

Md  
S7595  
.1  
.A615  
1984/85  
c.1

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE

MAR 10 1986

LIBRARY

REPORT

OF

THE STATE PROSECUTOR

May 7, 1984 - November 30, 1985

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE LIBRARY  
90 STATE CIRCLE  
ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21404

Office of the State Prosecutor  
Suite 103, Investment Building  
One Investment Place  
Towson, Maryland 21204

Telephone: (301) 321-4067

Stephen Montanarelli  
State Prosecutor

26579-17001

STEPHEN MONTANARELLI  
STATE PROSECUTOR

STATE OF MARYLAND



TELEPHONE:  
(301) 321-4067  
MARCOM 234-4067

OFFICE OF  
**THE STATE PROSECUTOR**  
SUITE 103  
THE INVESTMENT BUILDING  
ONE INVESTMENT PLACE  
TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204-4120

March 5, 1986

Librarian  
Department of Legislative Reference  
90 State Circle  
Annapolis, Maryland 21401

Dear Librarian,

Attached are five copies of the Report of the State Prosecutor, May 7, 1984 - November 30, 1985, in compliance with Article 40, Section 51, of the Annotated Code of Maryland.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Stephen Montanarelli".

STEPHEN MONTANARELLI  
State Prosecutor

SM:lk  
Attachments (5)

## INTRODUCTION

This report states the activities of the Office of the State Prosecutor for the eighteen month period between May, 1984 and November, 1985. The last report for calendar year 1983 was submitted by Gerald D. Glass, the first State Prosecutor in Maryland, who left office in April, 1984. His successor, Stephen Montanarelli, entered office on May 7, 1984, and this is his first report to the Governor, Attorney General and General Assembly as required by law.

The report includes a compilation of each significant investigation completed by the Office during the reporting period as well as those in progress at the end of the period. A Summary of the Workload of the Office includes the site of the investigation, persons charged or how the complaint reached the Office, the allegation, when it was initiated, what personnel were involved, the manmonths expended and the results. Although there are limitations on what matters can be revealed, it is deemed far more informative to depict activities in this way rather than the usual columns of statistics. No information has been included which would harm the confidentiality of an ongoing or completed investigation or reveal the identities of sources.

## POLICIES AND EMPHASIS

The incoming State Prosecutor established three major goals for the Office in the initial phases of his term. They are:

1. To develop the Office into a first-class investigative agency capable of handling any criminal matter in the State regardless of scope and complexity;
2. To maintain close working relationships with the State's Attorneys and to furnish investigative and auditing assistance to them upon request;
3. To serve as a centralized coordinating staff to law enforcement agencies conducting joint investigations.

### Investigative Capabilities

The chief reason for the establishment of the Office of the State Prosecutor was and still is to have a strong independent prosecutor with statewide jurisdiction insulated from political influence and pressure. There is little doubt that the Maryland Legislature has created a prosecutorial office as devoid of political considerations as it is reasonably possible to achieve through the legislation process. What the Legislature has not created is an office which has the resources commensurate with its autonomy.

Most persons familiar with the way in which law enforcement personnel work know that they deal with each other and with other agencies only if they "trust and respect" each other. Trust deals with integrity; respect deals with competency and capability. If other law enforcement agencies do not respect the Office of the State Prosecutor for its capability in the investigative area, it will become isolated and impotent. Therefore, the primary goal of the State Prosecutor in the early life of his administration was to develop the investigative capabilities of the Office to a high level of expertise and efficiency.

The Office is authorized three positions which can be used for investigative functions. Two are filled with accountants who are desperately needed for auditing financial records, leaving only one investigator available for and accountable solely to the State Prosecutor.

Presumably for cost-conscious reasons, the law establishing the Office states that the State Prosecutor "shall utilize the services and personnel of the office of the Attorney General, the Maryland State Police, and other established State and law enforcement agencies," and that, "these agencies shall, to the extent feasible, cooperate with the State Prosecutor and his staff." (Article 10, Section 33C, Annotated Code of Maryland).

No manager, particularly one charged with law enforcement responsibilities, can function in an environment in which he is continuously borrowing personnel from other agencies in order to perform basic functions. Other agencies have their priorities, commitments and budget limitations. The State Prosecutor's personnel requirements are not and should not be their burden.

This is not to say that the Attorney General, the Superintendent of the Maryland State Police, the Baltimore City Police Commissioner and the Baltimore County Police Chief have not been exceptionally generous and fully cooperative in assisting the State Prosecutor. In fact, they have never refused to supply personnel and, in most cases, their more experienced people have worked for long periods in the State Prosecutor's Office. The point is that the State Prosecutor must have a cadre of career-minded investigators who are completely under his authority. If he is to be held accountable for the quality and excellence of investigations conducted by his office, he must be able to select, train and supervise the investigators on his staff. No man or woman can serve two masters. It is folly to believe that we can violate this fundamental concept of good management and have an effective State Prosecutor's Office.

