56.8	27.1	17.8

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Region 5 population (ages 11-17) increased 5.1% (from 95,954 to 100,870).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 25.9% of complaints were formaled, while 16.8% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 43.0%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 34.5% to 25.9%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 11.4% were High risk, 34.3% were Moderate risk, and 54.3% were Low risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 50.2 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 22.9 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 86.0 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 11.4% to 10.6%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 9.6% to 5.9%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 73.2% to 77.5%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 22.7% (27) to 7.9% (3).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	55.5%	47.0%	55.5%
White	34.2%	42.2%	33.2%
Hispanic/Other	10.3%	10.7%	11.2%
Sex			
Male	70.0%	76.5%	72.7%
Female	30.0%	23.5%	27.3%
Age			
11 and under	4.1%	4.7%	2.9%
12	5.1%	3.6%	5.5%
13	8.8%	4.5%	10.0%
14	14.7%	12.0%	11.1%
15	19.3%	15.4%	17.1%
16	23.2%	24.6%	22.5%
17	23.5%	30.5%	25.0%
18-20	1.2%	4.7%	5.9%
Total Complaints	2,517	980	1,435

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type <small>Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	54.1%	54.7%	75.0%
Assault (Felony)	2.4%	3.1%	11.5%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	24.7%	26.6%	17.3%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.9%	2.3%	1.9%
Handgun Violation	1.7%	7.8%	28.8%
Harassment	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.3%	0.0%	1.9%
Other Person ⁺	17.0%	4.7%	0.0%
Robbery	1.6%	5.5%	7.7%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.6%	3.9%	3.8%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	2.1%	0.8%	1.9%
Property Offenses	26.0%	16.4%	5.8%
Arson	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.3%	1.6%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.6%	2.3%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.0%	0.0%	1.9%
Other Property ⁺	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.8%	0.8%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	8.6%	10.2%	3.8%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.6%	5.5%	1.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.9%	2.3%	1.9%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.7%	3.1%	0.0%
Citations	4.7%	0.8%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.7%	0.8%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	11.0%	22.7%	17.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.4%	6.2%	5.8%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.6%	13.3%	5.8%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.1%	3.1%	5.8%
Total Offenses	2,534	128	52

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

** Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* <small>of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)</small>	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	10.6%	12.0%	26.3%
Felony	5.9%	14.8%	13.2%
- Person-to-Person	1.6%	4.6%	5.3%
- Property	2.7%	1.9%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.4%	2.8%	2.6%
- Unspecified	0.2%	5.6%	5.3%
Misdemeanor	77.5%	73.1%	60.5%
- Person-to-Person	55.3%	59.3%	52.6%
- Property	18.0%	8.3%	5.3%
- Drugs	2.3%	1.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.9%	3.7%	2.6%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.7%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/Placements/Dispositions	1,435	108	38
% of Statewide	13.3%	11.9%	12.3%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Anne Arundel County

49 Old Solomons Island Rd., Suite 300, Annapolis, MD 21401(ph) 410-295-5740;
7500 Ritchie Hwy., Suite 306, Glen Burnie, MD 21061 (ph) 410-412-7115

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	1.6%	4.9%	3.3%
Citizen	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Police*	96.0%	93.0%	95.5%
Violation of Probation**	2.4%	1.4%	1.1%
Total Complaints	1,696	589	966

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAELED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	54.6%	53.8%	67.8%
Informaled	13.5%	8.3%	8.1%
Authorized Formal Petition	31.9%	37.9%	24.1%
Total Complaints	1,696	589	966
Court Action for Formaeled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	12.8%	9.9%	12.9%
Stet	10.4%	8.1%	7.7%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	22.7%	20.2%	10.7%
Pending Disposition*	15.0%	19.7%	26.2%
Services Not Ordered	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Probation	23.7%	26.0%	30.0%
Committed to DJS	15.2%	14.3%	12.4%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	541	223	233

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	323.1	169.6	126.7
Pre-Court	66.2	15.2	11.4
Probation	201.7	110.5	78.4
Aftercare	55.2	43.9	36.8
Committed-Aftercare	46.3	21.6	14.9

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Anne Arundel County population (ages 11-17) increased 6.3% (from 48,698 to 51,775).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 24.1% of complaints were formaled, while 8.1% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 43.0%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 31.9% to 24.1%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 10.3% were High risk, 34.5% were Moderate risk, and 55.2% were Low risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 72.9 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.4 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 102.7 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 13.2% to 10.0%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 8.1% to 4.9%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 73.5% to 79.7%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 23.2% (19) to 6.9% (2).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	56.7%	53.7%	62.6%
White	32.3%	34.8%	26.1%
Hispanic/Other	11.0%	11.5%	11.3%
Sex			
Male	67.1%	76.2%	72.5%
Female	32.9%	23.8%	27.5%
Age			
11 and under	3.6%	4.4%	2.5%
12	5.3%	3.2%	5.9%
13	10.1%	3.4%	9.4%
14	14.8%	12.2%	10.2%
15	20.5%	14.8%	18.8%
16	21.7%	25.8%	23.8%
17	22.8%	30.4%	22.4%
18-20	1.2%	5.8%	6.9%
Total Complaints	1,696	589	966

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	59.6%	56.3%	75.0%
Assault (Felony)	2.5%	1.1%	12.5%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	25.1%	28.7%	15.0%
Carjacking	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.3%	3.4%	2.5%
Handgun Violation	1.9%	11.5%	32.5%
Harassment	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.4%	0.0%	2.5%
Other Person ⁺	22.1%	5.7%	0.0%
Robbery	1.4%	3.4%	2.5%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.2%	2.3%	5.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	2.4%	0.0%	2.5%
Property Offenses	21.7%	12.6%	7.5%
Arson	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.6%	1.1%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.2%	0.0%	2.5%
Other Property ⁺	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.8%	1.1%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	6.5%	10.3%	5.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.8%	6.9%	2.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.9%	2.3%	2.5%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.9%	4.6%	0.0%
Citations	4.0%	1.1%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.5%	1.1%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	10.9%	23.0%	15.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.4%	3.4%	7.5%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.4%	16.1%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	5.9%	3.4%	7.5%
Total Offenses	1,822	87	40

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

** Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	10.0%	5.7%	20.7%
Felony	4.9%	11.4%	17.2%
- Person-to-Person	1.3%	2.9%	6.9%
- Property	1.8%	1.4%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.6%	2.9%	3.4%
- Unspecified	0.2%	4.3%	6.9%
Misdemeanor	79.7%	82.9%	62.1%
- Person-to-Person	58.9%	72.9%	51.7%
- Property	15.5%	4.3%	6.9%
- Drugs	2.7%	2.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.6%	2.9%	3.4%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	966	70	29
% of Statewide	9.0%	7.7%	9.4%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Carroll County

101 N. Court St., Westminster, MD 21157 (ph) 410-871-3600

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	1.6%	0.5%	1.2%
Citizen	1.9%	0.9%	1.2%
Police*	94.9%	98.6%	97.2%
Violation of Probation**	1.6%	0.0%	0.4%
Total Complaints	313	221	246

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	33.9%	39.8%	40.2%
Informaled	36.4%	42.5%	45.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	29.7%	17.6%	14.2%
Total Complaints	313	221	246
Court Action for Formaled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	9.7%	10.3%	22.9%
Stet	10.8%	2.6%	2.9%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	5.1%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	29.0%	33.3%	11.4%
Pending Disposition*	7.5%	0.0%	8.6%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	31.2%	41.0%	54.3%
Committed to DJS	11.8%	7.7%	0.0%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	93	39	35

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	44.4	29.7	32.9
Pre-Court	27.7	19.6	24.1
Probation	12.7	5.9	4.4
Aftercare	3.9	4.1	4.4
Committed-Aftercare	2.6	4.0	1.4

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Carroll County population (ages 11-17) decreased 0.4% (from 15,634 to 15,577).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 14.2% of complaints were formaled, while 45.5% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 21.4%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 29.7% to 14.2%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 100.0% were Moderate risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 29.0 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 23.9 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 64.3 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 0.9% to 6.9%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 10.0% to 6.9%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 78.3% to 79.7%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 9.1% (1) to 0.0% (0).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	14.7%	12.2%	25.6%
White	79.2%	81.0%	67.9%
Hispanic/Other	6.1%	6.8%	6.5%
Sex			
Male	68.7%	74.7%	71.5%
Female	31.3%	25.3%	28.5%
Age			
11 and under	5.8%	6.3%	3.7%
12	4.8%	2.3%	7.7%
13	6.4%	5.4%	15.0%
14	14.1%	11.3%	12.2%
15	18.5%	17.2%	15.9%
16	27.2%	22.2%	20.7%
17	21.4%	32.6%	21.1%
18-20	1.9%	2.7%	3.7%
Total Complaints	313	221	246

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	43.8%	55.0%	0.0%
Assault (Felony)	1.4%	10.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	28.5%	25.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	6.0%	5.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.7%	15.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	32.3%	10.0%	0.0%
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.7%	5.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.2%	5.0%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	4.4%	5.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.4%	5.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.3%	30.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.9%	15.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.1%	5.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	365	20	0

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

^{**} Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	6.9%	15.8%	0.0%
Felony	6.9%	21.1%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.6%	15.8%	0.0%
- Property	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.6%	5.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	79.7%	63.2%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	53.3%	47.4%	0.0%
- Property	23.6%	5.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.8%	10.5%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	3.7%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>246</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.3%</i>	<i>2.1%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Howard County

3451 Court House Dr., Ellicott City, MD 21043 (ph) 410-480-7878

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	0.8%	1.2%	3.6%
Citizen	0.8%	0.6%	6.3%
Police*	96.1%	95.9%	88.8%
Violation of Probation**	2.4%	2.4%	1.3%
Total Complaints	508	170	223

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAELED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	42.5%	28.8%	30.5%
Informaled	11.2%	25.3%	22.9%
Authorized Formal Petition	46.3%	45.9%	46.6%
Total Complaints	508	170	223

Court Action for Formaeled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	10.6%	11.5%	9.6%
Stet	2.6%	6.4%	17.3%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	47.7%	42.3%	22.1%
Pending Disposition*	5.5%	6.4%	22.1%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	20.9%	19.2%	18.3%
Committed to DJS	11.1%	12.8%	8.7%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Other**	0.4%	0.0%	1.9%
Total Formal Complaints	235	78	104

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	86.6	65.3	50.3
Pre-Court	19.1	10.6	24.9
Probation	44.1	42.1	19.5
Aftercare	23.3	12.5	5.9
Committed-Aftercare	7.9	1.4	1.5

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Howard County population (ages 11-17) increased 6.0% (from 31,621 to 33,518).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 46.6% of complaints were formaled, while 22.9% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 56.1%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition increased from 46.3% to 46.6%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 20.0% were High risk, 20.0% were Moderate risk, and 60.0% were Low risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 48.8 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 24.3 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 90.8 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 18.8% to 17.0%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 14.1% to 9.4%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 65.3% to 65.5%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 26.9% (7) to 11.1% (1).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	77.0%	69.4%	57.8%
White	12.8%	17.6%	26.0%
Hispanic/Other	10.2%	12.9%	16.1%
Sex			
Male	80.5%	80.0%	74.9%
Female	19.5%	20.0%	25.1%
Age			
11 and under	4.9%	3.5%	3.6%
12	4.7%	6.5%	1.3%
13	5.7%	7.1%	7.2%
14	14.8%	12.4%	13.9%
15	16.1%	15.3%	10.8%
16	26.0%	23.5%	18.8%
17	27.2%	28.2%	40.8%
18-20	0.6%	3.5%	3.6%
Total Complaints	508	170	223

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	35.7%	47.6%	75.0%
Assault (Felony)	2.6%	4.8%	8.3%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	18.7%	19.0%	25.0%
Carjacking	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	2.0%	0.0%	16.7%
Harassment	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	3.7%	19.0%	25.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.4%	4.8%	0.0%
Property Offenses	42.4%	38.1%	0.0%
Arson	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	3.2%	4.8%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	5.8%	4.8%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	5.2%	14.3%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	17.0%	14.3%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	13.3%	14.3%	25.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.6%	14.3%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	10.4%	0.0%	25.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	347	21	12

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

^{**} Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	17.0%	31.6%	44.4%
Felony	9.4%	21.1%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	5.8%	5.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	15.8%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	65.5%	47.4%	55.6%
- Person-to-Person	42.2%	21.1%	55.6%
- Property	22.4%	26.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.4%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>223</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.1%</i>	<i>2.1%</i>	<i>2.9%</i>

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region 6

Regional Director: Wanda Frink
Counties of: Frederick and Montgomery
6th Circuit Court

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	1.1%	4.4%	3.0%
Citizen	1.3%	2.1%	3.8%
Police*	91.4%	87.9%	90.2%
Violation of Probation**	6.2%	5.6%	3.1%
Total Complaints	1,876	767	1,240

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	33.8%	31.8%	45.2%
Informaled	25.7%	15.3%	20.1%
Authorized Formal Petition	40.5%	52.9%	34.7%
Total Complaints	1,876	767	1,240
Court Action for Formaled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	14.2%	6.4%	6.3%
Stet	6.2%	9.9%	8.8%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.8%	0.2%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	29.1%	30.0%	24.0%
Pending Disposition*	1.3%	5.9%	17.7%
Services Not Ordered	6.9%	8.1%	4.7%
Probation	29.5%	27.3%	26.5%
Committed to DJS	11.6%	11.8%	11.2%
Committed to Other Agency	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%
Other**	0.3%	0.2%	0.7%
Total Formal Complaints	759	406	430

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	397.6	234.7	168.9
Pre-Court	136.1	37.0	47.0
Probation	203.5	147.0	94.4
Aftercare	58.0	50.7	27.4
Committed-Aftercare	58.2	28.1	13.1

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Region 6 population (ages 11-17) increased 4.8% (from 117,826 to 123,458).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 34.7% of complaints were formaled, while 20.1% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 33.9%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 40.5% to 34.7%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 23.7% were High risk, 28.9% were Moderate risk, and 47.4% were Low risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 55.1 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 19.9 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 88.5 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 21.3% to 12.7%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 10.8% to 8.5%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 56.1% to 68.5%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 30.7% (27) to 29.2% (14).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	49.6%	43.8%	44.7%
White	23.0%	26.6%	28.1%
Hispanic/Other	27.3%	29.6%	27.3%
Sex			
Male	76.2%	76.4%	72.9%
Female	23.8%	23.6%	27.1%
Age			
11 and under	1.8%	1.4%	4.0%
12	2.4%	2.0%	4.1%
13	4.9%	4.4%	7.2%
14	10.6%	9.0%	12.6%
15	18.8%	15.9%	21.3%
16	26.4%	24.4%	21.5%
17	29.5%	28.7%	23.7%
18-20	5.7%	14.2%	5.6%
Total Complaints	1,876	767	1,240

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	39.7%	42.4%	51.6%
Assault (Felony)	1.5%	1.3%	3.1%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	17.6%	15.2%	3.1%
Carjacking	0.7%	1.3%	9.4%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.8%	0.7%	1.6%
Handgun Violation	1.5%	6.0%	10.9%
Harassment	1.0%	0.0%	3.1%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.4%	0.0%	6.2%
Other Person ⁺	7.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Robbery	2.9%	7.9%	10.9%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.5%	6.0%	3.1%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.4%	2.6%	0.0%
Property Offenses	33.5%	30.5%	18.8%
Arson	0.5%	1.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	3.3%	3.3%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.8%	5.3%	10.9%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	5.9%	2.0%	4.7%
Malicious Destruction	4.9%	2.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	1.8%	0.7%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	3.7%	0.7%	1.6%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	10.8%	15.2%	1.6%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.8%	0.7%	0.0%
Citations	7.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	5.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	13.5%	25.8%	29.7%
Cons. to Commit Offense	3.4%	8.6%	21.9%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	7.4%	13.9%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	1.3%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.3%	2.0%	7.8%
Total Offenses	2,051	151	64

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

^{**} Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	12.7%	18.4%	47.9%
Felony	8.5%	21.1%	22.9%
- Person-to-Person	1.4%	7.9%	4.2%
- Property	5.8%	4.4%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.8%	8.8%	18.8%
Misdemeanor	68.5%	60.5%	29.2%
- Person-to-Person	47.5%	45.6%	14.6%
- Property	18.2%	12.3%	2.1%
- Drugs	2.1%	0.9%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	1.8%	12.5%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	6.0%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	1,240	114	48
% of Statewide	11.5%	12.6%	15.5%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Frederick County

1888 N. Market St., Frederick, MD 21701 (ph) 301-745-6080

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	0.8%	0.6%	0.6%
Citizen	1.4%	1.7%	6.0%
Police*	91.2%	88.8%	90.1%
Violation of Probation**	6.6%	8.9%	3.4%
Total Complaints	513	179	352

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	40.4%	45.3%	61.9%
Informaled	21.8%	13.4%	14.8%
Authorized Formal Petition	37.8%	41.3%	23.3%
Total Complaints	513	179	352

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	14.9%	9.5%	18.3%
Stet	5.7%	9.5%	6.1%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	27.8%	21.6%	22.0%
Pending Disposition*	2.1%	4.1%	19.5%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	38.7%	44.6%	29.3%
Committed to DJS	8.8%	10.8%	4.9%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	194	74	82

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	90.1	58.4	29.8
Pre-Court	32.4	6.7	7.8
Probation	47.8	40.6	19.8
Aftercare	10.0	11.1	2.2
Committed-Aftercare	13.8	5.4	1.3

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Frederick County population (ages 11-17) increased 11.0% (from 23,997 to 26,647).
- Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):**
 - 23.3% of complaints were formaled, while 14.8% were informaled.
- Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):**
 - Total complaints decreased 31.4%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 37.8% to 23.3%.
 - Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):**
 - For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 33.3% were Moderate risk and 66.7% were Low risk.
- Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):**
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 57.6 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 18.0 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 104.5 days.
- Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 12.3% to 5.1%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 10.6% to 6.0%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 48.6% to 69.9%.
- Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):**
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 17.6% (3) to 0.0% (0).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	44.2%	35.2%	36.1%
White	41.3%	50.3%	37.8%
Hispanic/Other	14.4%	14.5%	26.1%
Sex			
Male	70.6%	70.4%	68.5%
Female	29.4%	29.6%	31.5%
Age			
11 and under	3.1%	3.9%	6.0%
12	3.3%	3.9%	7.4%
13	5.8%	5.0%	9.4%
14	8.8%	8.4%	15.1%
15	17.7%	17.3%	21.6%
16	25.7%	17.3%	22.4%
17	29.4%	31.3%	15.3%
18-20	6.0%	12.8%	2.8%
Total Complaints	513	179	352

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	46.9%	35.5%	55.6%
Assault (Felony)	1.2%	0.0%	11.1%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.2%	6.5%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	0.0%	11.1%
Handgun Violation	0.8%	0.0%	22.2%
Harassment	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	16.2%	3.2%	0.0%
Robbery	1.4%	9.7%	11.1%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.4%	12.9%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.2%	3.2%	0.0%
Property Offenses	22.4%	22.6%	22.2%
Arson	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.6%	0.0%	11.1%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	1.6%	9.7%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	6.0%	3.2%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	2.4%	3.2%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.6%	0.0%	11.1%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	7.8%	6.5%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	13.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.6%	41.9%	22.2%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.4%	0.0%	11.1%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	6.0%	38.7%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.4%	3.2%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.8%	0.0%	11.1%
Total Offenses	499	31	9

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

** Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	5.1%	12.5%	50.0%
Felony	6.0%	20.8%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.7%	16.7%	0.0%
- Property	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	4.2%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	69.9%	66.7%	50.0%
- Person-to-Person	48.6%	54.2%	50.0%
- Property	18.5%	12.5%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	17.3%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>352</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>3.3%</i>	<i>2.7%</i>	<i>1.3%</i>

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Montgomery County

7300 Calhoun Pl., Suite 500, Rockville, MD 20855 (ph) 301- 610-8500

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	1.2%	5.6%	3.9%
Citizen	1.3%	2.2%	2.9%
Police*	91.5%	87.6%	90.2%
Violation of Probation**	6.0%	4.6%	2.9%
Total Complaints	1,363	588	888

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAELED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	31.3%	27.7%	38.6%
Informaled	27.2%	15.8%	22.2%
Authorized Formal Petition	41.5%	56.5%	39.2%
Total Complaints	1,363	588	888
Court Action for Formaeled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	14.0%	5.7%	3.4%
Stet	6.4%	9.9%	9.5%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	29.6%	31.9%	24.4%
Pending Disposition*	1.1%	6.3%	17.2%
Services Not Ordered	9.2%	9.9%	5.7%
Probation	26.4%	23.5%	25.9%
Committed to DJS	12.6%	12.0%	12.6%
Committed to Other Agency	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%
Other**	0.4%	0.3%	0.9%
Total Formal Complaints	565	332	348

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	307.5	176.3	139.1
Pre-Court	103.7	30.3	39.2
Probation	155.7	106.5	74.6
Aftercare	48.0	39.6	25.2
Committed-Aftercare	44.5	22.7	11.9

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Montgomery County population (ages 11-17) increased 3.2% (from 93,829 to 96,811).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 39.2% of complaints were formaled, while 22.2% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 34.8%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 41.5% to 39.2%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 31.0% were High risk, 27.6% were Moderate risk, and 41.4% were Low risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 52.5 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 21.8 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 72.4 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 24.0% to 15.7%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 10.9% to 9.6%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 58.3% to 67.9%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 33.8% (24) to 31.8% (14).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	51.7%	46.4%	48.1%
White	16.1%	19.4%	24.2%
Hispanic/Other	32.2%	34.2%	27.7%
Sex			
Male	78.3%	78.2%	74.7%
Female	21.7%	21.8%	25.3%
Age			
11 and under	1.3%	0.7%	3.3%
12	2.1%	1.4%	2.8%
13	4.5%	4.3%	6.3%
14	11.2%	9.2%	11.6%
15	19.1%	15.5%	21.2%
16	26.7%	26.5%	21.1%
17	29.5%	27.9%	27.0%
18-20	5.5%	14.6%	6.8%
Total Complaints	1,363	588	888

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	37.4%	44.2%	50.9%
Assault (Felony)	1.6%	1.7%	1.8%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	16.5%	17.5%	3.6%
Carjacking	1.0%	1.7%	10.9%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	3.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.7%	7.5%	9.1%
Harassment	1.0%	0.0%	3.6%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.5%	0.0%	7.3%
Other Person ⁺	4.4%	0.8%	0.0%
Robbery	3.4%	7.5%	10.9%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.6%	4.2%	3.6%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.5%	2.5%	0.0%
Property Offenses	37.1%	32.5%	18.2%
Arson	0.5%	1.7%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	4.1%	4.2%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.2%	6.7%	10.9%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	7.2%	0.0%	5.5%
Malicious Destruction	4.5%	1.7%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	4.4%	0.8%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	11.7%	17.5%	1.8%
Drug-Related Offenses	2.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.7%	0.8%	0.0%
Citations	7.7%	0.8%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	5.6%	0.8%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	14.8%	21.7%	30.9%
Cons. to Commit Offense	4.3%	10.8%	23.6%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	7.9%	7.5%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	0.8%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.1%	2.5%	7.3%
Total Offenses	1,552	120	55

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

^{**} Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	15.7%	20.0%	47.7%
Felony	9.6%	21.1%	25.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.2%	5.6%	4.5%
- Property	6.8%	5.6%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.9%	10.0%	20.5%
Misdemeanor	67.9%	58.9%	27.3%
- Person-to-Person	47.1%	43.3%	11.4%
- Property	18.1%	12.2%	2.3%
- Drugs	2.0%	1.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	2.2%	13.6%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	1.6%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	888	90	44
% of Statewide	8.2%	10.0%	14.2%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region 7

Regional Director: Douglas Mohler

Counties of: Calvert, Charles, St. Mary's, and Prince George's
7th Judicial Circuit

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	3.0%	8.4%	5.3%
Citizen	5.4%	5.6%	8.1%
Police*	89.4%	84.6%	85.4%
Violation of Probation**	2.2%	1.5%	1.2%
Total Complaints	2,186	1,026	1,891

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	51.9%	46.0%	54.0%
Informaled	14.6%	13.5%	12.2%
Authorized Formal Petition	33.5%	40.5%	33.8%
Total Complaints	2,186	1,026	1,891
Court Action for Formaled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	16.8%	15.4%	18.0%
Stet	9.8%	9.6%	12.8%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	32.6%	25.0%	9.7%
Pending Disposition*	4.6%	8.9%	23.9%
Services Not Ordered	0.3%	0.7%	0.2%
Probation	25.0%	29.6%	28.1%
Committed to DJS	9.0%	8.7%	5.2%
Committed to Other Agency	0.3%	1.0%	0.2%
Other**	1.6%	1.2%	1.9%
Total Formal Complaints	733	416	640

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	480.9	233.5	209.3
Pre-Court	98.3	34.1	60.0
Probation	283.8	140.4	117.3
Aftercare	98.9	59.0	32.0
Committed-Aftercare	55.1	18.1	17.7

* Average daily active cases

• U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Region 7 population (ages 11-17) increased 7.6% (from 113,959 to 122,651).

• Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 33.8% of complaints were formaled, while 12.2% were informaled.

• Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 13.5%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition increased from 33.5% to 33.8%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 23.1% were High risk, 21.5% were Moderate risk, and 55.4% were Low risk.

• Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 67.7 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.4 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 88.6 days.

• Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 24.1% to 14.8%.
- The percentage of Felonies did not change from 9.6% to 9.6%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 55.9% to 66.6%.

• Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 24.2% (16) to 12.1% (4).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	68.3%	66.4%	69.5%
White	20.9%	22.7%	20.8%
Hispanic/Other	10.8%	10.9%	9.6%
Sex			
Male	71.8%	76.2%	69.8%
Female	28.2%	23.8%	30.2%
Age			
11 and under	3.6%	4.9%	3.5%
12	4.2%	4.4%	5.8%
13	10.9%	8.6%	9.8%
14	13.0%	12.2%	15.7%
15	18.5%	18.1%	18.9%
16	22.7%	17.4%	20.8%
17	23.3%	27.1%	20.3%
18-20	3.8%	7.3%	5.1%
Total Complaints	2,186	1,026	1,891

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	52.0%	56.0%	64.6%
Assault (Felony)	2.7%	2.2%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	21.1%	13.4%	10.4%
Carjacking	3.4%	7.8%	27.1%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%	3.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	3.6%	13.4%	12.5%
Harassment	0.6%	0.4%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.2%	0.0%	2.1%
Murder	0.4%	0.0%	2.1%
Other Person ⁺	8.9%	4.3%	0.0%
Robbery	6.3%	7.8%	10.4%
Sex Offense (Felony)	1.9%	2.6%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.1%	1.3%	0.0%
Property Offenses	31.0%	33.6%	18.8%
Arson	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	3.0%	4.3%	14.6%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.6%	1.7%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.4%	9.1%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	2.9%	1.3%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	4.8%	2.2%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	8.8%	13.8%	4.2%
Drug-Related Offenses	1.6%	0.4%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Citations	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	9.1%	9.9%	16.7%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.4%	4.3%	8.3%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.3%	1.7%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.6%	1.3%	2.1%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	4.8%	2.6%	6.2%
Total Offenses	3,577	232	48

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

^{**} Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	14.8%	23.3%	54.5%
Felony	9.6%	11.1%	18.2%
- Person-to-Person	1.9%	2.8%	3.0%
- Property	6.7%	4.4%	9.1%
- Drugs	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	3.9%	6.1%
Misdemeanor	66.6%	65.6%	27.3%
- Person-to-Person	48.9%	37.2%	18.2%
- Property	14.4%	25.0%	3.0%
- Drugs	1.0%	0.6%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.3%	2.8%	6.1%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	7.9%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	1.2%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	1,891	180	33
% of Statewide	17.5%	19.9%	10.7%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Calvert County

200 Duke St., Suite 2800, Prince Frederick, MD 20678 (ph) 443-550-6275

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	0.3%	0.6%	0.4%
Citizen	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Police*	99.7%	98.9%	99.6%
Violation of Probation**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints	358	181	277

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	67.0%	61.9%	64.6%
Informaled	18.4%	19.9%	19.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	14.5%	18.2%	15.9%
Total Complaints	358	181	277

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	23.1%	27.3%	38.6%
Stet	19.2%	21.2%	11.4%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	15.4%	27.3%	6.8%
Pending Disposition*	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Services Not Ordered	1.9%	3.0%	2.3%
Probation	23.1%	9.1%	31.8%
Committed to DJS	11.5%	9.1%	9.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	1.9%	3.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	52	33	44

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	53.2	28.0	24.2
Pre-Court	19.1	8.9	12.3
Probation	30.1	16.8	9.8
Aftercare	4.0	2.4	2.1
Committed-Aftercare	4.2	2.5	2.1

* Average daily active cases

• U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Calvert County population (ages 11-17) increased 1.2% (from 9,067 to 9,173).

• Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 15.9% of complaints were formalized, while 19.5% were informaled.

• Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 22.6%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition increased from 14.5% to 15.9%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- There were no Pre-Dispositional Detention admissions.

• Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 15.5 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 30.2 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 106.5 days.

• Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 6.6% to 5.1%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 1.7% to 5.1%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 62.4% to 57.4%.

• Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- There were no commitments resulting from VOP in either year.

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	33.8%	32.0%	36.5%
White	62.8%	61.9%	59.9%
Hispanic/Other	3.4%	6.1%	3.6%
Sex			
Male	69.6%	68.5%	63.2%
Female	30.4%	31.5%	36.8%
Age			
11 and under	3.6%	6.1%	3.6%
12	5.0%	5.0%	5.8%
13	7.5%	14.4%	11.2%
14	10.9%	16.0%	13.7%
15	14.8%	13.3%	19.5%
16	23.5%	16.0%	21.7%
17	33.0%	28.2%	22.0%
18-20	1.7%	1.1%	2.5%
Total Complaints	358	181	277

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	31.8%	53.3%	0.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.7%	6.7%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	18.7%	13.3%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	4.0%	6.7%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Harassment	0.2%	6.7%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	7.2%	13.3%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.5%	6.7%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	34.3%	20.0%	66.7%
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	2.8%	0.0%	66.7%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	4.9%	6.7%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	7.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	2.3%	13.3%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.5%	6.7%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	2.1%	6.7%	0.0%
Citations	21.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	15.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	5.6%	20.0%	33.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	3.5%	13.3%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.1%	0.0%	33.3%
Total Offenses	428	15	6

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

** Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	5.1%	14.3%	0.0%
Felony	5.1%	14.3%	50.0%
- Person-to-Person	1.1%	7.1%	0.0%
- Property	2.5%	0.0%	50.0%
- Drugs	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	57.4%	71.4%	50.0%
- Person-to-Person	45.1%	50.0%	0.0%
- Property	10.1%	14.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.1%	7.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.1%	0.0%	50.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	27.8%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	4.7%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions</i>	<i>277</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>% of Statewide</i>	<i>2.6%</i>	<i>1.5%</i>	<i>1.3%</i>

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Charles County

200 Kent Ave., La Plata, MD 20646 (ph) 301-392-6900

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	1.8%	6.1%	3.5%
Citizen	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	97.7%	93.9%	95.8%
Violation of Probation**	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Total Complaints	398	179	310

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAELED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	73.6%	55.9%	62.6%
Informaled	3.0%	2.2%	10.3%
Authorized Formal Petition	23.4%	41.9%	27.1%
Total Complaints	398	179	310

Court Action for Formaeled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	17.2%	25.3%	28.6%
Stet	10.8%	8.0%	23.8%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	11.8%	12.0%	9.5%
Pending Disposition*	1.1%	13.3%	9.5%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Probation	49.5%	36.0%	23.8%
Committed to DJS	9.7%	2.7%	3.6%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	2.7%	1.2%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	93	75	84

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	54.0	38.2	27.1
Pre-Court	2.6	1.0	5.9
Probation	40.7	25.8	17.5
Aftercare	10.7	11.4	3.7
Committed-Aftercare	9.3	3.4	3.6

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Charles County population (ages 11-17) increased 7.8% (from 15,569 to 16,787).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 27.1% of complaints were formaled, while 10.3% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 22.1%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition increased from 23.4% to 27.1%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 25.0% were High risk, 62.5% were Moderate risk, and 12.5% were Low risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 154.6 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 16.0 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 85.1 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 20.7% to 11.9%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 10.1% to 7.1%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 64.2% to 73.2%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 0.0% (0) to 33.3% (1).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	80.2%	77.1%	80.3%
White	13.6%	17.3%	12.3%
Hispanic/Other	6.3%	5.6%	7.4%
Sex			
Male	72.4%	83.2%	68.4%
Female	27.6%	16.8%	31.6%
Age			
11 and under	4.3%	3.4%	3.5%
12	3.8%	2.2%	5.2%
13	14.6%	5.0%	3.5%
14	15.6%	5.6%	13.9%
15	16.6%	19.0%	14.5%
16	22.4%	26.8%	29.4%
17	20.4%	27.4%	18.4%
18-20	2.5%	10.6%	11.6%
Total Complaints	398	179	310

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	58.0%	41.2%	66.7%
Assault (Felony)	2.7%	5.9%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	29.2%	11.8%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	2.1%	2.9%	66.7%
Harassment	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	14.0%	14.7%	0.0%
Robbery	2.1%	2.9%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	4.5%	2.9%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Offenses	28.2%	55.9%	33.3%
Arson	1.4%	2.9%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	0.8%	2.9%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	2.3%	2.9%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.9%	11.8%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.6%	14.7%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	3.9%	2.9%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	2.1%	2.9%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	6.2%	14.7%	33.3%
Drug-Related Offenses	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses**	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	5.6%	2.9%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.4%	2.9%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses	486	34	3

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

** Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	11.9%	15.0%	66.7%
Felony	7.1%	15.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	3.9%	5.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.9%	10.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	73.2%	70.0%	33.3%
- Person-to-Person	54.2%	50.0%	0.0%
- Property	17.7%	20.0%	33.3%
- Drugs	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	310	20	3
% of Statewide	2.9%	2.2%	1.0%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Prince George's County

14735 Main St., Suite M0400, Upper Marlboro, MD 20772 (ph) 301-952-2580; 1100 Mercantile Lane, Suite 120, Upper Marlboro, MD 20774 (ph) 301-386-6240

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	5.5%	15.2%	10.7%
Citizen	11.4%	12.3%	19.0%
Police*	78.7%	70.0%	68.3%
Violation of Probation**	4.4%	2.4%	2.0%
Total Complaints	1,029	454	810

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMAELED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	38.1%	29.7%	35.1%
Informaled	14.4%	13.4%	12.6%
Authorized Formal Petition	47.5%	56.8%	52.3%
Total Complaints	1,029	454	810

Court Action for Formaeled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	12.9%	8.9%	10.6%
Stet	6.5%	8.1%	11.8%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	41.9%	31.8%	9.0%
Pending Disposition*	5.9%	8.9%	33.0%
Services Not Ordered	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Probation	21.5%	30.2%	27.1%
Committed to DJS	8.4%	10.1%	5.7%
Committed to Other Agency	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	2.2%	1.6%	2.6%
Total Formal Complaints	489	258	424

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	312.2	139.4	130.3
Pre-Court	55.7	15.3	31.7
Probation	180.8	83.4	75.3
Aftercare	75.6	40.8	23.3
Committed-Aftercare	34.2	8.9	9.5

* Average daily active cases

U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Prince George's County population (ages 11-17) increased 9.0% (from 78,378 to 85,435).

Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 52.3% of complaints were formaled, while 12.6% were informaled.

Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints decreased 21.3%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition increased from 47.5% to 52.3%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 20.4% were High risk, 14.3% were Moderate risk, and 65.3% were Low risk.

Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 64.4 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 20.5 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 108.9 days.

Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 40.3% to 25.2%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 13.9% to 15.7%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 43.2% to 58.4%.

Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 39.0% (16) to 8.3% (2).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	80.3%	79.3%	80.4%
White	1.9%	2.6%	3.0%
Hispanic/Other	17.8%	18.1%	16.7%
Sex			
Male	77.7%	81.9%	79.6%
Female	22.3%	18.1%	20.4%
Age			
11 and under	1.3%	3.5%	1.5%
12	2.9%	4.4%	4.4%
13	9.3%	8.6%	10.6%
14	12.3%	13.7%	15.2%
15	20.5%	19.6%	20.5%
16	23.3%	15.0%	20.1%
17	24.8%	25.3%	21.9%
18-20	5.5%	9.9%	5.8%
Total Complaints	1,029	454	810

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	54.1%	68.4%	73.0%
Assault (Felony)	4.0%	1.3%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	14.8%	15.8%	10.8%
Carjacking	6.3%	11.8%	35.1%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%	3.9%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	5.8%	19.1%	8.1%
Harassment	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.3%	0.0%	2.7%
Murder	0.8%	0.0%	2.7%
Other Person ⁺	5.4%	1.3%	0.0%
Robbery	10.9%	11.2%	13.5%
Sex Offense (Felony)	2.1%	2.6%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Property Offenses	32.0%	23.0%	10.8%
Arson	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	4.2%	4.6%	8.1%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.3%	0.7%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	7.2%	2.6%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	10.5%	15.1%	2.7%
Drug-Related Offenses	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	10.9%	8.6%	16.2%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.5%	5.3%	10.8%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	0.7%	2.0%	2.7%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	7.2%	1.3%	2.7%
Total Offenses	1,951	152	37

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

^{**} Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	25.2%	32.2%	66.7%
Felony	15.7%	11.3%	16.7%
- Person-to-Person	2.3%	2.6%	4.2%
- Property	12.0%	3.5%	4.2%
- Drugs	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.4%	5.2%	8.3%
Misdemeanor	58.4%	56.5%	16.7%
- Person-to-Person	38.9%	38.3%	16.7%
- Property	14.2%	17.4%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.8%	0.9%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	810	115	24
% of Statewide	7.5%	12.7%	7.8%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

St. Mary's County

23110 Leonard Hall Dr., Suite 1040, Leonardtown, MD 20650 (ph) 301-880-2850

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	0.0%	2.4%	0.2%
Citizen	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Police*	99.5%	95.8%	99.0%
Violation of Probation**	0.5%	1.9%	0.8%
Total Complaints	401	212	494

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	52.1%	59.0%	73.7%
Informaled	23.2%	17.5%	8.5%
Authorized Formal Petition	24.7%	23.6%	17.8%
Total Complaints	401	212	494

Court Action for Formaled Cases

Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	32.3%	26.0%	33.0%
Stet	20.2%	12.0%	8.0%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	15.2%	8.0%	14.8%
Pending Disposition*	2.0%	8.0%	5.7%
Services Not Ordered	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
Probation	20.2%	30.0%	35.2%
Committed to DJS	10.1%	10.0%	2.3%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%
Total Formal Complaints	99	50	88

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	61.6	27.8	27.8
Pre-Court	20.8	9.0	10.2
Probation	32.2	14.5	14.7
Aftercare	8.5	4.4	2.9
Committed-Aftercare	7.5	3.3	2.5

* Average daily active cases

• U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:

- Between July 2017 and July 2021, the St. Mary's County population (ages 11-17) increased 2.8% (from 10,945 to 11,256).

• Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):

- 17.8% of complaints were formaled, while 8.5% were informaled.

• Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):

- Total complaints increased 23.2%.
- The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 24.7% to 17.8%.
- Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.

• Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 37.5% were High risk, 25.0% were Moderate risk, and 37.5% were Low risk.

• Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):

- Time from offense to intake referral date was 36.3 days.
- Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 15.1 days.
- Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 53.9 days.

• Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 7.1% to 5.1%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 6.6% to 3.6%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 70.8% to 81.0%.

• Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):

- The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP increased from 0.0% (0) to 50.0% (1).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	56.9%	59.0%	63.6%
White	39.2%	36.8%	33.6%
Hispanic/Other	4.0%	4.2%	2.8%
Sex			
Male	58.1%	64.6%	58.3%
Female	41.9%	35.4%	41.7%
Age			
11 and under	9.0%	8.0%	6.9%
12	7.2%	5.7%	8.5%
13	14.2%	6.6%	11.7%
14	14.2%	11.3%	18.8%
15	18.5%	18.4%	18.8%
16	20.9%	16.0%	16.0%
17	13.7%	29.7%	17.8%
18-20	2.2%	4.2%	1.4%
Total Complaints	401	212	494

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	54.4%	12.9%	100.0%
Assault (Felony)	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	34.1%	3.2%	50.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Child Abuse	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.7%	3.2%	50.0%
Harassment	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Person ⁺	15.9%	3.2%	0.0%
Robbery	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Felony)	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	0.6%	3.2%	0.0%
Property Offenses	28.1%	67.7%	0.0%
Arson	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	1.4%	6.5%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	8.6%	48.4%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	6.7%	12.9%	0.0%
Drug-Related Offenses	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Alcohol Violation	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	8.6%	19.4%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	5.2%	6.5%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.1%	12.9%	0.0%
Total Offenses	712	31	2

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

^{**} Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	3.6%	6.5%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	2.6%	6.5%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	81.0%	93.5%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	64.0%	19.4%	100.0%
- Property	15.2%	61.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.4%	12.9%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	8.5%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	1.8%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	494	31	2
% of Statewide	4.6%	3.4%	0.6%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Region 8

300 N. Gay Street, Baltimore, MD 21202 443-263-8796;
53 N. Hilton Street, Baltimore, MD 21229 410-362-4400

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	6.8%	17.4%	19.1%
Citizen	5.0%	1.7%	4.3%
Police*	80.4%	77.8%	73.4%
Violation of Probation**	7.8%	3.1%	3.2%
Total Complaints	1,008	582	744

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers
** Includes only technical violations

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION FOR FORMALED CASES[†], FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	12.8%	8.9%	24.6%
Informaled	5.9%	7.0%	9.8%
Authorized Formal Petition	81.3%	84.0%	65.6%
Total Complaints	1,008	582	744
Court Action for Formaled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	5.0%	7.2%	5.9%
Stet	0.4%	0.0%	0.2%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	57.1%	46.2%	36.1%
Pending Disposition*	5.1%	9.0%	21.9%
Services Not Ordered	1.8%	0.6%	0.2%
Probation	17.2%	20.2%	21.9%
Committed to DJS	12.9%	16.8%	13.7%
Committed to Other Agency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other**	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Formal Complaints	820	489	488

[†] Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

AVERAGE CASELOAD*, FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	468.9	316.4	287.5
Pre-Court	19.3	14.7	24.5
Probation	315.0	197.9	185.6
Aftercare	134.7	103.9	77.4
Committed-Aftercare	60.0	23.0	24.4

* Average daily active cases

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Regional Director: Olusola Ogunranti
Baltimore City
8th Judicial Circuit

- *U.S. Census and Maryland Department of Planning Estimation Data:*
 - Between July 2017 and July 2021, the Baltimore City population (ages 11-17) decreased 1.7% (from 47,215 to 46,431).
- *Intake Case Forwarding Decisions (FY 2022):*
 - 65.6% of complaints were formaled, while 9.8% were informaled.
- *Intake Trends (FY 2020-2022):*
 - Total complaints decreased 26.2%.
 - The percentage of total complaints authorized for formal petition decreased from 81.3% to 65.6%.
 - *Note: Many 2022 cases are still pending court action, so a disposition trend is not presented.*
- *Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (FY 2022):*
 - For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, 28.7% were High risk, 41.5% were Moderate risk, and 29.9% were Low risk.
- *Time Frames - Averages (FY 2022):*
 - Time from offense to intake referral date was 68.6 days.
 - Time from intake referral date to case forwarding decision was 11.8 days.
 - Time from case forwarding decision to sustained adjudication was 81.7 days.
- *Offense Category for Intake Cases (FY 2021-2022):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 40.0% to 33.7%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 33.0% to 21.2%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 26.5% to 44.8%.
- *Commitments for VOP (FY 2020-2022):*
 - The percentage of commitments resulting from VOP decreased from 14.2% (15) to 13.4% (9).

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	95.6%	94.0%	93.8%
White	2.4%	3.1%	3.6%
Hispanic/Other	2.0%	2.9%	2.6%
Sex			
Male	83.7%	89.3%	82.8%
Female	16.3%	10.7%	17.2%
Age			
11 and under	2.3%	0.2%	3.0%
12	3.5%	2.7%	2.6%
13	7.0%	6.0%	6.7%
14	14.8%	16.8%	12.1%
15	24.3%	25.8%	21.5%
16	20.9%	18.6%	19.0%
17	23.8%	24.7%	26.3%
18-20	3.4%	5.2%	8.9%
Total Complaints	1,008	582	744

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Offense Type Includes all offenses in complaint(s) (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Charges ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Person-to-Person	58.5%	55.0%	73.9%
Assault (Felony)	3.9%	0.7%	11.7%
Assault (Misdemeanor)	15.2%	5.0%	0.9%
Carjacking	3.8%	2.1%	6.3%
Child Abuse	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	6.5%	3.6%	0.9%
Handgun Violation	10.7%	37.1%	24.3%
Harassment	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.1%	0.0%	0.9%
Murder	1.7%	0.0%	3.6%
Other Person ⁺	3.2%	0.0%	1.8%
Robbery	8.6%	5.0%	18.9%
Sex Offense (Felony)	3.5%	0.0%	3.6%
Sex Offense (Misd.)	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
Property Offenses	25.6%	28.6%	5.4%
Arson	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	7.6%	2.1%	2.7%
Burglary/Break (Felony)	3.3%	0.0%	0.9%
Burglary/Break (Misd.)	0.7%	5.7%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Property ⁺	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Felony)	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft (Misdemeanor)	7.9%	20.7%	1.8%
Drug-Related Offenses	3.8%	2.9%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	2.7%	2.9%	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.6%	0.0%	1.8%
Alcohol Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Possession of Marj. <10g	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Tobacco Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Traffic Viol. Non-Incarc.	0.4%	0.0%	1.8%
Vaporizer Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS Offenses ^{**}	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	1.3%	0.7%	0.0%
Uncategorized Offenses	10.3%	12.9%	18.9%
Cons. to Commit Offense	6.3%	2.1%	5.4%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Felony	1.3%	3.6%	8.1%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	2.1%	7.1%	5.4%
Total Offenses	1,779	140	111

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

⁺ The most common offenses for Other Person and Other Property are listed beginning on page 242.

^{**} Includes Runaway, Truant, and Ungovernable

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022


Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases ¹	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	33.7%	11.2%	56.7%
Felony	21.2%	12.1%	14.9%
- Person-to-Person	2.4%	0.9%	3.0%
- Property	14.9%	2.8%	3.0%
- Drugs	3.6%	2.8%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.3%	5.6%	9.0%
Misdemeanor	44.8%	76.6%	28.4%
- Person-to-Person	34.9%	51.4%	23.9%
- Property	8.7%	17.8%	1.5%
- Drugs	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.5%	7.5%	3.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Placements/Dispositions	744	107	67
% of Statewide	6.9%	11.8%	21.7%

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.


AVERAGE LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES



¹ Average LOS for releases from a treatment program

² Average LOS on aftercare following release from a treatment program

DETENTION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 RELEASES*



* Selected time intervals are based on statute, code, and policy.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Pre-Court Supervision

Pre-Court supervision in Maryland is a statewide program that serves to divert youth from formal juvenile court processing. Also referred to as “informal adjustment,” it is a way of holding youth accountable for their actions while avoiding formal processing in the courts. A DJS intake officer can offer Pre-Court supervision when it is determined that the juvenile court has jurisdiction, but it would be in the best interests of the public and the youth to handle the complaint without judicial action. Youth referred for less serious offenses and with a lower risk of recidivism are more likely to be handled informally. Youth with non-violent felony offenses can also be referred for Pre-Court. Approval is required from the States Attorney’s Office for violent felonies. A Pre-Court supervision agreement is a contract unique to the case that outlines the purpose, proposed length, conditions of behavior, and services to be accessed. The parties agree to participate, and intake staff provides support to ensure that youth and families can access services where applicable. Conditions may include short-term participation in an Evening Reporting Center (ERC) program (for first-time, serious offenders), participation in Multi-Systems Therapy (MST) or Functional Family Therapy (FFT), referrals to Maryland Coalition of Families (MCF) to work with a family peer support specialist, Neighborhood Youth Panels, teen court, paying restitution, completing community service, or specialized substance abuse/mental health counseling or treatment programs. The assigned Intake Case Management Supervisor maintains contacts with the youth, parent/guardian, and service providers to provide support in ensuring progress in meeting the Pre-Court supervision agreement terms. The Department must make reasonable efforts to contact the victim prior to proceed with the Pre-Court supervision agreement.

If the conditions of the agreement are met, the case is generally closed. If terms are not successfully met, the case may be sent to the State’s Attorney for formal processing or closed. All parties to the agreement and the arresting officer are informed about the failure to complete the terms, and the subsequent decision about the case, and all have a right to appeal.

COMPLAINTS PLACED ON PRE-COURT SUPERVISION BY REGION, FY 2020-2022

Region	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Region 1	7.1%	7.9%	5.9%
Region 2	5.2%	7.2%	6.2%
Region 3	10.8%	13.2%	10.0%
Region 4	12.1%	7.5%	10.2%
Region 5	19.0%	22.4%	19.0%
Region 6	25.1%	17.6%	19.2%
Region 7	15.2%	16.2%	19.6%
Region 8	5.5%	8.0%	9.9%
Total Cases	2,089	876	1,315

CASES BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	53.8%	49.3%	54.5%
White	34.9%	40.2%	36.7%
Hispanic/Other	11.3%	10.5%	8.7%
Sex			
Male	70.9%	73.7%	71.8%
Female	29.1%	26.3%	28.2%
Age			
11 and under	5.7%	4.6%	4.0%
12	6.1%	5.3%	7.0%
13	11.2%	8.0%	12.2%
14	14.9%	12.3%	17.8%
15	19.5%	20.0%	19.3%
16	20.3%	17.6%	21.5%
17	20.4%	29.1%	17.0%
18-20	1.8%	3.2%	1.3%
Total Cases	2,089	876	1,315

• Placement Trends:

- Overall placements to Pre-Court supervision decreased 37.1% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 50.1% from FY 2021 to FY 2022.
- The highest percentage of placements to Pre-Court supervision came from Region 6 in FY 2020, Region 5 in FY 2021 and from Region 7 in FY 2022.

• Offense Category (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 13.0% to 12.2%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 17.7% to 12.5%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 63.7% to 70.4%.
- Misdemeanors comprised over two-thirds of all alleged offenses for youth placed on Pre-Court supervision in FY 2022.
- See the *Terms and Concepts* pages in the introduction section for an explanation of *Crime of Violence*, *Felony*, and *Misdemeanor*, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

• Completion Status (FY 2022):

- Statewide, nearly two thirds of youth placed on Pre-Court supervision completed the terms of their agreement.
- The percentage of successful completions ranged from 34.3% to 100% for counties having more than 10 releases.

• Length of Stay (FY 2022):

- Nearly half of youth released from Pre-Court supervision had a LOS of 61-90 days.

For a detailed description of the DJS COVID-19 response and reforms see page vi.

CASES BY OFFENSE, FY 2022

Most Serious Alleged Offense	FY2022
Offense Type	
Arson	1.1%
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	4.4%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	9.5%
Carjacking	0.2%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	0.2%
Deadly Weapon	1.7%
Disturbing the Peace	1.6%
First Degree Assault-Felony	3.0%
Handgun Violation	0.9%
Malicious Destruction	7.3%
Manslaughter	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.0%
Murder	0.0%
Narcotics (CDS-Felony)	2.6%
Narcotics (CDS-Misd.)	3.0%
Other/Missing ¹	7.8%
Resisting Arrest	0.6%
Robbery	3.3%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	35.7%
Sex Offense	3.3%
Theft Felony	2.7%
Theft Misdemeanor	7.5%
Trespassing	0.3%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.4%
Offense Category	
Crime of Violence*	12.2%
Felony	12.5%
- Person-to-Person	2.1%
- Property	7.5%
- Drugs	2.6%
- Unspecified	0.2%
Misdemeanor	70.4%
- Person-to-Person	47.0%
- Property	19.8%
- Drugs	2.1%
- Unspecified	1.5%
Ordinance Offenses	0.2%
Citations	1.8%
CINS	3.0%
Total Cases	1,315

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcoholic Bev. Violation, Assault Weapon, Cruelty to Animals (misdemeanor), False Alarm, False Report, Fraud-Misdemeanor, Harassment, Motor Vehicle Tampering, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, Threat of Mass Violence, Truant, Ungovernable, Unspecified Felony, Unlawful Visual Surveillance of Private Place, and Violation of Local Ordinance.


* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

CASE CLOSURES AND COMPLETION STATUS BY COUNTY/REGION, FY 2022

Region/County	Releases	Successful	Unsuccessful [^]
Region 1	68	77.9%	22.1%
Dorchester	9	66.7%	33.3%
Somerset	4	50.0%	50.0%
Wicomico	10	70.0%	30.0%
Worcester	45	84.4%	15.6%
Region 2	77	84.4%	15.6%
Caroline	7	71.4%	28.6%
Cecil	50	82.0%	18.0%
Kent	1	100.0%	0.0%
Queen Anne's	13	100.0%	0.0%
Talbot	6	83.3%	16.7%
Region 3	118	77.1%	22.9%
Baltimore Co.	99	75.8%	24.2%
Harford	19	84.2%	15.8%
Region 4	116	74.1%	25.9%
Allegany	37	83.8%	16.2%
Garrett	28	85.7%	14.3%
Washington	51	60.8%	39.2%
Region 5	222	67.6%	32.4%
Anne Arundel	33	57.6%	42.4%
Carroll	99	76.8%	23.2%
Howard	90	61.1%	38.9%
Region 6	210	60.5%	39.5%
Frederick	29	79.3%	20.7%
Montgomery	181	57.5%	42.5%
Region 7	220	64.5%	35.5%
Calvert	43	46.5%	53.5%
Charles	31	93.5%	6.5%
Prince George's	101	55.4%	44.6%
St. Mary's	45	82.2%	17.8%
Region 8	102	34.3%	65.7%
Baltimore City	102	34.3%	65.7%
Statewide	1,133	66.1%	33.9%

[^] Includes withdraw of consent by a third party as well as SAO overrides

PRE-COURT SUPERVISION LOS (DAYS), FY 2022 CASE CLOSURES*



* Basic agreement is 90 days but can be extended by a court to 180 days.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Pre-Court Supervision (cont.)