In order to overcome this serious deficiency in office resources, two additional investigator positions have been requested in the Fiscal Year 1987 budget. One of these is a high level position designed to attract an experienced professional who will coordinate all investigative functions of the Office. In time, this individual will not only be a source of knowledge and expertise recognized by other law enforcement agencies, but he will also represent a continuum of knowledge about the Office and its investigations for the benefit of future prosecutors and investigators.

The permanently assigned investigators will be augmented by four State Police Officers and one Baltimore City Officer placed under the operational control of the State Prosecutor. These officers are assigned for staggered terms to allow for continuity and they are normally drawn from the intelligence and investigative divisions of the departments. Their primary characteristics are that they are experienced in complex investigations and have displayed initiative and imagination in their former assignments. They must also be willing to work abnormal hours and be ready to perform their duties anywhere in the State on short notice.

#### Working Relationships With State's Attorneys

Forty investigative matters during the reporting period involved working relationships with State's Attorneys' offices. These ranged from a State's Attorney requesting the State Prosecutor to prosecute a case in which the State's Attorney had a potential conflict of interest to those cases in which extensive joint investigations were conducted. Some matters

involved preliminary inquiries which proved that there was no basis for a criminal investigation; others involved very lengthy investigations culminating in criminal charges. The Summary of Workload attached to this report gives some indication of the time and resources devoted to such matters by the State Prosecutor's Office.

So much of the work of the State Prosecutor involves other prosecutors, including the Attorney General and the United States Attorney, that it is essential to maintain close relationships. Additionally, many of the State's Attorneys do not have full time investigators and auditors and frequently call upon the State Prosecutor to analyze financial records.

The 40 investigations conducted in conjunction with or at the request of State's Attorneys' Offices were distributed during the reporting period as follows:

Baltimore County	8
Harford County	5
Baltimore City	4
Washington County	4
Carroll County	4
Anne Arundel County	3
Montgomery County	3
Calvert County	2
Howard County	2
Kent County	2
Wicomico County	1
Frederick County	1
Charles County	1
Allegany County	1

#### Joint Investigations and Coordination With Other Agencies

##### Quartermatch

The most conspicuous joint investigation involving the Office included 17 federal, state and local agencies and six subdivisions. In July, 1984 the State Prosecutor requested that the Attorney General expand his investigation of tax violations by distributors and vendors of gaming devices to include other law enforcement agencies. The Attorney General agreed and a consortium was formed to investigate major vending machine suppliers in the Baltimore metropolitan area who were believed to be involved in conspiracies to violate gambling and tax statutes and possibly bribery and extortion laws. The primary objective of the operation, which became known as "Quartermatch," was to deter proliferation of gambling devices such as video poker machines in bars, restaurants and clubs which blatantly violated the State's gaming statutes and accounted for millions of dollars of untaxed income. An ancillary objective was to determine if public officers or officials were being bribed or were soliciting bribes so that vendors and their customers could violate the law with impunity.

The basic structure of the joint investigation was composed of the chiefs of intelligence units from the Baltimore City, Baltimore County and Anne Arundel County Police Departments with the Deputy State Prosecutor, an Assistant Attorney General, Deputy State's Attorney Gerald Anders of Anne Arundel County, and the Maryland State Police providing prosecutorial assistance and coordination. The State Prosecutor's staff supplied clerical and auditing services. As evidence was developed in the field from undercover operations, this group planned additional phases and selected targets for search and seizure warrants.

On March 7, 1985 370 police officers, sheriffs' deputies and State Police executed 84 search warrants in Baltimore City, Baltimore, Anne Arundel, Carroll, Harford and Washington Counties. They seized 307 video poker machines, \$ 287,000 in gambling receipts and the business records of 11 video poker machine vendors and 70 bars and clubs operating machines for which payouts had been observed.

Following the seizures, the State Comptroller's Office furnished two auditors who were supplemented by four temporary auditors hired by the State Prosecutor. These auditors, working in the State Prosecutor's Office, analyzed the voluminous records seized in the March 7, 1985 raids and compiled the evidence necessary to prosecute the vending companies and levy tax assessments for non-payment of amusement and corporate taxes. These assessments, together with penalties and interest, were estimated to be in excess of two million dollars at the end of the reporting period, representing approximately 20 million dollars of unreported income!

As of November 30, 1985 Deputy State Prosecutor Gerald C. Ruter and Assistant Attorney General Dale Kelberman were preparing the cases for grand jury hearings.

At the conclusion of the State's investigation, all incriminating evidence will be referred to the Internal Revenue Service. Their agents have been given access to the seized records and they have expressed an interest in federal tax liabilities.