PRE-COURT SERVICE AND PROVIDER OPTIONS, FY 2022

Region	Service	Location
Region 1	Community Conferencing	All Counties in Region
	Family Centered Treatment (FCT)	All Counties in Region
	Family Preservation (DSS in-home)	All Counties in Region
	Functional Family Therapy (FFT)	All Counties in Region
	Maryland Coalition of Families (MCF)	All Counties in Region
	Mobile Crisis	All Counties in Region
	Victim Awareness	All Counties in Region
	Wraparound MD	All Counties in Region
	Anger Management	Wicomico
	Choices	Wicomico
	Evening Reporting Center (ERC)	Wicomico
	Kumon Learning	Wicomico
	Mentoring	Wicomico
	Tri County Mediation	Wicomico
Region 2	Community Conferencing	All Counties in Region
	Family Centered Treatment (FCT)	All Counties in Region
	Functional Family Therapy (FFT)	All Counties in Region
	Maryland Coalition of Families (MCF)	All Counties in Region
	Mobile Crisis	All Counties in Region
	Victim Awareness	All Counties in Region
	Wraparound MD	All Counties in Region
	Teen Court (Diversion)	Caroline and Talbot
	Mediation	Cecil
	Neighborhood Youth Panel	Cecil
Region 3	Anger Management	Both Counties in Region
	Choice	Both Counties in Region
	Family Centered Treatment (FCT)	Both Counties in Region
	Functional Family Therapy (FFT)	Both Counties in Region
	Maryland Coalition of Families (MCF)	Both Counties in Region
	Victim Awareness	Both Counties in Region
	Youth Advocate Program (YAP)	Both Counties in Region
	Community Conferencing (CRC)	Baltimore County
	JOINS	Baltimore County
	Multisystemic Therapy-Emerging Adults (MST-EA)	Baltimore County
	DJS Evening Reporting Ctr. (ERC)	Eastern Baltimore County
	Teen Court	Harford
Region 4	Anger Management	All Counties in Region
	Family Centered Treatment (FCT)	All Counties in Region
	Homebuilders	All Counties in Region
	Shoplifting Abatement	All Counties in Region
	Victim Awareness and Education Program (VAEP)	All Counties in Region
	Juvenile Firesetters Program	Washington
	Multisystemic Therapy (MST)	Washington

PRE-COURT SERVICE AND PROVIDER OPTIONS, FY 2022

Region	Service	Location
Region 5	Anger Management	All Counties in Region
	Functional Family Therapy (FFT)	All Counties in Region
	Victim Awareness	All Counties in Region
	Family Centered Treatment (FCT)	Anne Arundel
	Project Chesapeake	Anne Arundel
	Maryland Coalition of Families (MCF)	Anne Arundel, Carroll
	Youth Advocate Programs (YAP)	Anne Arundel, Howard
	Family Engagement	Carroll
	Choice	Carroll, Howard
	Shoplifting Abatement	Carroll, Howard
Region 6	Anger Management	Both Counties in Region
	Multisystemic Therapy (MST)	Both Counties in Region
	Family Centered Treatment (FCT)	Frederick
	Juvenile Firesetters Program	Frederick
	Positive Alternative for Dangerous Destructive Decisions (PADD)	Frederick
	Shoplifting Abatement	Frederick
	Truancy Court	Frederick
	Victim Awareness and Education Program (VAEP)	Frederick
	Youthful Offender Program	Frederick
	Choice	Montgomery
	Evening Reporting Center - Pride Youth Services	Montgomery
	Functional Family Therapy (FFT)	Montgomery
	Mentoring Network	Montgomery
	Victim Awareness	Montgomery
Region 7	Anger Management	All Counties in Region
	Family Centered Treatment (FCT)	All Counties in Region
	Functional Family Therapy (FFT)	All Counties in Region
	Maryland Coalition of Families (MCF)	All Counties in Region
	Victim Awareness	All Counties in Region
	Tri-County Youth Services	Charles, Calvert, St. Mary's
	Choice	Prince George's
	Multisystemic Therapy (MST)	Prince George's
	PG Evening Reporting Center (ERC)	Prince George's
Region 8	Anger Management	Baltimore City
	Choice	Baltimore City
	DJS Evening Reporting Center (ERC)	Baltimore City
	Fresh Start	Baltimore City
	Maryland Coalition of Families (MCF)	Baltimore City
	Mentoring Network	Baltimore City
	PACT Evening Reporting Center (ERC)	Baltimore City
	Restorative Response Baltimore	Baltimore City
	Teen Court	Baltimore City
	Victim Awareness	Baltimore City

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Regional Summary Trends

Youth from out-of-state with complaints in Maryland are listed by the jurisdiction where they are charged or supervised, except for youth in detention or committed placement, who are listed by their region of residence/jurisdiction.

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP), AND POST-DISPOSITIONAL DET. PENDING PLACEMENT (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) BY REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2020-2022

Region	Intake Complaints			Pre-D Detention						Post-D Pending Placement					
				Placements			ADP			Placements*			ADP*		
	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY20	FY21	FY22
Region 1	1,765	1,077	1,413	131	85	75	9.3	6.3	7.6	26	25	35	3.4	2.0	3.1
Region 2	799	464	767	40	25	23	2.6	1.1	2.1	12	5	6	1.1	0.7	0.6
Region 3	3,563	1,584	2,532	233	139	97	14.0	11.0	7.8	72	37	41	6.4	4.1	3.8
Region 4	1,179	620	760	93	44	34	6.4	3.7	2.3	27	21	20	1.7	1.9	3.2
Region 5	2,517	980	1,435	175	63	38	11.9	6.5	2.8	79	26	25	8.1	2.5	2.7
Region 6	1,876	767	1,240	169	41	48	12.7	3.5	5.5	66	24	23	5.7	2.2	2.0
Region 7	2,186	1,026	1,891	236	64	79	10.1	3.9	3.8	84	24	25	9.3	2.6	3.1
Region 8	1,008	582	744	444	246	183	25.9	14.7	15.2	101	52	41	13.5	5.2	5.3
Out-of-State**	N/A	N/A	N/A	82	42	49	3.4	2.5	2.4	4	8	6	0.6	0.8	0.5
Statewide	14,893	7,100	10,782	1,603	749	626	96.4	53.1	49.3	471	222	222	49.7	21.9	24.3

* Post-removal detention placements are included in the total number of placements and ADP.

** N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by region of Maryland court jurisdiction.

POST-REMOVAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) AND ADULT COURT (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) FY 2020-2022; CASES WITH PROBATION DISPOSITIONS FY 2020-2022 - BY REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION

Region	Post-Removal Detention						Adult Court						Cases with Probation Dispositions*	
	Placements			ADP			Placements			ADP				
	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY20	FY21
Region 1	3	4	6	0.5	0.4	0.4	11	7	12	3.6	3.0	3.5	120	68
Region 2	3	1	1	0.5	0.4	0.1	3	2	5	1.2	1.1	1.5	66	30
Region 3	15	4	5	1.5	0.5	0.7	42	33	44	15.6	14.1	15.0	498	263
Region 4	1	5	3	0.1	0.7	0.6	14	10	12	5.4	4.4	2.7	111	43
Region 5	14	1	1	1.9	0.3	0.1	26	18	24	10.6	7.4	7.1	206	89
Region 6	10	4	1	1.0	0.6	0.0	35	14	24	12.2	6.1	4.8	224	111
Region 7	20	3	3	2.8	0.4	0.4	68	38	60	15.8	13.5	13.9	183	123
Region 8	15	6	1	2.2	0.5	0.1	96	57	91	37.5	21.5	27.4	141	99
Out-of-State**	2	1	0	0.0	0.2	0.0	13	19	40	5.0	7.5	9.7	N/A	N/A
Statewide	83	29	21	10.5	3.9	2.5	308	198	312	106.8	78.7	85.5	1,549	826

* Dispositions shown are for cases referred during the FY. FY 2022 data are not shown due to many cases pending disposition.

**N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by region of Maryland court jurisdiction.

Youth from out-of-state with complaints in Maryland are listed by the jurisdiction where they are charged or supervised, except for youth in detention or committed placement, who are listed by their region of residence/jurisdiction.

AVERAGE SUPERVISED CASELOAD FY 2020-2022; CASES WITH COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS AND VOPs RESULTING IN COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS FY 2020-2021; COMMITTED PLACEMENTS AND ADP FY 2020-2022 - BY REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION

Region	Average Supervised Caseload*			Cases with Commit. Dispositions**		VOPs Resulting in Commit. Dispositions**		Committed					
	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY20	FY21	FY20	FY21	Placements***			ADP***		
								FY20	FY21	FY22	FY20	FY21	FY22
Region 1	204	143	101	34	41	17	19	34	21	33	21.8	10.9	18.6
Region 2	103	73	66	13	4	7	2	13	8	4	6.8	6.6	5.1
Region 3	428	307	247	111	101	30	9	69	42	42	36.9	16.9	21.0
Region 4	164	98	95	48	36	14	2	35	24	22	20.3	15.7	12.6
Region 5	454	265	210	119	45	27	5	92	41	30	56.1	27.4	17.1
Region 6	398	235	169	88	48	27	16	71	30	21	54.8	25.8	12.5
Region 7	481	233	209	66	36	16	5	87	25	34	49.3	16.4	15.9
Region 8	469	316	287	106	82	15	2	102	58	61	58.6	22.2	24.8
Out-of-State ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	9	13	13	10.1	4.5	5.0
Statewide	2,701	1,670	1,385	585	393	153	60	512	262	260	314.7	146.5	132.7

*Includes all Pre-Court, probation, and community aftercare

** Dispositions shown are for cases referred during the FY. FY 2022 data are not shown due to many cases pending disposition.

***"Placements" and "ADP" reflect annual activity, and don't reflect just cases referred in FY, many of which also may not be placed.

¹N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by region of Maryland court jurisdiction.

ASSESSED RISK LEVELS FOR DRAIs INVOLVING PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION ADMISSIONS*, FY 2020-2022 - BY REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION

Region	FY2020					FY2021					FY2022				
	% Admis. with DRAI	Complete DRAIs	High	Mod.	Low	% Admis. with DRAI	Complete DRAIs	High	Mod.	Low	% Admis. with DRAI	Complete DRAIs	High	Mod.	Low
Region 1	92.4%	121	46.3%	26.4%	27.3%	95.3%	81	45.7%	25.9%	28.4%	93.3%	70	32.9%	37.1%	30.0%
Region 2	97.5%	39	35.9%	35.9%	28.2%	100.0%	25	44.0%	28.0%	28.0%	91.3%	21	33.3%	52.4%	14.3%
Region 3	88.4%	206	42.2%	29.6%	28.2%	86.3%	120	35.0%	30.0%	35.0%	78.4%	76	26.3%	36.8%	36.8%
Region 4	84.9%	79	27.8%	35.4%	36.7%	90.9%	40	27.5%	45.0%	27.5%	82.4%	28	17.9%	32.1%	50.0%
Region 5	77.7%	136	44.1%	32.4%	23.5%	76.2%	48	47.9%	25.0%	27.1%	92.1%	35	11.4%	34.3%	54.3%
Region 6	55.0%	93	36.6%	29.0%	34.4%	82.9%	34	14.7%	55.9%	29.4%	79.2%	38	23.7%	28.9%	47.4%
Region 7	80.1%	189	32.3%	22.2%	45.5%	85.9%	55	23.6%	23.6%	52.7%	82.3%	65	23.1%	21.5%	55.4%
Region 8	87.8%	390	53.8%	27.4%	18.7%	92.3%	227	46.7%	28.2%	25.1%	89.6%	164	28.7%	41.5%	29.9%
Out-of-State	67.1%	55	12.7%	14.5%	72.7%	78.6%	33	12.1%	21.2%	66.7%	85.7%	42	7.1%	9.5%	83.3%
Statewide	81.6%	1,308	42.1%	27.8%	30.1%	88.5%	663	38.0%	29.7%	32.3%	86.1%	539	24.7%	34.0%	41.4%

* DRAIs shown above do not reflect all DRAIs given. The percentages are only reflective of completed DRAIs involving a pre-dispositional detention admission.

County/Regional Trends

INTAKE COMPLAINTS, PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP), AND POST-DISPOSITIONAL DET. PENDING PLACEMENT (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) BY COUNTY/REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION FY 2020-2022⁺

County / Region	Intake Complaints			Pre-D Detention						Post-D Pending Placement					
	FY20	FY21	FY22	Placements			ADP			Placements*			ADP*		
	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY20	FY21	FY22
Region 1	1,765	1,077	1,413	131	85	75	9.3	6.3	7.6	26	25	35	3.4	2	3.1
Dorchester	389	205	337	16	20	19	1.7	1.5	1.7	4	9	6	0.5	0.6	0.5
Somerset	298	118	171	18	10	18	1.4	1.2	1.4	3	3	7	0.3	0.3	0.6
Wicomico	695	311	540	76	38	29	5.2	3.0	2.6	14	9	17	2.0	0.7	1.7
Worcester	383	443	365	21	17	9	1.0	0.6	1.8	5	4	5	0.5	0.4	0.3
Region 2	799	464	767	40	25	23	2.6	1.1	2.1	12	5	6	1.1	0.7	0.6
Caroline	184	76	137	13	6	12	0.8	0.2	0.9	5	2	4	0.3	0.5	0.4
Cecil	329	217	259	22	16	7	1.5	0.8	0.8	3	2	1	0.4	0.1	0.0
Kent	72	33	88	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Queen Anne's	95	57	137	1	1	3	0.0	0.0	0.3	2	0	0	0.2	0.0	0.0
Talbot	119	81	146	4	2	1	0.4	0.0	0.0	1	1	1	0.2	0.0	0.2
Region 3	3,563	1,584	2,532	233	139	97	14.0	11.0	7.8	72	37	41	6.4	4.1	3.8
Baltimore Co.	3,111	1,348	2,232	200	102	79	12.5	8.8	6.3	54	29	36	4.8	3.3	3.1
Harford	452	236	300	33	37	18	1.5	2.2	1.5	18	8	5	1.6	0.8	0.7
Region 4	1,179	620	760	93	44	34	6.4	3.7	2.3	27	21	20	1.7	1.9	3.2
Allegany	463	290	274	33	23	11	3.3	1.4	1.4	19	9	13	1.3	0.8	2.0
Garrett	116	96	96	3	0	3	0.3	0.0	0.1	4	0	1	0.1	0.0	0.2
Washington	600	234	390	57	21	20	2.8	2.2	0.8	4	12	6	0.3	1.2	1.0
Region 5	2,517	980	1,435	175	63	38	11.9	6.5	2.8	79	26	25	8.1	2.5	2.7
Anne Arundel	1,696	589	966	118	40	32	8.8	4.6	2.5	62	20	24	6.4	2.2	2.7
Carroll	313	221	246	14	8	1	1.5	1.3	0.1	3	5	0	0.4	0.3	0.0
Howard	508	170	223	43	15	5	1.6	0.6	0.2	14	1	1	1.2	0.1	0.1
Region 6	1,876	767	1,240	169	41	48	12.7	3.5	5.5	66	24	23	5.7	2.2	2.0
Frederick	513	179	352	48	14	9	4.8	1.8	1.2	18	5	3	0.9	0.6	0.2
Montgomery	1,363	588	888	121	27	39	7.9	1.7	4.2	48	19	20	4.8	1.6	1.8
Region 7	2,186	1,026	1,891	236	64	79	10.1	3.9	3.8	84	24	25	9.3	2.6	3.1
Calvert	358	181	277	12	7	2	0.5	0.3	0.0	4	4	3	0.2	0.6	0.3
Charles	398	179	310	29	11	10	1.3	0.1	0.3	18	1	3	2.3	0.2	0.3
Prince George's	1,029	454	810	169	38	58	7.1	3.1	2.7	53	12	16	5.3	1.2	1.8
St. Mary's	401	212	494	26	8	9	1.3	0.4	0.7	9	7	3	1.5	0.6	0.7
Region 8	1,008	582	744	444	246	183	25.9	14.7	15.2	101	52	41	13.5	5.2	5.3
Baltimore City	1,008	582	744	444	246	183	25.9	14.7	15.2	101	52	41	13.5	5.2	5.3
Out-of-State**	N/A	N/A	N/A	82	42	49	3.4	2.5	2.4	4	8	6	0.6	0.8	0.5
Statewide	14,893	7,100	10,782	1,603	749	626	96.4	53.1	49.3	471	222	222	49.7	21.9	24.3

* Post-removal detention placements are included in the total number of placements and ADP. ** N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction; If there are no placements and ADP is >0, ADP reflects a balance from previous FYs. If there is at least one placement and 0 ADP, ADP is <0.05.

- Between FY 2020 and FY 2022:
 - Intake Complaints decreased 27.6%.
 - Pre-Dispositional Detention Placements decreased 60.9%, and ADP decreased 48.9%.
 - Post-Dispositional Detention Pending Placements decreased 52.9%, and ADP decreased 51.1%.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

POST-REMOVAL DETENTION (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) AND ADULT COURT (PLACEMENTS AND ADP) FY 2020-2022; CASES WITH PROBATION DISPOSITIONS FY 2020-2021⁺ - By County/Region of Residence/Jurisdiction

County / Region	Post-Removal Detention						Adult Court						Cases with Probation	
	Placements			ADP			Placements			ADP			Dispositions*	
	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY20	FY21
Region 1	3	4	6	0.5	0.4	0.4	11	7	12	3.6	3.0	3.5	120	68
Dorchester	1	2	1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0	3	2	0.0	0.8	0.4	22	19
Somerset	0	0	2	0.0	0.0	0.1	2	0	1	0.2	0.0	0.7	20	11
Wicomico	2	0	1	0.4	0.1	0.1	9	2	8	3.3	2.0	1.5	55	25
Worcester	0	2	2	0.0	0.2	0.1	0	2	1	0.0	0.1	0.8	23	13
Region 2	3	1	1	0.5	0.4	0.1	3	2	5	1.2	1.1	1.5	66	30
Caroline	1	1	1	0.0	0.4	0.1	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12	7
Cecil	1	0	0	0.3	0.0	0.0	1	1	2	0.7	0.6	0.8	34	10
Kent	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	0	1	0.5	0.0	0.0	0	0
Queen Anne's	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	1	0.0	0.0	0.2	6	8
Talbot	1	0	0	0.2	0.0	0.0	1	1	1	0.0	0.5	0.4	14	5
Region 3	15	4	5	1.5	0.5	0.7	42	33	44	15.6	14.1	15.0	498	263
Baltimore Co.	9	4	3	1.0	0.5	0.4	41	32	39	15.0	13.7	14.0	437	228
Harford	6	0	2	0.5	0.0	0.3	1	1	5	0.6	0.4	1.1	61	35
Region 4	1	5	3	0.1	0.7	0.6	14	10	12	5.4	4.4	2.7	111	43
Allegany	1	3	1	0.1	0.4	0.3	1	2	2	0.3	0.6	0.2	58	22
Garrett	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	0	1	0.7	0.0	0.4	7	10
Washington	0	2	2	0.0	0.3	0.3	12	8	9	4.3	3.9	2.2	46	11
Region 5	14	1	1	1.9	0.3	0.1	26	18	24	10.6	7.4	7.1	206	89
Anne Arundel	9	1	1	1.4	0.3	0.1	19	12	13	6.7	5.3	3.3	128	58
Carroll	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	29	16
Howard	5	0	0	0.5	0.0	0.0	7	6	10	4.0	2.1	3.8	49	15
Region 6	10	4	1	1.0	0.6	0.0	35	14	24	12.2	6.1	4.8	224	111
Frederick	4	2	1	0.3	0.4	0.0	5	2	2	3.5	1.4	0.5	75	33
Montgomery	6	2	0	0.7	0.2	0.0	30	12	22	8.6	4.7	4.3	149	78
Region 7	20	3	3	2.8	0.4	0.4	68	38	60	15.8	13.5	13.9	183	123
Calvert	0	0	1	0.0	0.0	0.1	1	0	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	12	3
Charles	4	1	0	0.4	0.2	0.0	6	4	7	1.7	1.4	1.7	46	27
Prince George's	14	0	2	1.6	0.1	0.4	57	29	50	12.7	11.0	11.1	105	78
St. Mary's	2	2	0	0.8	0.1	0.0	4	5	2	1.3	1.1	1.0	20	15
Region 8	15	6	1	2.2	0.5	0.1	96	57	91	37.5	21.5	27.4	141	99
Baltimore City	15	6	1	2.2	0.5	0.1	96	57	91	37.5	21.5	27.4	141	99
Out-of-State**	2	1	0	0.0	0.2	0.0	13	19	40	5.0	7.5	9.7	N/A	N/A
Statewide	83	29	21	10.5	3.9	2.5	308	198	312	106.8	78.7	85.5	1,549	826

* Dispositions shown are for cases referred during the FY. FY 2022 data are not shown due to many cases pending disposition. **N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction. + If there are no placements and ADP is >0, ADP reflects a balance from previous FYs. If there is at least one placement and 0 ADP, ADP is <0.05.

• Between FY 2020 and FY 2022:

- Post-Removal Detention Placements decreased 74.7%, and ADP decreased 76.5%.
- Adult Court Placements increased 1.3%, and ADP decreased 20.0%.

• Between FY 2020 and FY 2021, Probation Dispositions decreased 46.7%.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent youth under juvenile court jurisdiction except adult court tables (placement and ADP).

County/Regional Trends (cont.)

AVERAGE SUPERVISED CASELOAD FY 2020-2022; CASES WITH COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS AND VOPs RESULTING IN COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS FY 2020-2021; COMMITTED PLACEMENTS AND ADP FY 2020-2022 - BY COUNTY/REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION⁺

County / Region	Average Supervised Caseload*			Cases with Commit. Dispositions**		VOPs Resulting in Commit. Dispositions**		Committed Placements***			ADP***		
	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY20	FY21	FY20	FY21	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY20	FY21	FY22
Region 1	204	143	101	34	41	17	19	34	21	33	21.8	10.9	18.6
Dorchester	40	27	20	1	13	0	7	5	6	6	3.4	2.0	5.2
Somerset	38	14	10	4	8	4	6	5	2	7	3.3	1.9	3.3
Wicomico	82	67	46	24	17	11	4	19	10	14	11.6	5.0	6.4
Worcester	44	34	25	5	3	2	2	5	3	6	3.5	2.0	3.7
Region 2	103	73	66	13	4	7	2	13	8	4	6.8	6.6	5.1
Caroline	18	10	11	5	1	2	0	5	4	2	1.7	3.7	1.1
Cecil	51	45	39	4	3	4	2	4	3	1	2.6	0.9	2.3
Kent	8	3	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0.4	0.0	0.0
Queen Anne's	14	9	7	2	0	1	0	3	0	0	1.3	1.4	0.9
Talbot	12	7	8	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.8	0.5	0.8
Region 3	428	307	247	111	101	30	9	69	42	42	36.9	16.9	21
Baltimore Co.	340	242	207	93	69	23	8	47	32	33	20.8	12.6	14.9
Harford	89	65	39	18	32	7	1	22	10	9	16.1	4.3	6.1
Region 4	164	98	95	48	36	14	2	35	24	22	20.3	15.7	12.6
Allegany	62	48	46	25	23	6	2	20	13	11	11.4	9.2	8.4
Garrett	30	23	24	3	0	0	0	4	0	2	2.4	0.0	0.4
Washington	72	27	25	20	13	8	0	11	11	9	6.5	6.5	3.8
Region 5	454	265	210	119	45	27	5	92	41	30	56.1	27.4	17.1
Anne Arundel	323	170	127	82	32	19	2	73	31	28	45.3	21.9	14.2
Carroll	44	30	33	11	3	1	0	4	6	0	2.6	4.0	1.4
Howard	87	65	50	26	10	7	3	15	4	2	8.1	1.4	1.5
Region 6	398	235	169	88	48	27	16	71	30	21	54.8	25.8	12.5
Frederick	90	58	30	17	8	3	3	19	5	3	12.4	4.6	1.3
Montgomery	307	176	139	71	40	24	13	52	25	18	42.4	21.2	11.3
Region 7	481	233	209	66	36	16	5	87	25	34	49.3	16.4	15.9
Calvert	53	28	24	6	3	0	0	4	5	3	4.2	2.5	2.0
Charles	54	38	27	9	2	0	0	24	3	6	8.8	3.4	2.3
Prince George's	312	139	130	41	26	16	5	47	12	19	28.6	7.2	9.1
St. Mary's	62	28	28	10	5	0	0	12	5	6	7.7	3.3	2.5
Region 8	469	316	287	106	82	15	2	102	58	61	58.6	22.2	24.8
Baltimore City	469	316	287	106	82	15	2	102	58	61	58.6	22.2	24.8
Out-of-State⁺⁺	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	9	13	13	10.1	4.5	5.0
Statewide	2,701	1,670	1,385	585	393	153	60	512	262	260	314.7	146.5	132.7

* Includes all Pre-Court, probation, and community aftercare. ** Dispositions shown are for cases referred during the FY. FY 2022 data are not shown due to many cases pending disposition. *** "Placements" and "ADP" reflect annual activity, and don't reflect just cases referred in FY, many of which also may not be placed. ** N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction.

⁺ If there are no placements and ADP is >0, ADP reflects a balance from previous FYs. If there is at least one placement and 0 ADP, ADP is <0.05.

- Between FY 2020 and FY 2022, Committed Placements decreased 49.2%, and ADP decreased 57.8%.
- Between FY 2020 and FY 2021, Cases with Committed Dispositions decreased 32.8%, and VOPs resulting in Committed Dispositions decreased 60.8%.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

ASSESSED RISK LEVELS FOR DRAIs INVOLVING PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION ADMISSIONS*, FY 2020-2022 - BY COUNTY/REGION OF RESIDENCE/JURISDICTION

County / Region	FY2020					FY2021					FY2022				
	% Admis. with DRAI	Complete DRAIs	High	Mod.	Low	% Admis. with DRAI	Complete DRAIs	High	Mod.	Low	% Admis. with DRAI	Complete DRAIs	High	Mod.	Low
Region 1	92.4%	121	46.3%	26.4%	27.3%	95.3%	81	45.7%	25.9%	28.4%	93.3%	70	32.9%	37.1%	30.0%
Dorchester	87.5%	14	64.3%	28.6%	7.1%	95.0%	19	63.2%	10.5%	26.3%	89.5%	17	52.9%	47.1%	0.0%
Somerset	94.4%	17	41.2%	29.4%	29.4%	90.0%	9	22.2%	33.3%	44.4%	94.4%	17	47.1%	23.5%	29.4%
Wicomico	93.4%	71	49.3%	26.8%	23.9%	94.7%	36	47.2%	27.8%	25.0%	100.0%	29	17.2%	41.4%	41.4%
Worcester	90.5%	19	26.3%	21.1%	52.6%	100.0%	17	35.3%	35.3%	29.4%	77.8%	7	14.3%	28.6%	57.1%
Region 2	97.5%	39	35.9%	35.9%	28.2%	100.0%	25	44.0%	28.0%	28.0%	91.3%	21	33.3%	52.4%	14.3%
Caroline	92.3%	12	58.3%	33.3%	8.3%	100.0%	6	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%	91.7%	11	45.5%	54.5%	0.0%
Cecil	100.0%	22	27.3%	31.8%	40.9%	100.0%	16	43.8%	25.0%	31.3%	100.0%	7	14.3%	42.9%	42.9%
Kent	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Queen Anne's	100.0%	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	66.7%	2	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Talbot	100.0%	4	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	100.0%	2	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	1	00.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Region 3	88.4%	206	42.2%	29.6%	28.2%	86.3%	120	35.0%	30.0%	35.0%	78.4%	76	26.3%	36.8%	36.8%
Baltimore Co.	87.5%	175	46.3%	27.4%	26.3%	85.3%	87	40.2%	28.7%	31.0%	81.0%	64	28.1%	35.9%	35.9%
Harford	93.9%	31	19.4%	41.9%	38.7%	89.2%	33	21.1%	33.3%	45.5%	66.7%	12	16.7%	41.7%	41.7%
Region 4	84.9%	79	27.8%	35.4%	36.7%	90.9%	40	27.5%	45.0%	27.5%	82.4%	28	17.9%	32.1%	50.0%
Allegany	100.0%	33	24.2%	33.3%	42.4%	100.0%	23	26.1%	43.5%	30.4%	63.6%	7	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%
Garrett	66.7%	2	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100.0%	3	33.3%	0.0%	66.7%
Washington	77.2%	44	31.8%	38.6%	29.5%	81.0%	17	29.4%	47.1%	23.5%	90.0%	18	11.1%	27.8%	61.1%
Region 5	77.7%	136	44.1%	32.4%	23.5%	76.2%	48	47.9%	25.0%	27.1%	92.1%	35	11.4%	34.3%	54.3%
Anne Arundel	70.3%	83	32.5%	39.8%	27.7%	70.0%	28	39.3%	28.6%	32.1%	90.6%	29	10.3%	34.5%	55.2%
Carroll	100.0%	14	50.0%	14.3%	35.7%	75.0%	6	16.7%	33.3%	50.0%	100.0%	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Howard	90.7%	39	66.7%	23.1%	10.3%	93.3%	14	78.6%	14.3%	7.1%	100.0%	5	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%
Region 6	55.0%	93	36.6%	29.0%	34.4%	82.9%	34	14.7%	55.9%	29.4%	79.2%	38	23.7%	28.9%	47.4%
Frederick	79.2%	38	23.7%	31.6%	44.7%	85.7%	12	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	9	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%
Montgomery	45.5%	55	45.5%	27.3%	27.3%	81.5%	22	22.7%	59.1%	18.2%	74.4%	29	31.0%	27.6%	41.4%
Region 7	80.1%	189	32.3%	22.2%	45.5%	85.9%	55	23.6%	23.6%	52.7%	82.3%	65	23.1%	21.5%	55.4%
Calvert	66.7%	8	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	71.4%	5	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Charles	100.0%	29	44.8%	17.2%	37.9%	90.0%	10	20.0%	10.0%	70.0%	80.0%	8	25.0%	62.5%	12.5%
Prince George's	76.3%	129	32.6%	19.4%	48.1%	84.2%	32	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	84.5%	49	20.4%	14.3%	65.3%
St. Mary's	88.5%	23	17.4%	43.5%	39.1%	100.0%	8	12.5%	25.0%	62.5%	88.9%	8	37.5%	25.0%	37.5%
Region 8	87.8%	390	53.8%	27.4%	18.7%	92.3%	227	46.7%	28.2%	25.1%	89.6%	164	28.7%	41.5%	29.9%
Baltimore City	87.8%	390	53.8%	27.4%	18.7%	92.3%	227	46.7%	28.2%	25.1%	89.6%	164	28.7%	41.5%	29.9%
Out-of-State	67.1%	55	12.7%	14.5%	72.7%	78.6%	33	12.1%	21.2%	66.7%	85.7%	42	7.1%	9.5%	83.3%
Statewide	81.6%	1,308	42.1%	27.8%	30.1%	88.5%	663	38.0%	29.7%	32.3%	86.1%	539	24.7%	34.0%	41.4%

* DRAIs shown above do not reflect all DRAIs given. The percentages are only reflective of completed DRAIs involving a pre-dispositional detention admission.

- For pre-dispositional detention admissions with a completed DRAI, the percentage of admissions with a high risk decreased from 42.1% to 24.7% between FY 2020 and FY 2022.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.

Change in Percentage Trends By Region/County of Residence/ Jurisdiction

INTAKE COMPLAINTS CHANGES FROM FY 2020-2022, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	% Change	# Difference
Region 5	-43.0%	-1,082
Region 4	-35.5%	-419
Region 6	-33.9%	-636
Region 3	-28.9%	-1,031
Region 8	-26.2%	-264
Region 1	-19.9%	-352
Region 7	-13.5%	-295
Region 2	-4.0%	-32
Statewide	-27.6%	-4,111

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION PLACEMENT* CHANGES FROM FY 2020-2022, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	% Change	# Difference
Region 5	-78.3%	-137
Region 6	-71.6%	-121
Region 7	-66.5%	-157
Region 4	-63.4%	-59
Region 8	-58.8%	-261
Region 3	-58.4%	-136
Region 1	-42.7%	-56
Region 2	-42.5%	-17
Statewide[^]	-60.9%	-977

[^] Includes out-of-state data.

County	% Change	# Difference
Howard	-56.1%	-285
Anne Arundel	-43.0%	-730
Somerset	-42.6%	-127
Allegany	-40.8%	-189
Washington	-35.0%	-210
Montgomery	-34.8%	-475
Harford	-33.6%	-152
Frederick	-31.4%	-161
Baltimore County	-28.3%	-879
Baltimore City	-26.2%	-264
Caroline	-25.5%	-47
Calvert	-22.6%	-81
Wicomico	-22.3%	-155
Charles	-22.1%	-88
Carroll	-21.4%	-67
Prince George's	-21.3%	-219
Cecil	-21.3%	-70
Garrett	-17.2%	-20
Dorchester	-13.4%	-52
Worcester	-4.7%	-18
Kent	22.2%	16
Talbot	22.7%	27
St. Mary's	23.2%	93
Queen Anne's	44.2%	42

County	% Change	# Difference
Carroll	-92.9%	-13
Howard	-88.4%	-38
Calvert	-83.3%	-10
Frederick	-81.2%	-39
Talbot	-75.0%	-3
Anne Arundel	-72.9%	-86
Cecil	-68.2%	-15
Montgomery	-67.8%	-82
Allegany	-66.7%	-22
Prince George's	-65.7%	-111
Charles	-65.5%	-19
St. Mary's	-65.4%	-17
Washington	-64.9%	-37
Wicomico	-61.8%	-47
Baltimore County	-60.5%	-121
Baltimore City	-58.8%	-261
Worcester	-57.1%	-12
Harford	-45.5%	-15
Caroline	-7.7%	-1
Garrett	0.0%	0
Somerset	0.0%	0
Dorchester	18.8%	3
Queen Anne's	>100%	2
Kent	N/A	0

*Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0.

Change in Percentage Trends By Region/County of Residence/ Jurisdiction (cont.)

PRE-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION ADP* CHANGES FROM FY 2020-2022, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	% Change	# Difference
Region 5	-76.87%	-9
Region 4	-64.70%	-4
Region 7	-62.94%	-6
Region 6	-57.03%	-7
Region 3	-43.92%	-6
Region 8	-41.53%	-11
Region 2	-19.86%	0
Region 1	-18.77%	-2
Statewide[^]	-48.86%	-47

[^] Includes out-of-state data.

POST-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION PLACEMENT^{1*} CHANGES FROM FY 2020-2022, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	% Change	# Difference
Region 7	-70.2%	-59
Region 5	-68.4%	-54
Region 6	-65.2%	-43
Region 8	-59.4%	-60
Region 2	-50.0%	-6
Region 3	-43.1%	-31
Region 4	-25.9%	-7
Region 1	34.6%	9
Statewide[^]	-52.9%	-249

[^] Includes out-of-state data.

County	% Change	# Difference
Kent	-100.00%	0
Talbot	-94.64%	0
Carroll	-92.69%	-1
Calvert	-89.67%	0
Howard	-87.69%	-1
Charles	-77.00%	-1
Frederick	-74.92%	-4
Washington	-72.40%	-2
Anne Arundel	-72.28%	-6
Garrett	-70.17%	0
Prince George's	-61.30%	-4
Allegany	-57.55%	-2
Baltimore County	-49.50%	-6
Wicomico	-49.17%	-3
St. Mary's	-48.28%	-1
Montgomery	-46.09%	-4
Cecil	-42.89%	-1
Baltimore City	-41.53%	-11
Dorchester	-2.74%	0
Somerset	0.27%	0
Harford	1.90%	0
Caroline	18.38%	0
Worcester	81.90%	1
Queen Anne's	>100%	0

County	% Change	# Difference
Carroll	-100.0%	-3
Kent	-100.0%	-1
Queen Anne's	-100.0%	-2
Howard	-92.9%	-13
Charles	-83.3%	-15
Frederick	-83.3%	-15
Garrett	-75.0%	-3
Harford	-72.2%	-13
Prince George's	-69.8%	-37
Cecil	-66.7%	-2
St. Mary's	-66.7%	-6
Anne Arundel	-61.3%	-38
Baltimore City	-59.4%	-60
Montgomery	-58.3%	-28
Baltimore County	-33.3%	-18
Allegany	-31.6%	-6
Calvert	-25.0%	-1
Caroline	-20.0%	-1
Talbot	0.0%	0
Worcester	0.0%	0
Wicomico	21.4%	3
Dorchester	50.0%	2
Washington	50.0%	2
Somerset	>100%	4

¹ Includes post-removal detention placements.

*Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0.

Change in Percentage Trends By Region/County of Residence/ Jurisdiction (cont.)

**POST-DISPOSITIONAL DETENTION ADP^{1*} CHANGES FROM
FY 2020-2022, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE**

Region	% Change	# Difference
Region 7	-66.62%	-6
Region 5	-66.22%	-5
Region 6	-65.16%	-4
Region 8	-61.18%	-8
Region 2	-46.35%	0
Region 3	-41.20%	-3
Region 1	-7.35%	0
Region 4	94.46%	2
Statewide[^]	-51.10%	-25

[^] Includes out-of-state data.

**POST-REMOVAL DETENTION PLACEMENT^{*} CHANGES FROM
FY 2020-2022, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE**

Region	% Change	# Difference
Region 8	-93.3%	-14
Region 5	-92.9%	-13
Region 6	-90.0%	-9
Region 7	-85.0%	-17
Region 2	-66.7%	-2
Region 3	-66.7%	-10
Region 1	100.0%	3
Region 4	>100.0%	2
Statewide[^]	-74.7%	-62

[^] Includes out-of-state data.

County	% Change	# Difference
Carroll	-100.00%	0
Kent	-100.00%	0
Queen Anne's	-100.00%	0
Cecil	-99.39%	0
Howard	-94.93%	-1
Charles	-87.93%	-2
Frederick	-83.13%	-1
Prince George's	-65.86%	-3
Montgomery	-61.80%	-3
Baltimore City	-61.18%	-8
Anne Arundel	-58.73%	-4
Harford	-54.53%	-1
St. Mary's	-52.90%	-1
Worcester	-44.69%	0
Baltimore County	-36.84%	-2
Wicomico	-13.77%	0
Dorchester	1.80%	0
Caroline	13.99%	0
Talbot	40.74%	0
Allegany	58.71%	1
Calvert	72.78%	0
Somerset	73.27%	0
Garrett	>100%	0
Washington	>100%	1

¹ Includes post-removal detention placements.

County	% Change	# Difference
Cecil	-100.0%	-1
Charles	-100.0%	-4
Howard	-100.0%	-5
Montgomery	-100.0%	-6
St. Mary's	-100.0%	-2
Talbot	-100.0%	-1
Baltimore City	-93.3%	-14
Anne Arundel	-88.9%	-8
Prince George's	-85.7%	-12
Frederick	-75.0%	-3
Baltimore County	-66.7%	-6
Harford	-66.7%	-4
Wicomico	-50.0%	-1
Allegany	0.0%	0
Caroline	0.0%	0
Dorchester	0.0%	0
Calvert	N/A	1
Carroll	N/A	0
Garrett	N/A	0
Kent	N/A	0
Queen Anne's	N/A	0
Somerset	N/A	2
Washington	N/A	2
Worcester	N/A	2

^{*}Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0.

POST-REMOVAL DETENTION ADP* CHANGES FROM FY 2020-2022, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	% Change	# Difference
Region 6	-95.97%	-1
Region 8	-94.94%	-2
Region 5	-93.06%	-2
Region 7	-84.38%	-2
Region 2	-81.96%	0
Region 3	-55.56%	-1
Region 1	-30.42%	0
Region 4	>100.00%	1
Statewide[^]	-76.52%	-8

[^] Includes out-of-state data.

ADULT COURT PLACEMENT* CHANGES FROM FY 2020-2022, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	% Change	# Difference
Region 6	-31.4%	-11
Region 4	-14.3%	-2
Region 7	-11.8%	-8
Region 5	-7.7%	-2
Region 8	-5.2%	-5
Region 3	4.8%	2
Region 1	9.1%	1
Region 2	66.7%	2
Statewide[^]	1.3%	4

[^] Includes out-of-state data.

County	% Change	# Difference
Cecil	-100.00%	0
Charles	-100.00%	0
Howard	-100.00%	-1
Montgomery	-100.00%	-1
St. Mary's	-100.00%	-1
Talbot	-100.00%	0
Baltimore City	-94.94%	-2
Anne Arundel	-90.37%	-1
Frederick	-85.54%	0
Wicomico	-78.46%	0
Prince George's	-77.20%	-1
Dorchester	-76.99%	0
Baltimore County	-65.96%	-1
Harford	-31.74%	0
Caroline	>100%	0
Allegany	>100%	0
Calvert	N/A	0
Carroll	N/A	0
Garrett	N/A	0
Kent	N/A	0
Queen Anne's	N/A	0
Somerset	N/A	0
Washington	N/A	0
Worcester	N/A	0

County	% Change	# Difference
Frederick	-60.0%	-3
Somerset	-50.0%	-1
St. Mary's	-50.0%	-2
Anne Arundel	-31.6%	-6
Montgomery	-26.7%	-8
Washington	-25.0%	-3
Prince George's	-12.3%	-7
Wicomico	-11.1%	-1
Baltimore City	-5.2%	-5
Baltimore County	-4.9%	-2
Calvert	0.0%	0
Garrett	0.0%	0
Kent	0.0%	0
Talbot	0.0%	0
Charles	16.7%	1
Howard	42.9%	3
Allegany	100.0%	1
Cecil	100.0%	1
Harford	>100%	4
Caroline	N/A	0
Carroll	N/A	1
Dorchester	N/A	2
Queen Anne's	N/A	1
Worcester	N/A	1

*Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0.

Change in Percentage Trends By Region/County of Residence/ Jurisdiction (cont.)

ADULT COURT ADP* CHANGES FROM FY 2020-2022, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	% Change	# Difference
Region 6	-60.65%	-7
Region 4	-50.02%	-3
Region 5	-33.57%	-4
Region 8	-26.76%	-10
Region 7	-12.21%	-2
Region 3	-3.61%	-1
Region 1	-2.33%	0
Region 2	18.97%	0
<i>Statewide</i> [^]	-19.96%	-21

[^] Includes out-of-state data.

CASES WITH PROBATION DISPOSITION^{1*} CHANGES FROM FY 2020-2021, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	% Change	# Difference
Region 4	-61.3%	-68
Region 5	-56.8%	-117
Region 2	-54.5%	-36
Region 6	-50.4%	-113
Region 3	-47.2%	-235
Region 1	-43.3%	-52
Region 7	-32.8%	-60
Region 8	-29.8%	-42
<i>Statewide</i>	-46.7%	-723

County	% Change	# Difference
Kent	-94.86%	-1
Frederick	-85.00%	-3
Wicomico	-54.18%	-2
Garrett	-51.57%	0
Anne Arundel	-51.14%	-3
Montgomery	-50.64%	-4
Allegany	-49.86%	0
Washington	-49.77%	-2
Baltimore City	-26.76%	-10
St. Mary's	-25.52%	0
Prince George's	-13.05%	-2
Baltimore County	-6.89%	-1
Howard	-4.27%	0
Charles	3.35%	0
Cecil	18.79%	0
Calvert	60.44%	0
Harford	79.29%	0
Somerset	>100%	1
Worcester	>100%	1
Talbot	>100%	0
Caroline	N/A	0
Carroll	N/A	0
Dorchester	N/A	0
Queen Anne's	N/A	0

County	% Change	# Difference
Washington	-76.1%	-35
Calvert	-75.0%	-9
Cecil	-70.6%	-24
Howard	-69.4%	-34
Talbot	-64.3%	-9
Allegany	-62.1%	-36
Frederick	-56.0%	-42
Anne Arundel	-54.7%	-70
Wicomico	-54.5%	-30
Baltimore County	-47.8%	-209
Montgomery	-47.7%	-71
Somerset	-45.0%	-9
Carroll	-44.8%	-13
Worcester	-43.5%	-10
Harford	-42.6%	-26
Caroline	-41.7%	-5
Charles	-41.3%	-19
Baltimore City	-29.8%	-42
Prince George's	-25.7%	-27
St. Mary's	-25.0%	-5
Dorchester	-13.6%	-3
Queen Anne's	33.3%	2
Garrett	42.9%	3
Kent	N/A	0

¹ Includes cases referred during the FY. FY 22 data are not shown due to many cases pending disposition.

*Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0.

AVERAGE SUPERVISED CASELOAD CHANGES FROM FY 2020-2022, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	% Change	# Difference
Region 6	-57.5%	-229
Region 7	-56.5%	-272
Region 5	-53.8%	-244
Region 1	-50.3%	-103
Region 3	-42.5%	-182
Region 4	-41.8%	-69
Region 8	-38.7%	-182
Region 2	-36.2%	-37
Statewide	-48.7%	-1,317

CASES WITH COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS¹ CHANGES FROM FY 2020-2021, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	% Change	# Difference
Region 2	-69.2%	-9
Region 5	-62.2%	-74
Region 6	-45.5%	-40
Region 7	-45.5%	-30
Region 4	-25.0%	-12
Region 8	-22.6%	-24
Region 3	-9.0%	-10
Region 1	20.6%	7
Statewide	-32.8%	-192

County	% Change	# Difference
Kent	-90.3%	-7
Somerset	-73.7%	-28
Frederick	-66.9%	-60
Washington	-64.7%	-46
Anne Arundel	-60.8%	-196
Prince George's	-58.3%	-182
Harford	-55.5%	-49
St. Mary's	-54.9%	-34
Montgomery	-54.8%	-168
Calvert	-54.6%	-29
Charles	-49.9%	-27
Dorchester	-48.9%	-20
Queen Anne's	-46.2%	-6
Wicomico	-44.0%	-36
Worcester	-43.0%	-19
Howard	-41.9%	-36
Caroline	-40.0%	-7
Talbot	-39.6%	-5
Baltimore County	-39.1%	-133
Baltimore City	-38.7%	-182
Carroll	-25.8%	-12
Allegany	-25.6%	-16
Cecil	-23.1%	-12
Garrett	-20.7%	-6

County	% Change	# Difference
Garrett	-100.0%	-3
Kent	-100.0%	-1
Queen Anne's	-100.0%	-2
Talbot	-100.0%	-1
Caroline	-80.0%	-4
Charles	-77.8%	-7
Carroll	-72.7%	-8
Howard	-61.5%	-16
Anne Arundel	-61.0%	-50
Frederick	-52.9%	-9
Calvert	-50.0%	-3
St. Mary's	-50.0%	-5
Montgomery	-43.7%	-31
Worcester	-40.0%	-2
Prince George's	-36.6%	-15
Washington	-35.0%	-7
Wicomico	-29.2%	-7
Baltimore County	-25.8%	-24
Cecil	-25.0%	-1
Baltimore City	-22.6%	-24
Allegany	-8.0%	-2
Harford	77.8%	14
Somerset	100.0%	4
Dorchester	>100%	12

¹ Includes cases referred during the FY. FY 22 data are not shown due to many cases pending disposition.

Change in Percentage Trends By Region/County of Residence/ Jurisdiction (cont.)

**VOPs RESULTING IN COMMITTED DISPOSITIONS^{1*} CHANGES
FROM FY 2020-2021, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE**

Region	% Change	# Difference
Region 8	-86.7%	-13
Region 4	-85.7%	-12
Region 5	-81.5%	-22
Region 2	-71.4%	-5
Region 3	-70.0%	-21
Region 7	-68.8%	-11
Region 6	-40.7%	-11
Region 1	11.8%	2
Statewide	-60.8%	-93

**COMMITTED PLACEMENT^{2*} CHANGES FROM
FY 2020-2022, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE**

Region	% Change	# Difference
Region 6	-70.4%	-50
Region 2	-69.2%	-9
Region 5	-67.4%	-62
Region 7	-60.9%	-53
Region 8	-40.2%	-41
Region 3	-39.1%	-27
Region 4	-37.1%	-13
Region 1	-2.9%	-1
Statewide[^]	-49.2%	-252

[^] Includes out-of-state data.

County	% Change	# Difference
Caroline	-100.0%	-2
Carroll	-100.0%	-1
Queen Anne's	-100.0%	-1
Washington	-100.0%	-8
Anne Arundel	-89.5%	-17
Baltimore City	-86.7%	-13
Harford	-85.7%	-6
Prince George's	-68.8%	-11
Allegany	-66.7%	-4
Baltimore County	-65.2%	-15
Wicomico	-63.6%	-7
Howard	-57.1%	-4
Cecil	-50.0%	-2
Montgomery	-45.8%	-11
Frederick	0.0%	0
Worcester	0.0%	0
Somerset	50.0%	2
Calvert	N/A	0
Charles	N/A	0
Dorchester	N/A	7
Garrett	N/A	0
Kent	N/A	0
St. Mary's	N/A	0
Talbot	N/A	0

¹ Includes cases referred during the FY. FY 22 data are not shown due to many cases pending disposition.

County	% Change	# Difference
Carroll	-100.0%	-4
Kent	-100.0%	-1
Queen Anne's	-100.0%	-3
Howard	-86.7%	-13
Frederick	-84.2%	-16
Cecil	-75.0%	-3
Charles	-75.0%	-18
Montgomery	-65.4%	-34
Anne Arundel	-61.6%	-45
Caroline	-60.0%	-3
Prince George's	-59.6%	-28
Harford	-59.1%	-13
Garrett	-50.0%	-2
St. Mary's	-50.0%	-6
Allegany	-45.0%	-9
Baltimore City	-40.2%	-41
Baltimore County	-29.8%	-14
Wicomico	-26.3%	-5
Calvert	-25.0%	-1
Washington	-18.2%	-2
Dorchester	20.0%	1
Worcester	20.0%	1
Somerset	40.0%	2
Talbot	N/A	1

² "Placement" reflects annual activity, and not just cases referred in FY, many of which also may not be placed.

*Certain counties show N/A because percentages only apply when the initial value is >0.

COMMITTED ADP CHANGES FROM FY 2020-2022, ORDERED BY PERCENT CHANGE

Region	% Change	# Difference
Region 6	-77.11%	-42
Region 5	-69.44%	-39
Region 7	-67.81%	-33
Region 8	-57.79%	-34
Region 3	-43.04%	-16
Region 4	-37.86%	-8
Region 2	-25.29%	-2
Region 1	-14.74%	-3
<i>Statewide</i> [^]	-57.85%	-182


[^] Includes out-of-state data.

County	% Change	# Difference
Kent	-100.00%	0
Frederick	-89.90%	-11
Garrett	-82.48%	-2
Howard	-82.02%	-7
Charles	-74.01%	-7
Montgomery	-73.36%	-31
Anne Arundel	-68.56%	-31
Prince George's	-68.19%	-19
St. Mary's	-68.07%	-5
Harford	-62.06%	-10
Baltimore City	-57.79%	-34
Calvert	-51.51%	-2
Carroll	-45.67%	-1
Wicomico	-44.93%	-5
Washington	-41.21%	-3
Caroline	-36.13%	-1
Baltimore County	-28.31%	-6
Allegany	-26.41%	-3
Queen Anne's	-25.88%	0
Cecil	-11.97%	0
Talbot	-5.89%	0
Somerset	0.27%	0
Worcester	6.60%	0
Dorchester	50.65%	2

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes. All data represent only youth under juvenile court jurisdiction.


Long-Term Trends

JUVENILE COMPLAINTS TOTAL AND BY SEX FY 2013-2022




- Juvenile complaints decreased 60.6% between FY 2013 and FY 2022. Both sexes saw an overall decrease between FY 2013 and FY 2022. The percent reduction for males was 62.2%, and for females it was 56.3%.

JUVENILE COMPLAINTS BY RACE/ETHNICITY FY 2013-2022



- Between FY 2013 and FY 2022, juvenile complaints decreased for all races/ethnicities: Blacks (59.7%), Whites (66.1%), and youth of other races/ethnicities including Hispanic youth (41.9%).

DJS CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS FY 2013-2022



- Authorized formal petitions comprised the highest number of case forwarding decisions until FY 2019. Between FY 2013 and FY 2022, authorized formal petitions decreased 76.3%, the number of cases being resolved decreased 25.5%, and informals decreased 74.5%.

Data Sources: Data prior to FY 2020 will reflect previously reported values unless there was a methodological change.


ALOS FOR PROBATION RELEASES, PRE-D PLACEMENTS AND COMMITTED PLACEMENTS FY 2013-2022

ALOS (Days)	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Probation Releases	391.9	387.8	396.8	407.4	430.9	437.5	450.6	458.5	491.7	450.9
Pre-D Placements	14.1	15.8	16.3	15.9	18.3	18.3	19.7	21.0	27.0	28.8
Committed Placements*	192.8	216.8	229.2	210.8	195.3	169.4	185.2	202.1	238.6	202.5

* ALOS is based on all releases: successful, unsuccessful, and transfer.

- Between FY 2013 and FY 2022, ALOS for probation releases increased 15.1%, pre-D detention ALOS increased 104.3%, and committed ALOS increased 5.0%.


CASES WITH COMMITTED DISPOSITION AND VOPs RESULTING IN COMMITTED DISPOSITION, FY 2013-2021*



* Many 2022 cases are still pending disposition; therefore, data for FY 2022 are not presented here.

- Between FY 2013 and FY 2021, cases with committed dispositions decreased 81.0%, and VOPs resulting in a committed disposition decreased 91.8%.

PERCENTAGE OF COMMITTED DISPOSITION FOR VOP FY 2013-2021*




* Many 2022 cases are still pending disposition; therefore, data for FY 2022 are not presented here.

- Between FY 2013 and FY 2021, the percentage of committed dispositions for VOP decreased from 35.2% to 15.3%. Since its peak in FY 2015, the percentage of committed dispositions for VOP decreased from 36.0% to 15.3%.

Data Sources: Data prior to FY 2020 will reflect previously reported values unless there was a methodological change.


Long-Term Trends (cont.)

DETAINED AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION (ADP) FY 2013-2022




- Between FY 2013 and FY 2022, ADP for the total detained population decreased 52.5%. Pre-Disposition ADP has consistently been higher than Pending Placement ADP, and both groups saw a 78% decrease between FY 2013 and FY 2022. The population of youth charged as adults began being tracked in FY 2014, and ADP increased 131.7% between FY 2014 and FY 2022.

COMMITTED RESIDENTIAL AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION (ADP) FY 2013-2022



- Between FY 2013 and FY 2022, ADP for the total committed population decreased 86.0%. ADP for Private Out-of-State facilities decreased 93.6%, 86.7% for Private In-State facilities, and 80.0% for DJS-Operated facilities.


DJS SUPERVISED YOUTH FY 2013-2022



- Between FY 2013 and FY 2022, total caseload decreased 78.1%, aftercare cases decreased 83.0%, informal cases decreased 77.2%, and probation cases decreased 74.9%.

Data Sources: Data prior to FY 2019 will reflect previously reported values unless there was a methodological change.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FY 2013-2022



*The Very High category was added in FY 2020 after the MCASP Risk & Needs Assessment was validated. Pre-validation data (FY 2012-FY 2019) are not directly comparable to FY 2020 and after due to the reorganization of all categories. FY 2020-FY 2022 commitment placements for MCASP risk level only contains placements with post-validation assessments.

- For committed placements with a completed pre-validation MCASP, the percentage with a low risk decreased from 17.4% in FY 2013 to 10.4% in FY 2019. During the same period, the percentage with a high risk increased from 46.3% to 65.6%. For committed placements with a completed post-validation MCASP, the percentage with a low risk increased from 7.0% in FY 2020 to 12.5% in FY 2022. During the same period, the percentage with a very high risk decreased from 50.1% to 33.7%.

Section III: Detention



In honor of Breast Cancer Awareness Month, youth and staff at the Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School participated in the 4th Annual Power of Pink 2-Mile Walk.