The 17 agencies cooperating in this investigation are:

State Agencies

Attorney General's Office  
State Prosecutor's Office  
Comptroller of the Treasury  
Maryland State Police

City and County Agencies

State's Attorney of Anne Arundel County  
Anne Arundel County Police Department  
State's Attorney of Baltimore City  
Baltimore City Police Department  
State's Attorney of Baltimore County  
Baltimore County Police Department  
State's Attorney of Carroll County  
State's Attorney of Harford County  
Harford County Sheriff's Office  
State's Attorney of Washington County  
Hagerstown City Police Department

Federal Agencies

Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Middle Atlantic Great Lakes  
Organized Crime Law Enforcement Network

### State-Federal Investigations

There have been two joint investigations involving the State Prosecutor's Office, United States Attorney's Office, Federal Bureau of Investigation and representatives of the Baltimore City Police Department temporarily assigned to the State Prosecutor's Office. In these investigations the State Prosecutor, an Assistant State Prosecutor and agents of the Office have been cross-designated as Assistant United States Attorneys and federal marshals respectively.

One of the investigations is inactive and the other is continuing. Both have generated close confidential working relationships between the agencies.

### State-County Investigation (Charles County)

An investigation of gambling in Charles County initiated in January, 1984 was concluded in March, 1985. The State's Attorney of Charles County had requested prosecutorial and investigative assistance from this Office due to the nature and scope of the investigation.

During the investigation, \$ 200,000 in cash and 24 video poker machines were seized in raids by the State Police. Nineteen persons were eventually charged with gambling violations and 17 were convicted. County Commissioner Marland Deen was convicted of possession of a slot machine by a Charles County jury and sentenced to 60 days in jail by Judge C. Clarke Raley. Deputy State Prosecutor Gerald C. Ruter tried the case.

### OTHER COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS

Among the completed investigations during the reporting period, some required significant expenditures of time and money. Others are noteworthy in that they reflect examples of the need for a statewide prosecutor's office.

#### Bribery and Misconduct In Office

The State Prosecutor was established primarily to investigate corruption by public officials. This is reflected in legislation authorizing the State Prosecutor to investigate offenses affecting government on his own initiative; that is, such crimes as bribery, misconduct in office, and violations of public ethics and election laws.

Four investigations resulted in bribery charges of which one has resulted in conviction, two are pending trial and one is before a grand jury. They are as follows:

#### State vs. Leo J. Konopacki, Jr.

This case involved a 17-year veteran State Police Officer assigned to the Automotive Safety Enforcement Division. He was convicted of soliciting bribes and misconduct in office while inspecting an automotive service station certifying vehicles as safe for registration. The investigation was conducted by two State Prosecutors, Gerald C. Ruter and Bernard Penner, and three Baltimore City Police Officers, Det. Sgt. James Cabezas, Det. Steven Burrier and Det. Charles Walas, temporarily assigned to this Office. Konopacki pleaded guilty and is presently serving 90 days in a federal prison to which he was transferred by the Division of Correction.

State vs. Robert J. Bricker, Sr.

This case involves the owner of a bar in Baltimore City charged with attempting to bribe a City Police Sergeant. It is scheduled for trial on February 18, 1986.

State vs. Kenneth Davis, Timothy B. and Chester D. Wilton

This case involves a City Jail inmate who is charged with two City Jail employees of bribing them while he was sentenced to a work-release program. It is scheduled for trial on March 17, 1986 in Baltimore City. Assistant State Prosecutor Thomas M. McDonough will prosecute the case.

Dwight Walker, Jr. and Edward Marcus

Both Walker and Marcus were arrested on October 29, 1985 and charged with bribery. The charges have been nol prossed by this Office so that a Baltimore City Grand Jury can conduct an extensive investigation of this case. Assistant State Prosecutor Thomas M. McDonough, City Police Detective Sergeant John E. Gavrilis and City Auditor Phillip Lombardo are presenting evidence to the Grand Jury.

Solicitation to Murder (State vs. Giuseppe Priola)

In September, 1984 Giuseppe Priola was convicted by an Anne Arundel County jury of solicitation to murder, solicitation to commit arson and conspiracy to commit arson. This case was investigated by this Office at the request of the State's Attorney for Anne Arundel County because of alleged influence by out-of-state criminal elements with the defendant and with certain pizza establishments throughout Maryland. Deputy State Prosecutor Gerald C. Ruter prosecuted the case and conducted the investigation with undercover agents from the State Police. Priola was sentenced by Judge Raymond Thieme to five years in the Division of Correction.

Misappropriation by Fiduciary (State vs. Bernard Goldberg, Sr.)

This case was referred to the State Prosecutor by the State's Attorney of Howard County. At the time of the initial complaint, the defendant was the Public Defender for Howard County and the State's Attorney saw a potential conflict of interest. The defendant is accused of misappropriating and stealing \$ 691,000 from clients and he is scheduled for trial on February 24, 1986. Assistant State Prosecutor Bernard Penner and Deputy State Prosecutor Gerald C. Ruter will prosecute the case.