Introduction to Detention

DJS operates six detention facilities across the State, since closing Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center in March 2022, to provide temporary and secure custody of youth subject to court jurisdiction. Youth may be detained at various points in the juvenile justice system. The juvenile court may order detention for youth pending a court hearing (pre- or post-disposition), pending initial placement in a treatment program, or pending placement in a treatment program post-removal. Youth are commonly detained for new complaints alleging delinquent behavior, writs and warrants issued by the court, or violations of alternative to detention (ATD) program conditions. Additionally, DJS intake officers have the authority to detain youth under certain conditions. Note that youth pending adult criminal charges may also be held in DJS detention facilities while the transfer of jurisdiction from adult to juvenile court is being considered (*see "Youth Charged as Adults Pending Transfer" on page 134-135*).

DETENTION PROCESS

The decision whether to detain a youth is driven primarily by the juvenile court. However, juvenile detention may be authorized by DJS intake officers on a temporary basis at the request of a law enforcement officer or community detention officer. DJS is empowered by statute to detain a youth who either poses a clear risk to themselves/others, or is deemed likely to leave court jurisdiction. This decision is guided by the Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI) (see Appendix F) and is subject to court review on the next court day. The DRAI objectively informs detention decisions by taking into account three factors:

- (1) the assessed risk that a youth will reoffend or will fail to appear for a court hearing, based on known risk factors from the youth's history, including past adjudicated offenses;
- (2) seriousness of the current alleged offense; and
- (3) other factors that require DJS to temporarily detain a youth regardless of risk or offense seriousness, including an outstanding writ, warrant, or interstate hold.

Based on these three factors, the DRAI recommends either release, placement in an ATD, or detention. The DRAI is a key tool for the Department to ensure fair and evidence-based decision making regarding the use of secure detention.

DETENTION ADMISSIONS


Each detention facility operates an admissions area 24 hours a day where all youth admitted to detention are processed. An admissions officer interviews youth to collect and verify basic identifying information. The admissions officer also performs a search of the DJS information system, ASSIST, to obtain available information on a youth's delinquency and placement history. A photograph of each youth is taken and included in the case record for identification purposes. Each youth receives a facility handbook that includes information on facility procedures, the behavior management program, services and programming, the grievance procedures, and the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA). Youth are issued clothing to wear in the facility. Personal clothing is cleaned, catalogued, packaged, and placed in secure storage.

The admissions process includes an initial health screening. The screening is performed by admissions nursing staff and consists of a review of physical health, mental health, and substance abuse needs. A review of medical history and a physical examination are conducted by a licensed physician or nurse practitioner within seven days of admission. In addition, youth receive preventative care by a dentist or dental-trained personnel within 14 calendar days of admission. (*See page vi for a description of the Department's response to the COVID-19 crisis, which involves further screening and special processing.*)

DETENTION CASE MANAGEMENT

Youth detained by the juvenile court are assigned a DJS community case manager and a facility case manager. The community case manager visits the youth in detention, interviews the youth and family, and completes required investigations and/or assessments, e.g., a Social History Investigation or MCASP Risk & Needs Assessment (see Appendix R). The facility case manager acts as a liaison with the court, family, community case manager, and treatment service providers while the youth is in detention. The facility case manager also assists youth with understanding the facility handbook and the behavior management program upon admission to the facility, and answers any questions the youth may have. They facilitate individual

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION VS CAPACITY, FY 2020-2022



*The capacity at Noyes changed from 57 to 29 on March 27, 2020. This change began to be reflected in the data for FY 2021.

Total ADP has consistently been below capacity.

meetings, weekly groups, and activities. They monitor youth progress in the behavior management program and may provide information and input for the Multidisciplinary Assessment Staffing Team meetings.

Services Provided in Detention Facilities

BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICES

DJS Behavioral Health Services (BHS) establishes and implements policies concerning the delivery of mental health, substance abuse, and evidence-based treatment services to youth under DJS supervision. BHS-licensed and certified staff counselors and contractors provide complete assessments and necessary services. BHS provides integrated mental health and substance use disorder screening, assessments, and short-term crisis services in DJS detention facilities.

Upon admission to a detention center, each youth is screened by a qualified mental health professional. The screening consists of an interview, the administration of tests as appropriate, and the review of any prior assessments or records (e.g., psychosocial or clinical assessments). If the initial mental health screen reveals mental health concerns, more in-depth assessments or additional services are recommended. These assessments, conducted by psychiatrists or licensed psychologists, examine the emotional, adaptive, and cognitive functioning of detained youth with greater mental health issues.

Additionally, certified addictions counselors screen and assess youth in detention and determine appropriate levels of substance abuse treatment. This information assists case managers in linking youth with appropriate community and residential services. Addictions counselors work closely with the Department's medical director for those youth requiring medication management as a result of their drug addiction.

CAPACITY, ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND UTILIZATION, FY 2022*

Facility	Capacity	Admissions	ADP	Utilization
Alfred D. Noyes	29	74	6.1	21.1%
Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Ctr	120	328	48.9	40.7%
Charles H. Hickey School	72	189	39.6	55.0%
Cheltenham Youth Facility	72	253	32.4	45.0%
Lower Eastern Shore Children's Ctr	24	125	13.7	57.2%
Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Ctr	42	55	6.1	14.5%
Western MD Children's Center	24	91	12.3	51.3%
Total	383	997**	159.1	41.5%

*Includes youth charged as adults pending transfer. Waxter closed on 3/2/2022 and capacity of 42 was used for FY 2022 since it was used for the majority of the fiscal year. Noyes was temporarily closed during part of the fiscal year.

**Total represents placements, not admissions

SOMATIC HEALTH SERVICES

The Somatic Health Services Unit provides comprehensive, quality health care and nutritional services in an integrated fashion to address the full range of needs of the youth and to ensure a continuum of care in the DJS residential programs. The unit's mission is to protect, promote, and advance the health of all youth in the care of the Department.

The health care system provides medical, dental, optometry, midwifery, pharmacy, and dietetic services in an interdisciplinary fashion that is developmentally appropriate for youth. These services are delivered in accordance with the standards of care set forth by the National Commission on Correctional Health Care, the American Academy of Pediatrics, the Centers for Disease Control, and the Food and Nutrition Board of the National Academy of Sciences.

Youth admitted to DJS residential programs require ongoing, routine preventative health care. In some cases, youth may have unmet or chronic health care needs upon admission or may develop an acute health condition that needs to be addressed. DJS ensures that each program has licensed nurses and clinical practitioners, as well as comprehensive laboratory and pharmacy services to meet the health care needs of the youth. The health centers provide health care accessibility 24/7 with infirmary care for higher acuity medical needs. For specialty, surgical, emergency, or in-patient care that may be required, youth are transported to community providers as needed.

Each youth must have a current nursing assessment, history and physical examination, vision screening, and dental screening upon admission. Additionally, youth are screened or tested for anemia and infectious diseases including tuberculosis, COVID-19, sexually transmitted infections, and HIV. Comprehensive gynecological care is provided either on-site or in the community in order to meet individual needs.

Immunization records are requested for all youth, and DJS makes every effort to fully immunize youth who are not up to date on recommended and required vaccinations. DJS participates in the Federal Vaccines for Children Program.

The care and services delivered as well as the performance of the private health care providers are continually monitored by the Office of Somatic Health Services in order to ensure compliance and optimal quality of care.

EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

During FY 2022, the Maryland State Department of Education provided educational services to youth

through the Juvenile Services Education System (JSES). On July 1, 2022, the responsibility of implementing educational programming transferred from MSDE to DJS under the Juvenile Services Education Program (JSEP). Under the new JSEP, students receive the same educational programming they received under MSDE, with additional post-secondary opportunities, more comprehensive student learning plans, and updates to policy and procedures.

JSEP includes instruction in core content subjects, career and technology education, library/media services, life skills, and special education services. Students are pre-tested upon entry to JSEP to assess baseline academic skills. Teachers use the results to design learning plans for each student, which form the basis for daily instruction.

Students in JSEP can earn credits toward their Maryland high school diploma while in the care of DJS. Alternatively, some students are able to prepare for and take their GED examination while in detention depending on their length of stay. Under JSEP, youth in detention can no longer be dis-enrolled from their Local Education Authority (LEA) until after the disposition of their case. Teachers and staff will receive youth's educational records within three business days of admission into DJS detention. Youths will remain dually enrolled in both their local school and JSEP to ensure no loss of learning while detained. Educational transition specialists comprised of both DJS/JSEP and LEA staff will assist youth with their educational re-entry plans 10 days before release. Transition specialists will also follow up with the youth and LEA upon re-entry into their local school.

RECREATIONAL SERVICES

Structured recreation programs are available to all youth in detention. The recreation program provides a variety of activities promoting physical health and wellness. Youth are required to participate in recreational activities for a minimum of one hour per day; however, DJS provides programming opportunities that far exceed this minimum requirement.

Changing Habits and Making Progressive Strides (C.H.A.M.P.S.) is an incentive-based program that provides youth opportunities to compete with other facilities through recreation, arts, athletic, and academic events.

Pending Placement

PENDING PLACEMENT (POST-DISPOSITION)

Youth who have been committed to the custody of the Department for residential placement may be placed in detention on a temporary basis by the juvenile court. Youth detained post-disposition are considered



Youth in C.H.A.M.P.S. were asked to design their own coin, based on what Juneteenth means to them.

to be 'pending placement' as they are waiting to be placed into an out-of-home treatment program for committed youth.


Youth who are pending placement receive the same core services as listed above for pre-disposition cases.

In accordance with policy, youth receive visits from their community case manager within the first 10 days of detention and every 14 days after their initial visit. Each facility also allows family visitation during the week or on weekends (the visitation schedule varies by facility).

A court review hearing is held in accordance with Md. Code and Judicial Proceedings, 3-8A-15(k) for any youth in detention 14 days after the court has made a disposition. At the hearing, DJS is required to explain the reasons for continued detention. The court will continue to conduct a hearing every 14 days thereafter until a youth has been placed.

During the pending placement period, the facility and community case managers maintain contact with the youth's family and are also responsible for reporting to the juvenile court regarding the youth's placement status. In addition to court review, pending placement cases are reviewed by the Department on a weekly basis at facility

JUVENILE DETENTION POPULATION ADP*, HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED**, FY 2016-2025



*ADP includes all youth in pre-disposition and post-disposition (pending placement) status, and does not include the youth charged as adults pending transfer population as that is presented separately at the bottom of this page.

**Projected values were generated by an ARIMA (Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average) model.

ADP is projected to remain the same between FY 2022 and FY 2025.

management meetings. Regional-level meetings are held monthly to review placement statistics and identify barriers to placement and ways to expedite the process.

PENDING PLACEMENT AFTER REMOVAL FROM A TREATMENT PROGRAM FOR COMMITTED YOUTH

Some youth committed to the custody of the Department cannot be safely maintained in their program and must be removed. Youth removed from a treatment program who require a new committed placement are often placed in detention. Those with a new offense would be considered Pre-Disposition (Pre-D).

While detained pending a new placement, the Department's Central Review Committee (CRC) re-evaluates the youth's case and works to secure acceptance to the most appropriate alternative program. Youth ultimately placed in a DJS-operated program are transferred within 7 days of the CRC decision, provided there is available bed space.


Population Projections

Each year DJS projects Maryland's detention population using a set of statistical techniques known as time-series forecasting. Time-series forecasting assumes that there is a pattern in the historical values that can be identified. The goal is to define the pattern, understand the short-term and long-term trends, and pinpoint any seasonal fluctuations. Time-series forecasting then utilizes the pattern, trend, and seasonal variation identified in the historical data to project future values. Unless a future change is explicitly accounted for, such models implicitly assume that current policies and practices will continue into the future. Future changes in policies, practices, or other critical factors can impact the future population and often

cannot be anticipated. Many factors can impact the number of youth admitted to the State's detention facilities and, thus, the size of the detention population. Demographic shifts, trends in crime rates, the volume and patterns of arrests, the number and types of incidents referred to the juvenile justice system, and changes in court practices each contribute to changes in the number of youth who are detained.

The projections were generated from all historical data available at the time that they were produced. Projection models were selected based on rigorous statistical testing and the best fit to the historical

YOUTH CHARGED AS ADULTS PENDING TRANSFER DETENTION POPULATION ADP, HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED*, FY 2018-2025



*Projected values were generated by an ARIMA (Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average) model.

ADP is projected to increase 15.1% between FY 2022 and FY 2025.

data. The information is designed to be a tool for policymakers and practitioners and can be useful for discussions pertaining to budgeting, operations, capacity planning, and development of services, including alternatives to detention for Maryland's youth.


A Note to Readers:

Placements versus Admissions: A placement is based on a decision made by an intake officer or judge to place a youth into detention. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a facility either through direct placement or through transfer. Therefore, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions, and these counts will not match.

Pages that present statewide data report placements, while facility-specific pages report admissions. The only exceptions are tables that present data that are collected once upon placement, such as risk level. These are reported as placements.

For a detailed description of the DJS COVID-19 response and reforms, see page vi.

DJS Secure Detention Facilities by Region




Total Statewide Activity for Detention Centers

Total Capacity: 383 beds


DJS operates six detention facilities across the State following the closure of Waxter in March 2022. Each facility accommodates youth awaiting juvenile court disposition (Pre-D) and youth committed to DJS by the juvenile court and pending placement in a treatment program (Post-D). The removal population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed treatment program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing and who need a new committed placement. Youth with pending adult criminal charges may also be held in DJS detention facilities (see pages 134-135 for more detail). These youth are included in the data presented except for demographic, offense, and risk level tables/ charts.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2020-2022



*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, Removal, and Adult Court; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2022



YOUTH PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Youth Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	74.9%	76.1%	77.4%
White	15.7%	16.8%	13.8%
Hispanic/Other	9.5%	7.1%	8.8%
Sex			
Male	83.2%	84.5%	87.6%
Female	16.8%	15.5%	12.4%
Age			
11 and under	0.5%	0.1%	0.3%
12	1.6%	1.3%	1.4%
13	7.0%	4.6%	5.9%
14	12.0%	19.5%	14.0%
15	20.2%	26.2%	27.4%
16	22.1%	19.1%	18.6%
17	25.1%	18.4%	19.8%
18-20	11.5%	10.7%	12.7%
Total Placements from Juv. Court	1,755	831	731

• Source of Placements (FY 2022):

- 90.7% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 74 were from Washington, D.C.; 4 each were from North Carolina and Pennsylvania; 3 each were from Delaware and Virginia; 2 were from Florida; and 1 each was from California, New York, and West Virginia.

• Placement Trends:

- Total placements decreased 50.4% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 0.5% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Pre-D placements decreased 60.9% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 16.4% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Post-D placements decreased 48.2% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 4.1% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Removal placements decreased 74.7% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 27.6% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Adult Court placements increased 1.3% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 57.6% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 37.0% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 6.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Post-D ALOS increased 12.0% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 7.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- ALOS for Removals did not change between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 7.8% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- ALOS for Adult Court increased 8.1% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 26.5% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

• Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2020-2022:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 33.3% to 41.9%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 18.3% to 20.1%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 43.6% to 32.1%.

• Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 37.1% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 3.4% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

YOUTH PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2022*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Removal
Offense Type			
Arson	1.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	9.6%	1.5%	9.5%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	6.5%	4.5%	4.8%
Carjacking	12.6%	9.5%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.2%	4.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.5%	0.5%	4.8%
Disturbing the Peace	0.3%	1.5%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	11.7%	9.5%	14.3%
Handgun Violation	7.0%	8.5%	4.8%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.9%	2.0%	4.8%
Manslaughter	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	3.0%	4.5%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.9%	2.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	2.1%	1.5%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	6.5%	4.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%	1.0%	4.8%
Robbery	6.1%	12.9%	14.3%
Second Degree Assault	10.7%	12.9%	28.6%
Sex Offense	3.4%	7.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	3.8%	2.0%	4.8%
Theft Misdemeanor	5.1%	7.0%	4.8%
Trespassing	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.6%	2.0%	0.0%
Offense Category²			
Crime of Violence	41.9%	42.8%	19.0%
Felony	20.1%	13.4%	23.8%
- Person-to-Person	3.0%	4.0%	9.5%
- Property	14.1%	3.5%	14.3%
- Drugs	2.9%	2.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.2%	4.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	32.1%	43.8%	57.1%
- Person-to-Person	19.5%	27.9%	42.9%
- Property	10.4%	11.4%	14.3%
- Drugs	1.6%	1.5%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.6%	3.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses by Placement	626	201	21

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Removal it is adjudicated sustained. Data do not include Adult Court.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcoholic Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Extortion by Verbal Threat, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony


² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2020-2022



*Capacity for Noyes was reduced from 57 to 29 on 3/27/2020; capacity shown reflects 57 for Noyes since this was in effect for the majority of the fiscal year.


PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2020-2022**



*86.1% of Pre-D placements in FY 2022 had a completed DRAI.

**Data for FY 2022 is not comparable to prior years (including FY 2020 and FY 2021) due to the revalidated DRAI changes to score ranges. In FY 2022 the ranges were: Low 0-8, Moderate 9-13, High 14+. Previously they were Low 0-7, Moderate 8-12, and High 13+.

ALOS BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2020-2022




ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Female Statewide Activity for Detention Centers


In FY 2022, DJS operated three detention facilities that served girls: Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center (co-ed), Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center (co-ed), and Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center (closed March 2, 2022). Some youth are detained awaiting disposition (Pre-D), and some are post-disposition (Post-D) awaiting placement in a treatment program. "Removal" youth in detention have been removed from a committed treatment program, have no new offenses requiring court processing, and need a new committed placement. Data presented include youth charged as adults pending transfer (*see pages 134-135 for more detail*), except for demographic, offense, and risk level tables/charts.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2020-2022



*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, Removal, and Adult Court; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2022



YOUTH PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Youth Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	70.4%	69.8%	68.1%
White	20.7%	21.7%	25.3%
Hispanic/Other	8.8%	8.5%	6.6%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
12	1.4%	0.0%	1.1%
13	9.5%	4.7%	7.7%
14	14.6%	17.8%	15.4%
15	21.8%	18.6%	27.5%
16	22.8%	20.9%	19.8%
17	21.4%	28.7%	17.6%
18-20	8.2%	9.3%	11.0%
Total Placements from Juv. Court	294	129	91

Source of Placements (FY 2022):

- 92.2% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 3 were from Washington, D.C.; 2 were from Pennsylvania; and 1 each was from California, Florida, and North Carolina.

Placement Trends:

- Total placements decreased 67.1% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 25.4% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Pre-D placements decreased 70.6% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 35.2% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Post-D placements decreased 71.9% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 44.8% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Removal placements decreased 81.8% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 66.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Adult Court placements decreased 40.0% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 20.0% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 59.6% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 12.8% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Post-D ALOS increased 24.9% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 31.0% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- ALOS for Removals decreased 63.5% between FY 2019 and FY 2021 and decreased 74.8% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- ALOS for Adult Court increased 69.6% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 21.8% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2020-2022:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 25.7% to 22.8%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 12.6% to 12.7%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 53.2% to 44.3%.

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 65.8% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 39.9% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

YOUTH PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2022*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Removal
Offense Type			
Arson	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	1.3%	6.2%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	13.9%	6.2%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	6.2%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	1.3%	6.2%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	5.1%	6.2%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	20.3%	25.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	16.5%	31.2%	100.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%	6.2%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	10.1%	6.2%	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	22.8%	12.5%	0.0%
Felony	12.7%	12.5%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	5.1%	6.2%	0.0%
- Property	7.6%	6.2%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	44.3%	75.0%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	22.8%	68.8%	100.0%
- Property	17.7%	6.2%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>13.9%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Placement	79	16	2

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Removal it is adjudicated sustained. Data do not include Adult Court.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcoholic Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Extortion by Verbal Threat, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony


² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2020-2022



* Capacity for Noyes was reduced from 57 to 29 on 3/27/2020; capacity shown reflects 57 for Noyes since this was in effect for the majority of the fiscal year.


PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2020-2022**



*87.3% of FY 2022 Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI.

**Data for FY 2022 is not comparable to prior years (including FY 2020 and FY 2021) due to the revalidated DRAI changes to score ranges. In FY 2022 the ranges were: Low 0-8, Moderate 9-13, High 14+. Previously they were Low 0-7, Moderate 8-12, and High 13+.

ALOS BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2020-2022




ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Under Age 13 Statewide Activity for Detention Centers


Youth who are under 13 years of age represent a small population of youth detained in DJS detention centers. Rather than placing these youth in a detention facility, DJS most frequently uses an alternative to detention (ATD) with placement at home or in a shelter. However, if a youth must be in a detention center, they are housed with youth closest in age and size. If deemed highly vulnerable, they may be placed in the infirmary, with one-on-one staff supervision or sent to a smaller facility with a lower population. Regardless of placement type, youth under 13 receive the same services as other detained youth. *Note: youth under age 13 are not eligible to be charged in adult court.*

PLACEMENTS, FY 2020-2022



* Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, and Removal; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2022



YOUTH PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Youth Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	83.8%	83.3%	75.0%
White	8.1%	16.7%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	8.1%	0.0%	25.0%
Sex			
Male	86.5%	100.0%	91.7%
Female	13.5%	0.0%	8.3%
Age*			
8 and under	0	0	0
9	0	0	0
10	2	0	0
11	7	1	2
12	28	11	10
Total Placements from Juv. Court	37	12	12

* Due to the small number of youth in each age category, actual numbers are presented instead of percentages.

• Source of Placements (FY 2022):

- 91.7% of placements were from regions within Maryland. The one from out of state was from Washington, D.C..

• Placement Trends:

- Total placements decreased 67.6% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and did not change between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Pre-D placements decreased 67.6% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and did not change between FY 2020 and FY 2021.
- Post-D placements decreased 100.0% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and did not change between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- There were no removal placements FY 2020 and FY 2022.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D decreased 17.2% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 53.6% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Post-D ALOS decreased 100.0% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and did not change between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- There were no removal placements FY 2020 and FY 2022.

• Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2020-2022:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 48.6% to 50.0%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 2.7% to 33.3%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 45.9% to 8.3%.

• Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 80.4% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 69.8% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

YOUTH PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2022*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Removal
Offense Type			
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	41.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category²			
Crime of Violence	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Felony	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses by Placement	12	0	0

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Removal it is adjudicated sustained. Data do not include Adult Court.


¹ Includes: Affray, Alcoholic Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Extortion by Verbal Threat, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2020-2022




PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2020-2022**



*100% of FY 2022 Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI.

**Data for FY 2022 is not comparable to prior years (including FY 2020 and FY 2021) due to the revalidated DRAI changes to score ranges. In FY 2022 the ranges were: Low 0-8, Moderate 9-13, High 14+. Previously they were Low 0-7, Moderate 8-12, and High 13+.

ALOS BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2020-2022




ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Youth of Color Statewide Activity for Detention Centers


The data shown in this section provide additional detail for youth of color (non-white youth). Although each summary page in the *Data Resource Guide* Detention Section provides an overall race/ethnicity breakdown for detention placements in the demographics table, the tables in this section show more detailed information on placements and ADP by type and region, offense type and severity, and length of stay for youth of color as a whole. Data presented include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 134-135 for more detail), except for demographic, offense, and risk level tables/charts.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2020-2022



*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, Removal, and Adult Court; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2022



YOUTH PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Youth Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	88.8%	91.5%	89.8%
White	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	11.2%	8.5%	10.2%
Sex			
Male	84.3%	85.4%	89.2%
Female	15.7%	14.6%	10.8%
Age			
11 and under	0.5%	0.1%	0.3%
12	1.8%	1.3%	1.6%
13	7.1%	4.9%	5.6%
14	12.6%	19.7%	14.3%
15	19.9%	27.5%	28.3%
16	20.9%	19.4%	18.7%
17	25.1%	16.9%	18.7%
18-20	12.1%	10.1%	12.5%
Total Placements from Juv. Court	1,480	691	630

Source of Placements (FY 2022):

- 89.9% of placements were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 73 were from Washington, D.C.; 4 were from North Carolina; 3 each were from Pennsylvania and Virginia; 2 were from Delaware; and 1 each was from California, Florida, and New York.

Placement Trends:

- Total placements decreased 49.2% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 3.3% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Pre-D placements decreased 60.4% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 13.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Post-D placements decreased 42.6% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 24.1% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Removal placements decreased 74.6% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and did not change between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Adult Court placements decreased 1.4% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 58.8% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- For cases involving a transfer from facility to facility, the total ALOS is reflected on the statewide pages. The specific facility's page reflects only the ALOS at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 44.4% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 13.1% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Post-D ALOS increased 6.2% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 1.4% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- ALOS for Removals increased 13.5% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 9.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- ALOS for Adult Court increased 11.0% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 22.9% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2020-2022:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 35.6% to 44.6%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 18.2% to 20.0%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 41.5% to 30.4%.

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 33.6% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 8.8% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

YOUTH PLACEMENTS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2022*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Removal
Offense Type			
Arson	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	9.8%	1.7%	11.8%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	6.5%	4.6%	5.9%
Carjacking	14.3%	10.9%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.4%	0.6%	5.9%
Disturbing the Peace	0.4%	1.1%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	12.0%	10.9%	17.6%
Handgun Violation	7.2%	9.7%	5.9%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	1.9%	1.7%	5.9%
Manslaughter	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	3.3%	4.6%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	3.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.5%	1.1%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	5.7%	4.6%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Robbery	6.9%	14.3%	17.6%
Second Degree Assault	10.7%	10.9%	17.6%
Sex Offense	2.6%	5.1%	0.0%
Theft Felony	4.3%	2.3%	5.9%
Theft Misdemeanor	4.8%	6.3%	5.9%
Trespassing	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.7%	1.7%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	44.6%	47.4%	23.5%
Felony	20.0%	12.0%	29.4%
- Person-to-Person	2.4%	2.3%	11.8%
- Property	14.4%	4.0%	17.6%
- Drugs	3.1%	1.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	30.4%	40.6%	47.1%
- Person-to-Person	19.3%	26.9%	29.4%
- Property	9.1%	10.3%	17.6%
- Drugs	1.3%	1.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.7%	2.3%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses by Placement	540	175	17

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Removal it is adjudicated sustained. Data do not include Adult Court.


¹ Includes: Affray, Alcoholic Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Extortion by Verbal Threat, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

ADP BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2020-2022




PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2020-2022**



*86.3% of FY 2022 Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI.

**Data for FY 2022 is not comparable to prior years (including FY 2020 and FY 2021) due to the revalidated DRAI changes to score ranges. In FY 2022 the ranges were: Low 0-8, Moderate 9-13, High 14+. Previously they were Low 0-7, Moderate 8-12, and High 13+.

ALOS BY PLACEMENT TYPE, FY 2020-2022



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Youth Charged as Adults Pending Transfer

Youth ages 17 and under charged with a crime excluded from original juvenile court jurisdiction may be held in adult detention centers, pending trial. If ordered by the court, youth charged as adults that are eligible to file for transfer of charges to juvenile court may be held in a secure juvenile detention facility pending a transfer hearing to determine if jurisdiction should remain in the adult court or be transferred to the juvenile court. (Md. Code, Criminal Procedure, §4-202). DJS previously entered into agreements with local criminal justice agencies to house such youth. The statute was amended in 2015, which allowed transfer-eligible youth charged as adults to be held in a secure juvenile detention facility pending that transfer decision unless: (1) the youth is released; (2) there is no capacity in the secure juvenile facility; or (3) the court finds that detention in a secure juvenile facility would pose a risk of harm to the child or others, and states the reason on record. Data in this section represent youth held in DJS detention facilities for the adult court pending a transfer hearing. This section also provides information on youth whose complaints were transferred to the juvenile court, though they may or may not have been detained during the report year.

PLACEMENTS FOR FY 2021-2022 AND ADP, ALOS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2022 (LEFT SIDE). CASES TRANSFERRED TO THE JUVENILE COURT WITH COURT DISPOSITIONS BY REGION OF JURISDICTION, FY 2022 (RIGHT SIDE).

Region/County	Placements		FY 2022		FY 2022 Complaints			
	FY 2021	FY 2022	ADP	ALOS	Transferred to Juvenile Court	Committed	Probation	Dismissed/ Other
Region 1	7	12	3.5	144.1	17	58.8%	35.3%	5.9%
Dorchester	3	2	0.4	200.0	4	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Somerset	0	1	0.7	0.0	3	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%
Wicomico	2	8	1.5	80.5	5	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Worcester	2	1	0.8	286.8	5	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%
Region 2	2	5	1.5	114.7	7	0.0%	71.4%	28.6%
Caroline	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cecil	1	2	0.8	153.8	3	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%
Kent	0	1	0.0	10.0	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Queen Anne's	0	1	0.2	59.9	2	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Talbot	1	1	0.4	196.1	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Region 3	33	44	15.0	145.0	63	28.6%	54.0%	17.5%
Baltimore Co.	32	39	14.0	155.7	61	29.5%	52.5%	18.0%
Harford	1	5	1.1	64.7	2	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Region 4	10	12	2.7	107.3	8	12.5%	37.5%	50.0%
Allegany	2	2	0.2	63.7	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Garrett	0	1	0.4	127.8	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Washington	8	9	2.2	123.0	6	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%
Region 5	18	24	7.1	117.1	43	30.2%	32.6%	37.2%
Anne Arundel	12	13	3.3	118.3	32	34.4%	31.3%	34.4%
Carroll	0	1	0.0	2.2	3	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%
Howard	6	10	3.8	127.9	8	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%
Region 6	14	24	4.8	81.0	37	29.7%	51.4%	18.9%
Frederick	2	2	0.5	0.0	2	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Montgomery	12	22	4.3	81.0	35	25.7%	54.3%	20.0%
Region 7	38	60	13.9	91.6	100	17.0%	57.0%	26.0%
Calvert	0	1	0.0	0.0	1	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Charles	4	7	1.7	75.9	11	18.2%	45.5%	36.4%
Prince George's	29	50	11.1	89.7	87	17.2%	58.6%	24.1%
St. Mary's	5	2	1.0	128.2	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Region 8	57	91	27.4	119.3	142	34.5%	35.9%	29.6%
Baltimore City	57	91	27.4	119.3	142	34.5%	35.9%	29.6%
Out-of-State	19	40	9.7	113.0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Statewide	198	312	85.5	113.9	417	119	189	109

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes.

OFFENSE TYPE, FY 2022

Most Serious Alleged Juvenile Offense of Transferred Cases	FY 2022
Auto Theft/Unauthorized Use	2.4%
Burglary/Breaking & Entering	1.2%
Carjacking	12.0%
Conspiracy to Commit Offense	1.0%
Deadly Weapon	7.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	19.9%
Handgun Violation	25.7%
Kidnapping	0.2%
Manslaughter	1.0%
Murder	11.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.7%
Other/Missing	1.2%
Robbery	6.7%
Second Degree Assault-Misdemeanor	2.6%
Sex Offense	5.0%
Theft - Felony	1.4%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.0%
Total Transferred Cases	417

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022

Crime of Violence*	59.0%
Felony	10.3%
- Person-to-Person	4.3%
- Property	3.8%
- Drugs	0.7%
- Unspecified	1.4%
Misdemeanor	30.7%
- Person-to-Person	29.7%
- Property	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%
Citations	0.0%
CINS	0.0%
Missing	0.0%
Total Transferred Cases	417

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

Note: The population data for youth detained by the adult court are also included in the data presented in the rest of the Detention section, with the exception of demographics, offense and risk level tables/charts. It is important to note that the offense data shown here reflect the resulting juvenile court offense for those cases that were transferred down from adult court jurisdiction and do not reflect the original adult charge.

YOUTH CHARGED AS ADULTS PENDING TRANSFER DEMOGRAPHICS BY DETENTION FACILITY, FY 2022

Demographics	Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center	Balt. City Juvenile Justice Center	Charles H. Hickey Jr. School	Cheltenham Youth Detention Center	Lower East Shore Children's Center	Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center	Western Maryland Children's Center	Statewide*
Race								
Black	68.4%	92.8%	83.6%	80.4%	86.7%	71.4%	61.5%	83.3%
White	21.1%	2.7%	6.8%	6.9%	0.0%	14.3%	23.1%	7.4%
Hispanic/ Other	10.5%	4.5%	9.6%	12.7%	13.3%	14.3%	15.4%	9.3%
Sex								
Male	57.9%	100.0%	100.0%	96.1%	93.3%	0.0%	100.0%	96.2%
Female	42.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%	6.7%	100.0%	0.0%	3.8%
Age								
14	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
15	10.5%	3.6%	2.7%	3.9%	13.3%	28.6%	11.5%	4.5%
16	57.9%	34.2%	43.8%	33.3%	40.0%	42.9%	53.8%	40.1%
17	31.6%	46.8%	41.1%	53.9%	40.0%	28.6%	30.8%	46.5%
18-20	0.0%	14.4%	12.3%	7.8%	6.7%	0.0%	3.8%	8.3%
Total Admissions	19	111	73	102	15	7	26	312
ADP	2.6	27.8	25.6	20.1	2.6	1.8	5.0	85.5
ALOS	84.6	103.8	127.5	73.6	79.6	131.5	75.4	113.9

* Statewide admissions exclude facility transfers

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes.

Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center

Superintendent: Antonia Reason


Region 6

9925 Blackwell Road
Rockville, MD 20850
301-315-1610

Rated Capacity: 29 (males and females) *Noyes closed on 12/1/2021 and reopened on 3/1/2022 as a female-only facility.*


Note: The removal population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed treatment program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented include youth charged as adults pending transfer (*see pages 134-135 for more detail*), except for demographic, offense, and risk level tables/charts.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2020-2022



*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, Removal, and Adult Court; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2022



YOUTH ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Youth Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	58.8%	68.0%	66.1%
White	12.4%	22.7%	17.9%
Hispanic/Other	28.8%	9.3%	16.1%
Sex			
Male	74.6%	52.0%	37.5%
Female	25.4%	48.0%	62.5%
Age			
11 and under	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
13	6.8%	0.0%	5.4%
14	12.4%	10.7%	14.3%
15	18.6%	25.3%	28.6%
16	28.2%	18.7%	33.9%
17	21.5%	28.0%	14.3%
18-20	11.3%	17.3%	3.6%
Total Admissions from Juv. Court	177	75	56

• Source of Admissions (FY 2022):

- 85.1% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 9 were from Washington, D.C.; and 1 each was from Florida and Pennsylvania.

• Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 65.9% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 18.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 70.2% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 25.0% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Post-D admissions decreased 73.1% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 30.0% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Removal admissions decreased to 0 in FY 2022.
- Adult Court admissions decreased 55.8% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 9.5% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- Statewide pages show overall ALOS including transfers between facilities. Facility pages show ALOS only at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D decreased 13.1% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 0.1% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Post-D ALOS decreased 15.0% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 3.5% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- ALOS for Removals decreased to 0 in FY 2022.
- ALOS for Adult Court increased 28.6% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 34.0% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

• Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2020-2022:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 33.5% to 35.4%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 17.4% to 10.4%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 42.2% to 43.8%.

• Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 77.6% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 54.1% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- FY 2022 Pre-D ADP for males was 1.3 and for females was 1.2.
- FY 2022 Post-D ADP for males was 0.5 and for females was 0.6.
- FY 2022 Removal ADP for males was 0.0 and for females was 0.0.
- FY 2022 Adult Court ADP for males was 1.9 and for females was 0.7.

YOUTH ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2022*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Removal
Offense Type			
Arson	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	4.2%	14.3%	0.0%
Carjacking	8.3%	14.3%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	16.7%	14.3%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	14.6%	7.1%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	16.7%	14.3%	0.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Trespassing	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	35.4%	42.9%	0.0%
Felony	10.4%	14.3%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	8.3%	14.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	43.8%	42.9%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	22.9%	35.7%	0.0%
- Property	18.8%	7.1%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant	10.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses by Placement	48	14	0

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Removal it is adjudicated sustained. Data do not include Adult Court.

¹ Includes: Affray, Alcoholic Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Extortion by Verbal Threat, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony


² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2020-2022



* Capacity for Noyes was reduced from 57 to 29 on 3/27/2020; capacity shown reflects 57 for Noyes since this was in effect for the majority of the fiscal year.


PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2020-2022**



*The DRAI is completed at initial placement, and not at subsequent facility admissions/transfers. 79.2% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI in FY 2022.

**Data for FY 2022 is not comparable to prior years (including FY 2020 and FY 2021) due to the revalidated DRAI changes to score ranges. In FY 2022 the ranges were: Low 0-8, Moderate 9-13, High 14+. Previously they were Low 0-7, Moderate 8-12, and High 13+.

ALOS BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2020-2022



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Center

Superintendent: Andre Smith


Rated Capacity: 120 Males

Note: The removal population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed treatment program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 134-135 for more detail), except for demographic, offense, and risk level tables/charts.

Region 8


300 North Gay Street
Baltimore, MD 21202
443-263-8998

ADMISSIONS, FY 2020-2022



*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, Removal, and Adult Court; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2022



*Includes out-of-state youth

YOUTH ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Youth Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	93.3%	87.2%	86.2%
White	2.1%	7.3%	7.8%
Hispanic/Other	4.5%	5.6%	6.0%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
12	1.4%	0.9%	1.3%
13	6.2%	4.3%	6.5%
14	12.2%	24.8%	15.5%
15	27.4%	29.5%	24.1%
16	16.9%	18.4%	15.5%
17	22.2%	12.0%	23.3%
18-20	13.6%	10.3%	12.9%
Total Admissions from Juv. Court	419	234	232

Source of Admissions (FY 2022):

- 97.9% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 4 were from Washington, D.C.; and 3 were from North Carolina.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 36.8% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 20.1% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 47.6% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 6.1% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Post-D admissions decreased 28.0% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 59.5% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Removal admissions decreased 80.0% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 42.9% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Adult Court admissions increased 2.8% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 177.5% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- Statewide pages show overall ALOS including transfers between facilities. Facility pages show ALOS only at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 40.8% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 17.5% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Post-D ALOS increased 3.1% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 19.0% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- ALOS for Removals decreased 5.5% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 8.3% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- ALOS for Adult Court decreased 5.2% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 40.0% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2020-2022:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 41.1% to 52.0%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 24.9% to 21.0%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 32.7% to 25.0%.

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 29.9% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 34.9% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

YOUTH ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2022*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Removal
Offense Type			
Arson	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	14.0%	1.7%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	7.0%	5.1%	0.0%
Carjacking	19.0%	8.5%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	9.0%	16.9%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	11.5%	13.6%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	2.0%	1.7%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	4.0%	5.1%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.5%	0.0%	25.0%
Robbery	9.0%	16.9%	25.0%
Second Degree Assault	6.5%	10.2%	25.0%
Sex Offense	1.5%	6.8%	0.0%
Theft Felony	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	3.5%	3.4%	25.0%
Trespassing	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%
Offense Category²			
Crime of Violence	52.0%	54.2%	25.0%
Felony	21.0%	10.2%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.5%	5.1%	0.0%
- Property	17.5%	1.7%	0.0%
- Drugs	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	25.0%	35.6%	75.0%
- Person-to-Person	16.0%	25.4%	50.0%
- Property	7.0%	6.8%	25.0%
- Drugs	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>1.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Placement	200	59	4

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Removal it is adjudicated sustained. Data do not include Adult Court.


¹ Includes: Affray, Alcoholic Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Extortion by Verbal Threat, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2020-2022




PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2020-2022**



*The DRAI is completed at initial placement, and not at subsequent facility admissions/transfers. 88.0% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI in FY 2022.

**Data for FY 2022 is not comparable to prior years (including FY 2020 and FY 2021) due to the revalidated DRAI changes to score ranges. In FY 2022 the ranges were: Low 0-8, Moderate 9-13, High 14+. Previously they were Low 0-7, Moderate 8-12, and High 13+.

ALOS BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2020-2022



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.


Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School

Superintendent: Vacant

Rated Capacity: 72 Males


Note: The removal population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed treatment program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 134-135 for more detail), except for demographic, offense, and risk level tables/charts.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2020-2022



*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, Removal, and Adult Court; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2022



*Includes out-of-state youth

YOUTH ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Youth Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	74.2%	70.8%	74.0%
White	20.5%	21.7%	15.3%
Hispanic/Other	5.3%	7.5%	10.7%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
12	2.4%	0.6%	0.8%
13	5.3%	6.2%	5.3%
14	11.3%	19.9%	15.3%
15	15.3%	28.0%	25.2%
16	24.2%	16.1%	16.8%
17	28.9%	18.0%	24.4%
18-20	11.3%	11.2%	12.2%
Total Admissions from Juv. Court	380	161	131

Source of Admissions (FY 2022):

- 96.3% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 3 were from Washington, D.C.; 2 were from Pennsylvania; and 1 each was from Florida and New York.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 55.6% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 8.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 69.6% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 28.6% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Post-D admissions decreased 42.7% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 19.4% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Removal admissions decreased 72.2% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and did not change between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Adult Court admissions increased 21.7% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 37.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- Statewide pages show overall ALOS including transfers between facilities. Facility pages show ALOS only at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 61.6% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 32.1% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Post-D ALOS increased 8.1% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 10.2% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- ALOS for Removals increased 80.2% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 18.1% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- ALOS for Adult Court increased 7.6% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 13.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2020-2022:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 35.9% to 47.6%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 19.7% to 25.7%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 42.0% to 22.9%.

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 20.5% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 20.8% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

YOUTH ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2022*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Removal
Offense Type			
Arson	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	9.5%	7.0%	40.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	4.8%	2.3%	0.0%
Carjacking	17.1%	18.6%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.0%	2.3%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	19.0%	14.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	1.9%	4.7%	20.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	4.8%	2.3%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	4.8%	4.7%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	5.7%	18.6%	20.0%
Second Degree Assault	8.6%	7.0%	0.0%
Sex Offense	3.8%	7.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	6.7%	2.3%	20.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	2.9%	7.0%	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category²			
Crime of Violence	47.6%	55.8%	20.0%
Felony	25.7%	20.9%	60.0%
- Person-to-Person	2.9%	4.7%	0.0%
- Property	17.1%	9.3%	60.0%
- Drugs	4.8%	2.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.0%	4.7%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	22.9%	23.3%	20.0%
- Person-to-Person	14.3%	14.0%	20.0%
- Property	7.6%	9.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses by Placement	105	43	5

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Removal it is adjudicated sustained. Data do not include Adult Court.


¹ Includes: Affray, Alcoholic Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Extortion by Verbal Threat, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2020-2022




PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2020-2022**



*The DRAI is completed at initial placement, and not at subsequent facility admissions/transfers. 81.0% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI in FY 2022.

**Data for FY 2022 is not comparable to prior years (including FY 2020 and FY 2021) due to the revalidated DRAI changes to score ranges. In FY 2022 the ranges were: Low 0-8, Moderate 9-13, High 14+. Previously they were Low 0-7, Moderate 8-12, and High 13+.

ALOS BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2020-2022



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Cheltenham Youth Detention Center

Superintendent: Andre Mullings

Rated Capacity: 72 Males

Region 7


11003 Frank Tippet Road

Cheltenham, MD 20623

301-782-2400


Note: The removal population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed treatment program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 134-135 for more detail), except for demographic, offense, and risk level tables/charts.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2020-2022



*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, Removal, and Adult Court; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2022



YOUTH ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Youth Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	73.8%	76.4%	73.0%
White	13.1%	13.0%	11.9%
Hispanic/Other	13.1%	10.6%	15.1%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	96.3%	93.1%
Female*	0.0%	3.7%	6.9%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
12	1.3%	2.5%	1.3%
13	7.7%	3.1%	3.8%
14	7.7%	14.9%	11.3%
15	18.8%	24.2%	30.2%
16	23.4%	23.0%	15.7%
17	27.8%	19.3%	20.1%
18-20	13.4%	12.4%	17.6%
Total Admissions from Juv. Court	389	161	159

*Females were temporarily housed at Cheltenham since FY 2021 as needed.

Source of Admissions (FY 2022):

- 77.1% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 52 were from Washington, D.C.; 3 were from Virginia; and 1 each was from Delaware, Maine and North Carolina.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 45.5% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 20.5% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 59.7% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 1.5% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Post-D admissions decreased 56.3% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 4.3% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Removal admissions decreased 92.0% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 60.0% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Adult Court admissions increased 9.7% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 70.0% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- Statewide pages show overall ALOS including transfers between facilities. Facility pages show ALOS only at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 32.0% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 2.2% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Post-D ALOS decreased 0.3% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 13.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- ALOS for Removals decreased 63.8% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 67.4% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- ALOS for Adult Court decreased 3.2% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 41.0% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2020-2022:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 37.3% to 43.6%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 17.0% to 18.0%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 39.1% to 33.8%.

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 35.8% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 4.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

YOUTH ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2022*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Removal
Offense Type			
Arson	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	4.5%	4.4%	0.0%
Carjacking	13.5%	8.9%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	8.9%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	9.8%	2.2%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	9.8%	13.3%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	3.8%	4.4%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	2.3%	2.2%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.5%	2.2%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	6.0%	6.7%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	9.0%	17.8%	50.0%
Second Degree Assault	10.5%	13.3%	50.0%
Sex Offense	4.5%	4.4%	0.0%
Theft Felony	4.5%	2.2%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	5.3%	4.4%	0.0%
Trespassing	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category²			
Crime of Violence	43.6%	42.2%	50.0%
Felony	18.0%	17.8%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	3.0%	4.4%	0.0%
- Property	12.8%	2.2%	0.0%
- Drugs	2.3%	2.2%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	8.9%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	33.8%	40.0%	50.0%
- Person-to-Person	22.6%	28.9%	50.0%
- Property	9.0%	6.7%	0.0%
- Drugs	1.5%	2.2%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.8%	2.2%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses by Placement	133	45	2

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Removal it is adjudicated sustained. Data do not include Adult Court.


¹ Includes: Affray, Alcoholic Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Extortion by Verbal Threat, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2020-2022




PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2020-2022**



*The DRAI is completed at initial placement, and not at subsequent facility admissions/transfers. 81.2% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI in FY 2022.

**Data for FY 2022 is not comparable to prior years (including FY 2020 and FY 2021) due to the revalidated DRAI changes to score ranges. In FY 2022 the ranges were: Low 0-8, Moderate 9-13, High 14+. Previously they were Low 0-7, Moderate 8-12, and High 13+.

ALOS BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2020-2022



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Lower Eastern Shore Children's Center

Superintendent: Derrick Witherspoon


Region 1

Rated Capacity: 18 Males and 6 Females (*The facility became male only as of 6/1/2022.*)

Note: The removal population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed treatment program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented include youth charged as adults pending transfer (*see pages 134-135 for more detail*), except for demographic, offense, and risk level tables/charts.


405 Naylor Mill Road
Salisbury, MD 21801
443-523-1526

ADMISSIONS, FY 2020-2022



*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, Removal, and Adult Court; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2022



*Includes out-of-state youth

YOUTH ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Youth Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	73.6%	73.0%	78.2%
White	24.2%	24.3%	17.3%
Hispanic/Other	2.2%	2.7%	4.5%
Sex			
Male	68.0%	78.4%	84.5%
Female	32.0%	21.6%	15.5%
Age			
11 and under	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
12	2.8%	3.6%	3.6%
13	13.5%	7.2%	8.2%
14	20.2%	22.5%	4.5%
15	20.2%	24.3%	38.2%
16	15.7%	17.1%	24.5%
17	18.0%	19.8%	15.5%
18-20	6.7%	5.4%	5.5%
Total Admissions from Juv. Court	178	111	110

Source of Admissions (FY 2022):

- 98.4% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 1 each was from Delaware and Pennsylvania.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 33.9% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 4.2% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 42.9% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 9.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Post-D admissions decreased 3.0% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 23.1% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Removal admissions did not change between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 66.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Adult Court admissions increased 36.4% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 50.0% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- Statewide pages show overall ALOS including transfers between facilities. Facility pages show ALOS only at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 35.3% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 1.5% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Post-D ALOS increased 21.8% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 9.2% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- ALOS for Removals decreased 4.8% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 29.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- ALOS for Adult Court increased 31.4% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 19.5% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2020-2022:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 14.7% to 33.3%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 11.7% to 16.1%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 66.9% to 44.1%.

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 4.4% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 6.8% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.
- FY 2022 Pre-D ADP for males was 7.6 and for females was 0.2.
- FY 2022 Post-D ADP for males was 2.3 and for females was 0.7.
- FY 2022 Removal ADP for males was 0.4 and for females was 0.0.
- FY 2022 Adult Court ADP for males was 2.6 and for females was 0.0.

YOUTH ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2022*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Removal
Offense Type			
Arson	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	7.5%	6.2%	20.0%
Carjacking	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	1.1%	3.1%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	9.7%	6.2%	40.0%
Handgun Violation	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	5.4%	9.4%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	2.2%	6.2%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	3.2%	3.1%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	2.2%	3.1%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	5.4%	9.4%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	5.4%	6.2%	0.0%
Robbery	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	15.1%	21.9%	40.0%
Sex Offense	11.8%	3.1%	0.0%
Theft Felony	4.3%	3.1%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	7.5%	12.5%	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.1%	3.1%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	33.3%	12.5%	0.0%
Felony	16.1%	12.5%	40.0%
- Person-to-Person	5.4%	6.2%	40.0%
- Property	7.5%	3.1%	0.0%
- Drugs	3.2%	3.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	44.1%	75.0%	60.0%
- Person-to-Person	26.9%	37.5%	40.0%
- Property	16.1%	28.1%	20.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.1%	6.2%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>1.1%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Placement	93	32	5

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Removal it is adjudicated sustained. Data do not include Adult Court.


¹ Includes: Affray, Alcoholic Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Extortion by Verbal Threat, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2020-2022




PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2020-2022**



*The DRAI is completed at initial placement, and not at subsequent facility admissions/transfers. 88.2% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI in FY 2022.

**Data for FY 2022 is not comparable to prior years (including FY 2020 and FY 2021) due to the revalidated DRAI changes to score ranges. In FY 2022 the ranges were: Low 0-8, Moderate 9-13, High 14+. Previously they were Low 0-7, Moderate 8-12, and High 13+.

ALOS BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2020-2022



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center

Superintendent: Lisa Steeple

Region 7

375 Red Clay Road, S.W.


Laurel, MD 20724

301-362-6160

Rated Capacity: 42 Females (closed as of 3/2/2022)


Note: The removal population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed treatment program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 134-135 for more detail), except for demographic, offense, and risk level tables/charts.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2020-2022



*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, Removal, and Adult Court; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2022



*Includes out-of-state youth

YOUTH ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Youth Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	72.6%	73.1%	68.8%
White	20.2%	17.9%	22.9%
Hispanic/Other	7.2%	9.0%	8.3%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	1.3%	0.0%	2.1%
13	6.7%	6.0%	6.2%
14	13.5%	19.4%	12.5%
15	22.4%	19.4%	29.2%
16	26.0%	22.4%	16.7%
17	21.1%	26.9%	18.8%
18-20	9.0%	6.0%	14.6%
Total Admissions from Juv. Court	223	67	48

Source of Admissions (FY 2022):

- 94.5% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 2 were from Washington, D.C.; and 1 was from California.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 76.8% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 26.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 78.0% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 31.3% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Post-D admissions decreased 84.4% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 58.8% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Removal admissions decreased 85.7% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and did not change between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Adult Court admissions decreased 53.3% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 12.5% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- Statewide pages show overall ALOS including transfers between facilities. Facility pages show ALOS only at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 120.9% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 6.4% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Post-D ALOS increased 17.4% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 6.6% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- ALOS for Removals decreased 48.2% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 80.6% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- ALOS for Adult Court increased 57.7% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 26.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2020-2022:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 27.5% to 25.0%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 13.5% to 18.2%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 52.0% to 34.1%.

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 72.7% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 48.9% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

YOUTH ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2022*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Removal
Offense Type			
Arson	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	15.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	2.3%	14.3%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	6.8%	14.3%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	18.2%	14.3%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	18.2%	14.3%	100.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	4.5%	14.3%	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category²			
Crime of Violence	25.0%	14.3%	0.0%
Felony	18.2%	28.6%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	9.1%	14.3%	0.0%
- Property	9.1%	14.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	34.1%	57.1%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	22.7%	42.9%	100.0%
- Property	9.1%	14.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Interstate Warrant</i>	<i>15.9%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
Total Offenses by Placement	44	7	1

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Removal it is adjudicated sustained. Data do not include Adult Court.


¹ Includes: Affray, Alcoholic Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Extortion by Verbal Threat, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2020-2022




PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2020-2022**



*The DRAI is completed at initial placement, and not at subsequent facility admissions/transfers. 84.1% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI in FY 2022.

**Data for FY 2022 is not comparable to prior years (including FY 2020 and FY 2021) due to the revalidated DRAI changes to score ranges. In FY 2022 the ranges were: Low 0-8, Moderate 9-13, High 14+. Previously they were Low 0-7, Moderate 8-12, and High 13+.

ALOS BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2020-2022



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.


Western Maryland Children's Center

Superintendent: Mark Bishop

Rated Capacity: 24 Males


Note: The removal population represents youth returned to detention following removal from a committed treatment program, who have no new offenses requiring court processing, and who need a new committed placement. Data presented include youth charged as adults pending transfer (see pages 134-135 for more detail), except for demographic, offense, and risk level tables/charts.

ADMISSIONS, FY 2020-2022



*Youth can be counted in Pre-D, Post-D, Removal, and Adult Court; therefore, the sum of the status categories may not equal the total.

ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2022



*Includes out-of-state youth

YOUTH ADMISSION DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Youth Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	48.7%	60.9%	77.6%
White	34.7%	32.6%	19.4%
Hispanic/Other	16.7%	6.5%	3.0%
Sex			
Male	99.3%	100.0%	98.5%
Female*	0.7%	0.0%	1.5%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	6.0%	4.3%	3.0%
14	14.7%	17.4%	17.9%
15	15.3%	26.1%	29.9%
16	23.3%	21.7%	20.9%
17	32.0%	17.4%	17.9%
18-20	8.7%	13.0%	10.4%
Total Admissions from Juv. Court	150	46	67

*Females are occasionally detained after hours under agreement with Washington Co. law enforcement

Source of Admissions (FY 2022):

- 89.0% of admissions were from regions within Maryland. Of those from out of state, 8 were from Washington, D.C.; and 1 each was from Delaware and West Virginia.

Admission Trends:

- Total admissions decreased 45.2% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 49.2% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Pre-D admissions decreased 58.8% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 40.0% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Post-D admissions decreased 28.1% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 43.8% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Removal admissions decreased 50.0% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and did not change between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Adult Court admissions increased 30.0% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 62.5% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in Days:

- Statewide pages show overall ALOS including transfers between facilities. Facility pages show ALOS only at that particular facility.
- ALOS for Pre-D increased 18.2% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 48.4% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Post-D ALOS increased 70.4% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 24.1% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- ALOS for Removals increased 735.6% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 132.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- ALOS for Adult Court decreased 18.3% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 53.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

Pre-D Offense Category (Most Serious Offense) Trends FY 2020-2022:

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 25.7% to 33.9%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 13.2% to 28.6%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 55.1% to 32.1%.

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- Overall, ADP decreased 35.6% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 3.0% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- Overall, ADP has consistently been below capacity.