Cooperation with New Jersey State Police

This Office has participated with the Maryland and New Jersey State Police in an investigation of Maryland operators of junkets to the Atlantic City casinos. The New Jersey Attorney General launched an investigation of the Atlantic City junket industry and claimed that it had been infiltrated by organized crime which threatened the integrity of the state's casino industry. Our Office was asked by the Maryland State Police to give advice and assistance on search warrants executed in Maryland and to maintain contacts with the New Jersey prosecutors. Junket licenses issued by the New Jersey Casino Control Commission are extremely lucrative according to New Jersey authorities and the holders of such licenses are likely targets of extortion.

Eastern Shore Slot Machines

Shortly after this Office entered into the investigation of video poker machine gambling, the State Police requested our assistance in the execution of search warrants in five (5)



Eastern Shore counties. Probable cause for the 24 warrants was based on State Police observations of payouts on slot machines in 24 fraternal and private organizations in Queen Anne's, Talbot, Dorchester, Caroline and Somerset Counties.

The owners and lessees of the 160 slot machines which were seized contended that a section of the State's gambling laws exempted them from the provisions making possession of slot machines illegal. This Office disagreed and filed for forfeiture of all machines. However, we did not recommend prosecution of anyone connected with the slot machines since there was a question as to whether the organizations intended to violate the law.

An effort is being made to transfer all of the forfeiture proceedings to Worcester County Circuit Court and consolidate them in a single hearing. Three of the County Circuit Courts have transferred their cases with two pending. All parties have stipulated as to the facts so that the hearing can focus on the legal issues. Assistant State Prosecutors Bernard A. Penner and Thomas M. McDonough will represent the State.

#### INVESTIGATIONS IN PROCESS

##### Grand Jury Proceedings

At the close of the reporting period, the State Prosecutor's Office was participating in investigations before five grand juries in Baltimore City, St. Mary's County, Howard County, Baltimore County and Anne Arundel County.

The Baltimore City Grand Jury, as stated previously in this report, is investigating a bribery case.

The St. Mary's County Grand Jury has issued more than 100 subpoenas in an investigation initiated by this Office.

In Howard County, the Office was completing an investigation requested by the State's Attorney of Howard County. This was to determine whether or not additional persons were involved in the theft of money from the County Finance Department and whether any of the stolen funds could be recovered. This investigation began in April, 1985.

In Baltimore County, the Office is conducting a joint investigation with the County Police.

The tax fraud and gambling investigation known as "Quartermatch" began with the Anne Arundel County Grand Jury and we anticipate presenting evidence before grand juries in other jurisdictions in January and February, 1986.

##### Other Matters

At the close of the reporting period, there were six investigations in process which had not reached the grand jury stage. Since some of them are in the initial stages of evidence gathering, it would not be proper to make any comments. They are listed in the Summary of Workload in order to project personnel requirements for the remainder of Fiscal Year 1986 and for Budget Year 1987, starting July 1, 1986.

## ORGANIZATION AND PERSONNEL

Attached to this Report is an Organization Chart of the State Prosecutor's Office as of December 1, 1985 (Appendix I). It shows the small permanent staff of ten people: four prosecutors, two auditors, one investigator, one administrative aide, and two stenographers. This is expanded as the workload requires by additional investigators and auditors borrowed from other agencies.

### Deputy State Prosecutor

One of the organizational changes initiated in the last year was to create the position of Deputy State Prosecutor, who oversees all operations and acts for the State Prosecutor in his absence. This insures that there is always one person available in the Office who is familiar with all investigations and can make decisions in emergencies. Gerald C. Ruter, who has been with the Office since March, 1978, is the Deputy. Mr. Ruter is one of the most experienced prosecutors in Maryland in the investigation and prosecution of cases involving corruption in public office.

### Assistant State Prosecutors

Thomas "Mike" McDonough joined the staff as an Assistant State Prosecutor on September 4, 1984, and Bernard A. Penner on November 5, 1984. McDonough was a member of the State's Attorney Sandra O'Connor's Investigative Division in Baltimore County. Penner's previous experience was with the Attorney General's Office in the Investigative and Appellate Divisions. McDonough replaced Harlan I. Ettinger, who is now working for the New Jersey Attorney General's Office. Penner replaced Charles B. Frey, who left the Office after five years to practice law in Frederick County.

### Auditors

Marcelino V. Ferrer is in his fifth year as Chief Auditor of the State Prosecutor's Office. During the reporting period, he has had a number of assignments in which he rendered technical assistance to State's Attorneys and police departments in addition to his regular duties. On December 10, 1984, Leslie K. Volkmar, a graduate of Loyola College's Business Administration Course and a Certified Public Accountant, joined the staff.