YOUTH ADMISSIONS BY MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE, FY 2022*


Most Serious Offense	Pre-D	Post-D	Removal
Offense Type			
Arson	1.8%	4.3%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	8.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	10.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	7.1%	4.3%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	16.1%	8.7%	25.0%
Handgun Violation	7.1%	4.3%	0.0%
Kidnapping	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	7.1%	4.3%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	3.6%	4.3%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	8.9%	4.3%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	14.3%	13.0%	25.0%
Sex Offense	5.4%	21.7%	0.0%
Theft Felony	3.6%	4.3%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	0.0%	13.0%	0.0%
Trespassing	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	1.8%	4.3%	0.0%
Offense Category ²			
Crime of Violence	33.9%	26.1%	25.0%
Felony	28.6%	8.7%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	14.3%	4.3%	0.0%
- Drugs	7.1%	4.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	32.1%	65.2%	75.0%
- Person-to-Person	19.6%	34.8%	50.0%
- Property	7.1%	21.7%	25.0%
- Drugs	3.6%	4.3%	0.0%
- Unspecified	1.8%	4.3%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate Warrant	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Offenses by Placement	56	23	4

* Offense may not be reason for placement. For Pre-D, the offense is alleged, and for both Post-D and Removal it is adjudicated sustained. Data do not include Adult Court.


¹ Includes: Affray, Alcoholic Bev. Violation, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Escape, Extortion by Verbal Threat, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Rioting, Runaway, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

ADP BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2020-2022




PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2020-2022**



*The DRAI is completed at initial placement, and not at subsequent facility admissions/transfers. 85.7% of Pre-D placements had a completed DRAI in FY 2022.

**Data for FY 2022 is not comparable to prior years (including FY 2020 and FY 2021) due to the revalidated DRAI changes to score ranges. In FY 2022 the ranges were: Low 0-8, Moderate 9-13, High 14+. Previously they were Low 0-7, Moderate 8-12, and High 13+.

ALOS BY ADMISSION TYPE, FY 2020-2022



ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Alternatives to Detention (ATD)

DJS has a variety of programs designed to safely supervise and monitor youth in the community as an alternative to secure detention. ATDs include Community Detention (CD)– with or without Electronic/GPS Monitoring (EM) – and DJS-managed Evening Reporting Centers (ERC) serving females and males. DJS also contracts with private providers for additional ERC programming, including the Pre-Adjudication Coordination and Transition Center (PACT) for Baltimore City males, PrideYouth Service for females and males in Montgomery County, and Lead for Life, Inc., for females and males in Wicomico County. Shelter beds may also serve as ATDs when release-eligible youth are unable to return home. If a youth is presented at intake for detention, DJS staff may assign an ATD based on the youth's risk level (placements must be reviewed by the juvenile court the next day). The juvenile court may also assign an ATD directly. These alternatives allow youth to remain at home or in shelter care to continue school or work and maintain community ties/programs that mitigate risk while awaiting adjudication. Youth on ATD supervision are expected to appear for scheduled hearings, abide by ATD program guidelines, and not incur any new offenses.

ADMISSIONS (ADM), ADP, & ALOS BY PROGRAM TYPE & REGION, FY 2022⁺

Region of Residence	ADM	ADP	ALOS [*]
Region 1	107	15.0	52.4
CD	5	0.6	110.8
CD/EM	79	7.9	33.9
ERC	21	6.2	114.7
Shelter Care	2	0.3	62.6
Region 2	29	3.3	42.5
CD	1	0.0	3.6
CD/EM	26	2.8	40.7
ERC	1	0.2	56.1
Shelter Care	1	0.3	110.8
Region 3	129	14.4	41.6
CD	21	1.7	29.3
CD/EM	81	10.4	47.3
ERC	24	2.2	35.5
Shelter Care	3	0.1	17.3
Region 4	21	3.5	53.5
CD/EM	18	3.2	57.4
Shelter Care	3	0.3	34.2
Region 5	99	11.3	40.4
CD	5	0.3	19.6
CD/EM	52	5.8	40.0
ERC	39	4.9	43.6
Shelter Care	3	0.3	40.7
Region 6	79	8.5	39.6
CD	3	0.1	6.5
CD/EM	45	4.4	34.8
ERC	23	3.5	54.3
Shelter Care	8	0.7	26.8
Region 7	142	15.5	44.6
CD	8	1.0	13.7
CD/EM	74	8.6	50.9
ERC	57	5.8	42.7
Shelter Care	3	0.0	2.6
Region 8	359	40.7	40.7
CD	88	4.7	23.4
CD/EM	144	16.6	38.7
ERC	78	8.3	41.4
PACT	39	9.8	79.9
Shelter Care	10	1.3	74.8
Out-of-State	38	4.4	42.3
Total CD	134	8.4	26.1
Total CD/EM**	550	63.6	41.8
Total ERC**	245	31.3	49.1
Total PACT	39	9.8	79.9
Total Shelter Care**	35	3.5	43.6

⁺Youth can be in multiple ATDs simultaneously; ^{*}Measured in days; ^{**}Includes out-of-state youth (see page 153 for breakdown).

• Region of Residence (FY 2022):

- Nearly half (47.1%) of ATD program youth came from Regions 8 and 7 combined.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS) FY 2020-2022:

- CD increased from 14.8 days to 26.1 days.
- CD/EM increased from 36.3 days to 41.8 days.
- ERC increased from 37.9 days to 49.1 days.
- PACT increased from 45.4 days to 79.9 days.
- Shelter Care increased from 27.5 days to 43.6 days.

• Average Daily Population (ADP) FY 2020-2022:

- CD decreased 4.0%.
- CD/EM decreased 55.5%.
- ERC increased 43.5%.
- PACT increased 44.1%.
- Shelter Care decreased 51.7%.

• ATD Program Youth:

- The total number of ATD youth decreased 40.7% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 5.6% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

• Most Serious Offense Trends FY 2020-2022:

- CD and CD/EM: the percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 33.9% to 43.8%; the percentage of Felonies decreased from 18.4% to 17.2%.
- ERC: the percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 46.6% to 46.1%; the percentage of Felonies decreased from 26.5% to 25.7%.
- PACT: the percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 35.0% to 35.9%; the percentage of Felonies decreased from 31.7% to 20.5%.
- Shelter Care: the percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 32.6% to 42.9%; the percentage of Felonies decreased from 22.1% to 11.4%.

- See pages 152 and 153 for specific program names and locations as well as Admissions, ADP, and ALOS data by Program Type and County for FY 2022.

ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE TYPE*, FY 2022

Most Serious Alleged Offense	CD & CD/EM**	ERC	PACT	Shelter Care
Arson	1.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth Use	7.9%	13.5%	12.8%	8.6%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	6.5%	7.3%	10.3%	17.1%
Carjacking	11.7%	12.2%	7.7%	5.7%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	2.4%	1.6%	5.1%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.9%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault-Felony	11.8%	7.8%	7.7%	11.4%
Handgun Violation	12.1%	6.9%	28.2%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	1.7%	1.6%	0.0%	5.7%
Manslaughter	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	3.5%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	3.0%	4.5%	7.7%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.1%	2.4%	0.0%	8.6%
Other ¹	3.5%	1.6%	5.1%	5.7%
Resisting Arrest	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	8.2%	14.3%	5.1%	2.9%
Second Degree Assault-Misd	10.9%	9.4%	7.7%	14.3%
Sex Offense	1.6%	1.6%	0.0%	8.6%
Theft	6.8%	8.6%	2.6%	8.6%
Trespassing	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%
Unspecified Felony	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspecified Misdemeanor	1.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Admissions	635	245	39	35

* A single admission may involve multiple offenses.

¹ "Other" includes Affray, Assault Weapon, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Escape, False Alarm, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Runaway, and Threat of Mass Violence.

** Admissions counts are for CD/EM program as a whole, including CD alone and CD with EM.

ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE CATEGORY*, FY 2022

Offense Category (of the Most Serious Alleged Offense)	CD & CD/EM**	ERC	PACT	Shelter Care
Crime of Violence⁺	43.8%	46.1%	35.9%	42.9%
Felony	17.2%	25.7%	20.5%	11.4%
- Person-to-Person	2.5%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	10.9%	19.2%	12.8%	11.4%
- Drugs	3.0%	4.5%	7.7%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	36.7%	27.3%	41.0%	40.0%
- Person-to-Person	26.6%	18.4%	38.5%	20.0%
- Property	8.3%	6.1%	2.6%	14.3%
- Drugs	0.6%	2.4%	0.0%	5.7%
- Unspecified	1.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.2%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%
Citations	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%	2.9%
CINS	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Interstate/Missing	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	2.9%
Total Admissions	635	245	39	35

* A single admission may involve multiple offenses.

⁺ See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

** Admission counts are for CD/EM program as a whole, including both CD alone and CD with EM.


YOUTH COUNT BY DEMOGRAPHICS*, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	76.6%	79.1%	79.4%
White	14.8%	13.0%	13.0%
Hispanic/Other	8.6%	7.9%	7.7%
Sex			
Male	84.1%	88.0%	90.0%
Female	15.9%	12.0%	10.0%
Age			
11 and under	1.1%	0.4%	0.3%
12	2.2%	2.5%	1.8%
13	7.8%	4.3%	6.2%
14	12.9%	13.4%	12.2%
15	20.7%	23.8%	26.3%
16	22.7%	23.1%	20.1%
17	24.8%	24.2%	22.6%
18-20	7.8%	8.2%	10.6%
Total Youth¹	1,143	718	678

* Includes CD, CD/EM, ERC, PACT, and Shelter Care

¹ Total represents a count of youth served, since youth may be served by multiple ATD programs.

YOUTH COUNT BY REGION OF RESIDENCE*, FY 2022



* Includes CD, CD/EM, ERC, PACT, and Shelter Care

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION AND SHELTER CARE BY REGION/COUNTY, FY 2022

Region 1	Location
Dorchester County CD	Dorchester
Dorchester County EM	Dorchester
Somerset County CD	Somerset
Somerset County EM	Somerset
Wicomico County CD	Wicomico
Wicomico County EM	Wicomico
Wicomico County ERC	Wicomico
Worcester County CD	Worcester
Worcester County EM	Worcester
DJS Assessment Unit - Eastern Shore	Worcester
Region 2	
Caroline County CD	Caroline
Caroline County EM	Caroline
Cecil County CD	Cecil
Cecil County EM	Cecil
Kent County CD	Kent
Kent County EM	Kent
Queen Anne's County CD	Queen Anne's
Queen Anne's County EM	Queen Anne's
Talbot County CD	Talbot
Talbot County EM	Talbot
Region 3	
Baltimore County CD	Baltimore Co.
Baltimore County EM	Baltimore Co.
Children's Home (Group Home)*	Baltimore Co.
Eastern Baltimore County ERC	Baltimore Co.
MAGIC- Unity Home for Girls (Group Home)*	Baltimore Co.
Board of Child Care- Sh. Term Inten. GH*	Baltimore Co.
Harford County CD	Harford
Harford County EM	Harford
Region 4	
Allegany County CD	Allegany
Allegany County EM	Allegany
Garrett County CD	Garrett
Garrett County EM	Garrett
Washington County CD	Washington
Washington County EM	Washington

Region 5	Location
Carroll County CD	Carroll
Carroll County EM	Carroll
Howard County CD	Howard
Howard County EM	Howard
Anne Arundel County CD	An. Arundel
Anne Arundel County EM	An. Arundel
Region 6	
Frederick County CD	Frederick
Frederick County EM	Frederick
Hearts & Homes for Youth, Inc (Kemp Mill GH)*ERC	Montgomery
Montgomery County CD	Montgomery
Montgomery County EM	Montgomery
Montgomery County ERC	Montgomery
Region 7	
Calvert County CD	Calvert
Calvert County EM	Calvert
Charles County CD	Charles
Charles County EM	Charles
St. Mary's County CD	St. Mary's
St. Mary's County EM	St. Mary's
Prince George's County CD	Pr. George's
Prince George's County EM	Pr. George's
Prince George's County ERC	Pr. George's
Region 8	
Baltimore City CD	Baltimore City
Baltimore City EM	Baltimore City
Baltimore City ERC	Baltimore City
Baltimore City PACT Center	Baltimore City

*This facility, which is a group home, is used for short-term or shelter placements as needed and based on bed availability.


ADMISSIONS (ADM), ADP*, AND ALOS FOR ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION BY REGION/COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, FY 2022

Region/ County	CD			CD/EM ¹			ERC			PACT			Shelter Care		
	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS	ADM	ADP	ALOS
Region 1	5	0.6	110.8	79	7.9	33.9	21	6.2	114.7	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.3	62.6
Dorchester	3	0.5	66.0	23	2.4	31.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Somerset	1	0.1	22.0	13	1.4	39.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0	5.8
Wicomico	1	0.0	200.1	33	3.0	30.8	21	6.2	114.7	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.3	81.6
Worcester	0	0.0	0.0	10	1.1	41.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Region 2	1	0.0	3.6	26	2.8	40.7	1	0.2	56.1	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.3	110.8
Caroline	1	0.0	3.6	16	1.6	35.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Cecil	0	0.0	0.0	5	0.4	28.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Kent	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.1	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Queen Anne's	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.4	67.2	1	0.2	56.1	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.3	110.8
Talbot	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.3	107.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Region 3	21	1.7	29.3	81	10.4	47.3	24	2.2	35.5	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.1	17.3
Baltimore Co.	15	0.6	15.0	61	7.4	46.4	23	2.1	35.6	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.1	17.3
Harford	6	1.0	67.4	20	3.0	50.1	1	0.1	33.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Region 4	0	0.0	0.0	18	3.2	57.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.3	34.2
Allegany	0	0.0	0.0	9	1.8	70.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.1	26.0
Garrett	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.1	30.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.2	42.4
Washington	0	0.0	0.0	8	1.3	48.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Region 5	5	0.3	19.6	52	5.8	40.0	39	4.9	43.6	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.3	40.7
Anne Arundel	3	0.2	29.3	37	3.3	32.2	39	4.9	43.6	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.3	58.5
Carroll	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.9	109.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Howard	2	0.0	5.0	12	1.6	47.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0	5.1
Region 6	3	0.1	6.5	45	4.4	34.8	23	3.5	54.3	0	0.0	0.0	8	0.7	26.8
Frederick	1	0.0	0.4	8	0.9	37.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.1	19.5
Montgomery	2	0.1	9.5	37	3.5	34.0	23	3.5	54.3	0	0.0	0.0	5	0.5	31.0
Region 7	8	1.0	13.7	74	8.6	50.9	57	5.8	42.7	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.0	2.6
Calvert	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.3	155.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Charles	1	0.0	3.0	11	1.7	45.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Prince George's	7	1.0	15.4	49	5.4	43.2	57	5.8	42.7	0	0.0	0.0	3	0.0	2.6
St. Mary's	0	0.0	0.0	12	1.1	47.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Region 8	88	4.7	23.4	144	16.6	38.7	78	8.3	41.4	39	9.8	79.9	10	1.3	74.8
Baltimore City	88	4.7	23.4	144	16.6	38.7	78	8.3	41.4	39	9.8	79.9	10	1.3	74.8
Out of State	3	0.1	7.5	31	3.9	45.7	2	0.2	60.2	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.2	34.2
Statewide	134	8.4	26.1	550	63.6	41.8	245	31.3	49.1	39	9.8	79.9	35	3.5	43.6

*ADP may not add to total due to rounding.

¹The offense tables on the other ATD pages report CD/EM combined, so if a youth is transferred from CD to EM or vice versa, the youth is counted as one admission. This table separates out CD from CD/EM, so the sum of this total will be slightly higher than the offense table totals presented on the other ATD pages.

Section IV: Treatment Programs For Committed Youth



Youth from Green Ridge and Backbone Mountain Youth Centers had the opportunity to work alongside the DJS Woodwork Facilitator to create benches and tables for the DJS Headquarters building in Baltimore City.

Introduction to Treatment Programs for Committed Youth

DJS is committed to selecting the most appropriate out-of-home program for youth who have been committed to the custody of DJS by the juvenile court. The court determines the appropriate level of care for each youth but does not select the specific programs to which the youth are referred. Treatment programs vary based on the services provided, as well as by security level.

DJS has made significant reform efforts in recent years, including an increased focus on several key principles to guide decision making regarding out-of-home placements. These principles are:

- Utilize community-based treatment whenever possible;
- Utilize lengths of stay that maximize treatment benefits;
- Utilize evidence-based or -informed programs;
- Prioritize out-of-home placements in Qualified Residential Treatment Programs (QRTPs) when available;
- Identify trauma and provide trauma-responsive care;
- Reduce racial and other systemic biases;
- Utilize a restorative approach by seeking options to repair harm to victims and communities; and
- Foster positive youth development and resiliency.

Beginning in 2020, as part of an organized initiative with national experts and other jurisdictions, DJS reformed its policy for youth in treatment programs. Best practices and evidence-based approaches to treatment were reviewed, and technical assistance was provided, including an analysis of DJS length of stay data and current policies and practices. Overarching issues impacting the lengths of stay were identified, including placement recommendations, case planning, treatment delivery and monitoring, procedures for motivating youth and responding to youth's behaviors, enhancing youth and family voice, and reentry planning. The primary goal of this initiative is to ensure Maryland's youth committed to an out-of-home program experience lengths of stay that are fair and equitable; aligned with youth risk and treatment needs; guided by best practices; and informed by youth, family, and progress assessment. At this time, policies are being modified to improve practices and restructure

ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND ALOS FOR DJS-OPERATED COVID-19 QUARANTINE ISOLATION¹, FY 2022²

Program	Placements	ADP	ALOS
Backbone Mountain YC	5	0.09	N/A [^]
Green Ridge YC	1	0.03	12.0
Mountain View	5	0.13	9.1
Victor Cullen Center	7	0.23	11.7
Total	18	0.48	10.7

¹ Youth can have more than one quarantine period. ² Data include only COVID-19 quarantines that were temporarily housed at a separate facility. It does not include youth quarantined in their original placement facility. All 5 placements were released in FY 2023 not in FY 2022.

CAPACITY, ADP, AND SECURITY TYPE FOR DJS-OPERATED TREATMENT PROGRAMS¹, FY 2022²

Program	Capacity	ADP	Security Type
Backbone Mountain YC [*]	18	15.7	Staff
Garrett Children's Center [^] (formerly Savage Mountain YC)	0	0.0	Hardware
Green Ridge Mountain Quest	6	2.5	Staff
Green Ridge YC [*]	18	13.2	Staff
Mountain View ^{**}	6	1.9	Staff
Victor Cullen Center [*]	18	12.3	Hardware
Total^{***}	66	45.7⁺	N/A

¹ This table does not include contracted treatment programs.

² See page vi for detailed information on the DJS COVID-19 response and reforms.

^{*} Capacity Changes: Backbone Mountain YC capacity was reduced from 24 to 18 on 8/20/2021 and increased to 21 on 5/23/2022; Green Ridge YC capacity increased from 18 to 21 on 5/23/2022; Victor Cullen Center capacity decreased from 48 to 18 on 8/20/2021.

^{**} Mountain View operated with a capacity of 6 through 12/22/21 when it changed to a COVID-19 quarantine unit.

[^] Garrett Children's Center remained temporarily closed throughout FY 2022.

⁺ Averages may not add to totals due to rounding.

The utilization rate for state-operated programs was 69.2% in FY 2022.

operations to augment the focus on treatment. It is anticipated that implementing changes will result in improved outcomes for justice-involved youth, a reduction in recidivism, and increased public safety.

PLACEMENT PROCESS

The DJS placement process is designed to select the most appropriate program and treatment services for youth. The process begins after adjudication with a comprehensive case review of each youth.

Community or Multidisciplinary Assessment Staffing Team (MAST) Staffing: For youth in the community at the time of adjudication, the local DJS office conducts a community staffing. A community staffing meeting brings together key parties responsible for treatment service planning, including parents and/or guardians. For detained youth, a MAST staffing takes place in the detention center. MAST is a specialized diagnostic team responsible for assessing youth who are detained pending court disposition and are at risk of out-of-home placement. The MAST includes a psychologist, social worker or professional counselor, substance use counselor, community case manager, detention facility case manager supervisor, resource specialist, and an education representative. Youth who require more specialized assessments (e.g., a neurological, psychosexual, or medical assessment) are referred for evaluation, and the results are presented during a MAST staffing.

Referral for Services: Each staffing meeting considers all pertinent information including the current offense(s), delinquency history, social history, MCASP-recommended supervision level, MCASP assessment of treatment needs, educational records, any behavioral health and medical assessments, youth and family input and strengths, service access considerations, and the involvement of any other state agency. Staffing meetings culminate with recommendations to the juvenile court for program participation and/or treatment services tailored to the circumstances of each youth. After court disposition, DJS refers each case to the recommended program(s) for consideration. Programs may either accept or reject a youth based on program eligibility criteria or capacity.

DJS contracts with an array of programs, both in and out of state, to provide treatment services to committed youth. The full range of DJS placement options include: (a) Foster Care Homes (traditional and treatment foster care); (b) Group Homes (general service and therapeutic); (c) Independent Living Programs/Alternative Living Units (often used as a step-down from a more restrictive program placement); (d) Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs) and non-Medicaid Residential Treatment Facilities (out-of-state); (e) DJS-operated and out-of-state staff-secure treatment programs; and (g) hardware-secure treatment programs, both DJS-operated and privately contracted out-of-state.

The Department has established three levels of residential treatment program placements based largely on the level of program restrictiveness (see the figure below). Level I includes all programs where youth reside in a community setting and attend community schools. Level II includes programs where education is provided on-grounds, and youth movement is restricted

primarily by staff monitoring and supervision. Level III programs provide the highest level of security by augmenting staff supervision with physical attributes of the facility, i.e., locked areas and fences.

Case Reviews: The DJS case management team conducts monthly reviews of all youth under the supervision of the Department to ensure that youth are receiving recommended treatment services and appropriate supervision. Beginning in March 2020, DJS implemented a monthly executive-level case review process to further ensure that youth are progressing in programs, receiving all needed services while in a program, and being evaluated for court in a timely manner. This is in addition to the already established Central Review Committee process.


Central Review Committee (CRC): DJS established the CRC in 2012 after legislation was passed granting DJS the authority to transfer youth to a placement with a higher security level without first requesting the court to modify the commitment order. That legislation expired in 2016; however, the CRC continues to conduct weekly case reviews of youth at risk for removal from a treatment program and those who have been removed from a program due to safety issues or a significant change in the behavioral, emotional, and/or medical needs of the youth. The Director of Behavioral Health serves as the Chair of the CRC. The CRC directs changes in the provision of services to youth, recommends placement transfers, and requests modifications to court orders for those cases requiring increased security.

SERVICES PROVIDED IN DJS-OPERATED TREATMENT PROGRAMS


Youth served in a DJS-operated treatment program receive a multitude of services, including behavioral health, somatic health, and educational services.

BEHAVIORAL HEALTH AND CASE MANAGEMENT SERVICES

DJS Behavioral Health Services (BHS) provides integrated mental health and substance use treatment in DJS-operated treatment programs. Behavioral Health Services include the following: substance use services, suicide assessment and prevention, crisis intervention and stabilization, medication evaluation and monitoring, and individual, group, and family therapies. All youth participate in the TAMAR-Y (Trauma, Addiction, Mental Health, and Recovery – Youth) curriculum, which combines psychoeducation with cognitive behavioral interventions and expressive art therapy. START (Social Skills Training and Aggression Replacement Techniques) is also provided by case management staff at all treatment programs to youth identified as in need of this service. Based on Aggressive Replacement Training (ART®), START is an evidence-based cognitive behavioral program. Case Management staff also work with youth in the Forward Thinking interactive journaling program, which uses cognitive behavioral strategies to assist youth in making positive changes in



COMMITTED POPULATION ADP*, HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED**, FY 2016-2025



*ADP includes all state-operated treatment programs as well as in- and out-of-state private treatment programs.

** Projected values were generated by an ARIMA (Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average) model.

their thoughts, feelings and behaviors. Telepsychiatry services are available to all youth as needed. Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (TF-CBT), an evidence-based cognitive behavioral program, is available to all youth identified to need trauma specific treatment.

Trauma Informed Care (TIC): DJS has implemented TIC since March 2017. The National Association for the States of Mental Health Program Directors (NASMHPD) trained all DJS treatment program staff in a TIC model that was originally developed for the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), a nationally recognized leader in Trauma Informed Care. TIC teaches staff to recognize the signs, symptoms, and common reactions to trauma. It also teaches staff about the impact of trauma on the developing brain, and how it impacts the behaviors, reactions, and lives of youth. Through training with the NASMHPD trauma trainers, DJS staff have developed and implemented specific strategies to help youth address their reactions to trauma triggers, and programs have developed more trauma-informed environments. In 2021, DJS began the process of training all staff in the Think Trauma curriculum, which is specifically designed to assist juvenile justice staff in creating a trauma-informed juvenile justice residential setting. This training includes four modules: Trauma and Delinquency; Trauma's Impact on Development; Coping Strategies; and Vicarious Trauma, Organizational Stress, and Self-Care.

Substance Use Services: All DJS treatment programs offer Seven Challenges substance use treatment, an evidence-based program implemented in 2009. Seven Challenges adopts a decision-making model, encouraging youth to make well-informed decisions by weighing the costs (e.g., physical, psychological, and social harm) and benefits of drug use. All programs provide substance use services to youth at the needed American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) level ranging from early intervention to clinically managed medium intensity residential services. In 2021, DJS achieved accreditation through the Council on Accreditation (COA) for all the state-operated treatment programs.

Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS): Implemented in all DJS-operated treatment programs (see table on page 157) since January 2018, PBIS is an evidence-based framework that is designed to facilitate the development of pro-social youth behavior and maintain safety and security in the programs. For DJS, the PBIS framework includes a behavior motivation system, STARR, which is grounded in the principles of positive reinforcement and modeling. STARR helps youth learn problem-solving and leadership skills, as well as accept responsibility for their behavior. Program staff are trained to teach and model problem-solving and social skills.

SOMATIC HEALTH

The Somatic Health Services Unit provides comprehensive, quality health care and nutritional services in an integrated fashion to address the full range of needs of the youth and to ensure a continuum of care in the DJS residential programs. The unit's mission is to protect, promote, and advance the health of all youth in the care of the Department.

The health care system provides medical, dental, optometry, midwifery, pharmacy and dietetic services in an interdisciplinary fashion that is developmentally appropriate for youth. These services are delivered in accordance with the standards of care set forth by the National Commission on Correctional Health Care, the American Academy of Pediatrics, the Centers for Disease Control, and the Food and Nutrition Board of the National Academy of Sciences.

Youth admitted to DJS residential programs require ongoing, routine preventative health care. In some cases, youth may have unmet or chronic health care needs upon admission or may develop an acute health condition that needs to be addressed. DJS ensures that each program has licensed nurses and clinical practitioners, as well as comprehensive laboratory and pharmacy services to meet the health care needs of the youth. For specialty,

A Note to Readers

Placement versus Admission: A placement is based on a decision made by the Department or a judge to place a youth into a treatment program. An admission occurs when a youth physically enters a program either through direct placement or through transfer. Therefore, during one placement, a youth may have several admissions, and these counts will not match.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) Data: is based on all releases: successful, unsuccessful, and transfers.

surgical, emergency, or in-patient care that may be required, youth are transported to community providers as needed.

Each youth must have a current nursing assessment, history and physical examination, vision screening, and dental screening upon admission or soon thereafter. Youth are also screened or tested for anemia and infectious diseases including tuberculosis, COVID-19, sexually transmitted infections, and HIV. Girls are provided comprehensive gynecological care either on-site or in the community in order to meet individual needs.

Immunization records are requested on all youth, and DJS makes every effort to fully immunize youth who are not up to date on recommended and required vaccinations. DJS participates in the Federal Vaccines for Children Program.

The care and services delivered and the performance of the private health care providers are continually monitored by the director team of Office of Somatic Health Services to ensure compliance and optimal quality of care.

EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

During FY 2022, the Maryland State Department of Education provided educational services to youth through the Juvenile Services Education System (JSES). On July 1, 2022, the responsibility of implementing educational programming transferred from MSDE to DJS under the Juvenile Services Education Program (JSEP). Under the new JSEP, students receive all the same educational programming they received under MSDE, with additional post-secondary opportunities, more comprehensive student learning plans, and updated modifications to policy and procedures.

The new JSEP provides youth in all of the department's residential programs the ability to work toward a high school diploma via the earning of credits or passage of the GED. In addition to academics, the educational programs provide opportunities for each youth to develop career and technology skills that will further assist them when they transition back to the community.

The JSEP curriculum is based on the Maryland College and Career Readiness Standards (CCRS) and approved by the JSEP superintendent. The curriculum includes grade-level instruction in English, Social Studies, Mathematics, and Science. Middle school coursework and remediation for high school students are also offered in reading, written language, and math as appropriate.

Youth who have an Individualized Education Program (IEP) are provided with the required services listed in their IEP. Additionally, eligible youth participated in the mandated Maryland Comprehensive Assessment Program (MCAP).

Transitioning back to the community starts when a student enters a JSEP school and is guided by agreement between JSEP and the student's home Local Education Authority (LEA). Daily instruction and course selection are determined by assessments, as well as student records received from their previous school to ensure no loss of learning or delay in high school graduation as a result of being detained in a JSEP facility.

CAREER & TECHNOLOGY AND THE GARRETT COLLEGE PROGRAMS

The DJS Youth Centers offer on-site Career and Technology programming to all participants. The two primary goals of this programming are high school completion and job skill development. Certificates are awarded for successful completion of the program, signifying employment competency in fields such as flagger and commercial food preparation/sanitation. In addition, all youth have opportunities to participate in community projects that employ their acquired skills.

Backbone Mountain Youth Center offers an innovative program that provides youth with the unique opportunity to be simultaneously enrolled in high school classes and introductory college courses through Garrett College of Maryland. Youth are screened through a referral, educational record review, and an interview process for acceptance into the program. The Garrett College initiative has been operational at Backbone Mountain Youth Center since September 2006.

CLASSIFICATION

All DJS-operated treatment programs utilize the Housing Classification Assessment, an objective internal classification tool to assess a youth's potential vulnerability and supervision needs (see Appendix R). The results of the classification assessment guide appropriate housing decisions as well as room assignments. The classification assessment is implemented for all youth upon admission to the program, and it allows for reclassification in response to circumstances or special needs that may require modification of housing or room assignment. All DJS treatment programs have been audited by the Department of Justice (DOJ) and found to be fully compliant with juvenile facility standards.

RE-ENTRY PROCESS


DJS recognizes the importance of effectively transitioning committed youth from a treatment program back to the community. These youth may face challenges re-enrolling in school or accessing needed somatic or behavioral health services. Treatment received in placement may be continued in the community, and progress that has been made should continue as part of an integrated aftercare plan. DJS has implemented policies to support this transition as part of a re-entry strategic plan.

A re-entry staffing meeting is held 45 days prior to release, involving the youth, facility treatment team, community case manager, and the youth's family, who are invited and encouraged to participate. Progress on the youth's individual treatment service plan is reviewed, as well as a housing plan, educational or occupational needs, ongoing behavioral

and somatic health service requirements, and family relationships and supports. A re-entry checklist guides and captures the results of this process (See Appendix R for more information). Most youth continue under DJS aftercare supervision, as their treatment plan continues to guide service and supervision efforts. After 30 days, a DJS reentry specialist ensures that the youth has accessed all needed services, has successfully re-enrolled in local public school when appropriate, and has remained in stable and suitable housing.

For a detailed description of the DJS COVID-19 response and reforms, see page vi.

DJS State-Operated Treatment Programs by Region




Statewide Total

The juvenile court may commit a youth to the care and custody of DJS, thereby transferring legal custody to the Department. A range of out-of-home program options has been developed for committed youth. Community-based program options include placement in a foster home, group home, or independent living program. Placements in non-community settings include Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs), DJS-operated Youth Centers, and secure confinement programs. In FY 2022, DJS operated six treatment programs, including Garrett Children's Center, which is temporarily closed. DJS also contracts with both in- and out-of-state programs.

Note: Community-Based Family Therapy Programs are presented within this section (pages 188-190) because one of their primary goals is to divert youth from out-of-home committed placement. These data are not included on the statewide tables, which only include youth in committed out-of-home placements.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2020-2022



There were no committed placements for youth under age 13 during FY 2021 or FY 2022. Therefore, the pages typically presented for the under age 13 population have been removed from the *Data Resource Guide*.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	68.8%	63.4%	73.5%
White	21.7%	25.2%	15.4%
Hispanic/Other	9.6%	11.5%	11.2%
Sex			
Male	86.7%	85.1%	93.8%
Female	13.3%	14.9%	6.2%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
13	3.7%	2.3%	1.5%
14	10.7%	8.8%	9.6%
15	15.2%	22.9%	20.4%
16	25.0%	23.3%	23.1%
17	29.7%	29.4%	29.6%
18-20	15.0%	13.4%	15.8%
Total Placements	512	262	260

- **Trends for Committed Placements:**
 - Committed placements decreased 49.2% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 0.8% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- **First-Time Placements (FY 2022):**
 - Of the 260 committed placements, 67.3% were first-time placements. (Due to a methodology change, data may not be comparable to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2018).
- **Residence (FY 2022):**
 - 95.0% of placements were Maryland residents. Of those from out of state, 8 were from Washington, D.C.; 1 was from Delaware, Georgia, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, and Virginia each.
- **MCASP Risk Level (FY 2022):**
 - For the 98.1% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 33.7% were Very High Risk, 32.5% were High Risk, 21.2% were Moderate Risk, and 12.5% were Low Risk.
- **Offense Category (FY 2021-2022):**
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 35.5% to 38.5%.
 - The percentage of Felonies increased from 14.5% to 16.2%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 49.6% to 45.0%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- **Average Daily Population (ADP) for all committed placements (FY 2021-2022):**
 - ADP decreased 9.4% from 146.5 to 132.7.
- **Average Length of Stay (ALOS) for FY 2021-2022:**
 - ALOS for all committed placements decreased 15.1% from 238.6 to 202.5.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020-2022

Region	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Region 1	6.6%	8.0%	12.7%
Region 2	2.5%	3.1%	1.5%
Region 3	13.5%	16.0%	16.2%
Region 4	6.8%	9.2%	8.5%
Region 5	18.0%	15.6%	11.5%
Region 6	13.9%	11.5%	8.1%
Region 7	17.0%	9.5%	13.1%
Region 8	19.9%	22.1%	23.5%
Out-of-State	1.8%	5.0%	5.0%
Total Placements	512	262	260

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2021-2022

Most Serious Offense	FY2021	FY2022
Offense Type		
Arson	0.0%	0.8%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	3.4%	3.5%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	4.6%	4.2%
Carjacking	8.4%	8.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	4.2%	3.1%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%	0.8%
Disturbing the Peace	0.8%	1.2%
First Degree Assault	3.1%	10.4%
Handgun Violation	3.4%	9.6%
Malicious Destruction	4.6%	2.3%
Manslaughter	0.4%	0.4%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.4%	0.0%
Murder	2.7%	3.1%
Narcotics Distribution	1.9%	2.3%
Narcotics Possession	0.4%	1.2%
Other/Missing ¹	4.2%	4.6%
Resisting Arrest	1.9%	1.2%
Robbery	15.6%	11.5%
Second Degree Assault	15.6%	14.2%
Sex Offense	6.1%	6.2%
Theft Felony	1.9%	2.3%
Theft Misdemeanor	11.1%	7.3%
Trespassing	0.4%	0.4%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	3.4%	1.5%
Offense Category ²		
Crime of Violence	35.5%	38.5%
Felony	14.5%	16.2%
- Person-to-Person	2.7%	3.1%
- Property	5.3%	6.2%
- Drugs	1.9%	2.3%
- Unspecified	4.6%	4.6%
Misdemeanor	49.6%	45.0%
- Person-to-Person	27.1%	29.6%
- Property	18.7%	12.3%
- Drugs	0.4%	1.2%
- Unspecified	3.4%	1.9%
Ordinance Offenses	0.4%	0.4%
Citations	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	262	260

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.

¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Fraud, Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle or Vessel, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS⁺ FOR FY 2020-2022, ADP AND ALOS¹, FY 2022

	Placements [*]			FY2022	
	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	9	4	2	2.7	1,183.8
Group Home ^{**}	177	82	79	40.6	197.6
Indep. Living	7	7	1	2.0	223.9
RTC	116	74	53	34.0	216.2
State Operated	200	104	125	45.7	134.9
<i>Staff Secure</i>	130	78	93	33.4	128.7
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	72	30	34	12.3	144.8
Out-of-State	55	15	16	7.7	176.7
<i>RTC</i>	16	9	12	3.7	147.7
<i>Staff Secure</i>	27	5	4	1.3	175.2
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	13	3	3	2.7	124.7
<i>Independ. Liv.</i>	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	512	262	260	132.7	202.5


⁺ Totals presented in the table include each type of placement reported in that broad category; for example, "Independent Living" includes Independent Living as well as Alternative Living Units.

¹ ALOS is based on all releases: successful, unsuccessful, and transfer.

^{*} All data exclude transfers within and between programs/program types and therefore may not add up to the totals presented. Placement data may not be comparable to *DRGs* prior to FY 2019.

^{**} Data for Group Homes can not be compared to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2021. Data for Silver Oak Academy and Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA) were reported separately in those *DRGs*. They were merged under Group Homes due to program certification and closures.

RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS⁺, FY 2022




⁺ Release completion status is based on case worker determination. Youth released home or to a step-down program are included as successful, and youth transferred to another program are included as transferred.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Statewide Females Only

Female youth represented approximately 6% of the population of youth committed to the care and custody of the Department during FY 2022. DJS offered the same continuum of placement options to both girls and boys, with the exception of an in-state hardware-secure program. Community-based program options included placement in a foster home, group home, or independent living program. During FY 2020, placements in non-community settings included Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs). Mountain View operated a staff-secure female-only program on the grounds of Backbone Mountain Youth Center through December 22, 2021. Out-of-state contracted programs were also available for female youth.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2020-2022



COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	57.4%	51.3%	68.8%
White	30.9%	33.3%	31.2%
Hispanic/Other	11.8%	15.4%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	4.4%	2.6%	12.5%
14	22.1%	10.3%	12.5%
15	20.6%	30.8%	25.0%
16	19.1%	12.8%	12.5%
17	23.5%	35.9%	31.2%
18-20	10.3%	7.7%	6.2%
Total Placements	68	39	16

- *Trends for Committed Placements:*
 - Committed placements decreased 76.5% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 59.0% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- *First-Time Placements (FY 2022):*
 - Of the 16 committed placements, 68.8% were first-time placements. (Due to a methodology change, data may not be comparable to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2018).
- *Residence (FY 2022):*
 - 100% of placements were Maryland residents. There were no youth from out of state.
- *MCASP Risk Level (FY 2022):*
 - For the 100.0% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 43.8% were Very High Risk, 12.5% were High Risk, 25.0% were Moderate Risk, and 18.8% were Low Risk.
- *Offense Category (FY 2021-2022):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 20.5% to 18.8%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 12.8% to 12.5%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 66.7% to 68.8%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population (ADP) for all committed placements (FY 2021-2022):*
 - ADP decreased 34.1% from 17.9 to 11.8.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) for FY 2021-2022:*
 - ALOS for all committed placements increased 14.9% from 191.2 to 219.6.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020-2022

Region	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Region 1	13.2%	5.1%	37.5%
Region 2	2.9%	10.3%	0.0%
Region 3	14.7%	7.7%	0.0%
Region 4	10.3%	23.1%	18.8%
Region 5	17.6%	20.5%	0.0%
Region 6	16.2%	15.4%	12.5%
Region 7	7.4%	7.7%	18.8%
Region 8	17.6%	7.7%	12.5%
Out-of-State	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%
Total Placements	68	39	16

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2021-2022

Most Serious Offense	FY2021	FY2022
Offense Type		
Arson	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	2.6%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	7.7%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	5.1%	12.5%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	6.2%
Malicious Destruction	2.6%	0.0%
Manslaughter	2.6%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	5.1%	6.2%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	10.3%	12.5%
Resisting Arrest	2.6%	0.0%
Robbery	7.7%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	38.5%	37.5%
Sex Offense	0.0%	6.2%
Theft Felony	0.0%	6.2%
Theft Misdemeanor	15.4%	12.5%
Trespassing	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category ²		
Crime of Violence	20.5%	18.8%
Felony	12.8%	12.5%
- Person-to-Person	5.1%	6.2%
- Property	0.0%	6.2%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	7.7%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	66.7%	68.8%
- Person-to-Person	46.2%	56.2%
- Property	20.5%	12.5%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	39	16

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.

¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Fraud, Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle or Vessel, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

FEMALE COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS⁺ FOR FY 2020-2022, ADP AND ALOS¹, FY 2022

	Placements [*]			FY2022	
	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	4	1	2	2.3	839.9
Group Home ^{**}	23	7	5	1.3	109.2
Indep. Living	0	2	0	0.3	173.9
RTC	24	11	4	3.5	282.3
State Operated	14	13	2	1.9	131.0
<i>Staff Secure</i>	3	13	2	1.9	131.0
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	13	0	0	0.0	0.0
Out-of-State	11	8	6	2.5	208.1
<i>RTC</i>	3	6	6	2.3	183.1
<i>Staff Secure</i>	7	2	0	0.2	358.0
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	1	0	0	0.0	0.0
<i>Independ. Liv.</i>	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	68	39	16	11.8	219.6


⁺ Totals presented in the table include each type of placement reported in that broad category; for example, "Independent Living" includes Independent Living as well as Alternative Living Units.

¹ ALOS is based on all releases: successful, unsuccessful, and transfer.

^{*} All data exclude transfers within and between programs/program types and therefore may not add up to the totals presented. Placement data may not be comparable to *DRGs* prior to FY 2019.

^{**} Data previously reported under the separate category of Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA) were merged under Group Homes due to ICFA program closures. Therefore, data for Group Home cannot be compared to *DRGs* prior to FY 2019.

RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS^{*}, FY 2022




^{*} Release completion status is based on case worker determination. Youth released home or to a step-down program are included as successful, and youth transferred to another program are included as transferred.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Statewide Youth of Color Only

The data shown in this section provide additional detail for youth of color (non-white youth). Although each summary page in the *Data Resource Guide* Treatment Program Section provides an overall race/ethnicity breakdown for treatment programs in the Demographics table, the tables in this section provide more detailed information on programs and ADP by type and region, offense type and severity, and length of stay for youth of color as a whole.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS, FY 2020-2022



COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	87.8%	84.7%	86.8%
White	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	12.2%	15.3%	13.2%
Sex			
Male	88.3%	86.7%	95.0%
Female	11.7%	13.3%	5.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
13	3.2%	3.1%	1.4%
14	11.0%	7.7%	8.6%
15	15.0%	21.9%	20.9%
16	23.2%	26.0%	23.6%
17	31.7%	25.5%	30.0%
18-20	15.7%	15.8%	15.5%
Total Placements	401	196	220

- *Trends for Committed Placements:*
 - Committed placements decreased 45.1% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 12.2% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- *First-Time Placements (FY 2022):*
 - Of the 220 committed placements, 69.1% were first-time placements. (Due to a methodology change, data may not be comparable to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2018).
- *Residence (FY 2022):*
 - 94.5% of placements were Maryland residents. The 8 youth from out of state were from Washington, D.C.; 1 was from Georgia, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, and Virginia each.
- *MCASP Risk Level (FY 2022):*
 - For the 98.2% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 34.3% were Very High Risk, 32.4% were High Risk, 20.4% were Moderate Risk, and 13.0% were Low Risk.
- *Offense Category (FY 2021-2022):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 42.3% to 44.1%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 15.3% to 14.5%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 41.8% to 40.9%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population (ADP) for all committed placements (FY 2021-2022):*
 - ADP increased 1.1% from 102.0 to 103.1.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS) for FY 2021-2022:*
 - ALOS for all committed placements decreased 18.9% from 234.1 to 189.8.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020-2022

Region	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Region 1	5.5%	6.1%	10.5%
Region 2	2.0%	3.1%	1.4%
Region 3	11.5%	13.8%	15.5%
Region 4	2.5%	5.6%	6.4%
Region 5	17.2%	16.8%	11.8%
Region 6	15.5%	10.7%	9.1%
Region 7	18.7%	9.2%	12.7%
Region 8	24.9%	29.1%	27.3%
Out-of-State	2.2%	5.6%	5.5%
Total Placements	401	196	220

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2021-2022

Most Serious Offense	FY2021	FY2022
Offense Type		
Arson	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	2.6%	2.7%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	2.0%	4.5%
Carjacking	11.2%	9.5%
Cons. to Commit Offense	5.1%	3.2%
Deadly Weapon	1.5%	0.9%
Disturbing the Peace	0.5%	0.5%
First Degree Assault	3.6%	12.3%
Handgun Violation	4.6%	11.4%
Malicious Destruction	3.6%	1.8%
Manslaughter	0.5%	0.5%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.5%	0.0%
Murder	1.5%	3.6%
Narcotics Distribution	2.6%	1.8%
Narcotics Possession	0.5%	0.9%
Other/Missing ¹	4.6%	5.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.5%	0.5%
Robbery	20.4%	13.2%
Second Degree Assault	13.8%	11.8%
Sex Offense	4.6%	4.5%
Theft Felony	2.0%	2.7%
Theft Misdemeanor	10.2%	7.3%
Trespassing	0.5%	0.5%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	3.1%	0.9%
Offense Category ²		
Crime of Violence	42.3%	44.1%
Felony	15.3%	14.5%
- Person-to-Person	2.6%	2.3%
- Property	4.6%	5.5%
- Drugs	2.6%	1.8%
- Unspecified	5.6%	5.0%
Misdemeanor	41.8%	40.9%
- Person-to-Person	22.4%	27.7%
- Property	15.8%	11.4%
- Drugs	0.5%	0.9%
- Unspecified	3.1%	0.9%
Ordinance Offenses	0.5%	0.5%
Citations	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	196	220

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.

¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Fraud, Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle or Vessel, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

YOUTH OF COLOR COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS⁺ FOR FY 2020-2022, ADP AND ALOS¹, FY 2022

	Placements [*]			FY2022	
	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	7	3	2	2.7	1,183.8
Group Home ^{**}	141	62	63	29.6	175.7
Indep. Living	7	6	1	2.0	254.5
RTC	78	48	39	23.6	211.4
State Operated	163	79	120	40.6	131.6
<i>Staff Secure</i>	102	57	89	29.1	125.2
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	63	24	32	11.4	146.1
Out-of-State	45	12	9	4.7	189.9
<i>RTC</i>	14	7	6	2.2	157.9
<i>Staff Secure</i>	21	4	2	0.8	205.6
<i>Hardware Sec.</i>	11	3	2	1.8	124.7
<i>Independ. Liv.</i>	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	401	196	220	103.1	189.8


⁺ Totals presented in the table include each type of placement reported in that broad category; for example, "Independent Living" includes Independent Living as well as Alternative Living Units.

¹ ALOS is based on all releases: successful, unsuccessful, and transfer.

^{*} All data exclude transfers within and between programs/program types and therefore may not add up to the totals presented. Placement data may not be comparable to *DRGs* prior to FY 2019.

^{**} Data for Group Homes can not be compared to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2021. Data for Silver Oak Academy and Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA) were reported separately in those *DRGs*. They were merged under Group Homes due to program certification and closures.

RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS^{*}, FY 2022



^{*} Release completion status is based on case worker determination. Youth released home or to a step-down program are included as successful, and youth transferred to another program are included as transferred.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Foster Care

Traditional Foster Care is continuous 24-hour care with supportive services provided to a youth in a DJS-approved family home. The foster family serves low-risk youth who cannot be managed in their own homes. Youth served may be experiencing behavioral difficulties and need a respite from family/neighborhood issues. Foster Care also serves youth who need long-term placement, primarily because it may not be appropriate for youth to return home. Treatment Foster Care (TFC) is continuous 24-hour care with intensive support services operated by a licensed child placement agency or local Department of Social Services (DSS). TFCs provide a family setting for children with serious emotional, behavioral, medical, and/or psychological conditions. The behaviors of the youth served are not so severe that removal from the community is necessary.

ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM[^], FY 2022

Treatment Foster Care	County	Adm.	ADP	ALOS
Board of Child Care ⁺	Balt. Co.	0	0.3	1,527.7
Children's Choice	Balt. City	1	0.3	0.0*
Children's Home ⁺	Balt. Co.	0	0.3	839.9
Hearts & Homes - Family Ties ⁺	Mont.	0	1.0	0.0*
Ment. MD - Balt Teens in Trans	Balt. Co.	1	0.7	0.0*
Total Admissions	All	2	2.7	1,183.8

[^]Data reflect only programs that had admissions, ADP, or ALOS during FY 2022. See Appendix S for a complete list of programs with which DJS had active contracts for services during FY 2022. All programs served both females and males in FY 2022.

*No youth were released.

⁺Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2022, ADP reflects continuing placements from prior FYs.



Hearts and Homes

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
 - Between FY 2020 and FY 2022, overall placements to Foster Care decreased 77.8%.
- *Program Admissions (FY 2022):*
 - Children's Choice and Mentor Maryland-Baltimore Teens in Transition had one admission each.
- *Residence (FY 2022):*
 - Both placements were Maryland residents.
- *MCASP Risk Level (FY 2022):*
 - For the 100.0% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 0.0% were Very High Risk, 0.0% were High Risk, 50.0% were Moderate Risk, and 50.0% were Low Risk.
- *Offense Category (FY 2021-2022):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 0.0% to 50.0%
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 25.0% to 0.0%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors did not change.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
 - ADP decreased 68.7% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 40.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS):*
 - ALOS increased 228.9% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 225.3% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020-2022

Region	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Region 1	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Region 2	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
Region 3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Region 4	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Region 5	44.4%	25.0%	0.0%
Region 6	11.1%	25.0%	50.0%
Region 7	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Region 8	11.1%	25.0%	0.0%
Out-of-State	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	9	4	2

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2021-2022

Most Serious Offense	FY2021	FY2022
Offense Type		
Arson	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.0%	50.0%
Handgun Violation	25.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	25.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	25.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	0.0%	50.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	25.0%	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category ²		
Crime of Violence	0.0%	50.0%
Felony	25.0%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	25.0%	0.0%
- Property	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	50.0%	50.0%
- Person-to-Person	25.0%	50.0%
- Property	25.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	25.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	4	2

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Fraud, Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle or Vessel, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	77.8%	50.0%	100.0%
White	22.2%	25.0%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	55.6%	75.0%	0.0%
Female	44.4%	25.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%
14	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%
15	44.4%	0.0%	0.0%
16	11.1%	25.0%	0.0%
17	33.3%	0.0%	50.0%
18-20	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Total Placements	9	4	2

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2020-2022



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS*, FY 2022

	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Successful	Unsuccessful	Transferred
ALOS	1,183.8	0.0	0.0
Releases	2	0	0

* Release completion status is based on case worker determination. Youth released home or to a step-down program are included as successful, and youth transferred to another program are included as transferred.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Group Homes

General group homes are non-profit residential programs for youth in placements licensed by DHS, DJS, or the Office of Health Care Quality (OHCQ), which is part of the Maryland Department of Health (MDH). They provide out-of-home care for four or more youth who are moderate to high risk and need more structure and supervision than a relative, foster parent, or treatment foster care program could offer. General group homes also provide a formal program of basic care, social work, and healthcare services. Therapeutic group homes (TGH) are residential programs for youth in out-of-home care that are licensed by OHCQ, and they must be non-profit organizations. TGHs provide access to a range of diagnostic and therapeutic mental health services to youth who are moderate to high risk and have an emotional or developmental disability. Those TGHs that provide educational programs on their grounds are considered to be staff-secure programs.

ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM[^], FY 2022

Group Homes	County	Adm.	ADP	ALOS
ARC of Washington Co. ⁺	Washington	0	0.3	344.0
Board of Child Care ¹	Balt. Co.	1	0.7	0.0*
Cedar Ridge ¹	Washington	4	2.5	205.4
Children's Home (GH & Tran)	Balt. Co.	3	1.3	124.0
Hearts and Homes	Mont/PG	2	0.3	39.2
Morning Star Youth Academy ¹	Dorchester	34	13.3	131.0
One Love ¹	Balt. City	13	4.2	121.9
Our House ¹	Mont.	6	5.4	314.8
Rite of Passage-Silver Oak Acad. ³	Carroll	15	7.0	169.0
Therapeutic Group Homes				
Arrow Child & Fam. Ministries ²	Balt. Co	2	0.3	75.2
Avis Birely ⁺¹	Mont.	0	1.1	347.6
Board of Child Care	Balt Co/Car.	1	0.3	93.2
Cedar Ridge ¹	Washington	2	3.8	273.9
Mary's Mount Manor ⁺²	An. Arund	0	0.3	168.1
Total Admissions	All	83	40.6	197.6

[^]Data reflect only programs that had admissions, ADP, or ALOS during FY 2022. See Appendix S for a complete list of programs with which DJS had active contracts for services during FY 2022. All programs served both females and males in FY 2022 unless noted otherwise.

*No youth were released.

⁺Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2022, ADP reflects continuing placements from prior FYs.

¹Served males only during FY 2022. ²Served females only during FY 2022.

³DRGs prior to FY 2021 reported Silver Oak Academy separately



Morning Star Youth Academy in Dorchester County

• Trends for Program Placements:

- Between FY 2020 and FY 2022, overall placements to Group Homes decreased 55.4%.

• Program Admissions (FY 2022):

- Morning Star Youth Academy had the highest number of admissions.

• Residence (FY 2022):

- 94.8% of placements were Maryland residents. Of those from out of state, 2 were from Washington, D.C.; 1 was from Delaware; and 1 was from Virginia.

• MCASP Risk Level (FY 2022):

- For the 97.5% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 32.5% were Very High Risk, 32.5% were High Risk, 20.8% were Moderate Risk, and 14.3% were Low Risk.