### Investigator

Charles R. Walas, a 19-year veteran of the Baltimore City Police Department, was hired as an investigator for the Office on September 16, 1985. Walas is no stranger to the Office since he has been on loan from the Inspectional Services Division of the Police Department for a number of years.

### Administrative Staff

No changes were made in the administrative staff when the newly appointed State Prosecutor entered into office in May, 1984. Linda Kratz has been with the Office since its inception, Denise Rutkowski has been in her position since July, 1978, and Deborah Constable has been in her position since July, 1982.

### Police Personnel

The Investigation Division of the Maryland State Police, under Captain Merrill A. Messick, Jr., assigns personnel to the Office from his division according to workload requirements. In May, 1984 there were two State Police Sergeants on duty with the Office. The unit was increased to three as the number of active investigations increased. As of January 1, 1986, four officers will be assigned. They are:

Detective Sergeant William L. Jacobs  
Corporal Ronald W. Hinkel  
Corporal Sherry P. Bosley  
Trooper First Class Henry E. Bowker

In addition to the State Police, the State Prosecutor and Baltimore City Police Commissioner Bishop L. Robinson have a working agreement whereby one Baltimore City Police Officer is assigned to the State Prosecutor's Office for mutual assistance. He is Detective Sergeant John E. Gavrilis, who reports to Major Elbert F. Shirey, Chief, Inspectional Services Division.

### PLANS AND RESOURCES

The Office is steadily outgrowing the space and equipment which it has. An administrative aide and two stenographers could be better served by word processing equipment. This equipment tied into a micro-computer could not only eliminate unnecessary tedious clerical work, but also track evidence and the progress of investigations. It would also enable prosecutors and investigators to update their files and prepare legal documents automatically.

Space has also become a serious office management problem. The types of investigations underway have produced evidence control and storage problems due to the quantities of documents seized and obtained by legal process. The Space Management Office is trying to locate additional space for this Office in the Investment Building.

Budgeting is always a problem with an investigative function. A modest annual budget of \$ 455,717 leaves no flexibility for the unforeseen expenses constantly generated by prosecutors and investigators pursuing investigative leads. The Office has no confidential funds, which poses problems when undercover operations require funding.

These and other problems will not be resolved in Fiscal Year 1987, because additional personnel and proper salary structures are the primary management goals.

### SUMMATION

The State Prosecutor's Office has had a very active 18-month period. The workload has steadily increased necessitating many temporary assignments of personnel from other agencies. This is considered a good sign since it enhances our working relationships with law enforcement agencies throughout the State.

More importantly, the number and types of investigations conducted have improved the capabilities of the Office and contributed to the expertise of its personnel.

In total numbers, there were 54 complaints referred to the Office which required the opening of case files. Forty-three of these investigations were completed and 11 are still in process.

In the 43 completed investigations, 17 resulted in criminal charges or some type of judicial process being initiated. The remaining 26 were closed or made inactive because of insufficient evidence to proceed further. The results of the 17 cases in which some action was taken can be obtained by referring to the Summary of Workload. The 11 open files are listed in the Summary under Investigations In Process. As stated before, five of these investigations are now in grand jury proceedings.

In addition to the above, 42 complaints did not result in the opening of files, but required some work to resolve. This usually meant sending an investigator to interview the complainant and to make preliminary inquiries. If the Office had no jurisdiction, the complainant was referred to those agencies which do have jurisdiction. If the complaint did not amount to a criminal matter, the complainant was informed and a memorandum was filed.

The Office also received 30 complaints involving election law violations. Seventeen of these have been resolved and most of them involved the payment of fines for late filings of campaign reports.

There has been no attempt made to count the numerous telephone calls and letters received from citizens who have non-criminal complaints against public officials or other citizens. These are usually referred to a prosecutor and answering them represents a significant amount of the prosecutor's time. However, we have found no easy way to resolve this problem since the complainants usually insist on receiving the prosecutor's opinion. As taxpayers and our ultimate employers, we believe that they are entitled to a reasonable amount of access time to our prosecutors.

This concludes the State Prosecutor's Report for the last seven months of 1984 and the first 11 months of 1985. We hope that we can continue the same high level of activity in 1986.