• Offense Category (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 31.7% to 31.6%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 15.9% to 15.2%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 52.4% to 51.9%.
- See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

• Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 56.4% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 2.2% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS):

- ALOS increased 3.8% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 10.5% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020-2022

Region	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Region 1	4.5%	8.5%	13.9%
Region 2	0.6%	3.7%	2.5%
Region 3	11.9%	12.2%	26.6%
Region 4	7.3%	12.2%	6.3%
Region 5	15.8%	15.9%	11.4%
Region 6	17.5%	14.6%	8.9%
Region 7	16.4%	3.7%	11.4%
Region 8	24.9%	28.0%	13.9%
Out-of-State	1.1%	1.2%	5.1%
Total Placements	177	82	79

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2021-2022

Most Serious Offense	FY2021	FY2022
Offense Type		
Arson	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	3.7%	5.1%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	7.3%	3.8%
Carjacking	6.1%	6.3%
Cons. to Commit Offense	6.1%	1.3%
Deadly Weapon	2.4%	1.3%
Disturbing the Peace	2.4%	1.3%
First Degree Assault	6.1%	8.9%
Handgun Violation	2.4%	6.3%
Malicious Destruction	4.9%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.2%	0.0%
Murder	1.2%	2.5%
Narcotics Distribution	1.2%	3.8%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%	1.3%
Other/Missing ¹	6.1%	3.8%
Resisting Arrest	1.2%	3.8%
Robbery	11.0%	11.4%
Second Degree Assault	14.6%	12.7%
Sex Offense	6.1%	10.1%
Theft Felony	0.0%	2.5%
Theft Misdemeanor	7.3%	10.1%
Trespassing	0.0%	1.3%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	8.5%	2.5%
Offense Category ²		
Crime of Violence	31.7%	31.6%
Felony	15.9%	15.2%
- Person-to-Person	6.1%	2.5%
- Property	3.7%	7.6%
- Drugs	1.2%	3.8%
- Unspecified	4.9%	1.3%
Misdemeanor	52.4%	51.9%
- Person-to-Person	26.8%	35.4%
- Property	15.9%	12.7%
- Drugs	0.0%	1.3%
- Unspecified	9.8%	2.5%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	1.3%
Citations	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	82	79

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Fraud, Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle or Vessel, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	69.5%	61.0%	67.1%
White	20.3%	24.4%	20.3%
Hispanic/Other	10.2%	14.6%	12.7%
Sex			
Male	87.0%	91.5%	93.7%
Female	13.0%	8.5%	6.3%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	3.4%	1.2%	0.0%
14	6.8%	7.3%	12.7%
15	16.4%	23.2%	15.2%
16	20.9%	26.8%	16.5%
17	26.0%	29.3%	31.6%
18-20	26.6%	12.2%	24.1%
Total Placements	177	82	79

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2020-2022



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS*, FY 2022



* Release completion status is based on case worker determination. Youth released home or to a step-down program are included as successful, and youth transferred to another program are included as transferred.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Independent Living Programs (Including Alternative Living Units)

Independent Living Programs are operated by DHS-licensed child placement agencies for youth 15 to 21 years of age who need to become self-sufficient and learn responsible living skills, as they are unlikely to return home. Youth reside in either group homes or supervised apartment units and must be enrolled in high school, college, vocational training, or be gainfully employed. During the program, youth learn interpersonal skills, financial literacy, career readiness, conflict management, use of positive leisure time, and communication skills. Alternative Living Units are residences owned, leased, or operated by a licensee that: (a) provides residential services for children who, because of a developmental disability, require specialized living arrangements; (b) admits no more than three children; and (c) provides 24-hour care.

ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM[^], FY 2022

Independent Living	County	Adm.	ADP	ALOS
Future Bound ⁺	Mont.	0	0.3	173.9
Mentor MD - Baltimore ⁺	Balt. Co.	0	1.0	0.0*
New Pathways - Independ. Plus	Balt. Co.	1	0.7	240.6
Total Admissions	All	1	2.0	223.9

[^] Data reflect only programs that had admissions, ADP, or ALOS during FY 2022. See Appendix S for a complete list of programs with which DJS had active contracts for services during FY 2022. All programs served both females and males in FY 2022.

*No youth were released.

⁺ Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2022, ADP reflects continuing placements from prior FYs.

- *Trends for Program Placements:*
 - Between FY 2020 and FY 2022, overall placements to Independent Living decreased 85.7%.
- *Program Admissions (FY 2022):*
 - There was only one admission to an Independent Living Program in FY 2022, and it was to New Pathways- Independence Plus.
- *Residence (FY 2022):*
 - The one placement was a Maryland resident.
- *MCASP Risk Level (FY 2022):*
 - The one admission had a completed post-validation MCASP and was determined to be at Moderate Risk.
- *Offense Category (FY 2021-2022):*
 - The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 14.3% to 100.0%.
 - The percentage of Felonies decreased from 14.3% to 0.0%.
 - The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 71.4% to 0.0%.
 - See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.
- *Average Daily Population (ADP):*
 - ADP decreased 66.5% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 50.9% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.
- *Average Length of Stay (ALOS):*
 - ALOS decreased 30.9% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 25.6% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.



Pressley Ridge-New Pathways

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020-2022

Region	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Region 1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Region 2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Region 3	0.0%	28.6%	0.0%
Region 4	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Region 5	14.3%	14.3%	0.0%
Region 6	28.6%	57.1%	0.0%
Region 7	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Region 8	57.1%	0.0%	100.0%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	7	7	1

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2021-2022

Most Serious Offense	FY2021	FY2022
Offense Type		
Arson	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	14.3%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	14.3%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	14.3%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	0.0%	100.0%
Second Degree Assault	28.6%	0.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	28.6%	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category ²		
Crime of Violence	14.3%	100.0%
Felony	14.3%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	14.3%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	71.4%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	28.6%	0.0%
- Property	42.9%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>1</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Fraud, Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle or Vessel, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	85.7%	42.9%	100.0%
White	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	14.3%	42.9%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	71.4%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	28.6%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
15	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
16	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
17	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%
18-20	100.0%	85.7%	100.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>1</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2020-2022



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS*, FY 2022



* Release completion status is based on case worker determination. Youth released home or to a step-down program are included as successful, and youth transferred to another program are included as transferred.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Residential Treatment Centers (Includes Psychiatric Hospitals)

Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs) provide intensive psychiatric and psychological treatment services. These centers are required to have psychiatrists, psychologists, and psychiatric nurses on staff to lead treatment. Maryland RTCs are approved for operation through the State Certificate of Need (CON) process and are licensed through the Office of Health Care Quality (OHCQ). Some RTCs concentrate on specific youth populations. For example, some staff-secure and hardware-secure RTCs focus on youth with behavioral problems and/or considered to be potentially harmful to self or others. The RTCs are intended to stabilize the youth's emotional condition, provide services that increase the youth's ability to manage his/her mental illness as a potentially life-long challenge, assist the youth in developing skills for coping with daily and/or difficult situations and interpersonal relationships, and transition the youth to a less restrictive environment or home.

ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM[^], FY 2022

Residential Treatment Ctr.	County	Adm.	ADP	ALOS
New Dir. Ches Tr. Ctr-Hickey ⁺	Balt. Co.	9	8.9	299.2
RICA - Baltimore RTC	Balt. City	3	2.0	250.0
Right Moves Ches Tr. Ctr-Hickey ⁺	Balt. Co.	12	7.9	250.0
Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN	Balt. Co.	4	4.0	281.0
Woodbourne Center ⁺	Balt. City	18	7.6	188.8
Psychiatric Hospitals ¹				
Clifton T. Perkins Hospital Ctr.	Howard	1	0.8	0.0*
Spring Grove Hospital Center	Balt. Co.	9	2.9	121.0
Total Admissions	All	56.0	34.0	216.2

[^] Data reflect only programs that had admissions, ADP, or ALOS during FY 2022. See Appendix S for a complete list of programs with which DJS had active contracts for services during FY 2022. All programs served both females and males in FY 2022 unless noted otherwise.

⁺ Served males only during FY 2022.

¹ Psychiatric Hospitals are included in the RTC table because similar services are provided at these facilities.

* No youth were released.

Trends for Program Placements:

- Between FY 2020 and FY 2022, overall placements to Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs) decreased 54.3%.

Program Admissions (FY 2022):

- Woodbourne Center had the highest number of admissions.

Residence (FY 2022):

- 96.2% of placements were Maryland residents. Of those from out of state, 1 was from Pennsylvania, and 1 was from Washington, D.C.

MCASP Risk Level (FY 2022):

- For the 94.3% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 38.0% were Very High Risk, 34.0% were High Risk, 18.0% were Moderate Risk, and 10.0% were Low Risk.

Offense Category (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 28.4% to 39.6%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 12.2% to 17.0%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 59.5% to 43.4%.
- See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 50.3% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 26.1% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS):

- ALOS increased 0.9% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 1.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020-2022

Region	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Region 1	11.2%	13.5%	17.0%
Region 2	4.3%	6.8%	3.8%
Region 3	13.8%	5.4%	9.4%
Region 4	9.5%	13.5%	9.4%
Region 5	20.7%	21.6%	17.0%
Region 6	10.3%	10.8%	5.7%
Region 7	19.0%	12.2%	15.1%
Region 8	11.2%	10.8%	18.9%
Out-of-State	0.0%	5.4%	3.8%
Total Placements	116	74	53



RICA - Baltimore RTC

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2021-2022

Most Serious Offense	FY2021	FY2022
Offense Type		
Arson	0.0%	1.9%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	0.0%	1.9%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	5.4%	5.7%
Carjacking	0.0%	5.7%
Cons. to Commit Offense	1.4%	1.9%
Deadly Weapon	1.4%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%	1.9%
First Degree Assault	4.1%	15.1%
Handgun Violation	1.4%	5.7%
Malicious Destruction	5.4%	1.9%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	5.4%	1.9%
Narcotics Distribution	2.7%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	1.4%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	4.1%	5.7%
Resisting Arrest	1.4%	0.0%
Robbery	12.2%	7.5%
Second Degree Assault	18.9%	18.9%
Sex Offense	18.9%	18.9%
Theft Felony	1.4%	1.9%
Theft Misdemeanor	10.8%	3.8%
Trespassing	1.4%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	2.7%	0.0%
Offense Category ²		
Crime of Violence	28.4%	39.6%
Felony	12.2%	17.0%
- Person-to-Person	5.4%	9.4%
- Property	1.4%	5.7%
- Drugs	2.7%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.7%	1.9%
Misdemeanor	59.5%	43.4%
- Person-to-Person	32.4%	30.2%
- Property	23.0%	11.3%
- Drugs	1.4%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.7%	1.9%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	74	53

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Fraud, Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle or Vessel, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	59.5%	56.8%	62.3%
White	32.8%	35.1%	26.4%
Hispanic/Other	7.8%	8.1%	11.3%
Sex			
Male	79.3%	85.1%	92.5%
Female	20.7%	14.9%	7.5%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%
13	6.0%	2.7%	3.8%
14	21.6%	8.1%	9.4%
15	13.8%	23.0%	28.3%
16	22.4%	24.3%	18.9%
17	20.7%	32.4%	26.4%
18-20	12.9%	9.5%	13.2%
Total Placements	116	74	53

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2020-2022



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS*, FY 2022



* Release completion status is based on case worker determination. Youth released home or to a step-down program are included as successful, and youth transferred to another program are included as transferred.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Out-of-State (OOS) Programs

Maryland law prohibits an out-of-state placement for youth unless a court finds the youth's individualized needs cannot be met through in-state resources. Additionally, DJS policy states that youth may not be placed out of state without the approval of the Secretary or designee. The Department adheres to Interstate Compact requirements and agreements with other states regarding requests for permission and notification when youth are placed in another state. Youth placed in out-of-state programs are visited by DJS staff at least quarterly, and parent(s)/guardian(s) are given the opportunity to visit youth at least once per quarter. OOS programs are licensed by local authorities and not by Maryland agencies. DJS contracts with vendors to provide services in two broad categories: (1) RTC-level specialized mental health services and (2) staff- and hardware-secure programming.

ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND ALOS BY PROGRAM[^], FY 2022

Hardware Secure RTC	State	Adm.	ADP	ALOS
George Junior Republic ¹	PA	5	1.2	101.8
UHS of Del-Harbor Point Behav. Hlth ⁺	VA	3	0.3	178.9
UHS of Del-Laurel Oaks Behav. Hlth ⁺	AL	2	1.6	167.9
UHS of Del-Sandy Pines Treat Ctr. ⁺	FL	2	0.6	178.2
Staff Secure				
Drug & Rehab Services-Manos House	PA	1	0.2	0.0*
George Junior Republic ¹	PA	1	0.3	47.0
Natchez Trace Youth Academy	TN	2	0.6	248.7
New Outlook Academy ⁺⁺²	PA	0	0.2	358.0
Hardware Secure				
Cornell Abraxas	PA	3	2.7	124.7
Total Admissions	All	19	7.7	176.7

[^] Data reflect only programs that had admissions, ADP, or ALOS during FY 2022. See Appendix S for a complete list of programs with which DJS had active contracts for services during FY 2022. All programs served males only in FY 2022 unless noted otherwise.

¹ George Junior Republic is a program that has several distinct divisions. Therefore, it is listed in the table under both Hardware-Secure RTC and Staff-Secure.

⁺ Served females only during FY 2022. ⁺⁺ Served males and females during FY 2022.

^{*} No youth were released.

² Although there were no admissions to this program in FY 2022, ADP reflects continuing placements from prior FYs.



George Junior Republic in Pennsylvania

• Trends for Program Placements:

- Between FY 2020 and FY 2022, overall placements to Out-of-State (OOS) Programs decreased 70.9%.

• Program Admissions (FY 2022):

- George Junior Republic Hardware Secure RTC had the highest number of admissions.

• Residence (FY 2022):

- 93.8% of placements were Maryland residents. The one out-of-state placement was from Washington, D.C.

• MCASP Risk Level (FY 2022):

- For the 100.0% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 37.5% were Very High Risk, 6.3% were High Risk, 25.0% were Moderate Risk, and 31.3% were Low Risk.

• Offense Category (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 53.3% to 43.8%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 6.7% to 25.0%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 40.0% to 31.2%.
- See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

• Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 81.3% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 36.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS):

- ALOS decreased 28.5% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 42.9% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020-2022

Region	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Region 1	7.3%	0.0%	12.5%
Region 2	3.6%	6.7%	0.0%
Region 3	7.3%	13.3%	6.2%
Region 4	0.0%	0.0%	6.2%
Region 5	16.4%	26.7%	25.0%
Region 6	16.4%	13.3%	6.2%
Region 7	20.0%	13.3%	18.8%
Region 8	23.6%	6.7%	18.8%
Out-of-State	5.5%	20.0%	6.2%
Total Placements	55	15	16

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2021-2022

Most Serious Offense	FY2021	FY2022
Offense Type		
Arson	0.0%	6.2%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	6.7%	6.2%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	6.7%	0.0%
Carjacking	6.7%	6.2%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.0%	6.2%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	6.2%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	6.7%	12.5%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	6.7%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	6.7%	0.0%
Robbery	26.7%	12.5%
Second Degree Assault	20.0%	12.5%
Sex Offense	0.0%	12.5%
Theft Felony	0.0%	12.5%
Theft Misdemeanor	13.3%	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.0%	6.2%
Offense Category ²		
Crime of Violence	53.3%	43.8%
Felony	6.7%	25.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	6.2%
- Property	6.7%	18.8%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	40.0%	31.2%
- Person-to-Person	26.7%	18.8%
- Property	13.3%	6.2%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	6.2%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	15	16

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Fraud, Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle or Vessel, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	69.1%	60.0%	56.2%
White	18.2%	20.0%	43.8%
Hispanic/Other	12.7%	20.0%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	80.0%	46.7%	62.5%
Female	20.0%	53.3%	37.5%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%
14	10.9%	0.0%	12.5%
15	14.5%	13.3%	12.5%
16	23.6%	20.0%	12.5%
17	43.6%	46.7%	37.5%
18-20	7.3%	20.0%	12.5%
Total Placements	55	15	16

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2020-2022



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS*, FY 2022



* Release completion status is based on case worker determination. Youth released home or to a step-down program are included as successful, and youth transferred to another program are included as transferred.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Backbone Mountain Youth Center (State-Operated)

Superintendent: Todd Foote

Staff Secure – Region 6


124 Camp 4 Road
Swanton, MD 21561
301-359-9190

Capacity: 21 Males* (Capacity was reduced from 24 to 18 on August 20, 2021, and increased from 18 to 21 as of May 23, 2022.)

This treatment program is located on Backbone Mountain in Garrett County. Programming includes STARR, the DJS behavioral motivation program, and a complete array of evidence-based and -informed treatment services. Case Management and Behavioral Health staff provide treatment programming as detailed in the Behavioral Health & Case Management Services section (see page 158-159). Services are delivered via a range of modalities that include individual, group, and family sessions. Family engagement in treatment planning is of paramount importance as is regular family interaction through family visits, phone calls, special family day activities at the facility, and home visits to help youth successfully transition back to the community. Recreational programming includes camping and intramural sports competitions. JSEP provides educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health, and life skills. JSEP also offers OSHA and ServSafe Certification, as well as individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam. The College Program is offered in conjunction with Garrett College of Maryland. Youth are screened for acceptance into the program. Selected youth are admitted for one college semester while in DJS care and earn a MD High School Diploma, as well as up to 15 college credits.

*Note: Capacity data reflected on these pages is based on the capacity of 18 because the facility operated at this level for the majority of the fiscal year.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2020-2022



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	75.0%	72.2%	81.2%
White	15.0%	25.0%	4.2%
Hispanic/Other	10.0%	2.8%	14.6%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	6.7%	2.8%	2.1%
15	5.0%	5.6%	12.5%
16	18.3%	30.6%	16.7%
17	51.7%	41.7%	41.7%
18-20	18.3%	19.4%	27.1%
Total Placements	60	36	48

• Placement Trends:

- Placements decreased 20.0% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 33.3% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

• Residence (FY 2022):

- 93.7% of placements were Maryland residents. Of those from out of state, 2 were from Washington, D.C. and 1 was from Delaware.

• MCASP Risk Level (FY 2022):

- For the 100.0% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 25.0% were Very High Risk, 31.3% were High Risk, 29.2% were Moderate Risk, and 14.6% were Low Risk.

• Offense Category (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 36.1% to 45.8%.
- The percentage of Felonies did not change.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 47.2% to 37.5%.
- See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

• Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 39.5% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 30.6% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS):

- ALOS increased 1.7% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 15.0% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

• Utilization Rate (FY 2021-FY 2022):

- Backbone Mountain Youth Center's utilization rate increased from 50.0% in FY 2021 to 87.4% in FY 2022.

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2021-2022

Most Serious Offense	FY2021	FY2022
Offense Type		
Arson	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	2.8%	4.2%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	5.6%	4.2%
Carjacking	16.7%	10.4%
Cons. to Commit Offense	2.8%	6.2%
Deadly Weapon	5.6%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%	2.1%
First Degree Assault	0.0%	10.4%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	18.8%
Malicious Destruction	2.8%	2.1%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	2.1%
Narcotics Distribution	5.6%	2.1%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	0.0%	6.2%
Resisting Arrest	2.8%	0.0%
Robbery	16.7%	14.6%
Second Degree Assault	11.1%	10.4%
Sex Offense	2.8%	0.0%
Theft Felony	5.6%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	19.4%	6.2%
Trespassing	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category ²		
Crime of Violence	36.1%	45.8%
Felony	16.7%	16.7%
- Person-to-Person	2.8%	0.0%
- Property	8.3%	4.2%
- Drugs	5.6%	2.1%
- Unspecified	0.0%	10.4%
Misdemeanor	47.2%	37.5%
- Person-to-Person	19.4%	29.2%
- Property	25.0%	8.3%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	2.8%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	36	48

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Fraud, Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle or Vessel, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020-2022

Region	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Region 1	5.0%	8.3%	6.2%
Region 2	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Region 3	25.0%	33.3%	14.6%
Region 4	5.0%	5.6%	6.2%
Region 5	26.7%	13.9%	6.2%
Region 6	6.7%	16.7%	8.3%
Region 7	20.0%	2.8%	14.6%
Region 8	8.3%	13.9%	37.5%
Out-of-State	1.7%	5.6%	6.2%
Total Placements	60	36	48

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2020-2022



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS*, FY 2022



*Release completion status is based on case worker determination. Youth released home or to a step-down program are included as successful, and youth transferred to another program are included as transferred.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Green Ridge Mountain Quest (State-Operated)

Superintendent: John Hare

Capacity: 6 Males

Staff Secure - Region 4

10700 Fifteen Mile Creek Road


Flintstone, MD 21530

301-478-2930

Mountain Quest is a 90-day treatment program for males ages 13-18 located at Green Ridge Youth Center. Mountain Quest is a first-time commitment program that provides youth time away from their families and communities, giving them the opportunity to change their behavior while learning to take responsibility for their actions. The Mountain Quest program gives youth the opportunity to work on thought patterns and behaviors that will help them be more successful when they return to the community. Family work and interventions are critical at this time to better assess needs and provide an open line of communication.

The program offers individual and group behavioral health interventions, drug education, and telepsychiatry services. Youth participate in TAMAR-Y, a curriculum to address trauma and develop coping skills, and START, an evidence-based cognitive behavioral group intervention focused on developing youth social skills, anger control, and moral reasoning. Regular family interaction is encouraged with visits, phone calls, family days, and family counseling. Telepsychiatry services are available to all youth. MSDE provides educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health, and life skills. Additionally, MSDE offers individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2020-2022



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	84.6%	66.7%	100.0%
White	15.4%	33.3%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	30.8%	16.7%	0.0%
14	7.7%	33.3%	22.2%
15	15.4%	50.0%	22.2%
16	38.5%	0.0%	55.6%
17	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%
18-20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	13	6	9

• Placement Trends:

- Placements decreased 30.8% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 50.0% between FY 2021 and FY 2022

• Residence (FY 2022):

- 100% of placements were Maryland residents. There were no youth from out of state.

• MCASP Risk Level (FY 2022):

- For the 100% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 55.6% were Very High Risk, 33.3% were High Risk, 11.1% were Moderate Risk, and 0.0% were Low Risk.

• Offense Category (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence did not change.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 16.7% to 11.1%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 50.0% to 55.6%.
- See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

• Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 31.8% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 131.5% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS):

- ALOS decreased 10.8% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 14.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

• Utilization Rate (FY 2021-FY 2022):

- Green Ridge Mountain Quest Youth Center's utilization rate increased from 18.3% in FY 2021 to 41.3% in FY 2022.

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2021-2022

Most Serious Offense	FY2021	FY2022
Offense Type		
Arson	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	16.7%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	22.2%
Cons. to Commit Offense	0.0%	11.1%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	11.1%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	11.1%
Malicious Destruction	16.7%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%	11.1%
Other/Missing ¹	0.0%	0.0%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	16.7%	11.1%
Second Degree Assault	16.7%	11.1%
Sex Offense	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	16.7%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	16.7%	11.1%
Trespassing	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category ²		
Crime of Violence	33.3%	33.3%
Felony	16.7%	11.1%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	16.7%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	11.1%
Misdemeanor	50.0%	55.6%
- Person-to-Person	16.7%	33.3%
- Property	33.3%	11.1%
- Drugs	0.0%	11.1%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>9</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Fraud, Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle or Vessel, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020-2022

Region	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Region 1	7.7%	0.0%	11.1%
Region 2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Region 3	30.8%	66.7%	11.1%
Region 4	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%
Region 5	15.4%	0.0%	11.1%
Region 6	23.1%	0.0%	11.1%
Region 7	15.4%	33.3%	22.2%
Region 8	7.7%	0.0%	11.1%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>9</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2020-2022



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS*, FY 2022



* Release completion status is based on case worker determination. Youth released home or to a step-down program are included as successful, and youth transferred to another program are included as transferred.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Green Ridge Youth Center (State-Operated)

Superintendent: John Hare

Staff Secure - Region 4

Capacity: 21 Males* (Capacity increased from 18 to 21 on May 23, 2022.)

10700 Fifteen Mile Creek Road


Flintstone, MD 21530

301-478-2930

Green Ridge is a treatment program for males ages 13-18. Programming includes STARR, the DJS behavioral motivation program, and a complete array of evidence-based and -informed treatment services. Case Management and Behavioral Health staff provide treatment programming as detailed in the Behavioral Health & Case Management Services section (see page 158-159). Services are delivered via a range of modalities that include individual, group, and family sessions. Family engagement in treatment planning is of paramount importance as is regular family interaction is encouraged through family visits, phone calls, special family day activities at the facility, and home visits to help youth successfully transition back to the community. JSEP provides educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health and life skills. Additionally, JSEP offers development opportunities including OSHA certification and individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam.

*Note: Capacity data reflected on these pages is based on the capacity of 18 because the facility operated at this level for the majority of the fiscal year.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2020-2022



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	67.6%	66.7%	85.7%
White	21.6%	25.9%	5.7%
Hispanic/Other	10.8%	7.4%	8.6%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	5.4%	3.7%	0.0%
14	16.2%	18.5%	14.3%
15	29.7%	44.4%	31.4%
16	35.1%	18.5%	42.9%
17	8.1%	7.4%	11.4%
18-20	5.4%	7.4%	0.0%
Total Placements	37	27	35

• Placement Trends:

- Placements decreased 5.4% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 29.6% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

• Residence (FY 2022):

- 97.1% of placements were Maryland residents. The 1 from out of state was from Washington, D.C.

• MCASP Risk Level (FY 2022):

- For the 100.0% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 34.3% were Very High Risk, 48.6% were High Risk, 14.3% were Moderate Risk, and 2.9% were Low Risk.

• Offense Category (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 37.0% to 22.9%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 18.5% to 17.1%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 44.4% to 60.0%.
- See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

• Average Daily Population: (ADP)

- ADP decreased 12.0% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 42.7% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS):

- ALOS decreased 5.8% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 0.5% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

• Utilization Rate (FY 2021-FY 2022):

- Green Ridge Youth Center's utilization rate increased from 50.6% in FY 2021 to 73.2% in FY 2022.

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2021-2022

Most Serious Offense	FY2021	FY2022
Offense Type		
Arson	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	7.4%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	0.0%	2.9%
Carjacking	25.9%	8.6%
Cons. to Commit Offense	11.1%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	3.7%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.0%	8.6%
Handgun Violation	7.4%	14.3%
Malicious Destruction	3.7%	14.3%
Manslaughter	0.0%	2.9%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	5.7%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%	2.9%
Other/Missing ¹	0.0%	2.9%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	11.1%	5.7%
Second Degree Assault	11.1%	14.3%
Sex Offense	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	3.7%	2.9%
Theft Misdemeanor	11.1%	14.3%
Trespassing	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	3.7%	0.0%
Offense Category ²		
Crime of Violence	37.0%	22.9%
Felony	18.5%	17.1%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	5.7%
- Property	11.1%	2.9%
- Drugs	0.0%	5.7%
- Unspecified	7.4%	2.9%
Misdemeanor	44.4%	60.0%
- Person-to-Person	22.2%	28.6%
- Property	14.8%	28.6%
- Drugs	0.0%	2.9%
- Unspecified	7.4%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>35</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Fraud, Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle or Vessel, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020-2022

Region	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Region 1	2.7%	7.4%	22.9%
Region 2	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Region 3	10.8%	14.8%	11.4%
Region 4	13.5%	7.4%	17.1%
Region 5	10.8%	3.7%	8.6%
Region 6	16.2%	7.4%	5.7%
Region 7	13.5%	7.4%	8.6%
Region 8	21.6%	51.9%	22.9%
Out-of-State	2.7%	0.0%	2.9%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>35</i>

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2020-2022



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS*, FY 2022



* Release completion status is based on case worker determination. Youth released home or to a step-down program are included as successful, and youth transferred to another program are included as transferred.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Mountain View (State-Operated)

Acting Superintendent: Todd Foote

Capacity: 6 Females


Staff Secure – Region 4

124 Camp 4 Road
Swanton, MD 21561
301-359-9190

Mountain View opened on June 19, 2020 and operated as a staff-secure female-only treatment program on the grounds of Backbone Mountain Youth Center. The program served female youth, typically between the ages of 14 and 20 years and provided gender-responsive, trauma-informed programming and treatment services. Females were housed at Mountain View until December 22, 2021 when the facility was changed to a triage quarantine unit for Western Maryland treatment programs. The two remaining youth at Mountain View were released to the community prior to the facility transition.

Data on these pages reflect the females housed at Mountain View through December 2021. All youth housed at Mountain View for quarantine are reflected in the data for the facility from which they came.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2020-2022



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	71.4%	38.5%	100.0%
White	14.3%	38.5%	0.0%
Hispanic/Other	14.3%	23.1%	0.0%
Sex			
Male	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Female	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	21.4%	15.4%	0.0%
15	14.3%	23.1%	50.0%
16	28.6%	15.4%	0.0%
17	21.4%	46.2%	50.0%
18-20	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	14	13	2

• Placement Trends:

- Placements decreased 85.7% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 84.6% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

• Residence (FY 2022):

- 100% of placements were Maryland residents. There were no youth from out of state.

• MCASP Risk Level (FY 2022):

- For the 100.0% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 0.0% were Very High Risk, 50.0% were High Risk, 50.0% were Moderate Risk, and 0.0% were Low Risk.

• Offense Category (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence decreased from 30.8% to 0.0%.
- The percentage of Felonies decreased from 15.4% to 0.0%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors increased from 53.8% to 100.0%.
- See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

• Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 71.0% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 59.0% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS):

- ALOS decreased 9.3% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and decreased 16.6% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

• Utilization Rate (FY 2021-FY 2022):

- Mountain View's utilization rate decreased from 75.0% in FY 2021 to 31.1% in FY 2022.

Note: Data for FY 2020 reflect both J. DeWeese Carter Center and Mountain View placements. Data for FY 2022 reflect youth placed at Mountain View through December 22, 2021 and not a complete fiscal year. All youth housed at Mountain View for quarantine are reflected in the data for the facility from which they came.

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2021-2022

Most Serious Offense	FY2021	FY2022
Offense Type		
Arson	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	0.0%	0.0%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	0.0%	0.0%
Carjacking	0.0%	0.0%
Cons. to Commit Offense	15.4%	0.0%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	0.0%	0.0%
Handgun Violation	0.0%	0.0%
Malicious Destruction	0.0%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	15.4%	0.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	7.7%	50.0%
Resisting Arrest	7.7%	0.0%
Robbery	15.4%	0.0%
Second Degree Assault	23.1%	50.0%
Sex Offense	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Felony	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	15.4%	0.0%
Trespassing	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	0.0%	0.0%
Offense Category ²		
Crime of Violence	30.8%	0.0%
Felony	15.4%	0.0%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	0.0%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	15.4%	0.0%
Misdemeanor	53.8%	100.0%
- Person-to-Person	30.8%	100.0%
- Property	23.1%	0.0%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	0.0%	0.0%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>2</i>

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.

¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Fraud, Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle or Vessel, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.


[^] See note on page 184 regarding data for FY 2022.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020-2022[^]

Region	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Region 1	14.3%	0.0%	50.0%
Region 2	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%
Region 3	7.1%	15.4%	0.0%
Region 4	7.1%	15.4%	0.0%
Region 5	14.3%	38.5%	0.0%
Region 6	7.1%	7.7%	50.0%
Region 7	21.4%	7.7%	0.0%
Region 8	28.6%	7.7%	0.0%
Out-of-State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total Placements</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>2</i>


[^] See note on page 184 regarding data for FY 2022.

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2020-2022[^]



[^] See note on page 184 regarding data for FY 2022.

RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS*, FY 2022[^]



* Release completion status is based on case worker determination. Youth released home or to a step-down program are included as successful, and youth transferred to another program are included as transferred.

[^] See note on page 184 regarding data for FY 2022.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Victor Cullen Center (State-Operated)

Superintendent: Troy Stiffler

Hardware Secure - Region 6


Capacity: 18 Males* (Capacity decreased from 48 to 18 on August 20, 2021.)

6000 Cullen Drive
Sabillasville, MD 21780
301-739-8122

The Victor Cullen Center (VCC) is a hardware-secure treatment program for males, primarily serving youth ages 15-21 in a six-to nine-month treatment program for mental health and/or substance abuse issues. Programming includes STARR, the DJS behavioral motivation program, and a complete array of evidence-based and -informed treatment services. Case Management and Behavioral Health staff provide treatment programming as detailed in the Behavioral Health & Case Management Services section (see page 158-159). Services are delivered via a range of modalities that include individual, group, and family sessions. Family engagement in treatment planning is of paramount importance. Regular family interaction is encouraged through family visits, phone calls, special family day activities at the facility, and home visits to help youth successfully transition back to the community. Recreational programming includes camping and intramural sports. Specialized programs include Yoga and Mindfulness Training and arts-based programs. JSEP provides educational services on a 12-month schedule, with six hours of daily instruction five days a week in English, mathematics, science, social studies, health, and life skills. Additionally, JSEP offers individualized programs to prepare youth for the GED exam.

*Note: Capacity data reflected on these pages is based on the capacity of 18 because the facility operated at this level for the majority of the fiscal year.

PLACEMENTS, FY 2020-2022



PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	77.3%	66.7%	82.4%
White	11.4%	20.0%	5.9%
Hispanic/Other	11.4%	13.3%	11.8%
Sex			
Male	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Female	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	0.0%	6.7%	2.9%
15	2.3%	16.7%	11.8%
16	29.5%	16.7%	29.4%
17	43.2%	40.0%	38.2%
18-20	25.0%	20.0%	17.6%
Total Placements	44	30	34

• Placement Trends:

- Placements decreased 22.7% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 13.3% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

• Residence (FY 2022):

- 91.2% of placements were Maryland residents. Of those from out of state, 1 each was from Georgia, North Carolina and Washington, D.C.

• MCASP Risk Level (FY 2022):

- For the 100.0% of placements with a post-validation MCASP, 29.4% were Very High Risk, 26.5% were High Risk, 20.6% were Moderate Risk, and 23.5% were Low Risk.

• Offense Category (FY 2021-2022):

- The percentage of Crimes of Violence increased from 60.0% to 61.8%.
- The percentage of Felonies increased from 10.0% to 14.7%.
- The percentage of Misdemeanors decreased from 30.0% to 23.5%.
- See the Terms and Concepts pages in the introduction section for an explanation of Crime of Violence, Felony, and Misdemeanor, as the definitions are helpful when examining offense severity.

• Average Daily Population (ADP):

- ADP decreased 40.1% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 23.8% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

• Average Length of Stay (ALOS):

- ALOS decreased 5.6% between FY 2020 and FY 2022 and increased 20.4% between FY 2021 and FY 2022.

• Utilization Rate (FY 2021-FY 2022):

- Victor Cullen Center's utilization rate increased from 20.8% in FY 2021 to 68.5% in FY 2022.

PLACEMENTS BY OFFENSE⁺, FY 2021-2022

Most Serious Offense	FY2021	FY2022
Offense Type		
Arson	0.0%	0.0%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	6.7%	2.9%
Burglary/Break. & Enter	3.3%	5.9%
Carjacking	13.3%	8.8%
Cons. to Commit Offense	3.3%	5.9%
Deadly Weapon	0.0%	0.0%
Disturbing the Peace	0.0%	0.0%
First Degree Assault	6.7%	17.6%
Handgun Violation	13.3%	2.9%
Malicious Destruction	3.3%	0.0%
Manslaughter	0.0%	0.0%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	0.0%	0.0%
Murder	3.3%	11.8%
Narcotics Distribution	0.0%	0.0%
Narcotics Possession	0.0%	0.0%
Other/Missing ¹	3.3%	5.9%
Resisting Arrest	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	30.0%	17.6%
Second Degree Assault	3.3%	11.8%
Sex Offense	0.0%	2.9%
Theft Felony	0.0%	0.0%
Theft Misdemeanor	6.7%	2.9%
Trespassing	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	3.3%	2.9%
Offense Category ²		
Crime of Violence	60.0%	61.8%
Felony	10.0%	14.7%
- Person-to-Person	0.0%	0.0%
- Property	6.7%	2.9%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.3%	11.8%
Misdemeanor	30.0%	23.5%
- Person-to-Person	16.7%	14.7%
- Property	10.0%	5.9%
- Drugs	0.0%	0.0%
- Unspecified	3.3%	2.9%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	0.0%	0.0%
CINS	0.0%	0.0%
Total Placements	30	34

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.


¹ Includes: Affray, BB Gun/Pellet Gun, Child Abuse, Cruelty to Animals, Fraud, Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle or Vessel, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, and Unspecified Felony.

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.


PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2020-2022

Region	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Region 1	2.3%	3.3%	8.8%
Region 2	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Region 3	15.9%	20.0%	11.8%
Region 4	2.3%	3.3%	2.9%
Region 5	20.5%	10.0%	5.9%
Region 6	15.9%	10.0%	5.9%
Region 7	18.2%	13.3%	17.6%
Region 8	20.5%	30.0%	38.2%
Out-of-State	2.3%	10.0%	8.8%
Total Placements	44	30	34

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2020-2022



RELEASES BY COMPLETION STATUS*, FY 2022



* Release completion status is based on case worker determination. Youth released home or to a step-down program are included as successful, and youth transferred to another program are included as transferred.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Community-Based Family Therapy Programs

DJS uses research-supported, community-based family therapy programs to address youth needs, and reduce further system involvement and out-of-home placements. Evidence-Based Services (EBS) constitute those designated as “model” programs by Blueprints for Healthy Youth Development (Center for the Study and Prevention of Violence at the University of Colorado Boulder) and currently include Functional Family Therapy (FFT) and Multisystemic Therapy (MST). These services are family-based therapeutic models shown to be effective with juvenile justice-involved youth. In addition, Family Centered Treatment (FCT), provided by Mentor Maryland, is a family preservation model of in-home treatment. Considered a promising practice by the California Evidence-Based Clearinghouse for Child Welfare, FCT helps families learn and adopt positive behavioral patterns. *Note: DJS also funded EBS services through community collaboratives (i.e., Truancy Court, Local Care Team), for youth that may have been diverted from any DJS involvement.*

PLACEMENTS AND ADP BY PROGRAM, FY 2022

EBS Providers		County/Region	Place.	ADP
FFT	Center for Children, Inc.	Anne Arundel	23	8.7
		Calvert	4	1.6
		Charles	8	2.1
		St. Mary's	11	2.9
	VisionQuest National	Baltimore Co.	22	6.5
		Carroll	7	2.0
		Frederick	6	1.2
		Harford	0	0.0
		Howard	17	6.0
		Eastern Shore*	40	15.3
		Montgomery	10	6.1
		Prince George's	36	10.6
MST	Community Counseling and Mentoring Service, Inc.	Charles	2	0.4
		Montgomery	5	1.9
		Prince George's	12	4.3
	Sheppard Pratt	Carroll	1	0.4
		Frederick	7	2.3
		Washington	7	1.6
FCT	Mentor Maryland	Allegany	12	4.5
		Anne Arundel	24	6.3
		Baltimore City	53	18.3
		Baltimore Co.	23	9.2
		Calvert	9	5.3
		Caroline	4	2.1
		Carroll	12	5.6
		Charles	10	3.7
		Dorchester	4	2.8
		Frederick	8	4.6
		Garrett	1	0.7
		Harford	1	0.4
		Howard	4	1.3
		Montgomery	7	3.7
		Prince George's	36	11.8
		Queen Anne's	2	0.6
		Somerset	1	0.3
		St. Mary's	11	3.9
		Talbot	1	0.3
		Washington	14	2.8
		Wicomico	7	1.6
		Worcester	3	1.4
Total Placements		All Shown	465	165.2

*Includes Caroline, Cecil, Dorchester, Kent, Queen Anne's, Somerset, Talbot, Wicomico, and Worcester Counties

Community-Based Family Therapy Program Placements (FY 2022):

- 39.6% of placements were to FFT.
- 7.3% of placements were to MST.
- 53.1% of placements were to FCT.

Youth Placed (FY 2022):

- At the time of placement to a community-based family therapy program, 42.6% of youth were under probation, 19.8% were on aftercare supervision, 19.6% were under pre-court supervision, and 18.1% were funded by DJS without a formal supervision status.

Most Common Offense Type (FY 2022):

- FFT was Second Degree Assault (21.2%).
- MST was Second Degree Assault (41.2%).
- FCT was Second Degree Assault (18.2%).

Average Length of Stay (FY 2022):

- ALOS was highest for FCT and lowest for MST.

Average Daily Population (FY 2022):

- ADP was highest for FCT and lowest for MST.

Completion Status According to Program Specific Criteria (FY 2022):

- 64.3% of youth discharged from FFT* completed the program.
- 90.0% of youth discharged from MST* completed the program.
- 69.5% of youth discharged from FCT* completed the program.

*Note that these percentages reflect cases discharged within therapist control. This excludes youth who moved prior to completing the program, those referred to/placed in another program, or those discharged for other administrative reasons.

PLACEMENTS BY REGION OF RESIDENCE, FY 2022

	FFT	MST	FCT	ADP
Region 1	12.5%	0.0%	6.1%	16.5
Region 2	9.2%	0.0%	2.8%	7.9
Region 3	12.0%	0.0%	9.7%	16.2
Region 4	0.0%	20.6%	10.9%	9.5
Region 5	25.5%	2.9%	16.2%	30.2
Region 6	8.7%	35.3%	6.1%	20.0
Region 7	32.1%	41.2%	26.7%	46.7
Region 8	0.0%	0.0%	21.5%	18.3
Total Placements	184	34	247	165.2

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous Data Resource Guides due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

PLACEMENTS BY ADJUDICATED OFFENSE⁺, FY 2022

Most Serious Offense	FFT	MST	FCT
Offense Type			
Arson	1.1%	0.0%	0.8%
Auto Theft/Unauth. Use	2.7%	2.9%	2.4%
Burglary/Break & Ent.	4.9%	0.0%	4.5%
Carjacking	2.7%	2.9%	6.1%
Cons. to Commit Offense	5.4%	0.0%	4.9%
Deadly Weapon	1.6%	0.0%	1.6%
Disturbing the Peace	1.1%	0.0%	0.8%
First Degree Assault-Felony	4.3%	2.9%	4.0%
Handgun Violation	1.6%	2.9%	10.5%
Malicious Destruction	4.9%	2.9%	4.5%
Manslaughter	0.5%	0.0%	0.4%
Motor Vehicle/Traffic	1.1%	0.0%	2.4%
Murder	0.5%	0.0%	2.0%
Narcotics Distribution	0.5%	0.0%	2.8%
Narcotics Possession	3.8%	8.8%	1.2%
Other/Missing ¹	12.5%	17.6%	9.3%
Resisting Arrest	1.1%	2.9%	0.4%
Robbery	3.3%	5.9%	6.5%
Second Degree Assault-Misd.	21.2%	41.2%	18.2%
Sex Offense	7.6%	0.0%	3.6%
Theft Felony	3.3%	0.0%	1.2%
Theft Misdemeanor	9.8%	8.8%	7.3%
Trespassing	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Unspec. Misdemeanor	3.3%	0.0%	4.5%
Offense Category			
Crime of Violence²	13.6%	11.8%	23.5%
Felony	15.8%	2.9%	14.6%
- Person-to-Person	4.9%	0.0%	2.0%
- Property	7.1%	2.9%	4.0%
- Drugs	0.5%	0.0%	2.8%
- Unspecified	3.3%	0.0%	5.7%
Misdemeanor	60.3%	67.6%	57.5%
- Person-to-Person	32.6%	50.0%	38.1%
- Property	19.6%	11.8%	12.6%
- Drugs	2.2%	5.9%	1.2%
- Unspecified	6.0%	0.0%	5.7%
Ordinance Offenses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Citations	1.6%	2.9%	0.4%
CINS	1.6%	5.9%	1.6%
Interstate Compact/Missing*	7.1%	8.8%	2.4%
Total Placements	184	34	247

⁺ Percentages of offense type and offense category may not be compared to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2019 due to new offense ranking methodology.

¹ Includes: Affray, Child Abuse, Harassment, Reckless Endangerment, Telephone Misuse, Threat of Mass Violence, Truant, Ungovernable, and Unspecified Felony.

² See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.


* Includes Interstate Compact, non-DJS referrals, and missing offenses.

Note: FFT and MST data come from the Institute for Innovation and Implementation's EBS Database, except for offense data, which comes from ASSIST. The source for all FCT data is ASSIST.


PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2022

Demographics	FFT	MST	FCT
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	64.7%	55.9%	72.5%
White	22.8%	26.5%	17.4%
Hispanic/Other	12.5%	17.6%	10.1%
Sex			
Female	20.1%	35.3%	21.1%
Male	79.9%	64.7%	78.9%
Age			
11 and under	1.1%	0.0%	1.2%
12	3.8%	11.8%	1.2%
13	10.9%	0.0%	3.2%
14	16.3%	14.7%	10.5%
15	20.1%	23.5%	21.5%
16	22.8%	20.6%	21.9%
17	18.5%	29.4%	23.5%
18-20	6.5%	0.0%	17.0%
Total Placements	184	34	247

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, FY 2022



SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS AT DISCHARGE*, FY 2022




* Each program has its own measure of completion.

ASSIST is a live database; therefore, updates made subsequent to these data being run will not be included. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. Data may not be comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides* due to methodology changes. VOPs are categorized by the original offense.

Community-Based Family Therapy Programs (cont.)


With an extensive research base, FFT and MST have consistently demonstrated positive outcomes with juvenile offenders from diverse ethnic and cultural backgrounds. These programs use different approaches, but both focus on individual, family, and extra-familial risk and protective factors that influence youth behavior and risk for offending. To ensure their programs have achieved the desired results, EBS providers collect measures throughout treatment to assess youth and family progress and outcomes. In particular, both FFT and MST collect information related to three key indicators of success for youth involved with the justice system: (1) keeping youth in their homes/communities; (2) ensuring youth are engaged with school, vocational training, and/or employment; and (3) reducing delinquent behavior. Similar data are not yet available for FCT, but are expected to be in the FY 2023 *Data Resource Guide*.

OUTCOMES AMONG FFT COMPLETERS, FY 2022*



* Outcomes at discharge for cases in which treatment was completed

OUTCOMES AMONG MST COMPLETERS, FY 2022*



* Outcomes at discharge for cases in which treatment was completed

Section V: Recidivism Rates



Youth from Mountain View partnered with Garrett County Habitat for Humanity by sorting donations, repainting furniture for sale, and minor cleaning.

Measuring Recidivism Rates

Measuring recidivism for DJS youth is a vital tool for understanding the effectiveness of interventions aimed at reducing future system involvement and for measuring the ultimate public safety impact of services provided. Though other outcomes are also important to study, including future educational attainment, employment, and other non-offense-related outcomes, recidivism remains the primary measure of success. DJS therefore conducts an annual recidivism study and publishes comprehensive recidivism reports as part of the *Data Resource Guide*. It is important to note that recidivism is not only a measure of the effectiveness of the program/services provided, but it also depends on the quality of re-entry planning, aftercare supervision, availability and quality of supports in the community and/or family to which youth return, local economic opportunities, and other factors. It is also important to account for the assessed risk levels of youth served when analyzing success. All youth assigned to probation or placed in an out-of-home treatment program are assessed for risk of future reoffending using the validated MCASP Risk & Needs Assessment. The assessed risk level guides decisions about the level of supervision and intensity of treatment services required for an individual youth. MCASP identifies factors that have been shown to predict future reoffending, such as prior delinquency and criminogenic risk factors including school, family, substance use, and peers. Programs that serve higher-risk youth might expect to see higher recidivism rates than those serving lower-risk youth. Studies have also shown that services designed for higher-risk youth may not be effective, or even prove detrimental if provided to lower-risk youth. For these reasons, these reports include a breakdown of the levels of risk of the youth involved.

RECIDIVISM DEFINITION*

The juvenile justice community has not reached a consensus on how best to define recidivism with a single measure, so DJS captures and reports several measures. Because youth may age out of juvenile court jurisdiction during the recidivism follow-up period, DJS includes information from the adult criminal justice system. Since many charges are not ultimately sustained by the juvenile court or found guilty in an adult court, DJS measures not only new arrests, but also resulting juvenile court adjudications or adult convictions and new juvenile out-of-home commitments or adult incarcerations. Maryland tracks new arrests for up to three years, but publishes rearrest rates after a one-year follow-up period, and reconviction and reincarceration with a two-year lag, to account for often lengthy court processing times. For purposes of these recidivism studies, a new arrest includes any new delinquent or criminal felony/misdemeanor offense. Not counted are status offenses, traffic citations, violations of local ordinances, and technical violations of probation that do not include a new delinquent or criminal offense. Only those new adjudications, convictions, commitments, and incarcerations that are the result of a new arrest are included, not those stemming from offenses prior to the probation or commitment treatment episode. All recidivism rates are calculated at the youth level, rather than the case level. Youth who recidivate in both juvenile and adult systems are counted only once by the first offense or arrest date during the follow-up period. It is, however, possible for a youth to appear in both the probation and committed treatment study cohorts.

RECIDIVISM OF YOUTH RELEASED FROM A COMMITTED TREATMENT PROGRAM

Youth released from out-of-home committed treatment programs are a primary focus for measuring recidivism. These data include youth who had been committed to DJS by the Maryland juvenile court for placement in an out-of-home treatment program (whether within Maryland or out of state) and who were released within the study year. Youth from outside Maryland are included if they have been committed by a Maryland court. Treatment programs ranging from foster placements to programs involving secure confinement are included, and programs may be operated by DJS or a contracted provider. New offenses that occur on the same day of commitment release while youth are in a treatment program are not counted as recidivism. Results are shown by year of new offense, level of recidivism, demographics, region/county, risk level, program type, and by individual program. In cases where a youth had been served by multiple programs during a commitment spell, transfers are not considered as a release, and rates are attributed to the program representing the final release.

PROBATION RECIDIVISM

This cohort includes all youth with a new juvenile probation disposition during the year. Recidivism events are tracked from the disposition date for up to three years, regardless of how long a youth remains under supervision. Results are shown by year of new offense, level of recidivism, demographics, region, county, and risk level.

Cohort	Definition	Clock Starts	Assigned to	Further Breakdown/ Summary
Probation	Youth with a probation disposition in FY. The first probation disposition is selected if youth have more than one.	Date of probation disposition	Region of jurisdiction	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, Assessed Risk
Treatment Program	Youth released from a treatment program in FY who stayed at least 16 days	Date of final release, excluding transfers	Final program from which youth was released	Year, Race, Gender, Age, Region, County, Program Type, Program, Assessed Risk

*Prior to 2015, date of the court action/placement was used, and non-delinquent referrals were counted. Also, the measure of probation recidivism was expanded in 2019 to include all probation cases, not just first-time dispositions. This *Guide* includes a five-year chart using the revised methodology for all years to show the long-term trend.

Recidivism	Definition	Date Used	Excludes	Data Source
Juvenile Arrest	Subsequent offense referred to DJS	Offense date within follow-up period	Excludes violations of probation or aftercare not involving a new delinquent offense; CINS referrals, civil citations, local ordinance violations, arrests in other states, arrests for offenses occurring prior to release, and arrests diverted by police and not referred to DJS.	DJS ASSIST
Adult Arrest	Adult arrest in Maryland	Arrest date within follow-up period	Excludes violations of probation or parole, civil citations, arrests outside of Maryland, Federal holds, arrests for offenses that occur on the same day of release from a treatment program.	CJIS
Juvenile Adjudication	Sustained delinquent adjudication included in reoffense	Offense date within follow-up period	Excludes continued cases	DJS ASSIST
Adult Conviction	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest	Arrest date within follow-up period	Same as adult arrest	CJIS
Juvenile Commitment	Committed placement stemming from offense included in reoffense and readjudication	Offense date within follow-up period	Excludes commitments not resulting in out-of-home placement	DJS ASSIST
Adult Incarceration	Conviction on charges included in adult arrest count resulting in a sentence of incarceration (or possible confinement)	Arrest date within follow-up period	Same as adult arrest	CJIS

For purposes of this *Data Resource Guide*, rates will be combined for the juvenile and adult system into three overall categories using juvenile and/or criminal justice recidivism measures and labeled as:

1. Rearrest
2. Reconviction
3. Reincarceration

DATA SOURCES

Information from two different databases (juvenile and adult) is retrieved, processed, and compiled for each of the selected released cohorts. Data gathering involves the following procedures:

- A list of all youth with a probation disposition or released from DJS committed residential treatment programs is obtained from the Department's ASSIST management information system.
- Master cohort files are created containing gender, race, date of birth, county of jurisdiction, region of jurisdiction, county of residence, and region of residence. For committed youth, the last program name and program type from which youth was released during the release cohort are also included. Risk level is added using the full MCASP assessment completed or updated closest and prior to the committed release date for committed youth, and closest to the disposition date for probation youth.
- For both committed and probation cohort files, juvenile recidivism events are added from the ASSIST database, including subsequent arrests, adjudications, and commitments. Dates of offense are used to code recidivism events as occurring one, two, and three years after release.
- Adult arrest and court disposition information is obtained from the Criminal Justice Information System (CJIS), using DJS youth name, date of birth, race, and gender as identification or index fields. These records are added to a text file and returned to DJS with the response data. Name match is as follows: Smith, Gregory will match with Smith, Gregory and Smith Greg. Smith, Greg will match with Smith, Greg and Smith, Gr, but not with Smith, Gregory. DJS youth names are given in full and checked for any abbreviation as Gr or Greg for example.
- Youth who recidivate in both systems are counted only once by the first offense or arrest date during the follow-up period.

Overall Recidivism Rates for Treatment Program Releases

6-, 12-, 24-, AND 36-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2019-2021 RELEASES

Follow-up Period	FY2019 (N=674)			FY2020 (N=593)			FY2021 (N=263)		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration
6 Months	34.3%	12.8%	8.5%	28.8%	9.9%	6.6%	25.5%		
12 Months	49.3%	19.7%	13.4%	40.6%	15.2%	9.9%	39.2%		
24 Months	61.7%	26.7%	18.7%	52.4%	21.1%	13.2%			
36 Months	66.3%	30.0%	20.6%						

- Between FY 2019 and FY 2021, the 12-month rearrest rate decreased 10.1 percentage points. Between FY 2019 and FY 2020, the 12-month reconviction rate decreased 4.6 percentage points, and the 12-month reincarceration rate increased 3.4 percentage points.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020 RELEASES

Demographics	FY2020 Releases						
	Total	Rearrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration			
Race/Ethnicity							
Black	413	190	46.0%	72	17.4%	51	12.3%
White	125	33	26.4%	8	6.4%	3	2.4%
Hispanic/Other	55	18	32.7%	10	18.2%	5	9.1%
Sex							
Male	501	215	42.9%	83	16.6%	58	11.6%
Female	92	26	28.3%	7	7.6%	1	1.1%
Age at Release							
11 and Under	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
12	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
13	17	12	70.6%	6	35.3%	2	11.8%
14	48	20	41.7%	10	20.8%	8	16.7%
15	101	58	57.4%	24	23.8%	17	16.8%
16	145	66	45.5%	27	18.6%	14	9.7%
17	180	60	33.3%	19	10.6%	14	7.8%
18 or older	101	25	24.8%	4	4.0%	4	4.0%
Total	593	241	40.6%	90	15.2%	59	9.9%

- Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures.
- Black youth had the highest rates for rearrest and reincarceration. Hispanic/Other youth had the highest reconviction rate.
- Some age groups are comprised of a small number of youth. Therefore, the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

Note to Readers:

Throughout this recidivism section, analysis of trends information appearing in bullets is based on the actual data and not the rounded figures presented in the graphs/tables.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR RELEASES¹ BY PROGRAM TYPE SUMMARY, FY 2019 - 2021

Total for Each Program Type	FY 2019				FY 2020				FY 2021			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Foster Care	19	36.8%	10.5%	5.3%	11	45.5%	9.1%	0.0%	7	14.3%		
Group Home*	176	44.9%	17.0%	11.9%	173	34.7%	12.1%	8.1%	80	38.8%		
Independent Living	9	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	7	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6	0.0%		
RTC	113	38.9%	13.3%	10.6%	100	39.0%	16.0%	10.0%	70	45.7%		
Out-of-State	92	56.5%	19.6%	17.4%	59	33.9%	18.6%	13.6%	25	36.0%		
State-Operated	265	55.8%	25.7%	15.1%	243	47.7%	16.9%	11.1%	75	40.0%		

¹Totals presented in the table above include each type of facility reported in that broad category. For example: "Independent Living" includes Independent Living as well as Alternative Living Units. * Data previously reported under a separate category of ICFA were merged under Group Homes due to ICFA program closures, and Silver Oak Academy has since been reported under Group Homes due to program certification. Therefore, data for Group Homes are not comparable to DRGs prior to FY 2021.