OFFICE OF THE STATE PROSECUTOR

As of January 1, 1986

State Prosecutor  
S. Montanarelli

Deputy State Prosecutor  
G. Ruter

Administrative Aide  
L. Kratz

Steno/Leg.  
D. Rutkowski

Steno/Leg.  
D. Constable

Asst. State Prosecutor  
T.M. McDonough

Asst. State Prosecutor  
B. Penner

Chief Auditor/Investigator  
M. Ferrer

Investigator  
C. Walas

BCPD Police  
Det/Sgt. J. Gavrilis

MSP Unit  
Det/Sgt. W. Jacobs

Auditor/Investigator  
L. Volkmar

Cpl. S. Bosley

Cpl. R. Hinkle

TFC W. Bowker

## OFFICE OF THE STATE PROSECUTOR

## SUMMARY OF WORKLOAD

May '84 - Nov. '85

JURIS.	INVESTIGATIONS DEFENDANTS	CRIMES	INITIATED	PERSONNEL ASSIGNED	MANMONTHS EXPENDED		MANMONTHS PROJECTED		PERSONS CHARGED	PERSONS CONVICTED	STATUS/RESULTS
					FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87			
<u>COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS</u>											
Anne Arundel County	BASSETT, Robert	Theft	FY84	1 Prosecutor 1 Auditor	3.0 2.0				1	1	10 yrs DOC.; 6½ yrs. Suspended \$22,450 Restitution
Harford County	JONES, Jeffrey	Sex Offense	FY84	1 Prosecutor	2.0				1	1	Reversed on Appeal 11/85;
Anne Arundel County	PRIOLA, Guisepp	Sol./Murder	FY84	1 Prosecutor 3 Investigators	3.0 6.0	.5 .5			2	1	5 yrs. DOC
Calvert County	JACKS, Timothy	Traffic	FY85	1 Prosecutor		.1			1	1	PBJ
Baltimore County	BARRESI, Rosaric	Inc. Tax Fraud	FY84	1 Investigator 1 Prosecutor 1 Auditor	1.0 .5 1.0	.1			1	1	6 months PBJ
Baltimore County	SMITH, Richard A. GERMANAKOS, John NICHOLS, George	Inc. Tax Fraud	FY84	1 Prosecutor 1 Auditor 1 Investigator	.5 1.0	.1 .1			3	3	6 months Suspended each; \$41,000 fines, \$31,690 Restitution to State
Charles County	DEEN, Marland - Et.al.	Gambling	FY84	1 Prosecutor 1 Auditor 3 Investigators	3.0 2.0 3.0	2.0 1.0			19	17	Jail and \$14,000 fines, \$200,000 and 24 slot machines forfeited as to certain defendants.

May '84 - Nov. '85

NO.	JURIS.	INVESTIGATIONS DEFENDANTS	CRIMES	INITIATED	PERSONNEL ASSIGNED	MANMONTHS EXPENDED		MANMONTHS PROJECTED		PERSONS CHARGED	PERSONS CONVICTED	STATUS/RESULTS
						FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87			
		<u>COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS</u>										
	Baltimore County	SELLARS, Eugene Et.al.	Theft	FY84	1 Prosecutor 1 Investigator	1.0 1.0	.25			5	5	2 jail sentences BCJ, 2 Suspended, 1 PBJ
	Baltimore City	KONOPACKI, Leo	Misconduct & Extortion	FY85	2 Prosecutors 3 Investigators		2.0 2.3	.2 .1		1	1	3 yrs. DOC Suspended; 90 days Federal Prison System
0	Baltimore City	BRICKER, Robert J., Sr.	Bribery Gambling	FY85	1 Prosecutor 3 Investigators		.5 1.0	.2 .1		1		Trial Date 2/17/86
1	Baltimore City	WILTON, Timothy B. WILTON, Chester D. DAVIS, Kenneth	Bribery Bribery	FY85	1 Prosecutor 2 Investigators		2.0 2.0	.5 .7		3		Awaiting Trial
2	Harford County	CAMPASINO, Edward	Theft	FY84	1 Prosecutor 2 Investigators	3.0	1.0 1.0	.2 .2		1	1	Sentence Pending
3	Baltimore City	SCHAEFER, John A. SANDERS, Troy	Gambling Gambling	FY86	1 Prosecutor 1 Investigator			.5 1.0		2	2	Schaefer PBJ, \$100.00 fine Sanders PBJ

May '84 - Nov. '85

NO.	JURIS.	INVESTIGATIONS DEFENDANTS	CRIMES	INITIATED	PERSONNEL ASSIGNED	MANMONTHS EXPENDED		MANMONTHS PROJECTED		PERSONS CHARGED	PERSONS CONVICTED	STATUS/RESULTS
						FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87			
<u>COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS</u>												
4	Howard County	GOLDBERG, Bernard, Sr. -	Misappropriation by Fiduciary	FY85	1 Prosecutor 2 Investigators 1 Auditor		2.0 3.0 .75	1.0 1.0		1		Awaiting Trial
5	Kent County	WILTBANK, James L. -	Theft	FY85	1 Prosecutor		.2			1	1	PBJ
6	Baltimore County	MATTHEW, David H. -	Theft	FY82	1 Prosecutor		.1			1	1	2 Years Suspended
7	Baltimore County	Cooperation with New Jersey State Police - File #9-84 -	Extortion/Inc. Tax Fraud	FY84	1 Prosecutor 1 Auditor 4 Investigators	1.0 1.0 2.0	.5 .5 1.0					Inactive
3	Baltimore City	Joint Investigation with Federal Agencies - File #6-82 -	Bribery	FY82	1 Prosecutor 3 Investigators 1 Auditor	.5 1.0 1.0	2.0 .5 1.0					Inactive
2	State Agency	Referred from Attorney General - Misc. File -	Bribery	FY84	1 Prosecutor 1 Auditor 2 Investigators		.5 .1	.1				Closed - Insufficient Evidence
1	State Agency	Referred from District Court Auditors - File #5-84-	Misconduct	FY84	1 Prosecutor 2 Investigators 2 State Auditors	.5 1.0 1.0	.5 1.0 .5					Closed - Insufficient Evidence



May '84 - Nov. '85

JURIS.	INVESTIGATIONS		INITIATED	PERSONNEL ASSIGNED	MANMONTHS EXPENDED		MANMONTHS PROJECTED		PERSONS CHARGED	PERSONS CONVICTED	STATUS/RESULTS
	DEFENDANTS	CRIMES			FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87			
<u>COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS</u>											
Washington County			FY84	1 Prosecutor 2 Investigators	.1 .2						Closed - Insufficient Evidence
Referred from State's Atty. Misc. File - Misconduct/Assault											
Baltimore County			FY84	1 Prosecutor 2 Investigators	.25 .5						Closed - No Evidence of Theft
Referred from State's Atty. Theft of Atty's File - Theft											
Carroll County			FY84	2 Investigators	.25						Closed - No Evidence of Misconduct
Citizen's Complaint (Zoning) - Misconduct											
Montgomery County			FY84	1 Prosecutor 2 Investigators	.25 .75						Closed - Insufficient Evidence
Referred from State Police Misc. File - Misconduct											
State Agency			FY85	2 Investigators		.2					Closed - Insufficient Evidence
Referred from State's Atty. of Harford County - Theft											
Baltimore County			FY85	1 Prosecutor 2 Investigators		.25 .4					Closed - Insufficient Evidence
Referred by State's Atty. - Citizen's Complaint of ASA Baltimore City - Misconduct											
Frederick County			FY85	2 Investigators		.25					Closed - No evidence of Misconduct
Citizen's Complaint - Zoning - Misconduct											

May '84 - Nov. '85

JURIS.	INVESTIGATIONS		INITIATED	PERSONNEL ASSIGNED	MANMONTHS EXPENDED		MANMONTHS PROJECTED		PERSONS CHARGED	PERSONS CONVICTED	STATUS/RESULTS
	DEFENDANTS	CRIMES			FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87			
<u>COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS</u>											
	<u>Allegheny County</u>										
	Referred by State's Atty. -	Rape	FY85	1 Prosecutor		.2					Closed - Victim withdrew Complaint against Police Officer
	<u>Montgomery County</u>										
	Referred by State's Atty. -	Rockville Official - Misconduct	FY85	1 Prosecutor 1 Investigator		.1 .6					Closed - No Evidence of Misconduct
	<u>Baltimore City</u>										
	Referred by Comptroller -	Misconduct	FY85	1 Prosecutor 1 Investigator		.1 .2					Closed - No Evidence of Misconduct
	<u>Washington County</u>										
	Law Enforcement Officer's	Complaint - Misconduct	FY85	2 Investigators		.25					Closed - No Evidence of Misconduct
	<u>Howard County</u>										
	Citizen's Complaint, Admin. of	Estate - Misconduct	FY85	2 Investigators		.2					Closed - No Evidence of Misconduct
	<u>State Agency</u>										
	Legislator's Complaint -	Misconduct	FY85	1 Prosecutor		.5					Inactive

May '84 - Nov. '85

NO.	INVESTIGATIONS			PERSONNEL ASSIGNED	MANMONTHS EXPENDED		MANMONTHS PROJECTED		PERSONS CHARGED	PERSONS CONVICTED	STATUS/RESULTS
	JURIS.	DEFENDANTS	CRIMES		FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87			
	<u>COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS</u>										
	Queen Anne's County Talbot County Dorchester County Caroline County Somerset County Referred by State Police - Gambling			FY85 2 Prosecutors 2 Auditors		3.0 1.5	2.0				24 Search Warrants Executed 161 Slot Machines Seized Forfeiture Proceedings Pending.
5	Carroll County Citizen's Complaint - Election Law Violation			FY85 1 Prosecutor 1 Investigator		.5 .5					Closed - No Violations Found
5	Wicomico County Referred by State Police - Misconduct			FY85 1 Prosecutor 2 Investigators		.75 1.5					Closed - Insufficient Eviden.
7	Baltimore County Joint Investigation with County Police - Misconduct			FY85 1 Prosecutor		.25					Closed - Insufficient Eviden
3	Kent County Referred by State's Atty. - Misconduct			FY85 1 Prosecutor		.25					Closed - No Evidence of Misconduct
3	Harford County Citizen's Complaint - Zoning - Misconduct			FY85 1 Prosecutor 2 Investigators		.1 .2					Closed - No evidence of Misconduct