- Analyses of trends are presented on the following pages for each specific program type.

Note: All data represent both juvenile and/or adult recidivism. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2015.


12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2019-2021 RELEASES, BY REGION AND COUNTY

Region/ County	FY2019				FY2020				FY2021			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
Region 1	48	56.2%	18.8%	6.2%	42	33.3%	2.4%	0.0%	21	42.9%		
Dorchester	9	44.4%	0.0%	0.0%	9	55.6%	11.1%	0.0%	3	66.7%		
Somerset	9	44.4%	11.1%	0.0%	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3	33.3%		
Wicomico	25	68.0%	28.0%	12.0%	25	32.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12	50.0%		
Worcester	5	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	5	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3	0.0%		
Region 2	24	50.0%	12.5%	8.3%	11	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	8	25.0%		
Caroline	4	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4	0.0%		
Cecil	9	66.7%	11.1%	11.1%	7	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2	50.0%		
Kent	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%		
Queen Anne's	6	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2	50.0%		
Talbot	5	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%		
Region 3	88	53.4%	17.0%	10.2%	82	39.0%	19.5%	12.2%	31	58.1%		
Baltimore Co.	59	54.2%	20.3%	10.2%	44	38.6%	18.2%	9.1%	25	60.0%		
Harford	29	51.7%	10.3%	10.3%	38	39.5%	21.1%	15.8%	6	50.0%		
Region 4	37	37.8%	2.7%	0.0%	38	39.5%	15.8%	10.5%	18	44.4%		
Allegany	13	30.8%	0.0%	0.0%	17	52.9%	29.4%	17.6%	8	50.0%		
Garrett	4	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%		
Washington	20	45.0%	5.0%	0.0%	15	40.0%	6.7%	6.7%	10	40.0%		
Region 5	100	42.0%	13.0%	8.0%	99	44.4%	18.2%	10.1%	48	37.5%		
Anne Arundel	66	37.9%	7.6%	4.5%	76	42.1%	18.4%	10.5%	41	39.0%		
Carroll	13	30.8%	15.4%	15.4%	5	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5	20.0%		
Howard	21	61.9%	28.6%	14.3%	18	50.0%	22.2%	11.1%	2	50.0%		
Region 6	98	53.1%	26.5%	22.4%	88	34.1%	13.6%	6.8%	47	34.0%		
Frederick	16	43.8%	6.2%	6.2%	26	30.8%	15.4%	3.8%	10	10.0%		
Montgomery	82	54.9%	30.5%	25.6%	62	35.5%	12.9%	8.1%	37	40.5%		
Region 7	99	45.5%	22.2%	14.1%	86	36.0%	9.3%	5.8%	37	32.4%		
Calvert	5	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3	33.3%		
Charles	13	53.8%	15.4%	7.7%	13	23.1%	0.0%	0.0%	9	22.2%		
Prince George's	66	43.9%	22.7%	13.6%	58	41.4%	13.8%	8.6%	13	15.4%		
St. Mary's	15	53.3%	33.3%	26.7%	11	27.3%	0.0%	0.0%	12	58.3%		
Region 8	163	54.0%	25.8%	19.0%	127	54.3%	19.7%	16.5%	44	40.9%		
Baltimore City	163	54.0%	25.8%	19.0%	127	54.3%	19.7%	16.5%	44	40.9%		
Out-of-State	17	29.4%	11.8%	5.9%	20	25.0%	20.0%	15.0%	9	22.2%		
Statewide	674	49.3%	19.7%	13.4%	593	40.6%	15.2%	9.9%	263	39.2%		

- When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of releases. Some counties have a small number of releases; therefore, if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

Note: All data represent both juvenile and/or adult recidivism. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2015.


TREATMENT PROGRAM RELEASES BY RISK LEVEL*, FY 2018-2020 RELEASES



* Percentages for each FY may not add to 100% due to cases with missing or incomplete risk assessments. The Very High category was added in FY 2020 after the MCASP Risk & Needs Assessment was validated. All pre- and post-validation risk categories are not directly comparable due to changes in cut-points. FY 2020 releases include cases with both pre- and post-validation assessments.

- Prior to validation, the highest percentage of youth fell into the high risk category in each fiscal year. Post validation, the highest percentage of youth fell into the very high risk category. This is especially important when considered in conjunction with the recidivism data presented in the graph below.


12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY RISK LEVEL, FY 2020 RELEASES*



* The Very High category was added in FY 2020 after the MCASP Risk & Needs Assessment was validated. All pre- and post-validation risk categories are not directly comparable due to changes in cut-points. FY 2020 releases include cases with both pre- and post-validation assessments.

- Pre-validation, youth with a moderate risk of recidivism had the highest recidivism rates for reconviction and reincarceration and nearly highest for rearrest. Post-validation, youth with a very high risk of recidivism has the highest rates in all three categories.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES, FY 2016-2020 RELEASES



- In recent years, rearrest and reconviction rates have been relatively consistent; however, all three measures have decreased between FY 2019 and FY 2020.

Due to methodological changes, recidivism data are not comparable to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2015. Risk-level data are not comparable to previous *Data Resource Guides*.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR FOSTER CARE RELEASES, FY 2019 - FY 2021*

Treatment Foster Care	FY2019				FY2020				FY2021			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
Arrow Child & Fam. Ministries	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	N/A		
Board of Child Care	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	1	0	0	N/A		
Children's Choice - Baltimore	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	N/A		
Children's Choice - Salisbury	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0		
Greenleaf	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	1	1		
Hearts & Homes for Youth - Family	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	N/A		
Mentor MD-Balt Teens in Trans	4	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	5	0		
Mentor MD-Salisbury Teens	4	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Pressley Ridge	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	N/A		
San Mar	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Woodbourne Center	3	2	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	N/A		
Treatment Foster Care Total	19	36.8%	10.5%	5.3%	11	45.5%	9.1%	0.0%	7	14.3%		

For total Foster Care releases:

- Between FY 2019 and FY 2021, the rearrest rate decreased 22.6 percentage points.
- Between FY 2019 and FY 2020:
 - The conviction rate decreased 1.4 percentage points.
 - The reincarceration rate decreased from 5.3% to 0.0%.

Note: Throughout this section, programs that had no releases within a fiscal year will have N/A reported for all recidivism measures. For FY 2021, conviction and reincarceration rates are not available due to court dispositions requiring an additional year to account for lengthy court processing time.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few youth may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2015.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR GROUP HOME RELEASES, FY 2019 - FY 2021*

Group Home	FY2019				FY2020				FY2021			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
ARC of Wash	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0		
Board of Child Care	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A		
Catoctin Summit Adol. Prog	11	4	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	N/A		
Cedar Ridge	10	6	2	0	3	0	0	0	6	0		
Children's Home (GH & Tran)	6	3	1	1	7	1	1	0	5	0		
Hearts and Homes	13	1	1	1	8	3	1	1	1	0		
MAGIC	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	N/A		
Morning Star Youth Academy	23	13	5	2	27	9	5	3	29	13		
Oak Hill House	10	5	1	1	12	5	1	0	4	2		
One Love	6	2	0	0	13	8	2	2	8	5		
Our House	11	5	1	1	8	1	0	0	8	3		
Rite of Passage - Silver Oak Academy	41	25	11	9	46	17	5	3	1	1		
Salem Trust	7	0	0	0	10	4	2	1	0	N/A		
St. Ann's Infant & Maternity Program	3	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	N/A		
Group Home Total	143	45.5%	16.1%	11.2%	141	34.8%	12.1%	7.1%	63	38.1%		
Therapeutic Group Home (TGH)												
Avis Birely	10	4	3	3	11	4	1	1	5	2		
Board of Child Care	3	2	1	0	3	3	2	2	4	1		
Cedar Ridge	14	6	2	1	11	3	1	1	5	3		
Mary's Mount Manor	5	2	1	1	4	0	0	0	3	1		
TGH Total	32	43.8%	21.9%	15.6%	29	34.5%	13.8%	13.8%	17	41.2%		
Intermediate Care for Addictions Facility (ICFA)												
MTC-Mountain Manor	1	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	N/A		
ICFA Total	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0	N/A		
Total Group Home TGH, & ICFA	176	44.9%	17.0%	11.9%	173	34.7%	12.1%	8.1%	80	38.8%		

For total Group Home releases including TGH and ICFA:

- Between FY 2019 and FY 2021, the rearrest rate decreased 6.1 percentage points.
- Between FY 2019 and FY 2020, the recon-viction rate decreased 4.9 percentage points and the reincarceration rate decreased 3.8 percentage points.

Data previously reported under a separate category of ICFA were merged under Group Homes due to ICFA program closures. Likewise, Rite of Passage Silver Oak Academy is reported under Group Homes due to program certification. Therefore, data are not comparable to DRGs prior to FY 2021.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few youth may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2015.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING RELEASES, FY 2019 - FY 2021*

Independent Living	FY2019				FY2020				FY2021			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Damamli	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Future Bound	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A		
Jumoke	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Mentor Maryland - Baltimore	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	0		
New Pathways - Second Generation Teen Mother Prog.	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A		
New Pathways-Independence Plus	5	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	3	0		
Independent Living Total	9	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	6	0.0%		
Alternative Living Units												
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A		
Alternative Living Units	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	N/A		
Total Independent Living & Alternative Living Units	9	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	7	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6	0.0%		

For total Independent Living releases including Alternative Living Units:

- Between FY 2019 and FY 2021, the rearrest rate decreased from 22.2% to 0.0%.
- Between FY 2019 and FY 2020, the reconviction rate and the reincarceration rate each remained at 0.0%.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few youth may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2015.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR RTC RELEASES, FY 2019 - FY 2021*

Residential Treatment Centers (RTC)	FY 2019				FY 2020				FY 2021			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
Jefferson School	10	2	2	1	2	0	0	0	1	0		
New Directions Chesapeake	10	5	4	4	9	2	1	1	14	7		
RICA Baltimore	6	2	1	1	6	1	1	0	4	2		
RICA Rockville	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A		
Right Moves Ches Tr. Ctr-Hickey	10	5	2	2	8	4	3	3	10	3		
Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN	27	11	3	3	28	14	4	2	15	8		
Woodbourne	20	8	2	0	19	9	4	3	16	7		
Total RTC	83	39.8%	16.9%	13.3%	73	41.1%	17.8%	12.3%	60	45.0%		
Psychiatric Hospitals												
Clifton T. Perkins Hospital Center	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Eastern Shore Acute Unit	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Sheppard Pratt- Towson	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0		
Spring Grove Hospital Center	17	5	0	0	15	5	1	1	8	5		
Psychiatric Hospital Total	19	26.3%	0.0%	0.0%	15	33.3%	6.7%	6.7%	9	55.6%		
Diagnostic Units/CEU												
Arrow Child and Family Ministries	6	4	1	1	11	4	2	0	0	N/A		
Children's Home	4	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		
RICA Rockville	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Diagnostic Unit/CEU Total	11	54.5%	9.1%	9.1%	12	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%	1	0.0%		
Total RTC, Psych. Hospitals, Diag. Units/CEU	113	38.9%	13.3%	10.6%	100	39.0%	16.0%	10.0%	70	45.7%		

For total RTC releases including Psychiatric Hospitals and Diagnostic Units/CEU:

- Between FY 2019 and FY 2021, the rearrest rate increased 6.8 percentage points.
- Between FY 2019 and FY 2020, the reconviction rate increased 2.7 percentage points and the reincarceration rate decreased 0.6 percentage points.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few youth may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2015.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR OUT-OF-STATE (OOS) FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2019 - FY 2021*

Residential Treatment Facility OOS	FY2019				FY2020				FY2021			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
George Jr. Republic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	3	1	1	2	1		
Kid Link - Coastal Harbor	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	N/A		
UHS of Del-Harbor Point	7	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	1		
UHS of Del-Laurel Oaks	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	2	2	2	0	N/A		
UHS of Del-Sandy Pines	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1		
Residential Treat. Facility Total	8	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	10	60.0%	30.0%	30.0%	6	50.0%		
Staff Secure OOS												
Canyon State	1	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	N/A		
Clarinda Academy	2	1	0	0	4	2	2	2	1	0		
Manos House	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
George Jr. Republic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	1	1		
Glen Mills School	32	21	9	8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Natchez Trace Youth Academy	5	3	0	0	4	2	2	1	2	1		
New Outlook Acad.	2	1	0	0	3	1	1	0	4	0		
Sequel - Mingus Mountain Academy	1	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	0		
Summit Academy	1	1	0	0	5	2	1	0	1	0		
Woodward Acad.	14	6	3	3	11	1	0	0	3	1		
Staff Secure Total	60	58.3%	20.0%	18.3%	35	28.6%	17.1%	8.6%	13	23.1%		
Hardware Secure OOS												
Cornell Abraxas Acad.	4	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	1		
Mid-Atlantic Luzerne	5	4	3	2	4	1	1	1	1	0		
Mid-Atlantic West, PA	11	8	1	1	3	2	1	1	0	N/A		
Wolverine Treat. Ctr.	4	2	1	1	3	1	0	0	3	2		
Hardware Secure OOS Total	24	62.5%	20.8%	16.7%	13	30.8%	15.4%	15.4%	6	50.0%		
Independent Living OOS												
Cornell Abraxas-Trans. Living Unit	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	N/A		
Out-of-State Total	92	56.5%	19.6%	17.4%	59	33.9%	18.6%	13.6%	25	36.0%		

For Out-of-State releases including Residential Treatment Facilities, Staff Secure, Hardware Secure, and Independent Living:

- Between FY 2019 and FY 2021, the rearrest rate decreased 20.5 percentage points.
- Between FY 2019 and FY 2020, the reconviction rate decreased 0.9 percentage points, and the reincarceration rate decreased 3.8 percentage points.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few youth may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2015.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR STATE-OPERATED TREATMENT FACILITY¹ RELEASES, FY 2019 - FY 2021*

State-Operated Facilities	FY2019				FY2020				FY2021			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Backbone Mtn Yth Ctr.	87	44	20	15	63	25	9	5	22	8		
Garrett Children's Ctr.	7	5	3	2	16	13	4	2	1	0		
Green Ridge Mtn Quest	21	12	3	3	15	7	1	1	3	3		
Green Ridge- Yth Ctr.	42	25	11	5	34	22	9	9	20	11		
J. DeWeese Carter Ctr.	15	3	2	0	17	7	2	0	6	0		
Meadow Mtn Yth Ctr.	54	32	18	8	49	20	11	6	0	N/A		
Victor Cullen Center	39	27	11	7	49	22	5	4	23	8		
Total State Operated	265	55.8%	25.7%	15.1%	243	47.7%	16.9%	11.1%	75	40.0%		

¹J. DeWeese Carter Center and Meadow Mountain Youth Center closed on 6/30/2020. Savage Mountain Youth Center was renamed Garrett Children's Center 6/3/2020. Data for Silver Oak Academy have been presented under Group Homes due to program certification since the FY 2021 Data Resource Guide.

For State-Operated Facilities:

- Between FY 2019 and FY 2021, the rearrest rate decreased 15.8 percentage points.
- Between FY 2019 and FY 2020, the reconviction rate decreased 8.8 percentage points, and the reincarceration rate increased 4.0 percentage points.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; therefore, reoffenses of a few youth may result in a seemingly high overall recidivism rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level. Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to Data Resource Guides prior to FY 2015.

ONE-, TWO-, AND THREE-YEAR JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2019 RELEASES BY PROGRAM TYPE

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrest			Reconviction			Reincarceration		
		1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr
Foster Care Total	19	36.8%	47.4%	52.6%	10.5%	10.5%	15.8%	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%
Treatment Foster Care	19	36.8%	47.4%	52.6%	10.5%	10.5%	15.8%	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%
In-Home Foster Care	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Group Home Total*	176	44.9%	58.5%	62.5%	17.0%	23.9%	26.7%	11.9%	16.5%	17.6%
Group Home	143	45.5%	60.8%	64.3%	16.1%	23.1%	26.6%	11.2%	15.4%	16.8%
Therapeutic Group Home	32	43.8%	50.0%	56.2%	21.9%	28.1%	28.1%	15.6%	21.9%	21.9%
ICFA	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Independent Living Total	9	22.2%	44.4%	44.4%	0.0%	11.1%	11.1%	0.0%	11.1%	11.1%
Independent Living	9	22.2%	44.4%	44.4%	0.0%	11.1%	11.1%	0.0%	11.1%	11.1%
Alternative Living Units	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
RTC Total	113	38.9%	50.4%	57.5%	13.3%	18.6%	22.1%	10.6%	13.3%	15.0%
Residential Treatment Facility	83	39.8%	50.6%	60.2%	16.9%	24.1%	27.7%	13.3%	16.9%	18.1%
Psychiatric Hospital	19	26.3%	36.8%	36.8%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%
Diagnostic Units/CEU	11	54.5%	72.7%	72.7%	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%
Out-of-State Total	92	56.5%	67.4%	72.8%	19.6%	29.3%	31.5%	17.4%	22.8%	25.0%
Residential Treatment Facility-OOS	8	25.0%	50.0%	62.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%
Staff Secure - OOS	60	58.3%	68.3%	73.3%	20.0%	31.7%	35.0%	18.3%	23.3%	26.7%
Hardware Secure - OOS	24	62.5%	70.8%	75.0%	20.8%	29.2%	29.2%	16.7%	25.0%	25.0%
State Operated Total	265	55.8%	68.3%	72.1%	25.7%	32.8%	36.6%	15.1%	22.3%	24.9%
Backbone Mountain Youth Ctr.	87	50.6%	69.0%	71.3%	23.0%	33.3%	37.9%	17.2%	25.3%	29.9%
Garrett Children's Center	7	71.4%	85.7%	85.7%	42.9%	42.9%	57.1%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%
Green Ridge - Mountain Quest	21	57.1%	61.9%	66.7%	14.3%	19.0%	23.8%	14.3%	19.0%	23.8%
Green Ridge Youth Center	42	59.5%	71.4%	73.8%	26.2%	33.3%	33.3%	11.9%	19.0%	19.0%
J. DeWeese Carter Center	15	20.0%	33.3%	40.0%	13.3%	13.3%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Meadow Mountain Youth Center	54	59.3%	66.7%	74.1%	33.3%	38.9%	44.4%	14.8%	22.2%	24.1%
Victor Cullen Center	39	69.2%	79.5%	82.1%	28.2%	35.9%	38.5%	17.9%	28.2%	30.8%
Statewide Total	674	49.3%	61.7%	66.3%	19.7%	26.7%	30.0%	13.4%	18.7%	20.6%

* Data previously reported under a separate category of ICFA were merged under Group Homes due to ICFA program closures and Silver Oak Academy is now reported under Group Homes due to program certification. Therefore, data for Group Homes are not comparable to previous DRGs prior to FY 2021.

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2019 releases at 1 and 3 years post-release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 49.3%, and within 3 years it was 66.3%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 19.7%, and within 3 years it was 30.0%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 13.4%, and within 3 years it was 20.6%.

Note: Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2015.

ONE- AND TWO-YEAR JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2020 RELEASES BY PROGRAM TYPE

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrest		Reconviction		Reincarceration	
		1 Yr	2 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr
Foster Care Total	11	45.5%	45.5%	9.1%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Treatment Foster Care	11	45.5%	45.5%	9.1%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%
In-Home Foster Care	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Group Home Total*	173	34.7%	48.0%	12.1%	17.9%	8.1%	13.3%
Group Home	141	34.8%	48.2%	12.1%	17.7%	7.1%	12.1%
Therapeutic Group Home	29	34.5%	48.3%	13.8%	20.7%	13.8%	20.7%
ICFA Total	3	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Independent Living Total	7	14.3%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Independent Living	6	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Alternative Living Units	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
RTC Total	100	39.0%	49.0%	16.0%	23.0%	10.0%	12.0%
Residential Treatment Facility	73	41.1%	49.3%	17.8%	23.3%	12.3%	13.7%
Psychiatric Hospital	15	33.3%	53.3%	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	13.3%
Diagnostic Units / CEU	12	33.3%	41.7%	16.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Out-of-State Total	59	33.9%	44.1%	18.6%	22.0%	13.6%	15.3%
Residential Treatment Facility-OOS	10	60.0%	70.0%	30.0%	40.0%	30.0%	40.0%
Staff Secure - OOS	35	28.6%	40.0%	17.1%	20.0%	8.6%	8.6%
Hardware Secure - OOS	13	30.8%	38.5%	15.4%	15.4%	15.4%	15.4%
Independent Living - OOS	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State Operated Total	243	47.7%	60.5%	16.9%	23.0%	11.1%	14.0%
Backbone Mountain Youth Ctr.	63	39.7%	57.1%	14.3%	17.5%	7.9%	9.5%
Garrett Children's Center	16	81.3%	81.3%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	18.8%
Green Ridge - Mountain Quest	15	46.7%	60.0%	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	6.7%
Green Ridge Youth Center	34	64.7%	76.5%	26.5%	32.4%	26.5%	29.4%
J. DeWeese Carter Youth Center	17	41.2%	52.9%	11.8%	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Meadow Mountain Youth Center	49	40.8%	49.0%	22.4%	28.6%	12.2%	16.3%
Victor Cullen Center	49	44.9%	61.2%	10.2%	20.4%	8.2%	12.2%
Statewide Total	593	40.6%	52.4%	15.2%	21.1%	9.9%	13.2%

* Data previously reported under a separate category of ICFA were merged under Group Homes due to ICFA program closures and Silver Oak Academy is now reported under Group Homes due to program certification. Therefore, data for Group Homes are not comparable to previous DRGs prior to FY 2021.

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2020 releases at 1 and 2 years post-release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 40.6%, and within 2 years it was 52.4%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 15.2%, and within 2 years it was 21.1%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 9.9%, and within 2 years it was 13.2%.

Note: Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2015.

Overall Recidivism Rates for Youth with Probation Dispositions

6-, 12-, 24-, AND 36-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2019-2021 PROBATION YOUTH⁺

Follow-up Period	FY2019 (N=1,715)			FY2020 (N=1,179)			FY2021 (N=963)		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Incarceration*
6 Months	30.6%	12.2%	6.0%	28.3%	9.7%	4.0%	19.4%		
12 Months	42.9%	16.8%	8.7%	37.2%	13.8%	5.7%	30.9%		
24 Months	51.1%	21.3%	11.9%	45.5%	17.6%	8.7%			
36 Months	55.0%	23.7%	13.3%						

- Between FY 2019 and FY 2021, the 12-month rearrest rate decreased 12.0 percentage points.
- Between FY 2019 and FY 2020:
 - The 12-month reconviction rate decreased 3.0 percentage points.
 - The 12-month incarceration rate decreased 3.1 percentage points.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS FOR PROBATION YOUTH⁺, FY 2020

Demographics	FY2020						
	Total	Rearrest	Reconviction	Incarceration*			
Race/Ethnicity							
Black	762	313	41.1%	118	15.5%	51	6.7%
White	303	88	29.0%	30	9.9%	12	4.0%
Hispanic/Other	114	38	33.3%	15	13.2%	4	3.5%
Sex							
Male	961	376	39.1%	145	15.1%	62	6.5%
Female	218	63	28.9%	18	8.3%	5	2.3%
Age at Release							
11 and Under	8	4	50.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%
12	39	12	30.8%	4	10.3%	0	0.0%
13	85	40	47.1%	19	22.4%	7	8.2%
14	128	53	41.4%	24	18.8%	8	6.3%
15	229	89	38.9%	38	16.6%	12	5.2%
16	256	103	40.2%	39	15.2%	18	7.0%
17	305	108	35.4%	33	10.8%	17	5.6%
18 or older	129	30	23.3%	5	3.9%	4	3.1%
Total	1,179	439	37.2%	163	13.8%	67	5.7%

Note: The probation cohort includes youth placed on probation (not youth released from probation). Therefore, recidivism is measured starting at the disposition date and may include new offenses while under supervision or after supervision.

- Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all measures.
- Black youth had the highest rearrest, reconviction, and incarceration rates.
- Some age groups are comprised of a small number of youth. Therefore, the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

⁺Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2019. * Since the probation cohort includes youth who may not have been previously placed in a treatment program, "incarceration" reflects a subsequent commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.


12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR PROBATION YOUTH, BY REGION AND COUNTY*, FY 2019-2021

Region/ County	FY2019				FY2020				FY2021			
	Place- ments	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Incar- ceration*	Place- ments	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Incar- ceration*	Place- ments	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Incar- ceration*
Region 1	129	49.6%	13.2%	4.7%	90	34.4%	11.1%	5.6%	108	32.4%		
Dorchester	21	42.9%	14.3%	4.8%	16	37.5%	12.5%	6.2%	24	45.8%		
Somerset	25	72.0%	20.0%	4.0%	22	31.8%	4.5%	4.5%	13	46.2%		
Wicomico	54	55.6%	14.8%	7.4%	27	44.4%	22.2%	7.4%	53	24.5%		
Worcester	29	24.1%	3.4%	0.0%	25	24.0%	4.0%	4.0%	18	27.8%		
Region 2	67	31.3%	1.5%	1.5%	49	28.6%	4.1%	0.0%	40	27.5%		
Caroline	15	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7	14.3%		
Cecil	28	39.3%	3.6%	3.6%	24	20.8%	4.2%	0.0%	21	23.8%		
Kent	3	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Queen Anne's	11	27.3%	0.0%	0.0%	7	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	4	50.0%		
Talbot	10	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10	40.0%	10.0%	0.0%	8	37.5%		
Region 3	418	48.3%	18.2%	7.7%	273	41.4%	13.6%	5.1%	279	34.4%		
Baltimore Co.	342	49.1%	18.4%	7.3%	238	44.5%	14.7%	5.9%	236	36.4%		
Harford	76	44.7%	17.1%	9.2%	35	20.0%	5.7%	0.0%	43	23.3%		
Region 4	102	39.2%	16.7%	7.8%	77	45.5%	23.4%	7.8%	50	36.0%		
Allegany	46	41.3%	17.4%	10.9%	47	48.9%	31.9%	10.6%	35	45.7%		
Garrett	13	23.1%	7.7%	7.7%	10	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7	0.0%		
Washington	43	41.9%	18.6%	4.7%	20	45.0%	15.0%	5.0%	8	25.0%		
Region 5	291	43.0%	17.2%	10.7%	211	43.1%	15.2%	4.3%	120	31.7%		
Anne Arundel	202	41.6%	16.8%	10.4%	167	39.5%	14.4%	3.6%	89	33.7%		
Carroll	34	29.4%	14.7%	8.8%	12	50.0%	8.3%	8.3%	4	0.0%		
Howard	55	56.4%	20.0%	12.7%	32	59.4%	21.9%	6.2%	27	29.6%		
Region 6	257	40.5%	21.0%	11.3%	192	24.5%	10.4%	4.2%	144	18.1%		
Frederick	73	35.6%	16.4%	5.5%	57	19.3%	3.5%	0.0%	36	8.3%		
Montgomery	184	42.4%	22.8%	13.6%	135	26.7%	13.3%	5.9%	108	21.3%		
Region 7	295	31.9%	11.5%	6.1%	175	30.9%	10.9%	6.3%	122	26.2%		
Calvert	31	32.3%	6.5%	0.0%	19	31.6%	5.3%	5.3%	8	12.5%		
Charles	66	28.8%	12.1%	4.5%	41	31.7%	9.8%	2.4%	24	29.2%		
Prince George's	167	35.3%	13.2%	8.4%	92	31.5%	13.0%	7.6%	76	22.4%		
St. Mary's	31	19.4%	6.5%	3.2%	23	26.1%	8.7%	8.7%	14	50.0%		
Region 8	156	55.1%	25.0%	16.0%	112	48.2%	22.3%	12.5%	100	42.0%		
Baltimore City	156	55.1%	25.0%	16.0%	112	48.2%	22.3%	12.5%	100	42.0%		
Statewide	1,715	42.9%	16.8%	8.7%	1,179	37.2%	13.8%	5.7%	963	30.9%		

- When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of placements. Some counties have a small number of placements; therefore, if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

*Due to methodological changes, data are not comparable to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2019. * Since the probation cohort includes youth who may not have been previously placed in a treatment program, "incarceration" reflects a subsequent commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.


PROBATION YOUTH BY RISK LEVEL¹, FY 2016-2020[^]



¹ Percentages for each FY may not add to 100% due to cases with missing or incomplete risk assessments. The Very High category was added in FY 2020 after the MCASP Risk & Needs Assessment was validated. All pre- and post-validation risk categories are not directly comparable due to changes in cut-points.

- The proportion of high risk probation cases has increased 7.2 percentage points between FY 2016 and FY 2019. This is important to note as assessed risk predicts recidivism, meaning high risk youth tend to recidivate at higher rates.


12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY RISK LEVEL¹ FOR PROBATION YOUTH⁺, FY 2020[^]



¹ The Very High category was added in FY 2020 after the MCASP Risk & Needs Assessment was validated. All pre- and post-validation risk categories are not directly comparable due to changes in cut-points.

- Youth with low risk levels on the post-validation MCASP had the lowest recidivism rates for all three measures.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR PROBATION YOUTH⁺, FY 2016-2020



- FY 2020 had the lowest rates for all three measures of recidivism rates.

⁺ Due to methodological changes, recidivism data are not comparable to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2019. [^] Risk-level data are not comparable to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2020 due to the MCASP matching methodology change. * Since the probation cohort includes youth who may not have been previously placed in a treatment program, "incarceration" reflects a subsequent commitment to an out-of-home placement or incarceration in the adult system.

Appendices



Youth at the Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School learned about landscaping from a resident advisor and a recreation specialist. They used those newly acquired skills to create picturesque and inviting areas around the facility.

Appendix A

COMMUNITY SERVICES STAFFING DISTRIBUTION AS OF JUNE 30, 2022

	Facilities (By Region)	CMS ⁺		Mgmt ⁺	Admn	RA/CD ⁺		Res. Spec ⁺		Services ⁺	Othr ⁺	Total
		Spec	Sup			Offr	Sup	Spec	Sup			
Region 1	Cambridge Office	3	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	6
	LESCC - CD	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Ocean City Office*	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Princess Anne Office	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	7
	Salisbury Office	6	2	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	12
	Snow Hill Office	2	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	8
Region 2	Centreville Office	2	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Denton Office	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Easton Office	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Elkton Office	3	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	8
Region 3	Bel Air Office	6	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	Catonsville Office	13	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	17
	Essex Office	15	3	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	24
Region 4	Allegany Co Office	5	2	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	11
	Hagerstown Office	7	2	1	4	2	0	2	0	1	1	20
	Oakland Office	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Region 5	Annapolis Office	12	4	3	4	2	1	0	1	2	1	30
	Ellicott City Office	10	4	1	2	8	1	0	0	1	0	27
	Glen Burnie Office	7	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	11
	Westminster Office	3	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	9
Reg. 6	Frederick Office	4	2	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	11
	Rockville Office	23	6	1	4	2	0	3	0	0	0	39
Region 7	Landover Office	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	LaPlata Office	4	4	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	11
	Largo Office	13	6	2	6	5	0	0	1	1	1	35
	Leonardtown Office	6	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	Prince Frederick Office	3	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6
	Upper Marlboro Office	11	1	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	19
Region 8	BCJJC - CD	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Evening Reporting Center Office	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Gay Street Office	31	10	2	8	2	0	3	1	0	1	58
	Hilton St. Office	5	4	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	MYRC - CD	3	0	0	3	35	6	0	0	0	0	47
	Plaza Office	3	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	8
	Total Filled	213	68	23	65	75	11	11	4	10	4	484
	Total Vacancies	30	2	0	5	9	0	1	0	2	0	49

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

* Ocean City Office is a seasonal office staffed by contractual employees.

+ "CMS": Case Management Specialist (includes pre-adjudication CMS); "Mgmt" includes management and Assistant Area Directors; "RA/CD": Resident Advisor/Community Detention Officer; "Res. Spec.": Resource Specialist; "Services" includes substance abuse and behavioral health; "Othr" includes recreation and program specialists.

Appendix B

DETENTION CENTER STAFFING¹ (FILLED POSITIONS) AS OF JUNE 30, 2022

FACILITY (By Region)	Res Advisor		GLM*	CMS*	Services ⁺	Admin	Facil*	Dietary	Nurse	Mgmt	Rec/ Resour*	Total
	Advis	Sup										
Region 1												
LESCC	30	4	4	1	2	2	2	5	5	1	0	56
Region 3												
Hickey School**	104	8	5	8	4	8	10	12	8	5	7	179
Region 4												
WMCC	25	4	2	2	3	1	2	5	5	1	1	51
Region 6												
Noyes Children's Ctr.	32	6	3	5	4	2	2	2	6	3	2	67
Region 7												
Cheltenham**	56	9	5	10	3	2	5	9	9	3	2	113
Region 8												
BCJJC**	100	9	10	9	7	6	20	14	7	4	2	188
Total Filled	347	40	29	35	23	21	41	47	40	17	14	654
Total Vacancies	51	5	12	6	3	1	2	11	15	4	1	111

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

¹ Since the full transition of JSES from MSDE to DJS happened July 1, 2022, MSDE education positions are not included for FY 2022. Those positions will be included in the FY 2023 data.

*"GLM": Group Life Manager; "CMS": Case Management Specialists; "Facil": Facility Maintenance at all facilities and at BCJJC it represents Facility Maintenance, Building Security Officers and Police Officer Trainee. "Rec/Resour" includes recreation, Resource Specialist, & Resource Specialist Supv.

⁺ "Services" include substance abuse and behavioral health staff.

**Direct clinical services are provided by contracted vendors.

Appendix C

STATE-OPERATED TREATMENT PROGRAM STAFFING¹ (FILLED POSITIONS) AS OF JUNE 30, 2022

FACILITY (By Region)	Res Advisor		GLM [*]	CMS [*]	Services ⁺	Admin	Facil [*]	Dietary	Nurse	Mgmt	Rec [*]	Total
	Advis	Sup										
Region 4												
Backbone Mt. Yth Ctr	33	6	6	6	5	2	2	5	0	2	2	69
Garrett Children's Ctr ²	29	3	4	4	1	1	2	4	0	1	1	50
Green Ridge Yth Ctr [^]	40	2	5	6	3	0	2	6	0	2	1	67
Yth Ctrs Central Office	1	0	0	1	0	4	6	1	0	1	1	15
Region 6												
Victor Cullen Ctr.	37	8	2	4	2	4	8	5	4	3	2	79
Total Filled	140	19	17	21	11	11	20	21	4	9	7	280
Total Vacancies	21	0	3	1	5	2	0	2	4	1	1	40

Note: Part-time employee positions are represented as one position. Vacancies represent permanent positions only.

¹ Since the full transition of JSES from MSDE to DJS happened July 1, 2022, MSDE education positions are not included for FY 2022. Those positions will be included in the FY 2023 data.

² Positions were reassigned due to the facility's temporary closure.

[^] Includes Green Ridge Mountain Quest

*"GLM": Group Life Manager; "CMS": Case Management Specialist; "Facil": Facility Maintenance; "Rec": recreation staff.

⁺ "Services" includes substance abuse and behavioral health staff.

Appendix D

STATE-OPERATED FACILITY EXPENDITURES, FY 2022*

	General Admin.	Mainten.	Somatic Health	Direct Care	Nutrition Services	Mental Health Services	Recreation	Grand Total
Alfred D. Noyes Children's Ctr.	\$824,082	\$442,462	\$828,753	\$4,710,749	\$288,455	\$725,987	\$94,217	\$7,914,705
Backbone Mt. Youth Center	\$11,977	\$838,312	\$849,230	\$5,389,689	\$645,096	\$528,678	\$178,933	\$8,441,915
Balt.City Juv. Justice Center	\$1,573,568	\$3,039,867	\$1,659,190	\$12,659,166	\$1,793,959	\$1,813,935	\$285,108	\$22,824,793
Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School	\$756,677	\$2,303,144	\$1,438,632	\$11,729,338	\$1,363,292	\$1,506,173	\$384,888	\$19,482,144
Cheltenham Yth Detention Ctr.	\$1,041,681	\$2,447,164	\$1,825,072	\$8,511,667	\$879,285	\$1,523,021	\$87,210	\$16,315,100
Green Ridge Youth Ctr. & Mt. Quest	\$263,730	\$688,357	\$856,054	\$4,581,859	\$606,879	\$482,980	\$43,162	\$7,523,021
Lower Eastern Shore Children's Ctr.	\$446,120	\$592,819	\$1,063,658	\$4,400,811	\$567,296	\$259,062	\$58,173	\$7,387,939
Mountain View	\$5,387	\$151,986	\$95,625	\$1,410,917	\$35,185	\$80,633	\$127,155	\$1,906,888
Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Ctr.	\$1,271,068	\$316,387	\$1,013,784	\$3,019,089	\$367,875	\$437,617	\$19,762	\$6,445,582
Victor Cullen Center	\$722,169	\$1,693,920	\$967,289	\$4,537,948	\$571,971	\$457,978	\$187,315	\$9,138,590
Western MD Children's Ctr.	\$403,573	\$624,030	\$880,124	\$3,346,811	\$620,115	\$370,838	\$4,172	\$6,249,663
Grand Total**	\$7,320,032	\$13,138,448	\$11,477,411	\$64,298,044	\$7,739,408	\$8,186,902	\$1,470,095	\$113,630,340

*The category of "education" is not included in the data. Previously reported education costs reflected the amounts collected from Local Education Agencies and transferred to MSDE. In FY 2023, DJS expenditure data will include the full cost of the education program.

**Garrett Children's Center is not included due to its closure throughout FY 2022.

Appendix E

STATE-OPERATED FACILITY PER DIEM RATES AND AVERAGE ANNUAL COSTS[^], FY 2022

	Total Expenditures	Capacity	Per Diem Cost Per Bed
Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center	\$7,914,705	29	\$747.73
Backbone Mt. Youth Center*	\$8,441,915	18	\$1,284.92
Balt. City Juvenile Justice Center	\$22,824,793	120	\$521.11
Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School	\$19,482,144	72	\$741.33
Cheltenham Youth Detention Ctr.	\$16,315,100	72	\$620.82
Green Ridge Yth. Ctr. & Mt. Quest	\$7,523,021	24	\$858.79
Lower Eastern Shore Child. Center	\$7,387,939	24	\$843.37
Mountain View*	\$1,906,888	6	\$870.73
Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Ctr. ¹	\$6,445,582	42	\$628.96
Victor Cullen Center*	\$9,138,590	18	\$1,390.96
Western MD Children's Center	\$6,249,663	24	\$713.43

[^]Since the FY 2019 DRG, the method used to calculate daily costs for facility operations has used the capacity listed in the table above: Total annual expenditures (not including education costs budgeted to MSDE)/365 days (non-leap year)/Number of beds.

*Capacity notes: Backbone MountainYC capacity was reduced from 24 to 18 on 8/20/2021 and increased to 21 on 5/23/2022; Green RidgeYC capacity increased from 18 to 21 on 5/23/2022; Victor Cullen Center capacity decreased from 48 to 18 on 8/20/2021. Mountain View operated with a capacity of 6 through 12/22/21 when it changed to a COVID-19 quarantine unit. Garrett Children's Center remained temporarily closed throughout FY 2022.

¹Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center closed on 3/2/2022 and capacity of 42 was used for FY 2022 since it was used for the majority of the fiscal year. Calculations for per diem cost per bed used 244 operational days instead of 365.

TREATMENT PROGRAM PER DIEM RATES, FY 2022

	Program Type	FY22 Per Diem Range*, **	Avg. FY22 Per Diem Rate***
In-State	Treatment Foster Care	\$126.50 - \$270.47	\$169.69
	Group Home	\$198.62 - \$347.41	\$268.76
	Therapeutic Group Home	\$310.90 - \$581.91	\$409.28
	Independent Living	\$110.62 - \$151.58	\$133.57
	Alternative Living [^]	\$342.99	\$342.99
	Residential Treatment Center	\$505.30 - \$595.00	\$549.99
	Diagnostic Unit/CEU [^]	\$340.67 - \$495.71	\$418.19
Out-of-State	Residential Treatment Center	\$209.45 - \$618.00	\$442.43
	Staff Secure	\$180.13 - \$431.83	\$405.67
	Hardware Secure	\$384.60	\$384.60

**Per Diem Rates* are presented for privately-operated programs that DJS reimburses for service using a single rate. Rates are not presented for programs that are funded in whole or in part by other sources such as private health care insurance or Medicaid. Psychiatric Hospitals are excluded as a class for this reason, and rates for in-state Residential Treatment Centers are based on 4 of 5 programs utilized by DJS during FY 2022. Several programs had major rate changes during FY 2022. For these programs, the average rate for the year was used to calculate the cost of the programs.

**Educational costs are not included in the per diem rates. Educational costs may vary within a program depending on the combination of services provided (e.g., regular education versus special education) and the educational needs of youth placed in the program.

***The average per diem rate should not be used to calculate the total cost by program type given the range of per diem rates. The average per diem rate does not take into account individual program utilization; the total cost may vary substantially based on the number of youth who actually participate in each program.

[^]Alternate Living and Diagnostic Unit rates are included, though they had no admissions in FY 2022.

Appendix F

DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2017, PAGE 1

Detention Risk Assessment Instrument

Youth Name: _____
 Date of Birth: _____
 Gender: _____
 Worker Name: _____
 Date DRAI Completed: _____

ASSIST ID: _____
 Race: _____
 Complaint/Police Report ID: _____
 Complaint/Police Report Date: _____
 Date of Decision: _____

Detention Risk Scoring	Pts
County of Jurisdiction*: _____	
Police Requested Detention:* <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
Completed by Centralized Intake:* <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
1. Charges Pending Adjudication (excluding charges pending at Intake) <input type="radio"/> Two or more prior charges pending adjudication for Category I or II Offenses (8 pts) <input type="radio"/> One or more prior charge pending adjudication for a Category III, IV, or V Offense (4 pts) <input type="radio"/> One prior charge pending adjudication for a Category I or II Offense (2 pts) <input type="radio"/> No prior charges pending adjudication (0 pts)	
2. Prior Sustained Adjudications/Current Supervision <input type="radio"/> One prior sustained adjudication for a Category III or IV Offense OR Probation Supervision (5 pts) <input type="radio"/> One or more prior sustained adjudication for a Category V Offense OR Pre-Court or ATD Supervision (3 pts) <input type="radio"/> One or more prior sustained adjudication for a Category I or II Offense OR Intensive Supervision (1 pt) <input type="radio"/> Two or more prior sustained adjudications for Category III or IV Offenses OR Aftercare Supervision (1 pt) <input type="radio"/> No prior sustained adjudications AND no current supervision (0 pts)	
3. History of Failure to Appear for a Court Hearing (within past 12 months) <input type="radio"/> One or more FTA for court hearing in past 12 months (5 pts) <input type="radio"/> No FTA for court hearing in past 12 months (0 pts)	
4. History of Escape/AWOL (within past 12 months) <input type="radio"/> One or more instances of escape/AWOL in past 12 months (4 pts) <input type="radio"/> No escapes/AWOLs in past 12 months (0 pts)	
5. Prior Detentions (within past 6 months) <input type="radio"/> One or more prior detentions in past 6 months (2 pts) <input type="radio"/> No prior detentions in past 6 months (0 pts)	
6. Age at First Felony Complaint <input type="radio"/> 16 or under (4 pts) <input type="radio"/> Over 16 or no prior felony complaint (0 pts)	

* Response required

Appendix F (cont.)

DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2017, PAGE 2

DRAI Rationales	
New Complaint:*	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Most Serious New Alleged Offense: _____	
Complaint #: _____	
Offense Category (Cat. 1=DJS Overnight Hold): _____	
Offense Type: _____	
Detention Eligibility: _____	
Court-Required and DJS Overnight Holds (Check ALL that apply) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Category 1 Offense <input type="checkbox"/> ATD Violation (Court Order Requires Detention at First Infraction) <input type="checkbox"/> Non-ATD GPS Violation (Court Order Requires Detention at First Infraction) <input type="checkbox"/> Writ <input type="checkbox"/> Warrant <input type="checkbox"/> Detention Required by Adult Court Post Transfer Hearing Pending Juvenile Charging <input type="checkbox"/> Court-Ordered Detention at Hearing (include Committed Youth Held for Court Hearing) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Interstate Hold <input type="checkbox"/> Firearm Use/Possession <input type="checkbox"/> Escape – Secure Facility <input type="checkbox"/> Removed – Committed Placement 	
Discretionary Factors Impacting Decision (Check ALL that apply) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Court Post Transfer Hearing Pending Juvenile Charging. Eligible for Release. <input type="checkbox"/> Firearm Use/Possession Approved by Intake Director for Non-Detention Decision <input type="checkbox"/> Violation of Probation/Violation of Commitment <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-Adjudicated ATD Violation <input type="checkbox"/> Post-Disposition ATD Violation <input type="checkbox"/> Writ/Warrant Authorization for Release 	

Finalize Rationale

Print Provisional DRAI

DRAI Risk Level: _____ DRAI Risk Score: _____

Low Risk: 0-7 points | Moderate Risk: 8-12 points | High Risk: 13+ points

DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2017, PAGE 3

Decisions
Actual Decision: <input type="radio"/> Detain <input type="radio"/> Detention Alternative <input type="radio"/> Release to: _____
Please check ALL aggravating and mitigating factors below that impact your decision.
Aggravating Factors Impacting Decision <input type="checkbox"/> Parent refusal <input type="checkbox"/> Parent unavailable <input type="checkbox"/> Youth has a history of violence in the home or victim resides in the home <input type="checkbox"/> ATD Refusal (specify name of ATD(s)): <input type="checkbox"/> Shelter Refusal (specify name of shelter(s)): <input type="checkbox"/> Shelter unavailable <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify, required): Notes: _____
Mitigating Factors Impacting Decision <input type="checkbox"/> Age of youth <input type="checkbox"/> Parent willing/able to provide supervision <input type="checkbox"/> Category I offense is less serious than indicated by charge (please specify, required): <input type="checkbox"/> New charge referred is not recent <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify, required): Notes: _____
Supervisor Approval <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No _____

DRAI Risk Level: _____ DRAI Risk Score: _____

Low Risk: 0-7 points | Moderate Risk: 8-12 points | High Risk: 13+ points

Appendix F (cont.)

DETENTION RISK ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT (DRAI) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2017, PAGE 4

Intake Decision Follow-Up	
Current Detention Status (prior to Court Action) <input type="radio"/> Detained <input type="radio"/> Detention Alternative: <input type="radio"/> CD <input type="radio"/> Shelter <input type="radio"/> DRAP <input type="radio"/> ERC <input type="radio"/> Other: _____ <input type="radio"/> Released	
DJS Recommendation to Court <input type="radio"/> Detained <input type="radio"/> Detention Alternative: <input type="radio"/> CD <input type="radio"/> Shelter <input type="radio"/> DRAP <input type="radio"/> ERC <input type="radio"/> Other: _____ <input type="radio"/> Released to: <input type="radio"/> Parent <input type="radio"/> Sibling <input type="radio"/> Grandparent <input type="radio"/> Aunt/Uncle <input type="radio"/> Other: _____	
Court Detention Decision <input type="radio"/> Detained <input type="radio"/> Detention Alternative: <input type="radio"/> CD <input type="radio"/> Shelter <input type="radio"/> DRAP <input type="radio"/> ERC <input type="radio"/> Other: _____ <input type="radio"/> Released to: <input type="radio"/> Parent <input type="radio"/> Sibling <input type="radio"/> Grandparent <input type="radio"/> Aunt/Uncle <input type="radio"/> Other: _____	
Special Circumstances Affecting Detention Decision: Notes: _____	

Appendix G

ACCOUNTABILITY INCENTIVES MANAGEMENT (AIM)

AIM grids are designed to structure case manager decision making when responding to positive or negative behaviors of supervised youth. The Graduated Responses grid determines appropriate responses based on the level of infraction and youth supervision. Responses range from a verbal warning at the lowest level, to requesting a formal violation at the highest. This structured process supports DJS' efforts to reward positive behaviors, while objectively identifying and sanctioning violations, which reduces inequalities and increases successful completion rates.

GRADUATED RESPONSES - COMMUNITY SUPERVISION CASES

	Low Supervision	Moderate Supervision	High/Intensive Supervision
Minor Infraction*	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Verbal Warning – Make up Missed Appointments 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Verbal Warning – Make up Missed Appointments – Written Essay or Oral Report – Assigned Reading or Video Viewing – Community Service (up to 4 hours) 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Verbal Warning – Youth & Parent Meeting w/in 1 day – Make up Missed Appointments – Written Essay or Oral Report – Assigned Reading or Video Viewing – Community Service (up to 10 hours) – Restrict Activity (Short-Term) – Modify Curfew (Extended-Term)
Moderate Infraction**	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Verbal Warning – Youth & Parent Meeting w/in 3 days – Community Service (up to 4 hours) 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Verbal Warning – Youth & Parent Meeting w/in 1 day – Community Service (up to 8 hours) – Written Essay or Oral Report – Assigned Reading or Video Viewing – Restrict Activity (Short-Term) – Modify Curfew (Short-Term) – Increase Supervision Contacts 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Administrative Meeting with Youth, Family, Supervisor w/in 1 day – Make up Missed Appointments – Written Essay/Oral Report – Assigned Reading or Video Viewing – Community Service (up to 12 hours) – Restrict Activity (Short-Term) – Modify Curfew (Extended-Term) – Increase Supervision Contacts – Increase drug screenings (if applicable) – GPS for 30 Days (Intensive Level ONLY) – File VOP
Serious Infraction***	Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Youth & Parent Meeting w/in 1 day – Community Service (up to 6 hours) – Modify Curfew (Short-Term) – Increase Supervision Level to Moderate 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Administrative Meeting with Youth, Family, Supervisor w/in 2 days – Make up Missed Appointments – Written Essay or Oral Report – Assigned Reading or Video Viewing – Community Service (up to 10 hours) – Restrict Activity (Extended-Term) – Modify Curfew (Extended-Term) – Increase Supervision Contacts – Increase drug screenings (if applicable) – Increase Supervision Level to High 	Case Manager Response Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Administrative Meeting with Youth, Family, Supervisor w/in 1 day – Make up Missed Appointments – Written Essay/Oral Report – Assigned Reading or Video Viewing – Community Service (up to 14 hours) – Restrict Activity (Short-Term) – Modify Curfew (Extended-Term) – Increase Supervision Contacts – Increase drug screenings (if applicable) – GPS for 30 Days (Intensive Level ONLY) – File VOP

* Programs/Interventions for minor infractions: low supervision options include Community Conferencing, Skill-Building Program, Victim Awareness, Individual Counseling, Family Counseling, Youth Mentoring Services; for moderate supervision, additional options include Evening Reporting Center (if available) and Community-Based Advocacy; for high/intensive supervision, Evidence-Based Programs are available in addition to the options for low/moderate supervision.

** Programs/Interventions for moderate infractions: low supervision options include Community Conferencing, Skill-Building Program, Victim Awareness, Individual Counseling, Family Counseling, Youth Mentoring Services, Outpatient Treatment (if applicable); for moderate supervision, additional options include Evening Reporting Center (if available), Evidence-Based Program Services, and Family Services; for high/intensive supervision, Care Management Entities are available in addition to the options for low/moderate supervision.

*** Programs/Interventions for serious infractions: low supervision options include Community Conferencing, Skill-Building Program, Crisis Intervention Services, Victim Awareness, Individual Counseling, Family Counseling, Youth Mentoring Services, Outpatient Treatment (if applicable), and Youth Advocacy Program Services; for moderate supervision, additional options include Evidence-Based Program Services and Crisis Intervention Services; for high/intensive supervision, Care Management Entities are available in addition to the options for low/moderate supervision.

Appendix G (cont.)

ACCOUNTABILITY INCENTIVES MANAGEMENT (AIM)

DJS GRID OF INCENTIVIZED* BEHAVIORS - COMMUNITY SUPERVISION CASES

	Achieve/Sustain Behavior 0-30 days	Achieve/Sustain Behavior 31 – 90 days (1-3 mos.)	Achieve/Sustain Behavior 91-180 days (4-6 mos.)	Achieve/Sustain Behavior 181 to Indefinite (>6 months)
COMPLIANCE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enrolls in an academic or vocational program - Improves school attendance - Attends court-ordered cognitive programs/treatment services - Keeps office appointments with reminders - Abides by curfew - Improves behavior in school - Improves grades in school - Abides by set family/house rules - Locates and/or begins court-ordered community service - Begins paying restitution - No incidents of whereabouts unknown - Notifies CMS of change in contact information - No suspensions/expulsions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enrolls in an academic or vocational program - Improves school attendance - Attends and/or completes court-ordered cognitive programs/treatment services - Keeps office appointments without reminders - Abides by curfew - Improves behavior in school - Improves grades in school - Abides by set family/house rules - Clean urine screen/drug free - Completes at least 50% of court-ordered community service - Makes consistent payments and/or pays off restitution - No incidents of whereabouts unknown - Notifies CMS of change in contact information - No suspensions/expulsions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enrolls in an academic or vocational program - Attends school and all classes as scheduled - Attends and/or completes court-ordered cognitive programs/treatment services - Keeps office appointments without reminders - Abides by curfew - Improves behavior in school - Maintains grade improvements - Abides by set family/house rules - Clean urine screen/drug free - Completes at least 75% of court-ordered community service - Makes consistent payments and/or pays off restitution - No incidents of whereabouts unknown - Notifies CMS of change in contact information - No suspensions/expulsions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enrolls in an academic or vocational program - Attends school and all classes as scheduled - Attends and/or completes court-ordered cognitive programs/treatment services - Keeps office appointments without reminders - Abides by curfew - Improves behavior in school - Maintains grade improvements - Abides by set family/house rules - Clean urine screen/drug free - Completes 100% of court-ordered community service - Makes consistent payments and/or pays off restitution - No incidents of whereabouts unknown - Notifies CMS of change in contact information - No suspensions/expulsions
PROSOCIAL INVOLVEMENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Obtains/Retains Employment - Receives promotion in grade level or employment - Joins/Participates in at least one extra-curricular activity (school/community) - Participates in additional services - Detaches from negative peer groups - Establishes at least one personal goal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Obtains/Retains Employment - Receives promotion in grade level or employment - Joins/Participates in at least one extra-curricular activity (school or community) - Participates in additional services - Remains detached from negative peer groups - Makes progress toward or completes at least one personal goal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Obtains/Retains Employment - Receives promotion in grade level or employment - Joins/Participates in at least one extra-curricular activity (school or community) - Participates in additional services - Remains detached from negative peer groups - Makes progress toward or completes at least one personal goal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Obtains/Retains Employment - Receives promotion in grade level or employment - Joins/Participates in at least one extra-curricular activity (school or community) - Participates in additional services - Remains detached from negative peer groups - Completes at least one personal goal
SELF-ADVOCACY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Begins to acknowledge and/or articulate needs - Seeks help - Manages time well for at least one important task - Handles a difficult situation well - Takes initiative to meet at least one probation condition 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Begins to acknowledge and/or articulate needs - Seeks help - Manages time well for at least one important task - Handles a difficult situation well - Takes initiative to meet at least one probation condition 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Begins to acknowledge and/or articulate needs - Seeks help - Manages time well for at least one important task - Handles a difficult situation well - Takes initiative to meet at least one probation condition 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Begins to acknowledge and/or articulate needs - Seeks help - Manages time well for at least one important task - Handles a difficult situation well - Takes initiative to meet at least one probation condition

*The incentives listed below are not a comprehensive list and are only representative of the types of incentives offered, the availability of which varies by region:

Incentives for minor achievements/behaviors completed or sustained:

- Within 0 - 30 days, incentives range from verbal praise to a positive letter sent home on their behalf;
- Within 31 - 90 days, incentives range from verbal praise to invitation to a monthly Recognition Ceremony;
- 91 days or greater, incentives range from verbal praise to being given additional school supplies.