May '84 - Nov. '85

JURIS.	INVESTIGATIONS		INITIATED	PERSONNEL ASSIGNED	MANMONTHS EXPENDED		MANMONTHS PROJECTED		PERSONS CHARGED	PERSONS CONVICTED	STATUS/RESULTS
	DEFENDANTS	CRIMES			FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87			
<u>COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS</u>											
Washington County	Referred by State's Attorney -	Theft	FY85	2 Auditors		.25					Closed - Insufficient Evidence
St. Mary's County Charles County Talbot County	Referred by State Police - Multi-	County Thefts	FY85	1 Prosecutor		.2					Coordination & Technical Assistance
Frederick County	Public Official's Complaint -	Misconduct	FY85	1 Prosecutor 2 Investigators		.1 .3					Inactive - Insufficient Evidence
Worcester County	Public Official's Complaint -	Misconduct	FY85	1 Prosecutor			.25				Inactive - Insufficient Evidence
Election Law Violations	Referred by State Admin. Board &	County Boards	FY84 FY85 FY86	1 Prosecutor	.25	.1	.2	.4			30 Complaints 17 Resolved 13 Pending FY86
Misc. Complaints Requiring Interviews - No Files Opened			FY84 FY85 FY86	1 Prosecutor 2 Investigators	.8 .5	.5 .25	.5 .25	.5 .25			FY85 42 Actual FY86 45 Est. FY87 60 Est.



May '84 - Nov. '85

O.	JURIS.	INVESTIGATIONS DEFENDANTS	CRIMES	INITIATED	PERSONNEL ASSIGNED	MANMONTHS EXPENDED		MANMONTHS PROJECTED		PERSONS CHARGED	PERSONS CONVICTED	STATUS/RESULTS
						FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87			
		<u>INVESTIGATIONS IN PROCESS</u>										
		Confidential -	Misconduct	FY85	2 Prosecutors 2 Investigators	.5 .25		4.0 4.0	2.0 2.0			Confidential
		Howard County	Referred by State's Attorney - File #5-85 Theft	FY85	2 Prosecutors 2 Investigators 1 Auditor		1.0 .25 .5	1.0 2.0 .5				Grand Jury
		Washington County	Referred by State's Attorney - Joint Investigation with Police Department - Misconduct	FY85	1 Prosecutor		.25	1.0				Active
		Baltimore County	Joint Investigation with County Police - Ethics Law Violation, Misconduct	FY86	2 Prosecutors 1 Auditor 2 County Police Investigators			3.0 2.0 4.0				Grand Jury
		Calvert County	Referred by State's Attorney - Misconduct	FY86	1 Prosecutor 2 Investigators 1 Auditor			3.0 3.0 1.0	1.0 2.0			Active
		State Agency	Referred by Baltimore County State's Attorney, Joint Investigation with State Police File #8-85 Misconduct	FY86	1 Prosecutor			1.0				Active

May '84 - Nov. '85

O.	JURIS.	INVESTIGATIONS DEFENDANTS	CRIMES	INITIATED	PERSONNEL ASSIGNED	MANMONTHS EXPENDED		MANMONTHS PROJECTED		PERSONS CHARGED	PERSONS CONVICTED	STATUS/RESULTS
						FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87			
<u>INVESTIGATIONS IN PROCESS</u>												
11	Baltimore County	Citizen's Complaint - Misconduct		FY86	2 Prosecutors			1.0				Preliminary Inquiries
Total estimated workload based on investigations completed and in process for 18 months. (May 7, 1984 - Nov. 7, 1985)					Prosecutors	16.7	35.5	38.6	15.0	44	36	5 awaiting trial 1 defendant acquitted 1 defendant failed to appeal for trial 1 defendant had charges dropped by State
			Supervision & Administration	2.0	12.0	12.0	12.0					
			Total Prosecutors Manmonths	18.7	47.5	50.6	27.0					
			Total Auditors Manmonths	9.5	30.5	58.5	8.0					
			Investigators Investigative Supervision, Administration & Training	20.3 .4	57.7 2.5	61.4 2.5	20.3 2.5					
			Total Manmonths	48.9 <sup>1</sup>	138.2	173.0 <sup>2</sup>	57.8 <sup>2</sup>					
<p>Note 1: Based on investigations in process as of May 7, 1984; no reliable data for entire FY84.</p> <p>Note 2: Based on investigations in process as of Dec. 1, 1985.</p> <p>Note 3: Estimates do not include vacations, personal leave days, compensatory time or sick leave.</p>				<u>Total Non-Clerical Personnel</u> <sup>3</sup>		11.5	14.4					
				Prosecutors		4.0	4.2					
				Auditors		2.5	4.9					
				Investigators		5.0	5.3					