Incentives for modest achievements/behaviors completed or sustained:

- Within 0 - 30 days, incentives range from being given school supplies to being provided with additional personal hygiene supplies;
- Within 31 - 90 days, incentives range from being offered school supplies to being given formal Court recognition;
- 91 - 180 days, incentives range from being given books/magazines to being provided a job shadowing opportunity;
- 181 days or greater, incentives range from being given books/magazines to being given college/university gear.

Incentives for major achievements/behaviors completed or sustained:

- Within 0 - 30 days, incentives are meal coupons or meals for the youth's family;
- Within 31 - 90 days, incentives range from being given recreation center passes to participation in field trips;
- 91 - 180 days, incentives range from being given tickets to sporting events to having their case formally petitioned for closure;
- 181 days or greater, incentives range from being given movie tickets to being offered the opportunity to have lunch with their case manager and judge.

Appendix H

INTAKE DECISION TOOL (IDT) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2020, PAGE 1

Intake Decision Tool (IDT)

MCASP Intake Risk Assessment (Revised)

Caseworker: _____

Date: _____

Youth Name: _____ PID: _____

Folder #: _____

IDT Item	Responses/Scoring
Part I. Delinquency History (Auto-populated)	
1. Age at first offense: The age at the time of the offense for which the youth was referred to DJS for the first time on a misdemeanor or felony.	<input type="radio"/> 17 and over (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> 16 and under (3 pts)
2. Misdemeanor referrals: Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a misdemeanor.	<input type="radio"/> One or fewer (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> Two (1 pt) <input type="radio"/> Three or more (2 pts)
3. Felony referrals: Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a felony.	<input type="radio"/> None (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> One (1 pt) <input type="radio"/> Two or more (2 pts)
4. Firearms referrals*: Total number of referrals for the possession or use of a firearm.	<input type="radio"/> None <input type="radio"/> One or more
5. Person-to-person misdemeanor referrals*: Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a person-to-person misdemeanor.	<input type="radio"/> None <input type="radio"/> One <input type="radio"/> Two or more
6. Person-to-person felony referrals*: Total number of referrals in which the most serious offense was a person-to-person felony.	<input type="radio"/> None <input type="radio"/> One or two <input type="radio"/> Three or more
7. Detention: Number of times a youth served at least one day confined in detention under a detention order.	<input type="radio"/> None (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> One or more (1 pt)
8. Placement*: Number of times a youth served at least one day in placement under commitment to DJS (including pending placement in a detention facility).	<input type="radio"/> None <input type="radio"/> One <input type="radio"/> Two or more
9. Escapes: Total number of referrals for escape.	<input type="radio"/> None (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> One or more (5 pts)
10. Failure to appear in court warrants*: Total number of failures-to-appear in court that resulted in a warrant being issued. Exclude failure-to-appear warrants for non-delinquent matters.	<input type="radio"/> None <input type="radio"/> One <input type="radio"/> Two or more

* Not scored

Appendix H (cont.)

INTAKE DECISION TOOL (IDT) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2020, PAGE 2

IDT Item	Responses/Scoring
Part II. Social History	
School/Education	
1. Youth's <u>current</u> school enrollment status, regardless of attendance.* If the youth is in home school as a result of being expelled or dropping out, check <i>Expelled</i> or <i>Dropped out</i> ; otherwise check <i>Enrolled full-time</i> or <i>Enrolled part-time</i> .	<input type="radio"/> Graduated/ GED <input type="radio"/> Enrolled full-time <input type="radio"/> Enrolled part-time <input type="radio"/> Suspended <input type="radio"/> Dropped out <input type="radio"/> Expelled <input type="radio"/> Unknown
2. Youth's attendance in the most recent school term.* <i>Partial-day absence</i> means missing less than half the school day. <i>Full-day absence</i> means missing all or most of the school day.	<input type="radio"/> Not applicable (includes home schooled) <input type="radio"/> Good attendance; few excused absences <input type="radio"/> No unexcused absences <input type="radio"/> Some partial-day unexcused absences <input type="radio"/> Some full-day unexcused absences <input type="radio"/> Chronic full-day unexcused absences or youth is currently dropped out <input type="radio"/> Unknown
3. Youth's academic performance in the most recent school term.	<input type="radio"/> Not applicable (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> Above 3.5 (mostly As) (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> Above 3.0 (mostly As and Bs) (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> 2.0 to 3.0 (mostly Bs and Cs, no Es/Fs) (1 pt) <input type="radio"/> to 2.0 (mostly Cs and Ds, some Es/Fs) (1 pt) <input type="radio"/> Below 1.0 (some Ds, mostly Es/Fs) (2 pts) <input type="radio"/> Unknown (not scored)
4. Youth is/was a special education student or has had a formal diagnosis of a special education need (in any grade).* Check all that apply. If the specific type of special education need is not known, check <i>Other/ Unspecified</i> .	<input type="checkbox"/> No special education need <input type="checkbox"/> Learning <input type="checkbox"/> Intellectual disability <input type="checkbox"/> Behavioral <input type="checkbox"/> ADHD/ADD <input type="checkbox"/> Other/unspecified <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
5. Youth's school conduct, most recent school term.* Check all that apply. <i>Conduct problems</i> include things like fighting or threatening students/ teachers; overly disruptive behavior; drug/alcohol use; delinquent acts (e.g., theft, vandalism); lying; cheating.	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable (includes home schooled) <input type="checkbox"/> Recognition of good school behavior <input type="checkbox"/> No school conduct problems <input type="checkbox"/> Conduct problems reported by teachers <input type="checkbox"/> Disciplinary referrals <input type="checkbox"/> In-school suspension(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Out-of-school suspension(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
Use of Free Time	
6. Current interest and involvement in <u>structured</u> recreational activities.* Youth regularly participates in structured, supervised pro-social community-based activity, such as church, community, or cultural group, or an organized music, art, sports, or volunteer activity.	<input type="radio"/> Involved in 2 or more activities <input type="radio"/> Involved in 1 activity <input type="radio"/> Interested but not involved <input type="radio"/> Not interested in any structured activities <input type="radio"/> Unknown

* Not scored

INTAKE DECISION TOOL (IDT) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2020, PAGE 3

IDT Item	Responses/Scoring
7. Current interest and involvement in pro-social <u>unstructured</u> recreational activities.* Youth regularly engages in unstructured activities that positively occupy his or her time, such as reading, hobbies, unsupervised sports, etc.	<input type="radio"/> Involved in 2 or more activities <input type="radio"/> Involved in 1 activity <input type="radio"/> Interested but not involved <input type="radio"/> Not interested in any unstructured activities <input type="radio"/> Unknown
Employment	
8. Current interest in employment.*	<input type="radio"/> Currently employed <input type="radio"/> Not employed but highly interested in employment <input type="radio"/> Not employed but somewhat interested <input type="radio"/> Not employed and not interested in employment <input type="radio"/> Too young for employment consideration (under 14 years old) <input type="radio"/> Unknown
Relationships in the Community	
9. Current positive relationships with adults (other than family).* Adults who provide support and model pro-social behavior (such as a religious or youth leader, coach, neighbor, mentor, facility staff, etc.)	<input type="radio"/> No positive relationships <input type="radio"/> 1 positive relationship <input type="radio"/> 2 positive relationships <input type="radio"/> 3 or more positive relationships <input type="radio"/> Unknown
10. Current friends/ companions with whom youth actually spends time, last 3 months. Check all that apply. <i>Negative friends:</i> Friends who engage in delinquent behavior, belong to gangs, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> Positive friends (0pts) <input type="checkbox"/> No consistent friends or companions (1 pt) <input type="checkbox"/> Negative friends (1 pt) <input type="checkbox"/> Gang members/ associates (2 pts) <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown (not scored)
Family	
11. Number of out-of-home and shelter care placements lasting more than 30 days (youth's lifetime).* Include both court-ordered placements AND voluntary placements that resulted from CPS investigation of the home. Exclude DJS detentions and commitments.	<input type="radio"/> No placements ever <input type="radio"/> 1 or more placements <input type="radio"/> Unknown
12. Number of times youth has either run away or gotten kicked out of home (youth's lifetime).* Include <u>all</u> incidents when the youth did not voluntarily return within 24 hours, even if not reported by or to law enforcement.	<input type="radio"/> No incidents <input type="radio"/> 1 incident <input type="radio"/> 2 or more incidents <input type="radio"/> Unknown
13. Youth has been living in the physical custody and care of a parent or legal guardian during last 3 months.*	<input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> Unknown
14. Youth has been living in a stable environment during last 3 months.* <i>Stable environment:</i> The youth lives in a fixed, regular, and adequate environment in which the youth can stay indefinitely, such as a parent/guardian's home or his/ her own apartment. <i>Transient environment:</i> The youth and/or family is living in a homeless shelter, transitional housing, outside, couch surfing, doubled up with other families, etc.	<input type="radio"/> No, living in a transient environment <input type="radio"/> Yes, living in a stable environment <input type="radio"/> Unknown

* Not scored

Appendix H (cont.)

INTAKE DECISION TOOL (IDT) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2020, PAGE 4

IDT Item	Responses/Scoring
15. Youth's current parenting status.*	<input type="radio"/> Does not have a child <input type="radio"/> Expecting a child <input type="radio"/> Has a child and working to maintain positive relationship <input type="radio"/> Has a child and not working to maintain positive relationship <input type="radio"/> Unknown
16. Youth's current compliance with guardian's/caretaker's rules and authority, last 3 months.	<input type="radio"/> Not applicable (Living without adult supervision last 3 months) (0pts) <input type="radio"/> Youth usually obeys rules (0pts) <input type="radio"/> Youth sometimes obeys rules (1 pt) <input type="radio"/> Youth consistently disobeys, is hostile to parental authority (2 pts) <input type="radio"/> Unknown (not scored)
Alcohol + Drugs First assess whether the youth has used alcohol or drugs in the last 3 months. If there is an indication of recent use, then examine (1) whether drug use contributes or is related in some way to the youth's recent criminal behavior, and (2) whether the current/ recent use is serious enough to disrupt the youth's life, causing problems related to education, family life, social life or health.	
17. Current alcohol use (within last 3 months).	<input type="radio"/> No (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> Yes (1 pt) <input type="radio"/> Unknown (not scored)
18. Current drug use (within last 3 months).	<input type="radio"/> No (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> Yes (1 pt) <input type="radio"/> Unknown (not scored)
19. Alcohol or drug use contributes to current/ recent delinquent behavior.* Substance use either motivates delinquent behavior (e.g., stealing to support a habit) or is related to delinquent behavior in some other way (e.g., substance use is connected with violent, risky or impulse behaviors that get the youth into trouble).	<input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> Unknown
20. Alcohol or drug use disrupts other areas of youth's life (e.g., school, family peers, health). Substance use creates problems with any of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School (e.g., problems with school attendance, conduct, or grades); • Family (e.g., stealing at home to support use, withdrawing, arguing over use); • Peers (e.g., loss of pro-social friends, inability to form prosocial relationships); • Health (e.g., trips to emergency room, medical problems related to use). 	<input type="radio"/> No (0 pts) <input type="radio"/> Yes (1 pt) <input type="radio"/> Unknown (not scored)
21. Current participation in alcohol/drug treatment, last 3 months.*	<input type="radio"/> Not applicable (including treatment not warranted) <input type="radio"/> Not attending needed treatment <input type="radio"/> Attending needed treatment <input type="radio"/> Completed treatment <input type="radio"/> Unknown

* Not scored

INTAKE DECISION TOOL (IDT) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2020, PAGE 5

IDT Item	Responses/Scoring
Mental Health	
22. Victim of neglect during lifetime.* Include any history of suspected neglect, whether or not substantiated, but exclude reports of neglect proven to be false. Neglect includes negligent behavior that endangers the child's health, welfare and safety, such as failure to provide adequate food, shelter, clothing, healthcare, nurturing or supervision. <u>If allegations of either abuse or neglect are revealed during intake process, follow your agency's requirements for reporting allegations to the proper authorities.</u>	<input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> Unknown
23. Victim of physical or sexual abuse during lifetime.* Check all that apply. Include any history of suspected abuse, whether or not substantiated, but exclude reports of abuse proven to be false. <u>If allegations of either abuse or neglect are revealed during intake process, follow your agency's requirements for reporting allegations to the proper authorities.</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> No abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Physical abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
24. Exposure to violence during lifetime.* Check all that apply.	<input type="checkbox"/> Has not witnessed violence <input type="checkbox"/> Witnessed violence in the home <input type="checkbox"/> Witnessed violence in the community <input type="checkbox"/> Family member or close friend killed as a result of violence <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
25. Youth diagnosed with or treated for a mental health problem (ever in lifetime).* Such as schizophrenia, bi-polar, anxiety, ADD/ADHD, depression, personality, and other diagnosed disorders. <u>Exclude</u> substance abuse and special education needs. Confirm by a professional when possible.	<input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> Unknown
26. Mental health treatment currently prescribed.* Confirm by a professional when possible.	<input type="radio"/> No current mental health problem <input type="radio"/> No mental health treatment currently prescribed <input type="radio"/> Attending prescribed treatment <input type="radio"/> Not attending prescribed treatment <input type="radio"/> Unknown
27. Mental health medication currently prescribed.* Confirm by a professional when possible.	<input type="radio"/> No current mental health problem <input type="radio"/> No mental health medication currently prescribed <input type="radio"/> Taking prescribed medication <input type="radio"/> Not taking prescribed medication <input type="radio"/> Unknown
28. Current health insurance.*	<input type="radio"/> No health insurance <input type="radio"/> Public insurance (Medicaid) <input type="radio"/> Private insurance <input type="radio"/> Unknown

* Not scored

Appendix H (cont.)

INTAKE DECISION TOOL (IDT) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2020, PAGE 6

IDT Item	Responses/Scoring
29. Referral initiated follow-up for an assessment/mental health screening.*	<p><input type="radio"/> I plan to pursue a referral. <i>When completion of a mental health or substance abuse screening is a condition of an informal adjustment agreement, within 15 calendar days DJS must document a discussion as to whether the parent / guardian / custodian made an appointment for the screening and/or whether the Department can be of further assistance in having the screening performed.</i></p> <p><input type="radio"/> I do not plan to pursue a referral.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> My child has already had an assessment or mental health screening and/or is currently in treatment.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Place: _____</p>

Intake Case Summary

Risk for Reoffending

IDT Risk Score: _____ IDT Risk Level: _____

Offense Summary

Most Serious New Alleged Offense: _____ Offense Category: _____

Mitigating & Aggravating Factors

Check all that apply.

Mitigating Factors	Aggravating Factors
<input type="checkbox"/> Youth under 13 years old – refer to services <input type="checkbox"/> First referral to DJS <input type="checkbox"/> More than one year since most recent DJS referral <input type="checkbox"/> Victim preference – diversion <input type="checkbox"/> Victim impact/injury – minimal <input type="checkbox"/> Youth already participating in services <input type="checkbox"/> DJS referring to services <input type="checkbox"/> Response already provided by caregiver <input type="checkbox"/> Response already provided by another system/agency <input type="checkbox"/> Youth already under DJS supervision – AIM response applied <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Victim preference – court <input type="checkbox"/> Victim impact/injury – more than minimal <input type="checkbox"/> Access to services requires DJS involvement (Pre-court) <input type="checkbox"/> Youth not responsive to prior DJS service referrals <input type="checkbox"/> Two prior failed pre-court cases (Forward for Petition) <input type="checkbox"/> Youth non-compliant with current DJS supervision <input type="checkbox"/> No agreement on restitution <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-Court not agreed to by youth, parent/ guardian, and victim (Forward for Petition) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): _____

Service Referrals

Service Referrals (auto-populate from MCASP/check all that apply)	Service Needs (Check all planned referrals)
School/Education <input type="checkbox"/> Unmet special education need <input type="checkbox"/> Currently expelled, suspended, or dropped out (IDT) <input type="checkbox"/> Chronic unexcused absences from school (IDT) <input type="checkbox"/> Below average academic performance (IDT)	<input type="checkbox"/> Academic Service <input type="checkbox"/> GED Preparation Program <input type="checkbox"/> Truancy Prevention/Intervention Program <input type="checkbox"/> Other Education Support Service (specify): _____

* Not scored

INTAKE DECISION TOOL (IDT) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2020, PAGE 7

Use of Free Time <input type="checkbox"/> Interested but not involved in structured or unstructured pro-social recreational activities (IDT)	<input type="checkbox"/> After School Program <input type="checkbox"/> Civic Responsibility Program/Education <input type="checkbox"/> Recreational Program <input type="checkbox"/> Other Program/Activity (specify): _____
Employment <input type="checkbox"/> Not employed but highly interested in employment (IDT)	<input type="checkbox"/> Career + Technology Education Program <input type="checkbox"/> Employment Skills Training/Certification Program <input type="checkbox"/> Other Employment Support Service (specify): _____
Peers/Relationships <input type="checkbox"/> No positive relationships with adults (IDT) <input type="checkbox"/> Associates with negative or gang-involved peers (IDT)	<input type="checkbox"/> Mentoring Program <input type="checkbox"/> Gang Prevention/Intervention Program <input type="checkbox"/> Dating Violence Prevention/Intervention Program <input type="checkbox"/> Other Peer/Relationships Program (specify): _____
Family <input type="checkbox"/> Youth is living with parent/legal guardian and transient (IDT) <input type="checkbox"/> Disobeys caregiver rules or is hostile to parental authority (IDT) <input type="checkbox"/> Abuse/neglect (IDT) <input type="checkbox"/> Youth is an expecting or current parent (IDT) <input type="checkbox"/> Crossover youth	<input type="checkbox"/> DSS/Family Preservation <input type="checkbox"/> Family Peer Support <input type="checkbox"/> Family Counseling/Therapy <input type="checkbox"/> Parenting/Teen Parent Skills Program <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic Violence Prevention/Intervention Program <input type="checkbox"/> Shelter <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Support Service <input type="checkbox"/> Other Family Support Service (specify): _____
Alcohol + Drug Use <input type="checkbox"/> Alcohol/drug use contributes to current/recent delinquent behavior (IDT) <input type="checkbox"/> Alcohol/drug use disruptive to youth's life (IDT) <input type="checkbox"/> Not attending needed treatment (IDT) <input type="checkbox"/> Alcohol/drug use (MAYSI)	<input type="checkbox"/> Substance Use Assessment/Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> Drug Testing <input type="checkbox"/> Substance Use Prevention Education <input type="checkbox"/> Other Substance Use Service (specify): _____
Mental Health <input type="checkbox"/> Not taking prescribed mental health medication (IDT) <input type="checkbox"/> Not attending prescribed treatment (IDT) <input type="checkbox"/> Angry-Irritable (MAYSI) <input type="checkbox"/> Depressed-Anxious (MAYSI) <input type="checkbox"/> Somatic complaints (MAYSI) <input type="checkbox"/> Suicide ideation (MAYSI) <input type="checkbox"/> Thought disturbance (MAYSI) <input type="checkbox"/> Traumatic Experiences (MAYSI)	<input type="checkbox"/> Mental Health Assessment/Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> Crisis Intervention/Stabilization Service <input type="checkbox"/> Care Coordination/Wraparound <input type="checkbox"/> Anger Management Program <input type="checkbox"/> Other Mental Health Service (specify): _____
Other – Offense Related <input type="checkbox"/> Victim-offender remediation <input type="checkbox"/> Fire setter <input type="checkbox"/> Shoplifter <input type="checkbox"/> Sex offender <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Community Conferencing/Mediation <input type="checkbox"/> Community Service Program <input type="checkbox"/> Conflict Resolution Program <input type="checkbox"/> Restitution Program <input type="checkbox"/> Victim Awareness Education <input type="checkbox"/> Fire Setters Program <input type="checkbox"/> Shoplifters Abatement Program <input type="checkbox"/> Sex Offender Treatment <input type="checkbox"/> Teen Court <input type="checkbox"/> Other Offense Related Service (specify): _____

* Not scored

Appendix H (cont.)

INTAKE DECISION TOOL (IDT) - IMPLEMENTED JULY 1, 2020, PAGE 8

Other – Needs Related	
<input type="checkbox"/> Youth is not living with parent/legal guardian and transient (IDT)	<input type="checkbox"/> Case Management
<input type="checkbox"/> Human trafficking victim	<input type="checkbox"/> Family Navigation
<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple systems involvement	<input type="checkbox"/> Human Trafficking Service
<input type="checkbox"/> Medical/physical health need	<input type="checkbox"/> Unaccompanied Homeless Youth Services
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify):	<input type="checkbox"/> Local Care Team
	<input type="checkbox"/> Physical Health Service
	<input type="checkbox"/> Urgent Material Support (food, clothing)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Needs Related Service (specify):

Service Access Considerations

Consideration (Check all that apply)	Notes
<input type="checkbox"/> Cognitive functioning	
<input type="checkbox"/> Cultural	
<input type="checkbox"/> Language/communication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Education/reading level	
<input type="checkbox"/> Health/medical	
<input type="checkbox"/> Transportation access	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other:	

Offense-Related Case Processing Factors

- ☐ Felony offense (must be reviewed by SAO)

Service Referral:

- ☐ No
☐ Yes

Internal Documentation Only

Risk of Removal (Please check the appropriate box that applies according to the scoring.)

- ☐ The above risk assessment is designed to assist this youth in remaining in his/her home. The Case Manager is confirming that the youth has a scoring of moderate to high and is at imminent risk of removal absent these preventative services, placement in foster care is the plan for this youth (a non-secure community based residential program).
- ☐ The above risk assessment is designed to assist the youth in remaining in his/her home. The Case Manager is confirming that the youth has a scoring of very low to low and is not at imminent risk of removal from home.

Appendix I

PEACE ORDERS

A peace order is a court order that requires an individual to stay away from and refrain from contacting another individual. Peace orders can be requested if an individual has been subjected to abuse, assault, rape or other sexual offense, false imprisonment, harassment, stalking, trespassing, or malicious destruction of property. Other grounds include misuse of telephone facilities and equipment, misuse of electronic communication or interactive computer service, revenge porn, or visual surveillance. Peace orders involving juvenile respondents are requested through the DJS Intake Office in the jurisdiction where the alleged offense occurred, and must be requested within 30 days of the act described on the complaint. If DJS Intake or the State's Attorney files a request for a juvenile peace order, a petition is prepared and sent to the court. The court will set a hearing date, and a judge will decide whether to grant a peace order against a juvenile. If granted, a peace order can be in effect for up to 6 months.

PEACE ORDER REQUESTS BY COUNTY OF SUPERVISION, FY 2020 - FY 2022

Region/County	Total Peace Order Requests			Request Disapproved by DJS Intake			Request Referred to Court			Peace Order Granted by Court		
	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Region 1	45	17	47	12	8	12	21	5	21	12	4	14
Dorchester	7	6	10	0	0	1	4	3	5	3	3	4
Somerset	15	5	15	12	4	6	3	1	5	0	0	4
Wicomico	8	0	6	0	0	0	4	0	6	4	0	0
Worcester	15	6	16	0	4	5	10	1	5	5	1	6
Region 2	14	17	27	12	16	21	2	1	6	0	0	0
Caroline	2	11	7	1	11	5	1	0	2	0	0	0
Cecil	6	4	7	5	4	5	1	0	2	0	0	0
Kent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Queen Anne's	5	0	8	5	0	7	0	0	1	0	0	0
Talbot	1	2	5	1	1	4	0	1	1	0	0	0
Region 3	26	21	27	10	6	10	11	13	11	5	2	6
Baltimore Co.	20	20	21	8	5	8	9	13	9	3	2	4
Harford	6	1	6	2	1	2	2	0	2	2	0	2
Region 4	3	6	58	1	1	0	1	2	34	1	3	24
Allegany	0	3	5	0	1	0	0	1	3	0	1	2
Garrett	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Washington	2	3	51	0	0	0	1	1	30	1	2	21
Region 5	49	42	78	11	10	39	26	19	22	12	13	17
Anne Arundel	14	14	30	7	8	17	6	5	5	1	1	8
Carroll	21	26	27	2	1	12	10	13	10	9	12	5
Howard	14	2	21	2	1	10	10	1	7	2	0	4
Region 6	85	20	150	17	5	53	43	12	82	25	3	15
Frederick	33	1	27	12	0	2	10	1	19	11	0	6
Montgomery	52	19	123	5	5	51	33	11	63	14	3	9
Region 7	17	15	61	3	6	15	11	8	33	3	1	13
Calvert	1	5	28	0	3	4	1	2	20	0	0	4
Charles	6	1	9	1	1	9	4	0	0	1	0	0
Prince George's	10	9	23	2	2	2	6	6	12	2	1	9
St. Mary's	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Region 8	49	14	42	1	1	1	30	6	19	18	7	22
Baltimore City	49	14	42	1	1	1	30	6	19	18	7	22
Total Peace Orders	288	152	490	67	53	151	145	66	228	76	33	111

Appendix J

CENSUS INFORMATION, 2021* POPULATION ESTIMATES BY RACE (AGE 11-17)¹

Region/County	White ²	Black ²	American Indian/ Alaskan ²	Asian ²	Hawaiian/ OPI ^{2^}	Two or More ²	Hispanic/ Latino	Total
Region 1	10,508	5,801	40	432	8	1,043	1,633	19,464
Dorchester	1,272	1,006	8	26	1	147	272	2,732
Somerset	788	1,019	3	23	1	126	161	2,123
Wicomico	5,752	3,152	17	310	4	566	953	10,753
Worcester	2,696	624	11	73	1	203	248	3,857
Region 2	16,106	2,055	41	270	16	1,083	1,938	21,508
Caroline	2,103	436	11	37	3	151	412	3,152
Cecil	7,389	780	21	109	9	473	722	9,502
Kent	1,096	217	1	27	0	72	101	1,514
Queen Anne's	3,658	227	5	51	3	247	334	4,524
Talbot	1,860	395	3	47	2	141	368	2,816
Region 3	49,916	30,914	252	5,735	37	5,140	8,796	100,790
Baltimore Co.	34,299	26,612	209	4,957	20	3,738	7,071	76,906
Harford	15,616	4,302	43	778	17	1,402	1,725	23,884
Region 4	15,500	2,411	21	397	20	1,260	1,572	21,182
Allegany	4,563	435	7	60	8	276	145	5,494
Garrett	1,928	76	2	15	0	41	42	2,103
Washington	9,009	1,900	13	322	13	942	1,385	13,584
Region 5	55,603	18,181	174	9,413	58	6,205	11,236	100,870
Anne Arundel	29,703	9,949	85	1,852	33	3,255	6,898	51,775
Carroll	12,785	621	26	424	7	699	1,015	15,577
Howard	13,115	7,611	64	7,137	18	2,251	3,323	33,518
Region 6	49,746	22,659	232	15,092	27	7,017	28,685	123,458
Frederick	15,889	3,303	55	1,618	18	1,608	4,158	26,647
Montgomery	33,857	19,356	177	13,474	9	5,410	24,528	96,811
Region 7	26,669	58,793	313	4,014	57	5,094	27,711	122,651
Calvert	6,557	1,172	30	200	13	599	602	9,173
Charles	4,378	9,062	69	514	13	1,163	1,587	16,787
Prince George's	8,044	46,877	186	3,039	20	2,543	24,726	85,435
St. Mary's	7,690	1,682	29	262	10	789	795	11,256
Region 8	8,007	31,705	131	905	5	1,548	4,130	46,431
Baltimore City	8,007	31,705	131	905	5	1,548	4,130	46,431
Statewide	232,053	172,519	1,205	36,260	228	28,390	85,701	556,355

* Data Sources: Maryland Department of Planning, Population Estimation for Maryland. Files used: (1) July 1, 2021 Population by Age (single year), Race, Sex and Hispanic Origin for Maryland; (2) July 1, 2021 Population by Age, Sex, Race and Hispanic/Latino Origin for Maryland's Jurisdictions. Available online: https://planning.maryland.gov/MSDC/Pages/s2_estimate.aspx (accessed on August 2022).

¹ The proportions of age ranges 11-14 and 15-17 have been computed from the population by age (single year), race and ethnicity for Maryland. The proportions were then applied to estimate each jurisdiction's/county's pop, from the population by age (5-year age group), race and ethnicity of Maryland jurisdictions.

² Excludes Hispanic and Latino; ^OPI: Other Pacific Islander

Note: Estimates with fractional values are rounded to whole numbers; therefore, totals may not sum exactly.

Appendix K

CRIMES OF VIOLENCE

The definition of “crimes of violence” occurs in two separate places in Maryland’s Annotated Code. The Maryland State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy uses the definition found in the Maryland Annotated Code, Correctional Services Article, Section 7-101(m). This definition, which is used here, references section 14-101 of the Criminal Law Article and also includes burglary in the 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree.

Maryland Annotated Code, Criminal Law Article, Section 14-101 provides a list of crimes identified as crimes of violence. In this section, “crime of violence” means:

(1) abduction; (2) arson in the first degree; (3) kidnapping; (4) manslaughter, except involuntary manslaughter; (5) mayhem; (6) maiming, as previously proscribed under former Article 27, §§ 385 and 386 of the Code; (7) murder; (8) rape; (9) robbery under §3-402 or §3-403 of this article; (10) carjacking; (11) armed carjacking; (12) sexual offense in the first degree; (13) sexual offense in the second degree; (14) use of a firearm in the commission of a felony except possession with intent to distribute a controlled dangerous substance under §5-602(2) of this article, or other crime of violence; (15) child abuse in the first degree under §3-601 of this article; (16) sexual abuse of a minor under §3-602 of this article if:

(i) the victim is under the age of 13 years and the offender is an adult at the time of the offense; and

(ii) the offense involved:

1. vaginal intercourse, as defined in §3-301 of this article;
2. a sexual act, as defined in §3-301 of this article;
3. an act in which a part of the offender’s body penetrates, however slightly, into the victim’s genital opening or anus; or
4. the intentional touching, not through the clothing, of the victim’s or the offender’s genital, anal, or other intimate area for sexual arousal, gratification, or abuse;

(17) home invasion under §6-202(b) of this article; (18) a felony offense under Title 3, Subtitle 11 of this article; (19) an attempt to commit any of the crimes described in items (1) through (18) of this subsection; (20) continuing course of conduct with a child under §3-315 of this article; (21) assault in the first degree; (22) assault with intent to murder; (23) assault with intent to rape; (24) assault with intent to rob; (25) assault with intent to commit a sexual offense in the first degree; and (26) assault with intent to commit a sexual offense in the second degree.

Appendix L

MARYLAND CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJS AND JUVENILE JURISDICTION

For more details on citations shown below, please see the MD State, County, and Municipal Citation Search: <https://mgaleg.maryland.gov/mgaweb/Laws/Statutes>

Human Services Article

• Title 9 – Juvenile Services

- Establishes the functions of the Department of Juvenile Services; enumerates the authority of the Secretary; details the organization and administration of DJS; establishes the operation of state facilities, advisory boards, juvenile care facilities, and regional services; and specifies the procedures for Interstate Compact and the Juvenile Services facility capital program; and establishes the Juvenile Services Education Board and Juvenile Services Education Program within the Department.

Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article

• Title 3 – Courts of General Jurisdiction

- Establishes the jurisdiction and functions of the juvenile court.

• Subtitle 8 – Juvenile Causes – Children in Need of Assistance (CINA)

- **§3-823. Permanency plan for out-of-home placement:** Requires the court to hold a permanency planning hearing to determine the permanency plan for a child no later than 11 months after a child is committed.

• Subtitle 8A – Juvenile Causes – Children Other than CINAs and Adults

- **§3-8A-01. Definitions:** Provides the meanings of words commonly used in juvenile justice (e.g. adjudicatory hearing, community detention, detention, disposition, petition, violation.)
- **§3-8A-02. Purposes and construction of subtitle:** Establishes the goals of the Juvenile Justice System.
- **§3-8A-03. Jurisdiction of court:** Specifies the jurisdiction of the court over delinquent, child in need of supervision (CINS), Peace Order, and Interstate Compact juveniles and details exceptions to this jurisdiction including offenses originating under adult court jurisdiction.

Appendix L (cont.)

MARYLAND CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJS AND JUVENILE JURISDICTION

- **§3-8A-05. Determination of jurisdiction:** Specifies the age of the person at the time the alleged delinquent act was committed and controls the determination of jurisdiction under this subtitle.
- **§3-8A-06. Waiver of jurisdiction:** Describes how the juvenile court may waive jurisdiction of a youth to the adult court.
- **§3-8A-07. Retention, termination or waiver of jurisdiction:** Describes when the court may terminate jurisdiction.
- **§3-8A-08. Venue:** Establishes the venue for a CINS petition, a petition concerning delinquency, a Peace Order Request, and certain offenses.
- **§3-8A-09. Transfer of proceedings:** Describes when the court may transfer certain proceedings to the youth's county of residence or domicile.
- **§3-8A-10. Complaint; preliminary procedures:** Procedural requirements when an intake office receives a complaint from a person or agency or certain citations issued by a police officer.
- **§3-8A-10.1. Child in Need of Supervision Pilot Program:** Describes the CINS pilot program.
- **§3-8A-12. Certain information inadmissible in subsequent proceedings:** Describes when certain statements or information are inadmissible in certain proceedings.
- **§3-8A-13. Petition; general procedures:** Describes the general procedures for filing a petition that alleges delinquency or CINS and for filing peace order requests.
- **§3-8A-14. Taking child into custody:** Defines methods by which youth may be taken into custody (e.g. by court order/law enforcement officer.)
- **§3-8A-14.1. Taking child into custody-Arrest warrant:** Describes the procedure by which an arrest warrant may be obtained for crimes originating in juvenile jurisdiction.
- **§3-8A-15. Detention and shelter care prior to hearing:** Provides the authorization for placing a youth in detention, community detention, or shelter care for a child who may be in need of supervision or delinquent.
- **§3-8A-16. Transfer to other facilities:** Requires notice to be given to the court or DJS Intake by an adult detention facility upon receiving an individual under the age of 18. Also provides for transfer to adult facility when juvenile jurisdiction is waived and prohibits transportation of juveniles with adult inmates unless juvenile is charged as an adult.
- **§3-8A-16.1. Blood lead level testing:** Authorizes a court to order a child to undergo blood lead level testing after a petition has been filed, but before an adjudication.
- **§3-8A-17. Study and examination of child, etc.:** Describes when the court may direct DJS or another qualified agency to make a study concerning the child, the child's family, the child's environment, and other matters relating to the disposition of the case.
- **§3-8A-17.1 - §3-8A-17.12. Juvenile Competency:** Procedural requirements regarding juvenile competency, including evaluations, reports, hearings, services, court findings, and dismissal.
- **§3-8A-18. Adjudication:** Requires the court to hold an adjudicatory hearing; requires the allegations in the petition be proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the youth has committed a delinquent act. Also prescribes situations when application for body attachment can be made.
- **§3-8A-19. Disposition; costs:** Requires the court to hold a separate disposition hearing after an adjudicatory hearing unless the court has dismissed/waived the petition/citation; allows disposition to be held on the day of the adjudicatory hearing; and describes permitted dispositions.
- **§3-8A-19.1 - §3-8A-19.5. Peace order request:** Describes procedures for an intake officer to file a Peace Order Request, peace order hearings, forms of relief the order may include, service of a peace order; modification or recessions, and violations of an order.
- **§3-8A-20. Right to counsel:** Specifies when a youth is entitled to the assistance of counsel.
- **§3-8A-20.1. Treatment service plan:** Defines "treatment service plan" and describes the procedures for development and implementation of a treatment service plan.
- **§3-8A-21. Emergency medical treatment:** Authorizes court to order medical treatment in certain circumstances when child's guardian is unavailable or refuses to grant authorization without good cause.

MARYLAND CITATIONS PERTAINING TO DJS AND JUVENILE JURISDICTION

- **§3-8A-22. Limitations on place of commitment:** Specifies the limitations on where the court may detain, commit, or transfer a youth.
- **§3-8A-23. Effect of proceedings under subtitle:** Describes the effect of an adjudication and disposition of a child.
- **§3-8A-24. Effective period of order of commitment; renewal of order:** Specifies the length of time for an order of commitment, procedures for renewal of an order, and when the order is effective.
- **§3-8A-25. Progress reports:** Specifies requirements for visitation by a juvenile counselor of a child who is committed to an individual or to a public or private agency or institution. Authorizes the court to require the custodian to file periodic reports.
- **§3-8A-26. Order controlling conduct of a person before the court:** Authorizes the court to make an appropriate order controlling conduct under certain circumstances.
- **§3-8A-27. Confidentiality of records:** Describes confidentiality requirements, procedures, and exceptions for police and court records pertaining to or concerning a child.
- **§3-8A-27.1. Expungement of records:** Describes procedure for expunging juvenile records.
- **§3-8A-28. Judgment of restitution:** Authorizes the court to enter a judgment of restitution against the parent of a child, the child, or both.
- **§3-8A-29. Court ordered payment:** Prohibits a court from ordering a parent, guardian, or child to pay fines, fees, costs, or support.
- **§3-8A-30. Contributing to certain conditions of a child:** Describes the offense of contributing to certain conditions of a child and provides for a penalty.
- **§3-8A-32. Appointment of attorney or advocate to represent child's interest:** Authorizes a court to appoint an attorney to represent a child's interest under certain conditions.
- **§3-8A-33. Citation for certain violations:** Authorizes a law enforcement officer to issue a citation to a child if the officer has probable cause to believe that the child is violating certain alcoholic beverage, tobacco, salvia divinorum, or marijuana possession violations.
- **§3-8A-34. Rights of victim or witness of delinquent act:** Refers to the rights of victims and witnesses of delinquent acts.
- **§3-8A-35. Sexting as a mitigating factor in certain proceedings:** Defines "sexting" and describes what the court is to consider if a child is accused of or is found to have committed an act of sexting. If a youth is adjudicated delinquent, sex offender registration is not required.

State Government

- **Title 9 - Subtitle 35. Commission on Juvenile Justice Reform and Emerging and Best Practices**
 - Establishes the Commission on Juvenile Justice Reform and Emerging and Best Practices.

Appendix M

RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)

The Relative Rate Index (RRI) is a measure of Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) with the juvenile justice system. It is a standardized tool for measuring how youth of color compare with white youth at various contact points (court referral, diversion, etc.). The RRI was developed by the National Center for Juvenile Justice, with funding from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), part of the Office of Justice Programs within the U.S. Department of Justice.

DEFINITIONS FOR KEY CONTACT POINTS

The definitions below describe each key contact point included in the tables that follow, along with a brief explanation of each point. Understanding each contact point and how it is defined in the calculations will provide the basis for proper interpretation of the rates. Though not a 'contact point,' the general youth population is included because it is a key data point for calculating the rate of contact.

Contact Point	Definition
Population	Population of youth ages 11-17 as of July 2021. Source: MD Dept. of Planning. <i>Note: DJS uses 11-17 as a population denominator due to the low number of cases under 11.</i>
Referrals to Juvenile Court/Intake	Juvenile complaints referred to DJS intake, from police or other sources, including delinquent & non-delinquent offenses, recorded in ASSIST. Rate is based on youth in the general population.
Diversion	Complaints diverted by DJS Intake (resolved or informally adjusted to pre-court supervision) without formal petition. Rate is per referral.
Detention	Cases resulting in detention either pre-disposition or pending placement, but not including youth removed to detention from a committed placement. Rate is per referral.
Petitioned	Complaints resulting in juvenile court petitions. Rate is per referral.
Delinquent	Complaints resulting in delinquent findings. Rate is per petition.
Probation	Probation dispositions. Rate is per delinquent finding.
Confinement	Youth placed in hardware-secure state-operated treatment programs or staff- and hardware-secure private out-of-state programs. Rate is per delinquent finding.
Transferred	Juvenile petitions waived up to adult court. Does not include charges under original adult jurisdiction. Rate is per petition.

RRI TABLE FOR ALL YOUTH OF COLOR

The data presented here show the RRI for youth of color compared to white youth. An RRI of 1.0 indicates no disproportionate contact. A rate higher than 1.0 indicates that youth of color are over-represented at that decision point, and a rate below 1.0 shows they are under-represented. The RRI for each decision point is based on the number of youth of each race/ethnicity represented at that point compared with the number at the previous decision point.

RATES OF CONTACT FOR ALL YOUTH

This table shows the number and rate of representation for all youth, regardless of color, at each key juvenile justice decision point. The rates are based on the general population for this table, rather than based on the previous decision point.

RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)

RRIs FOR ALL YOUTH OF COLOR COMPARED WITH WHITE YOUTH, AT KEY CONTACT POINTS¹, FY 2022

Region/ County	Referred to Juvenile Court/ Intake	Cases Diverted	Cases Involving Secure Detention	Cases Petitioned	Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	Cases Transferred to Adult Court
Region 1								
Dorchester	5.00	**	**	1.07	**	**	**	**
Somerset	2.30	**	**	0.54	**	**	**	**
Wicomico	5.19	0.29	0.85	0.92	**	**	**	**
Worcester	1.50	0.99	**	0.95	**	**	**	**
Region 2								
Caroline	3.18	**	**	1.66	**	**	**	**
Cecil	1.53	0.80	**	1.29	**	**	**	**
Kent	5.33	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Queen Anne's	4.16	**	**	1.23	**	**	**	**
Talbot	3.62	**	**	1.56	**	**	**	**
Region 3								
Baltimore Co.	3.08	0.82	1.11	0.94	1.21	0.86	**	**
Harford	3.36	1.01	1.13	1.14	1.37	**	**	**
Region 4								
Allegany	1.95	**	**	1.79	**	**	**	**
Garrett	1.00	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Washington	2.89	0.97	2.04	1.22	2.14	**	**	**
Region 5								
Anne Arundel	3.81	0.67	**	0.84	1.17	0.84	**	**
Carroll	2.17	0.85	**	0.97	**	**	**	**
Howard	1.83	0.93	**	1.68	**	**	**	**
Region 6								
Frederick	2.43	0.77	**	0.82	0.53	**	**	**
Montgomery	1.68	0.94	2.17	1.86	1.09	**	**	**
Region 7								
Calvert	1.68	0.81	**	2.62	**	**	**	**
Charles	2.53	0.50	**	0.64	**	**	**	**
Prince George's	3.40	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
St. Mary's	4.26	1.27	**	1.35	**	**	**	**
Region 8								
Baltimore City	5.53	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Statewide	1.82	0.61	2.47	1.47	1.04	0.91	1.85	**

¹ At all decision points youth of color include: African American, Asian or Pacific Islander, American Indian or Alaskan Native, Hispanic/Latino and Other/Unknown youth.

- Statistically significant results are shaded; Results that are not statistically significant are shown in regular font.
- Insufficient number of cases for analysis are shown as **; an RRI of 1.0 indicates no disproportional contact. A rate higher than 1.0 indicates that youth of color are over-represented at that decision point, and a rate below 1.0 shows they are under-represented.

Appendix M (cont.)

RELATIVE RATE INDEX (RRI)

RATE OF CONTACTS PER 100,000 YOUTH AT KEY CONTACT POINTS, COMPARED WITH GENERAL POPULATION,
FY 2022*

Region/ County	Population (ages 11-17)	Referred to Juvenile Court/ Intake	Cases Diverted	Cases Involving Secure Detention	Cases Petitioned	Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	Cases Transferred to Adult Court
Region 1	19,464	7,259.4	349.4	385.3	1,515.6	714.1	277.4	20.6	0.0
Dorchester	2,732	12,337.2	475.9	695.6	3,660.9	1,501.0	549.1	0.0	0.0
Somerset	2,123	8,055.0	141.3	847.9	1,460.3	706.6	235.5	47.1	0.0
Wicomico	10,753	5,021.9	148.8	269.7	864.9	437.1	148.8	9.3	0.0
Worcester	3,857	9,463.5	933.4	233.3	1,840.8	933.4	466.7	51.9	0.0
Region 2	21,508	3,566.1	376.6	106.9	943.8	395.2	172.0	0.0	0.0
Caroline	3,152	4,346.5	285.5	380.7	1,269.0	317.3	95.2	0.0	0.0
Cecil	9,502	2,725.7	526.2	73.7	757.7	442.0	189.4	0.0	0.0
Kent	1,514	5,814.1	264.3	0.0	1,387.4	264.3	132.1	0.0	0.0
Queen Anne's	4,524	3,028.2	221.0	66.3	685.2	265.2	66.3	0.0	0.0
Talbot	2,816	5,184.5	284.1	35.5	1,384.9	603.7	390.6	0.0	0.0
Region 3	100,790	2,512.1	150.8	96.2	769.9	333.4	245.1	5.0	1.0
Baltimore Co.	76,906	2,902.2	161.2	102.7	855.6	348.5	277.0	6.5	0.0
Harford	23,884	1,256.1	117.2	75.4	494.1	284.7	142.4	0.0	4.2
Region 4	21,182	3,587.9	660.9	160.5	878.1	406.0	269.1	4.7	0.0
Allegany	5,494	4,987.0	928.2	200.2	1,183.1	764.4	673.4	18.2	0.0
Garrett	2,103	4,564.0	1,236.1	142.6	713.1	713.1	142.6	0.0	0.0
Washington	13,584	2,870.9	463.8	147.2	780.3	213.5	125.1	0.0	0.0
Region 5	100,870	1,422.6	238.9	37.7	368.8	188.4	107.1	5.0	0.0
Anne Arundel	51,775	1,865.8	150.7	61.8	450.0	262.7	135.2	7.7	0.0
Carroll	15,577	1,579.3	719.0	6.4	224.7	147.7	122.0	0.0	0.0
Howard	33,518	665.3	152.2	14.9	310.3	92.5	56.7	3.0	0.0
Region 6	123,458	1,004.4	201.7	38.9	348.3	150.7	92.3	1.6	0.0
Frederick	26,647	1,321.0	195.1	33.8	307.7	90.1	90.1	0.0	0.0
Montgomery	96,811	917.3	203.5	40.3	359.5	167.3	93.0	2.1	0.0
Region 7	122,651	1,541.8	187.5	64.4	521.8	183.4	146.8	5.7	0.8
Calvert	9,173	3,019.7	588.7	21.8	479.7	185.3	152.6	10.9	0.0
Charles	16,787	1,846.7	190.6	59.6	500.4	190.6	119.1	0.0	0.0
Prince George's	85,435	948.1	119.4	67.9	496.3	181.4	134.6	7.0	1.2
St. Mary's	11,256	4,388.6	373.1	80.0	781.8	186.6	275.4	0.0	0.0
Region 8	46,431	1,602.4	157.2	394.1	1,051.0	514.7	230.4	28.0	0.0
Baltimore City	46,431	1,602.4	157.2	394.1	1,051.0	514.7	230.4	28.0	0.0
Statewide	556,355	1,938.0	221.8	112.5	609.3	267.1	162.5	7.4	0.4

*Rates are calculated for each decision point based on general population data from the Census (see Appendix J).

- This table calculates the rate of DJS contact for all youth at each stage, compared with the general population (Census ages 11-17). For example, for every 100,000 youth in Baltimore City, there were 394.1 youth detained, and in Prince George's County there were 67.9 youth detained per 100,000 youth.

Appendix N

OFFENSES UNDER ORIGINAL ADULT COURT JURISDICTION FOR YOUTH UNDER 18

AUTOMATIC ADULT JURISDICTION		
Source: § 3-8A-03 of the Maryland Courts & Judicial Proceedings Article		
AGE 14 AND OLDER	AGE 16 AND OLDER	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Murder – 1ST Degree • Rape – 1ST Degree • Any attempts of above • Any conspiracies of above <p>Abbreviations: CL = Criminal Law Article CP = Criminal Procedure Article PS = Public Safety Article</p>	Serious/Violent Offenses: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kidnapping • Assault – 1st Degree (CL, §3-202) • Carjacking & Armed Carjacking (CL, §3-405) • Murder – 2nd Degree <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attempted Murder – 2nd Degree (CL, §2-206) • Voluntary Manslaughter • Rape – 2nd Degree <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attempted Rape – 2nd Degree (CL, §3-310) • Robbery w/ Dangerous Weapon <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attempted Robbery w/ Dangerous Weapon (CL, §3-403) • Sex Offense-- 3rd (CL, §3-307(a)(1)) (IF force, a weapon, or threat of force used) 	Firearms Offenses: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wear, Carry, Transport Handgun (CL, §4-203) • Possession of Unregistered Short-barreled Shotgun or Short-barreled Rifle (PS, §5-203(a)) • Possession of Regulated Firearm (PS, §5-133--convicted of prior disqualifying offense, etc.) • Minor in Possession of Regulated Firearm (PS, §5-133(d)(1)) • Use Wear, Carry, Transport Firearm during Drug Trafficking Crime (CL, §5-621) • Use of Firearm in Commission of Crime of Violence or Felony (CL, §4-204) • Possess, Own, Carry, Transport Firearm by Drug Felon (CL, §5-622) • Possess, Sell, Transfer Stolen Regulated Firearm (PS, §5-138) • Sell, Rent, Transfer Regulated Firearm (PS, §5-134(b)) • Sell, Rent, Transfer Regulated Firearm to Minor (PS, §5-134(d)) • Use or Possess Machine Gun in commission/attempted commission of Crime of Violence (CL, §4-404) • Use or Possess Machine Gun for Offensive or Aggressive Purpose (CL, §4-405) Miscellaneous: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any felony <u>IF</u> prior felony conviction as an adult • Non-incarcerable Traffic & Boating Offenses • Domestic Violence Peace Order requests are heard in the adult court where the victim is: the current or former spouse of the respondent; a cohabitant of the respondent; a person related to the respondent by blood, marriage or adoption; a parent, stepparent, child, or stepchild of the respondent or victim who resides or resided with the respondent or victim for at least 90 days within 1 year before the filing of the petition; a vulnerable adult; or an individual who has a child in common with the respondent. CP, §3-8A-03(d)(6). The juvenile court retains jurisdiction over violations of this section by a juvenile.
<u>Automatic Adult Jurisdiction – When Transfer to Juvenile Court is Prohibited (CP, §4-202(c))</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In an unrelated case, juvenile was <u>convicted</u> of any of the above offenses (excluding “non-incarcerable traffic & boating” and excluding if adult jurisdiction is created only by a prior, non-excluded felony offense). • Offense is 1st degree murder and the juvenile was 16 or 17 years of age when the alleged crime was committed. 		

Appendix O

CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES⁺

Crimes of Violence			
	Offense Severity ⁺⁺		Offense Severity ⁺⁺
Arson 1st Degree [^]	2	Murder 2nd Degree	1
Assault 1st Degree	2	Murder 2nd Degree - Attempted	2
Burglary 1st Degree	3	Prostitution - Abduct, Persuade, Entice for Prostitution or Certain Sex Crimes	1
Burglary 2nd Degree	4	Rape 1st Degree	1
Burglary 3rd Degree	4	Rape 1st Degree - Attempted	1
Burglary - Home Invasion	2	Rape 2nd Degree	1
Burglary With Explosives	3	Rape 2nd Degree - Attempted	2
Carjacking - Armed	1	Robbery	3
Carjacking - Unarmed	2	Robbery with Deadly Weapon	2
Child Abduction of Individual Under 16	1	Sex Offense - Continuing Course of Conduct	1
Child Abuse 1st Degree	1	Sex Offense 1st Degree ^{**}	1
Child Abuse Continuing Course of Conduct [*]	1	Sex Offense 1st Degree - Attempted ^{**}	1
Child Abuse - Physical with Death	1	Sex Offense 2nd Degree ^{**}	1
In-State Abduction of Child Under 12	2	Sex Offense 2nd Degree - Attempted ^{**}	2
Kidnapping	1	Sex Trafficking - Felony	1
Manslaughter - Voluntary	3	Use of Handgun in Commission of Felony or COV, 1st Offense	2
Murder 1st Degree	1	Use of Handgun in Commission of Felony or COV, Subsequent	1
Murder 1st Degree - Attempted	1		
Person-to-Person Offenses			
Felony	Offense Severity ⁺⁺	Felony	Offense Severity ⁺⁺
Abuse, Neglect of Vulnerable Adult - 1st Degree	3	Labor Trafficking	1
Assault on Police Officer	4	Manslaughter - Involuntary	3
CDS - Weapons Use	2	Manslaughter - Negligent Homicide by Motor Vehicle or Vessel	4
Child Abuse 2nd Degree	3	Manslaughter by Motor Vehicle or Vessel	3
Child Abuse - Sell, Barter, Trade, etc. a Child for Money, Property, etc.	4	Obscene Matter - Possession of Visual Representations, etc. Subsequent Offense	3
Child Pornography	3	Out-of-State Family Abduction	5
Criminal Organization - Commission of Crime ¹	2	Poisoning	1
Criminal Organization - Commission of Crime - Resulting in Death of Victim ¹	1	Removing Firearm from Possession of Law Enforcement Officer	3
Criminal Organization - Manage, Fund Criminal Organization ¹	2	Sex Abuse by Household Member	1
Destructive Device Possession	2	Sex Offense 3rd Degree (Victim Incapacitated or 4 years + Younger)	4
Escape - 1st Degree	3	Sex Offense 3rd Degree (w/Force or Threat)	3
Hate Crime or Threat to Commit Hate Crime Involving a Separate Felony Crime	3	Sex Offense - Use of Personal Identifying Information of an Individual to Invite another to Commit Sexual Crime	3
Hate Crime or Threat to Commit Hate Crime Involving Death of a Victim	2	Sexual Solicitation of a Minor	3
Incest	3	Sodomy; Unnatural or Perverted Sexual Practice ²	3
Judicial Process - Influence or Intimidate -Felony	2	Use of Machine Gun for a Crime	2

⁺ DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland legislative session; ⁺⁺The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug). ^{*} Active as of 10/1/2021; ^{**} Offense date prior to 10/1/2017; ¹ The term "gang" was replaced by "criminal organization" as of 10/1/2020; ² Inactive as of 10/1/2020; ³ Offense severity changed from 3 as of 10/1/2022

CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES⁺

Person-to-Person Offenses			
Misdemeanor	Offense Severity ⁺⁺	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity ⁺⁺
Abuse, Neglect of Vulnerable Adult - 2nd Degree	4	Handgun Violation – Wear, Carry 1st Offense (incl. on school prop.)	5
Affray	4	Handgun Violation – Wear, Carry, Subsequent (incl. on school prop.)	2
Assault 2nd Degree / Battery	4	Handgun Violation - Wear, Carry with Intent to Injure, Kill	2
Assault - Knowingly/ Willfully Causing Another to Ingest Bodily Fluid	4	Handgun Violation - Wearing, Carrying Under the Influence	5
Assault Weapons - Possess, Sell Assault Weapon, etc.; Manufacture, Possess, Sell, etc. a Rapid Fire Trigger Activator	4	Harassment	5
Assault Weapons - Use of Assault Weapon, Rapid Fire Trigger Activator, etc. in the Commission of a Felony or Crime of Violence, 1st Offense	2	Harassment - Use of Electronic Communication to Alarm, Seriously Annoy, or Inflict Emotional Distress on a Minor, etc.	4
Assault Weapons - Use of Assault Weapon, Rapid Fire Trigger Activator, etc. in the Commission of a Felony or Crime of Violence, Subsequent	1	Harassment - Use of Electronic Communication to Alarm, Seriously Annoy, or Inflict Emotional Distress on a Minor, etc. with the Intent to Induce a Minor to Commit Suicide	3
Child Neglect	4	Harassment - Revenge Porn	5
Committing a COV in Presence of Minor	4	Hate Crime or Threat to Commit Hate Crime	4
Criminal Organization - Use of Force to Coerce Participation or Prevent Leaving Organization ¹	5	Hate Crime-Use of an Item or Symbol to Threaten or Intimidate	4
Criminal Organization - Use of Force to Coerce Participation or Prevent Leaving Organization in or Near School ¹	4	In State Family Abduction	5
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Concealed Dangerous Weapon - Wear or Carry	5	Indecent Exposure	4
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Openly with Intent to Injure - Dangerous Weapon, Mace/ Chemical Device, Wear or Carry	5	Judicial Process - Influence or Intimidate - Misdemeanor	4
Deadly Weapon Misdemeanor - Certain Ordinance	5	Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle/ Vessel While Under the Influence or Impaired by Alcohol/Drugs, CDS	4
Deadly Weapon on Public School Property	4	Life-Threatening Injury by Motor Vehicle / Vessel - Criminal Negligence*	5
Disorderly Intoxication	5	Obscene Matter - Possession of Visual Representations, etc.	4
Disturbing the Peace	5	Police Officer, Resisting or Hindering, Common Law	4
Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	5	Prostitution – Receive money for	3
Driving under Influence CDS	5	Prostitution – Solicitation	5
Driving under Influence Drugs and/or Alcohol	5	Reckless Endangerment	4
Driving While Impaired	5	Resisting Arrest	4
Driving While Intoxicated	5	Rioting	3
Driving While Using Text Messaging Device, etc. that Causes Accident Resulting Death, Serious Bodily Injury	5	Sex Offense 4th Degree - Sexual Contact Without Consent	5
Escape 2nd Degree - Remove Monitoring Device	4	Sextortion - Causing Another to Engage in Sexual Activity, etc. by Threatening Behavior	4
Escape 2nd Degree - Secure Facility	4	Sex Trafficking - Misdemeanor	2
Failure to Appear - Connection Felony	4	Stalking	4
Failure to Appear - Connection Misdemeanor	5	Threat of Mass Violence	4
Failure to Appear - Connection Citation	5	Use of Machine Gun for Aggressive Purposes	3
Ghost Gun - Purchase, Sell, etc. an Unfinished Frame or Receiver without a Serial Number ^{^^}	4	Visual Surveillance, Unlawful	5
Ghost Gun-Possess a Firearm without a Serial Number ^{^^}	4		

⁺ DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland legislative session. ⁺⁺The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug). * Active as of 10/1/2021; ¹Term “gang” was replaced by “criminal organization” as of 10/1/2020; ^{^^}Active as of 10/1/2022

Appendix O (cont.)

CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES⁺

Property Offenses			
Felony	Offense Severity ⁺⁺	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity ⁺⁺
Arson 2nd Degree	4	Arson Misdemeanor	5
Bad Check Felony - \$100,000 or greater	3	Arson - Threat	4
Bad Check Felony - \$25,000 to \$100,000	4	Attempted Arson	5
Bad Check Felony - \$1,500 to < \$25,000	5	Bad Check Misdemeanor	5
Bad Check Felony - Multiple w/in 30 Days (\$1,500 to \$25,000)	5	Breaking and Entering Motor Vehicles	5
Breaking and Entering a Research Facility	5	Burglary 4th Degree	5
Cloned Wireless Phone - Possession with Intent to Distribute, Distribution, Manufacturing or Sell	4	Code Grabbing Device	5
Computer Crimes - Unlawfully Access, etc. to Cause Malfunction, etc. \$10,000 or greater*	4	Computer Crimes - Unlawfully Access, Copy, Possess Contents of Computer Database*	5
Computer Crimes - Unlawfully Access for Sabotage of State Gov., etc., \$10,000 or greater*	4	Computer Crimes - Unlawfully Access, etc. to Cause Malfunction, etc. less than \$10,000*	4
Credit Card Felony - \$100,000 or greater	3	Computer Crimes - Unlawfully Access for Sabotage of State Gov., etc. less than \$10,000*	4
Credit Card Felony - \$25,000 to \$100,000	4	Computer Crimes - Knowingly Possess Ransomware with Intent to Introduce Ransomware into Computer, etc.*	4
Credit Card Felony - \$1,500 to \$25,000	5	Contraband - Possess, Possess with Intent to Deliver, Receive Telecommunications Device Accessories	5
Credit Card - Unlawfully Use or Disclose	4	Credit Card Misdemeanor	5
Cruelty to Animals - Felony Racehorse	5	Cruelty to Animals - Misdemeanor	5
False Statement - Fake Bomb	4	Cruelty to Animals - Service Animal*	5
False Statement - Rumor as to Bomb	4	Cruelty to Animals - Surgery on Dogs; Unauthorized Surgical Devocalization	5
Forgery, Counterfeit &/or Uttering-Felony	4	False Alarm	4
Fraud Felony (Medicaid)	4	False Report	5
Identity Fraud Felony - \$100,000 or greater	3	Forgery - Prescription	5
Identity Fraud Felony - \$25,000 to 100,000	4	Forgery, Counterfeit &/or Uttering - Misd	5
Identity Fraud Felony - \$1,500 to \$25,000	5	Fraud Misdemeanor (inc. Telecom)	5
Identity Fraud - Intent to Manufacture/Distribute Personally Identifying Information	4	Fraud Misdemeanor (Medicaid)	5
Malicious Burning - Felony	5	Gambling - Make or Sell a Book or Pool on the Result of a Race, etc.; Establish, Keep, etc. a Building, Vessel or Place for Purpose of Betting, etc.	5
Motor Vehicle Theft	4	Identity Fraud - Falsely Represent Self as Another Person	5
Railroad Obstructing	4	Identity Fraud Misdemeanor	5
Theft Felony - \$100,000 or greater	3	Malicious Burning - Misdemeanor	5
Theft Felony - \$25,000 to \$100,000	4	Malicious Destruction	5
Theft Felony - \$1,500 to \$25,000	5	Possession of Dangerous or Wild Animals	5
Trademark Counterfeiting-Felony	4	Tampering	5
Verbal Threat - Extortion	4	Telephone Misuse	5
Written Threat	4	Theft Misdemeanor	5
		Trademark Counterfeiting-Misdemeanor	5
		Transfer Recorded Sound/Images w/o Consent	5
		Trespassing	5
		Unauthorized Removal of Property	5
		Violation of Natural Resources Article	5

⁺ DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland legislative session.

⁺⁺The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug).

* Active as of 10/1/2021

CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES⁺

Drug Offenses			
Felony	Offense Severity ⁺⁺	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity ⁺⁺
CDS - Import Certain Amount into State	3	CDS - False Prescription	5
CDS - Using Minors for Manufacture or Distribution	3	CDS - Possession Marijuana > 10 Grams	5
CDS (Cocaine, Heroin, PCP, LSD, Oxy., Meth.)-Manf/Dist/PWID, etc.	3	CDS - Possession Other	5
CDS (Marijuana) - Manufacture or Distribution of CDS Near Schools or on School Vehicles	3	Distribution of Inhalants	5
CDS (Other) - Manufacture or Distribution of CDS Near Schools or on School Vehicles	3	Drug Paraphernalia	5
CDS - Distribution (Fake CDS)	4	Non-CDS, Possession	5
CDS (Marij/Amphet/Valium/Ecstasy < 750 grams/Bup./Countft)-Manf/Dist/PWID, etc.	4	Paraphernalia Controlled - Possess or Distribute - Marijuana	5
Receipt of Proceeds from CDS Offenses	4	Paraphernalia Controlled - Possess or Distribute - Non-Marijuana	5
		Use of Inhalants	5
Unspecified Offenses			
Felony	Offense Severity ⁺⁺	Misdemeanor	Offense Severity ⁺⁺
Conspiracy to Commit Any (Felony)Offense	3	Conspiracy to Commit Any (Misdemeanor)Offense	5
Unspecified Felony	3	Traffic Violation Incarcerable	5
		Unspecified Misdemeanor	5
Other Offenses			
Citation Offenses	Offense Severity ⁺⁺	Ordinance Offenses	Offense Severity ⁺⁺
Alcohol Possession on School Premises	5	BB Gun/Pellet Gun	5
Alcoholic Beverage Violation	5	Discharging Firearms	5
CDS - Possession Marijuana < 10 Grams	5	Fireworks Violation	5
Gambling - Bet, Wager or Gamble	5	Loitering	5
Lottery Restrictions (Tables Games or Video Lottery Terminals, Facility) Less than 21 Years	5	Violation of Local Ordinance	5
Pager at School	5		
Traffic Violation Non-Incarcerable	5		
Vaporizer Violation (Electronic Smoking Device)	5		
Child in Need of Supervision (CINS)	Offense Severity ⁺⁺	Violation of Probation	Offense Severity ⁺⁺
Runaway	5	Violation of Felony Probation	3
Truant	5	Violation of Misdemeanor Probation	5
Ungovernable	5		

⁺ DJS regularly updates ASSIST offenses. This appendix shows the most recent offenses, including any changes made during the last Maryland legislative session.

⁺⁺ The MD State Commission on Criminal Sentencing Policy assigns each offense a seriousness category (ranging from I to VII) for purposes of MD sentencing guidelines. The guidelines distinguish person, property, and drug offenses and utilize a separate sentencing matrix for each offense type. The DJS Offense Severity score ranges from 1 to 5, with 1 designating the most serious criminal offenses. The score is based on a combination of the MD sentencing guidelines seriousness category and offense type designation (person, property, or drug).

Appendix P

OTHER OFFENSES INCLUDED IN THE INTAKE & COMMUNITY SUPERVISION OFFENSE TYPE TABLES AS OTHER PERSON AND OTHER PROPERTY. THE OFFENSES ARE LISTED BASED ON FY 2022 INTAKE CASES¹.

The following information refers back to the Intake and Community Supervision Section's Offense Type tables. Space was limited on those pages, and these offenses were not able to be broken out within the tables. Below is a list of the three most common offenses categorized under "Other Person" and "Other Property." It should be noted that one intake case/complaint can have multiple alleged offenses.

Statewide		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	836
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	532
	Affray	280
Property	Trespassing	440
	False Report	36
	False Alarm	22
Statewide - Females		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	346
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	262
	Affray	152
Property	Trespassing	99
	False Report	14
	False Alarm	7
Statewide - Youth Under Age 13		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	96
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	53
	Threat of Mass Violence	19
Property	Trespassing	24
	False Report	8
	Motor Vehicle Tampering	4
Statewide - Youth of Color		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	699
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	407
	Affray	247
Property	Trespassing	302
	False Report	26
	False Alarm	15

Region 1		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	205
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	200
	Reckless Endangerment	77
Property	Trespassing	62
	False Alarm	6
	Identity Fraud - Falsely Represent Self as Another Person	3
Dorchester County		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	42
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	37
	Reckless Endangerment	8
Property	Resisting Arrest	8
	Trespassing	19
	Motor Vehicle Tampering	2
Property	False Alarm	1
	False Report	1
	Cruelty to Animals - Misdemeanor	1
Somerset County		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	29
	Reckless Endangerment	16
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	11
Property	Trespassing	4
	False Report	1
	False Alarm	1
Wicomico County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	129
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	104
	Affray	48
Property	Trespassing	30
	False Alarm	4
	Identity Fraud - Falsely Represent Self as Another Person	2
Worcester County		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	30
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	23
	Reckless Endangerment	12
Property	Police Officer, Resisting or Hindering - Common Law	12
	Trespassing	9
	Fraud-Misdemeanor	2
Property	Identity Fraud - Falsely Represent Self as Another Person	1

¹ Fewer than three items are listed if all offenses have been captured. More than three items are listed when there is an equal number of offenses, i.e., a tie, for the third most common offense; additional tying offenses are then listed. For ties in first or second rank, tying items are counted as the subsequent rank.

OTHER OFFENSES INCLUDED IN THE INTAKE & COMMUNITY SUPERVISION OFFENSE TYPE TABLES AS OTHER PERSON AND OTHER PROPERTY. THE OFFENSES ARE LISTED BASED ON FY 2022 INTAKE CASES¹.

Region 2		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	53
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	45
	Threat of Mass Violence	14
Property	Trespassing	26
	Telephone Misuse	5
	False Report	3
Caroline County		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	11
	Police Officer, Resisting or Hindering - Common Law	6
	Escape 2nd Degree - Remove Monitoring Device	1
	Reckless Endangerment	1
Prop.	Trespassing	4
Cecil County		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	17
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	11
	Police Officer, Resisting or Hindering - Common Law	3
	Resisting Arrest	3
	Reckless Endangerment	3
Prop.	Trespassing	18
Kent County		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	8
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	3
	Hate Crime Involving Death of a Victim	1
	Reckless Endangerment	1
	Hate crime	1
Queen Anne's County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	21
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	5
	Reckless Endangerment	3
Property	Telephone Misuse	2
	False Report	2
	Identity Fraud - Falsely Represent Self as Another Person	1
Talbot County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	18
	Threat of Mass Violence	12
	Reckless Endangerment	4
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	4
Property	Trespassing	4
	Telephone Misuse	3
	False Report	1

Region 3		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	172
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	69
	Resisting Arrest	33
Property	Trespassing	152
	False Report	13
	False Alarm	7
Baltimore County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	166
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	68
	Resisting Arrest	32
Property	Trespassing	138
	False Report	13
	False Alarm	7
Harford County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	6
	Threat of Mass Violence	3
	Hate crime - Use of an Item or a Symbol to Threaten or Intimidate	2
	Unlawful Visual Surveillance of Private Place	2
Prop.	Trespassing	14
Region 4		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	104
	Affray	54
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	22
Property	Trespassing	15
	Motor Vehicle Tampering	13
	False Report	6
Allegany County		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	42
	Affray	16
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	12
Property	Motor Vehicle Tampering	13
	Trespassing	11
	False Report	3
Garrett County		
Pers.	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	1
Prop.	False Report	2
Washington County		
Person	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	62
	Affray	38
	Reckless Endangerment	11
Property	Trespassing	4
	False Report	1
	False Alarm	1

¹ Fewer than three items are listed if all offenses have been captured. More than three items are listed when there is an equal number of offenses, i.e., a tie, for the third most common offense; additional tying offenses are then listed. For ties in first or second rank, tying items are counted as the subsequent rank.

Appendix P

OTHER OFFENSES INCLUDED IN THE INTAKE & COMMUNITY SUPERVISION OFFENSE TYPE TABLES AS OTHER PERSON AND OTHER PROPERTY. THE OFFENSES ARE LISTED BASED ON FY 2022 INTAKE CASES¹.

Region 5		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	161
	Affray	126
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	54
Property	Trespassing	75
	False Report	7
	Motor Vehicle Tampering	2
	Telephone Misuse	2
	Cruelty to Animals - Misdemeanor	2
Anne Arundel County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	159
	Affray	126
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	51
Property	Trespassing	67
	Motor Vehicle Tampering	2
	Telephone Misuse	2
	False Report	2
Carroll County		
Person	Reckless Endangerment	9
	Threat of Mass Violence	5
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	3
Property	Trespassing	5
	False Report	3
	Cruelty to Animals - Misdemeanor	1
	Fraud-Misdemeanor	1
	Identity Fraud - Felony - \$1,500 to < \$25,000	1
	False Alarm	1
Howard County		
Person	Reckless Endangerment	3
	Resisting Arrest	2
	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	1
Property	Trespassing	3
	False Report	2
	Identity Fraud - Felony - \$25,000 to < \$100,000	1

Region 6		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	46
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	32
	Reckless Endangerment	17
Property	Trespassing	24
	False Report	4
	Telephone Misuse	2
	Motor Vehicle Tampering	2
Frederick County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	26
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	16
	Affray	11
Property	Trespassing	5
	Motor Vehicle Tampering	2
	False Report	2
Montgomery County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	20
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	16
	Police Officer, Resisting or Hindering - Common Law	11
	Reckless Endangerment	11
Property	Trespassing	19
	False Report	2
	False Alarm	1
	Telephone Misuse	1
	Cruelty to Animals - Misdemeanor	1

¹ Fewer than three items are listed if all offenses have been captured. More than three items are listed when there is an equal number of offenses, i.e., a tie, for the third most common offense; additional tying offenses are then listed. For ties in first or second rank, tying items are counted as the subsequent rank.

OTHER OFFENSES INCLUDED IN THE INTAKE & COMMUNITY SUPERVISION OFFENSE TYPE TABLES AS OTHER PERSON AND OTHER PROPERTY. THE OFFENSES ARE LISTED BASED ON FY 2022 INTAKE CASES¹.

Region 7		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	181
	Threat of Mass Violence	35
	Affray	27
Property	Trespassing	84
	False Alarm	5
	Telephone Misuse	4
Calvert County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	24
	Affray	4
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	2
Property	Trespassing	7
	False Alarm	2
	Identity Fraud - Falsely Represent Self as Another Person	1
Charles County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	25
	Disturbing the Peace/Disorderly House	14
	Affray	12
Property	Trespassing	15
	Fraud-Misdemeanor	1
	Identity Fraud - Felony - \$1,500 to < \$25,000	1
	Telephone Misuse	1
	Identity Fraud - Falsely Represent Self as Another Person	1
Prince George's County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	45
	Threat of Mass Violence	32
	Reckless Endangerment	12
Property	Trespassing	48
	Motor Vehicle Tampering	2
	Extortion by Verbal Threat	1
	Telephone Misuse	1
	False Report	1
St. Mary's County		
Person	Disturbing School Activities or Personnel	87
	Affray	11
	Resisting Arrest	5
Property	Trespassing	14
	False Alarm	3
	Telephone Misuse	2
Region 8 - Baltimore City		
Person	Reckless Endangerment	36
	Burglary-Home Invasion-Breaking & Entering w/ Intent to Commit COV	11
	Resisting Arrest	4
Prop.	Trespassing	2
	Extortion by Verbal Threat	1

¹ Fewer than three items are listed if all offenses have been captured. More than three items are listed when there is an equal number of offenses, i.e., a tie, for the third most common offense; additional tying offenses are then listed. For ties in first or second rank, tying items are counted as the subsequent rank.

Appendix Q

NUMBER AND RATIO OF YOUTH, CASES, AND PLACEMENTS¹ BY COUNTY*, FY 2022

Region/ County	Complaints			Pre-D Detention			Pending Placements			Committed Placements		
	Cases	Youth	Ratio of Cases to Youth	Placements	Youth	Ratio of Placemt. to Youth	Placements	Youth	Ratio of Placemt. to Youth	Placements	Youth	Ratio of Placemt. to Youth
Region 1	1,413	978	1.4	75	53	1.4	35	25	1.4	33	30	1.1
Dorchester	337	198	1.7	19	11	1.7	6	5	1.2	6	6	1.0
Somerset	171	114	1.5	18	9	2.0	7	5	1.4	7	6	1.2
Wicomico	540	360	1.5	29	25	1.2	17	11	1.5	14	12	1.2
Worcester	365	306	1.2	9	8	1.1	5	4	1.3	6	6	1.0
Region 2	767	540	1.4	23	12	1.9	6	5	1.2	4	4	1.0
Caroline	137	75	1.8	12	5	2.4	4	3	1.3	2	2	1.0
Cecil	259	184	1.4	7	4	1.8	1	1	1.0	1	1	1.0
Kent	88	65	1.4	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
Queen Anne's	137	106	1.3	3	2	1.5	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
Talbot	146	110	1.3	1	1	1.0	1	1	1.0	1	1	1.0
Region 3	2,532	1,743	1.5	97	83	1.2	41	36	1.1	42	36	1.2
Baltimore Co.	2,232	1,535	1.5	79	71	1.1	36	32	1.1	33	31	1.1
Harford	300	208	1.4	18	12	1.5	5	4	1.3	9	5	1.8
Region 4	760	545	1.4	34	30	1.1	20	18	1.1	22	19	1.2
Allegany	274	191	1.4	11	9	1.2	13	12	1.1	11	9	1.2
Garrett	96	77	1.2	3	2	1.5	1	1	1.0	2	2	1.0
Washington	390	277	1.4	20	19	1.1	6	5	1.2	9	8	1.1
Region 5	1,435	1,031	1.4	38	32	1.2	25	23	1.1	30	27	1.1
Anne Arundel	966	681	1.4	32	27	1.2	24	22	1.1	28	25	1.1
Carroll	246	176	1.4	1	1	1.0	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
Howard	223	174	1.3	5	4	1.3	1	1	1.0	2	2	1.0
Region 6	1,240	842	1.5	48	38	1.3	23	20	1.2	21	20	1.1
Frederick	352	235	1.5	9	9	1.0	3	3	1.0	3	3	1.0
Montgomery	888	607	1.5	39	29	1.3	20	17	1.2	18	17	1.1
Region 7	1,891	1,454	1.3	79	65	1.2	25	23	1.1	34	29	1.2
Calvert	277	214	1.3	2	1	2.0	3	3	1.0	3	2	1.5
Charles	310	256	1.2	10	9	1.1	3	3	1.0	6	5	1.2
Prince George's	810	658	1.2	58	47	1.2	16	14	1.1	19	17	1.1
St. Mary's	494	326	1.5	9	8	1.1	3	3	1.0	6	5	1.2
Region 8	744	522	1.4	183	136	1.3	41	38	1.1	61	53	1.2
Baltimore City	744	522	1.4	183	136	1.3	41	38	1.1	61	53	1.2
Out-of-State	N/A	N/A	N/A	49	45	1.1	6	4	1.5	13	11	1.2
Statewide	10,782	7,655	1.4	626	494	1.3	222	192	1.2	260	229	1.1

* If a youth had multiple complaints/placements during same FY, the location from the first complaint/placement will be used in order to count each youth only once in data.

¹ Post-removal detention placements are included in the pending placement data presented above.

Appendix R

ANNOTATED LIST OF FORMS/TOOLS PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED IN THE DATA RESOURCE GUIDE

The following represents a list of forms/tools that were previously published in the *Data Resource Guide*. A summary is provided for each and the forms/tools themselves can be accessed online at: <https://djs.maryland.gov/Pages/DRG-Forms.aspx>

1. Housing Classification and Reassessment

The DJS Housing Classification Assessment is completed for each youth admitted to a DJS detention facility and/or treatment program. The Assessment gives guidance towards the appropriate unit or room assignment that best meets the supervision level required for each youth.

2. Youth Vulnerability Assessment

The Youth Vulnerability Assessment tool used at admission to detention and treatment programs to assess a youth's risk of being victimized sexually or being sexually aggressive towards others.

3. Racial Impact Assessment Lens

The Racial Impact Assessment Lens (RIAL) is a custom tool of DJS. The lens guides DJS leaders and staff to examine and counter the adverse impact of agency policies and procedures on youth of color (YOC). The lens also identifies opportunities to meaningfully engage key stakeholder groups in the development and modification of policies as a value of race equity efforts. The DJS Office of Equity and Inclusion (OEI) provides technical assistance agency-wide in the application of the lens.

4. Human Trafficking Tier One Screening Tool

This tool is used to identify youth within the DJS system who are at high risk of sex trafficking victimization and flag them for additional assessment and services. Developed in partnership with TurnAround, Inc., and revised in collaboration with the University of Maryland's Support, Advocacy, Freedom, and Empowerment (SAFE) Center for Human Trafficking Survivors, the Tier One tool is an 11-item questionnaire administered to youth by DJS facility intake staff and Case Management Specialists (CMS) to help identify potential victims of both sex and/or labor trafficking. The tool is one part of a screening process in which the tool is administered by DJS staff and then reviewed by an anti-trafficking service provider.

5. Re-Entry Checklists (Pre-Discharge and Post-Discharge)

The Re-Entry Checklists are used by DJS case managers as part of the structured re-entry planning process. The Pre-Discharge checklist is completed as part of the re-entry staffing process, 45 days prior to release from a committed treatment program. It ensures that key items are accounted for and documented, including living arrangement, education or job readiness plans, and plans to address medical or mental health needs. The Post-Discharge checklist is completed 30 days after release, and is designed to capture information on whether the youth was successfully connected to needed somatic or mental health services, education enrollment or employment, and other needed services.

6. Maryland Comprehensive Assessment & Service Planning (MCASP) Risk & Needs Assessment

The MCASP Risk & Needs Assessment is a standardized juvenile justice risk/needs assessment utilized by DJS case managers to inform disposition and supervision/service decisions for adjudicated youth. Based on the validated Washington State Juvenile Court Assessment, it assesses the youth's level of risk for recidivism, as well as service needs related to the youth's risk for recidivism. This information is used to ensure that youth are supervised at an appropriate (least restrictive) level based on risk to public safety and to match youth to appropriate services that will address their needs, thereby reducing their risk for recidivism. This helps to both target resources and programming towards the highest risk youth, and to divert low-risk youth when appropriate.

A thorough validation study was completed by the University of Maryland School of Social Work's Institute for Innovations and Implementation. Validation analyses assess the predictive validity of the assessment (in this case to predict recidivism) and whether it adequately, and equitably, classifies youth by risk for reoffending (e.g., low, moderate, and high). Although the MCASP Risk & Needs Assessment was validated using rigorous statistical analysis in Washington State, it was slightly adapted for Maryland, making it important to validate the instrument for use with Maryland's youth.

Appendix S

TREATMENT PROGRAMS WITH ACTIVE CONTRACTS FOR SERVICES, FY 2022

The following is a list of programs with which DJS had active contracts for the provision of services to committed youth during FY 2022.

Foster Care	County
Board of Child Care	Balt. Co.
Children's Choice	Balti. City
Children's Home	Balt. Co.
Hearts & Homes for Youth - Family Ties	Mont.
Ment. MD-Balt Teens in Trans	Balt. Co.
PSI Services	Pr.George Co
Group Homes	County
ARC of Washington Co.	Washington
Board of Child Care	Balt. Co.
Cedar Ridge	Washington
Children's Home (GH & Tran)	Balt. Co.
Hearts and Homes	Mont/Pr. G
Making a Great Individual Contribution	Balt. City
Morning Star Youth Academy	Dorchester
Oak Hill House	Pr.George Co
One Love	Balt. City
Our House	Mont.
Rite of Passage - Silver Oak Academy	Carroll
St Ann's Center for Children and Youth	Pr.George Co
Therapeutic Group Homes	County
Arrow Child & Fam Ministries- Arrow Ascension	Balt. Co.
Avis Birely	Mont.
Board of Child Care	Balt.Co/ Car
Cedar Ridge	Washington
Mary's Mount Manor	An. Arund
Independent Living	County
Future Bound	Mont.
Mentor Maryland - Baltimore	Balt. Co.
New Pathways-Independ. Plus	Balti. Co.
Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs)	County
New Dir. Ches Tr. Ctr-Hickey	Balt. Co.
RICA Baltimore RTC	Balt. City
Sheppard Pratt Towson MANN	Balt. Co.
The Right Moves Ches Tr. Ctr-Hickey	Balt. Co.
Woodbourne	Balt. City
Psychiatric Hospitals	County
Clifton T. Perkins Hospital Center	Howard
Spring Grove Hospital Center	Balt. Co.

Out-of-State Hardware Secure RTC	State
George Junior Republic	PA
Rite of Passage -Canyon State	AZ
UHS of Del-Harbor Point Behav. Hlth	VA
UHS of Del-Laurel Oaks Behav. Hlth	AL
UHS of Del-SandyPines Treat Ctr	FL
Wolverine Human Services	MI
Woodward Academy, Clarinda and Mingus Mountain (Sequel Youth and Family Services)	IA
Out-of-State Staff Secure	State
Drug and Rehabilitation Services, Inc - Manos House	PA
George Junior Republic	PA
Natchez Trace Youth Academy	TN
New Outlook Academy	PA
Out-of-State Hardware Secure	State
Cornell Abraxas	PA

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services
FY 2022 Statistical Information

MISSION OF THE MARYLAND
DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES

By law, DJS is a child-serving agency responsible for assessing the individual needs of referred youth and providing intake, detention, probation, commitment, and aftercare services.

DJS collaborates with youth, families, schools, community partners, law enforcement, and other public agencies to coordinate services and resources to contribute to safer communities.

Intake & Community Supervision Information

COMPLAINT SOURCE, FY 2020-2022

Complaint Source	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Adult Court Transfers	1.8%	4.6%	3.9%
Citizen	1.8%	1.8%	3.6%
Police*	92.7%	90.7%	90.3%
Violation of Probation**	3.7%	2.9%	2.2%
Total Complaints	14,893	7,100	10,782

* Includes referrals from School Police and School Resource Officers

** Includes only technical violations

INTAKE COMPLAINT DECISION DEMOGRAPHICS,
FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	62.9%	58.1%	62.0%
White	28.1%	32.9%	28.2%
Hispanic/Other	9.1%	9.0%	9.8%
Sex			
Male	71.0%	74.7%	70.0%
Female	29.0%	25.3%	30.0%
Age			
11 and under	4.8%	3.8%	4.1%
12	5.0%	4.5%	6.0%
13	9.5%	7.1%	10.1%
14	14.1%	14.3%	14.8%
15	18.8%	17.4%	19.3%
16	21.7%	20.4%	20.7%
17	23.2%	27.4%	20.9%
18-20	2.8%	5.2%	4.2%
Total Complaints	14,893	7,100	10,782

Intake & Community Supervision (cont.)

CASE FORWARDING DECISIONS AND COURT ACTION
FOR FORMAELED CASES⁺, FY 2020-2022

Case Forwarding Decision	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Resolved	47.5%	47.0%	57.1%
Informaled	13.4%	11.5%	11.4%
Authorized Formal Petition	39.1%	41.5%	31.4%
Total Complaints	14,893	7,100	10,782
Court Action for Formaeled Cases			
Petition Withdrawn, Denied by SAO, Non Est	12.0%	10.4%	11.2%
Stet	9.5%	8.0%	10.6%
Jurisdiction Waived to Adult Ct	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%
Dismissed, Closed, Nolle Pros	33.3%	28.4%	20.3%
Pending Disposition*	5.6%	8.2%	19.8%
Services Not Ordered	1.7%	2.3%	1.0%
Probation	26.6%	28.0%	26.7%
Committed to DJS	10.0%	13.3%	9.1%
Committed to Other Agency	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Other**	0.7%	0.7%	1.2%
Total Formal Complaints	5,830	2,948	3,390

⁺ Reflects the ultimate disposition at court.

* Includes Continuance without DJS Supervision, Continued Cases, Waiver from Juvenile to Adult-Denied, Writ Pending, and Pending at the time of final data collection for the DRG. This number will change with court completions.

** Includes Commitment Rescinded-Aftercare Supervision, Jurisdiction Transferred, Interstate Courtesy, and Other.

OFFENSE CATEGORY, FY 2022

Offense Category* of the Most Serious Offense (VOPs categorized by original offense)	Intake Cases	Probation Dispositions ²	Commitment Dispositions ²
Crime of Violence	12.3%	16.4%	44.0%
Felony	8.8%	15.3%	16.8%
- Person-to-Person	1.7%	4.1%	3.6%
- Property	5.5%	5.3%	4.9%
- Drugs	1.3%	1.2%	1.3%
- Unspecified	0.2%	4.6%	7.1%
Misdemeanor	71.1%	68.0%	39.2%
- Person-to-Person	49.8%	47.0%	23.6%
- Property	18.2%	16.4%	10.4%
- Drugs	1.6%	1.3%	0.3%
- Unspecified	1.4%	3.3%	4.9%
Ordinance Offenses	0.6%	0.1%	0.0%
Citations	4.9%	0.2%	0.0%
CINS	2.3%	N/A	N/A
Interstate Warrant/Hold	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Complaints/ Dispositions	10,782	904	309

* See Appendices K and O for COV and other offense classifications.

¹ Alleged offenses

² Adjudicated offenses from FY 2022 complaints. Many are still pending court action.

Intake & Community Supervision (cont.)

AVERAGE CASELOAD^{*},
FY 2020-2022

	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Community	2,701.2	1,669.9	1,384.6
Pre-Court	582.6	220.2	305.1
Probation	1,605.4	1,069.5	815.2
Aftercare	513.2	380.1	264.3
Committed-Aftercare	314.7	146.5	132.7

* Average daily active cases

COMPLAINTS AND PLACEMENTS BY REGION/COUNTY,
FY 2022

County/ Region	Intake Complaints	Pre-D Detention Placements [*]	Post-D Det. Pending Placements [*]	Post- Removal Placements	Adult Court Placements	Committed Placements ^{***}
Region 1	1,413	75	35	6	12	33
Dorchester	337	19	6	1	2	6
Somerset	171	18	7	2	1	7
Wicomico	540	29	17	1	8	14
Worcester	365	9	5	2	1	6
Region 2	767	23	6	1	5	4
Caroline	137	12	4	1	0	2
Cecil	259	7	1	0	2	1
Kent	88	0	0	0	1	0
Queen Anne's	137	3	0	0	1	0
Talbot	146	1	1	0	1	1
Region 3	2,532	97	41	5	44	42
Baltimore Co.	2,232	79	36	3	39	33
Harford	300	18	5	2	5	9
Region 4	760	34	20	3	12	22
Allegany	274	11	13	1	2	11
Garrett	96	3	1	0	1	2
Washington	390	20	6	2	9	9
Region 5	1,435	38	25	1	24	30
Anne Arundel	966	32	24	1	13	28
Carroll	246	1	0	0	1	0
Howard	223	5	1	0	10	2
Region 6	1,240	48	23	1	24	21
Frederick	352	9	3	1	2	3
Montgomery	888	39	20	0	22	18
Region 7	1,891	79	25	3	60	34
Calvert	277	2	3	1	1	3
Charles	310	10	3	0	7	6
Prince George's	810	58	16	2	50	19
St. Mary's	494	9	3	0	2	6
Region 8	744	183	41	1	91	61
Baltimore City	744	183	41	1	91	61
Out-of-State	N/A ^{**}	49	6	0	40	13
Statewide	10,782	626	222	21	312	260

* Post-removal detention placements are included in the total.

** N/A: These Out-of-State youth are listed by county of Maryland court jurisdiction.

*** "Placements" reflect annual activity, and do not reflect just cases referred in FY, many of which also may not be placed.


Secure Detention Information

DJS owns and operates six detention facilities across the state. Each facility serves a population of youth awaiting disposition (Pre-D) and also houses youth who are Post-Disposition awaiting placement in a treatment program (pending).

PLACEMENT DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Youth Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	74.9%	76.1%	77.4%
White	15.7%	16.8%	13.8%
Hispanic/Other	9.5%	7.1%	8.8%
Sex			
Male	83.2%	84.5%	87.6%
Female	16.8%	15.5%	12.4%
Age			
11 and under	0.5%	0.1%	0.3%
12	1.6%	1.3%	1.4%
13	7.0%	4.6%	5.9%
14	12.0%	19.5%	14.0%
15	20.2%	26.2%	27.4%
16	22.1%	19.1%	18.6%
17	25.1%	18.4%	19.8%
18-20	11.5%	10.7%	12.7%
Total Placements from Juv. Court	1,755	831	731

PRE-D PLACEMENTS BY RISK LEVEL FOR YOUTH WITH A COMPLETED DRAI*, FY 2020-2022



*86.1% of Pre-D placements in FY 2022 had a completed DRAI.
**Data for FY 2022 is not comparable to prior years (including FY 2020 and FY 2021) due to the revalidated DRAI changes to score ranges. In FY 2022 the ranges were: Low 0-8, Moderate 9-13, High 14+. Previously they were Low 0-7, Moderate 8-12, and High 13+.

CAPACITY, ADMISSIONS, ADP, AND UTILIZATION, FY 2022*

Facility	Capacity	Admissions	ADP	Utilization
Alfred D. Noyes	29	74	6.1	21.1%
Baltimore City Juvenile Justice Ctr	120	328	48.9	40.7%
Charles H. Hickey School	72	189	39.6	55.0%
Cheltenham Youth Facility	72	253	32.4	45.0%
Lower Eastern Shore Children's Ctr	24	125	13.7	57.2%
Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Ctr	42	55	6.1	14.5%
Western MD Children's Center	24	91	12.3	51.3%
Total	383	997**	159.1	41.5%

*Includes youth charged as adults pending transfer. Waxter closed on 3/2/2022 and capacity of 42 was used for FY 2022 since it was used for the majority of the fiscal year. Noyes was temporarily closed during part of the fiscal year.
**Total represents placements, not admissions

Treatment Program Information

A range of out-of-home program options have been developed for youth committed to the custody of DJS by the juvenile court. Community-based program options include placement in a foster home, group home, or independent living program. Placements in non-community settings include Residential Treatment Centers (RTCs), DJS-operated Youth Centers, and secure confinement programs. DJS operated five treatment programs in Maryland during FY 2022. DJS also contracts with other programs both in state and out of state.

COMMITTED PLACEMENTS BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2020-2022

Demographics	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022
Race/Ethnicity			
Black	68.8%	63.4%	73.5%
White	21.7%	25.2%	15.4%
Hispanic/Other	9.6%	11.5%	11.2%
Sex			
Male	86.7%	85.1%	93.8%
Female	13.3%	14.9%	6.2%
Age			
11 and under	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
13	3.7%	2.3%	1.5%
14	10.7%	8.8%	9.6%
15	15.2%	22.9%	20.4%
16	25.0%	23.3%	23.1%
17	29.7%	29.4%	29.6%
18-20	15.0%	13.4%	15.8%
Total Placements	512	262	260

COMMITTED PLACEMENT LOCATIONS+ FOR FY 2020-2022, ADP AND ALOS¹, FY 2022


	Placements*			FY2022	
	FY2020	FY2021	FY2022	ADP	ALOS
Foster Care	9	4	2	2.7	1,183.8
Group Home**	177	82	79	40.6	197.6
Indep. Living	7	7	1	2.0	223.9
RTC	116	74	53	34.0	216.2
State Operated	200	104	125	45.7	134.9
Staff Secure	130	78	93	33.4	128.7
Hardware Sec.	72	30	34	12.3	144.8
Out-of-State	55	15	16	7.7	176.7
RTC	16	9	12	3.7	147.7
Staff Secure	27	5	4	1.3	175.2
Hardware Sec.	13	3	3	2.7	124.7
Independ. Liv.	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	512	262	260	132.7	202.5

* Totals presented in the table include each type of placement reported in that broad category; for example, "Independent Living" includes Independent Living as well as Alternative Living Units.
¹ ALOS is based on all releases: successful, unsuccessful, and transfer.
*All data exclude transfers within and between programs/program types and therefore may not add up to the totals presented. Placement data may not be comparable to DRGs prior to FY 2019.
** Data for Group Homes can not be compared to *Data Resource Guides* prior to FY 2021. Data for Silver Oak Academy and Intermediate Care Facilities for Addictions (ICFA) were reported separately in those *DRGs*. They were merged under Group Homes due to program certification and closures.

Financial Information

FY 2022 OPERATING EXPENDITURES*

TOTAL:
\$254.0 MILLION



* Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

STATE OPERATED FACILITY PER DIEMS AND AVERAGE ANNUAL COSTS^, FY 2022

	Total Expenditures	Capacity	Per Diem Cost Per Bed
Alfred D. Noyes Children's Center	\$7,914,705	29	\$747.73
Backbone Mt. Youth Center*	\$8,441,915	18	\$1,284.92
Balt. City Juvenile Justice Center	\$22,824,793	120	\$521.11
Charles H. Hickey, Jr. School	\$19,482,144	72	\$741.33
Cheltenham Youth Detention Ctr.	\$16,315,100	72	\$620.82
Green Ridge Yth. Ctr. & Mt. Quest	\$7,523,021	24	\$858.79
Lower Eastern Shore Child. Center	\$7,387,939	24	\$843.37
Mountain View*	\$1,906,888	6	\$870.73
Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Ctr.†	\$6,445,582	42	\$628.96
Victor Cullen Center*	\$9,138,590	18	\$1,390.96
Western MD Children's Center	\$6,249,663	24	\$713.43

^Since the FY 2019 DRG, the method used to calculate daily costs for facility operations has used the bed capacity: Total annual expenditures (not including education costs budgeted to MSDE)/365 days (non-leap year)/Number of beds.
*Capacity notes: Backbone MountainYC capacity was reduced from 24 to 18 on 8/20/2021 and increased to 21 on 5/23/2022; Green RidgeYC capacity increased from 18 to 21 on 5/23/2022; Victor Cullen Center capacity decreased from 48 to 18 on 8/20/2021. Mountain View operated with a capacity of 6 through 12/22/21 when it changed to a COVID-19 quarantine unit. Garrett Children's Center remained temporarily closed throughout FY 2022.
†Thomas J.S. Waxter Children's Center closed on 3/2/2022 and capacity of 42 was used for FY 2022 since it was used for the majority of the fiscal year. Calculations for per diem cost per bed used 244 operational days instead of 365.

Maryland Department of Juvenile Services
Division of Support Services
Redwood Tower
217 East Redwood Street
Baltimore, MD 21202
djs.maryland.gov

Baltimore County Arbutus Baltimore Highlands Bowleys Quarters Carney Catonsville Cockeysville Dundalk Edgemere Essex Garrison Hampton Kingsville Lansdowne Lochearn Lutherville Mays Chapel Middle River Milford Mill Overlea Owings Mills Parkville Perry Hall Pikesville Ranchleigh Randallstown Reisterstown Rosedale Timonium Towson White Marsh Woodlawn **Baltimore City** Arlington Armistead Gardens Belgravia Brooklyn Canton Carroll Cedarcroft Cherry Hill Cheswolde Clifford Curtis Bay Dickeyville Dixon Hill Dorchester Heights Fairfield Fallstaff Forest Park Gardenville Glenmore Park Guilford Gwynn Oak Hamilton Hampden Highlandtown Homeland Howard Park Hudson Heights Hunting Ridge Irvington Kenilworth Park Lakeland Lauraville Leahigh Melvale Montebello Park Morrell Park Mount Hope Mount Washington Mount Winans Norwood Heights Orangeville Ramblewood Rognel Heights Roland Park Ten Hills Violetville Walbrook Waverly West Baltimore West Forest Park Westport Wetheredsville Woodberry Woodhome Heights **Calvert County** Broomes Island Calvert Beach Chesapeake Beach Chesapeake Ranch Estates Drum Point Dunkirk Huntingtown Long Beach Lusby North Beach Owings Prince Frederick Saint Leonard Solomons West Beach **Caroline County** Choptank Denton Federalsburg Goldsboro Greensboro Henderson Hillsboro Marydel Preston Ridgely Templeville West Denton Williston **Carroll County** Eldersburg Hampstead Manchester Mount Airy New Windsor Ridgeville Sykesville Taneytown Union Bridge Westminster **Cecil County** Cecilton Charlestown Chesapeake City Elkton Elkton Heights Elkton Landing Hollingsworth Manor North East Perryville Port Deposit Rising Sun **Charles County** Benedict Bennisville Bryans Road Bryantown Cobb Island Hughesville Indian Head La Plata Pomfret Port Tobacco Potomac Heights Riverview Village Rock Point Saint Charles Waldorf Warington Hills **Dorchester County** Algonquin Brookview Cambridge Church Creek East New Market Eldorado Elliott Fishing Creek Galestown Hurlock Madison Secretary Taylors Island Vienna **Frederick County** Adamstown Ballenger Creek Bartonsville Braddock Heights Brunswick Buckeystown Burkittsville Clover Hill Discovery Emmitsburg Frederick Jefferson Libertytown Lingularo Middletown Monrovia Myersville New Market Point of Rocks Rosemont Sabillasville Spring Garden Estates Spring Ridge Thurmont Urbana Walkersville Woodsboro **Garrett County** Accident Bloomington Crellin Deer Park Finzel Friendsville Gorman Grantsville Hutton Jennings Kitzmiller Loch Lynn Heights Mountain Lake Park Oakland Swanton **Harford County** Aberdeen Bel Air Burns Corner Darlington Edgewood Fallston Havre de Grace Hickory Hills Jarrettsville Joppatowne Perryman Pleasant Hills Pylesville Riverside **Howard County** Columbia Elkridge Ellicott City Fulton Highland Ilchester North Laurel Savage Scaggsville **Kent County** Betterton Butlertown Chestertown Edesville Fairlee Galena Georgetown Kennedyville Millington Rock Hall Tolchester Worton **Montgomery County** Ashton Aspen Hill Barnesville Bethesda Broadwood Manor Brookeville Brookmont Burtonsville Cabin John Carroll Manor Chevy Chase Chevy Chase Section 4 Chevy Chase Section Five Chevy Chase Section Three Chevy Chase View Chevy Chase Village Clarksburg Cloverly Colesville Croydon Park Damascus Darnestown Deer Park Derwood Fairland Falls Orchard Forest Glen Four Corners Friendship Heights Friendship Village Gaithersburg Garrett Park Germantown Glen Echo Glenmont Glenora Hills Hillandale Hungerford Towne Kemp Mill Kensington Layhill Laytonsville Martins Additions Montgomery Village North Bethesda North Chevy Chase North Kensington North Potomac Olney Poolesville Potomac Potomac Woods Redland Rockcrest Rockland Rockville Rollins Park Rossmoor Sandy Spring Silver Rock Silver Spring Somerset South Kensington Spencerville Takoma Park Travilah Twin Brook Twin Brook Forest Washington Grove West End Park Westmore Wheaton White Oak Woodley Gardens **Prince George's County** Accokeek Adelphi Aquasco Baden Beltsville Berwyn Berwyn Heights Bladensburg Bowie Boxwood Village Branchville Brandywine Brentwood Brock Hall Calverton Camp Springs Capitol Heights Cedarville Cherry Hill Cheverly Chillum Clinton College Park College Park Woods Colmar Manor Coral Hills Cottage City Croom Daniels Park District Heights Eagle Harbor East Riverdale Edmonston Fairmount Heights Forest Heights Forestville Fort Washington Friendly Glassmanor Glenarden Glenn Dale Goddard Greenbelt Hardesty Hillcrest Heights Hollywood Hyattsville Kaywood Gardens Kettering Lake Arbor Landover Landover Hills Landover Park Langley Park Lanham Largo Laurel Marlboro Meadows Marlow Heights Marlyon Mitchellville Morningside Mount Rainier New Carrollton New Hampshire Gardens North Brentwood Oxon Hill Peppermill Village Queenstown Riverdale Park Rosaryville Seabrook Seat Pleasant South Laurel Springbrook Terrace Springdale Springhill Lake Suitland Temple Hills University Park Upper Marlboro Walker Mill West Laurel Westphalia Woodlawn Woodmore **Queen Anne's County** Barclay Centreville Chester Church Hill Grasonville Kent Narrows Kingstown Queen Anne Queenstown Stevensville Sudlersville **Somerset County** Chance Crisfield Dames Quarter Deal Island Eden Fairmount Frenchtown Mount Vernon Princess Anne Rumbley Smith Island West Pocomoke **St. Mary's County** California Charlotte Hall Golden Beach Leonardtown Lexington Park Mechanicsville Piney Point Saint George Island Tall Timbers **Talbot County** Cordova Easton Oxford Saint Aubins Heights Saint Michaels Tilghman Island Trappe **Washington County** Antietam Bagtown Bakersville Beaver Creek Big Pool Big Spring Blue Hill Boonsboro Breathedsville Brownsville Cascade Cavetown Cearfoss Charlton Chewsville Clear Spring Conococheague Dargan Downsview Eakles Mills Edgemont Ernstville Fairplay Fairview Fountain Head Funkstown Gapland Garretts Mill Greensburg Hagerstown Halfway Hancock Highfield Indian Springs Juxtown Keedysville Leitersburg Long Meadow Mapleville Maugansville Mercersville Mount Aetna Mount Briar Mount Lena Myersdale Orchard Hills Paramount Pecktonville Pinesburg Pondsview Reid Ringgold Robinwood Rohrsersville Rohrsersville Station Saint James San Mar Sandy Hook Sharpsburg Smithsburg Tilghmantol Trego Williamsport Wilson Yarrowsburg **Wicomico County** Allen Bivalve Delmar Fruitland Hebron Jesterville Lincoln Heights Mardela Springs Nanticoke Nanticoke Acres Parsonsburg Pittsville Powellville Quantico Salisbury Sharptown Spring Grove Tyaskin Waterview Whitehaven Willards **Worcester County** Berlin Bishopville Girdletree Newark North Ocean City Ocean City Ocean Pines Pocomoke City Snow Hill Stockton West Ocean City Whaleyville **Allegany County** Barreilville Barton Bel Air Bier Bowling Green Bowmans Addition Carlos Clarysville Corriganville Cresaptown Cumberland Danville Dawson Detmold Eckhart Mines Ellerslie Flintstone Franklin Frostburg Gilmore Grahamtown Klondike La Vale Little Orleans Lonaconing Luke McCoole Midland Midlothian Moscow Mount Savage National Nikep Ocean Oldtown Pleasant Grove Potomac Park Rawlings South Cumberland Spring Gap Vale Summit Westernport Woodland Zihlman **Anne Arundel County** Admiral Heights Annapolis Arden on the Severn Arnold Brooklyn Park Cape Saint Claire Crofton Crownsville Deale Eastport Edgewater Ferndale Forest Villa Friendship Galesville Gambrells Germantown Glen Burnie Green Haven Herald Harbor Highland Beach Hillsmere Shores Jessup Lake Shore Linthicum Londontowne Maryland City Mayo Odenton Parole Pasadena Primrose Acres Pumphrey Riva Riviera Beach Selby-on-the-Bay Severn Severna Park Shady Side South Gate Truxton Heights Tyler Heights Victor Haven Wardour West Annapolis **Baltimore County** Arbutus Baltimore Highlands Bowleys Quarters Carney Catonsville Cockeysville Dundalk Edgemere Essex Garrison Hampton Kingsville Lansdowne Lochearn Lutherville Mays Chapel Middle River Milford Mill Overlea Owings Mills Parkville Perry Hall Pikesville Ranchleigh Randallstown Reisterstown Rosedale Timonium Towson White Marsh Woodlawn **Baltimore City** Arlington Armistead Gardens Belgravia Brooklyn Canton Carroll Cedarcroft Cherry Hill Cheswolde Clifford Curtis Bay Dickeyville Dixon Hill Dorchester Heights Fairfield Fallstaff Forest Park Gardenville Glenmore Park Guilford Gwynn Oak Hamilton Hampden Highlandtown Homeland Howard Park Hudson Heights Hunting Ridge Irvington Kenilworth Park Lakeland Lauraville Leahigh Melvale Montebello Park Morrell Park Mount Hope Mount Washington Mount Winans Norwood Heights Orangeville Ramblewood Rognel Heights Roland Park Ten Hills Violetville Walbrook Waverly West Baltimore West Forest Park Westport Wetheredsville Woodberry Woodhome Heights **Calvert County** Broomes Island Calvert Beach Chesapeake Beach Chesapeake Ranch Estates Drum Point Dunkirk Huntingtown Long Beach Lusby North Beach Owings Prince Frederick Saint Leonard Solomons West Beach **Caroline County** Choptank Denton Federalsburg Goldsboro Greensboro Henderson Hillsboro Marydel Presto Ridgely Templeville West Denton Williston **Carroll County** Eldersburg Hampstead Manchester Mount Airy New Windsor Ridgeville Sykesville Taneytown Union Bridge Westminster **Cecil County** Cecilton Charlestown Chesapeake City Elkton Elkton Heights Elkton Landing Hollingsworth Manor North East Perryville Port Deposit Rising Sun **Charles County** Beedict Bennisville Bryans Road Bryantown Cobb Island Hughesville Indian Head La Plata Pomfret Port Tobacco Potomac Heights Riverview Village Rock Point Saint Charles Waldorf Warington Hills **Dorchester County** Algonquin Brookview Cambridge Church Creek East New Market Eldorado Elliott Fishing Creek Galestown Hurlock Madison Secretary Taylors Island Vienna **Frederick County** Adamstown Ballenger Creek Bartonsville Braddock Heights Brunswick Buckeystown Burkittsville Clover Hill Discovery Emmitsburg Frederick Jefferson Libertytown Lingularo Middletown Monrovia Myersville New Market Point of Rocks Rosemont Sabillasville Spring Garden Estates Spring Ridge Thurmont Urbana Walkersville Woodsboro **Garrett County** Accident Bloomington Crellin Deer Park Finzel Friendsville Gorman Grantsville Hutton Jennings Kitzmiller Loch Lynn Heights Mountain Lake Park Oakland Swanton **Harford County** Aberdeen Bel Air Burns Corner Darlington Edgewood Fallston Havre de Grace Hickory Hills Jarrettsville Joppatowne Perryman Pleasant Hills Pylesville Riverside **Howard County** Columbia Elkridge Ellicott City Fulton Highland Ilchester North Laurel Savage Scaggsville **Kent County** Betterton Butlertown Chestertown Edesville Fairlee Galena Georgetown Kennedyville Millington Rock Hall Tolchester Worton **Montgomery County** Ashton Aspen Hill Barnesville Bethesda Broadwood Manor Brookeville Brookmont Burtonsville Cabin John Carroll Manor Chevy Chase Chevy Chase Section 4 Chevy Chase Section Five Chevy Chase Section Three Chevy Chase View Chevy Chase Village Clarksburg Cloverly Colesville Croydon Park Damascus Darnestown Deer Park Derwood Fairland Falls Orchard Fores Glen Four Corners Friendship Heights Friendship Village Gaithersburg Garrett Park Germantown Glen Echo Glenmont Glenora Hills Hillandale Hungerford Towne Kemp Mill Kensington Layhill Laytonsville Martins Additions Montgomery Village North Bethesda North Chevy Chase North Kensington North Potomac Olney Poolesville Potomac Potomac Woods Redland Rockcrest Rockland Rockville Rollins Park Rossmoor Sandy Spring Silver Rock Silver Spring Somerset South Kensington Spencerville Takoma Park Travilah Twin Brook Twin Brook Forest Washington Grove West End Park Westmore Wheaton White Oak Woodley Gardens **Prince George's County** Accokeek Adelphi Aquasco Baden Beltsville Berwyn Berwyn Heights Bladensburg Bowie Boxwood Village Branchville Brandywine Brentwood Brock Hall Calverton Camp Springs Capitol Heights Cedarville Cherry Hill