# DU NOT GAGULATE REFERENCE USE ONLY

COPY 1

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE

MAR 9 1983

LIBRARY

STATE PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE

1982 ANNUAL REPORT

January 1, 1982 - December 31, 1982

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE LIBRARY 90 STATE CIRCLE ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21404 Md 57595 11 A615

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	p.	1
Fiscal and Staff Considerations	p.	1
Performance Considerations	p.	2
Conclusion	p.	3
Appendixes		
Appendix A: Defendants Charged	p.	4
Appendix B: Defendants Convicted	p.	5
Appendix C: Monies Recovered	p.	6

## INTRODUCTION

This Annual Report is being provided in accordance with the provisions of Article 10, Section 33B(j) and Article 40, Section 51 of Annotated Code of Maryland and covers the activities of the State Prosecutor's Office for the 1982 calendar year. It should be noted that those matters which are confidential in nature are not described in this report.

## FISCAL AND STAFF CONSIDERATIONS

The budgets under which the Office operated for the 1982 calendar year continued to provide for the same staff size as existed in the previous two (2) years, The State Prosecutor, three (3) Assistant State Prosecutors, three (3) Investigators, three (3) Secretaries, and two (2) part-time Law Clerks.

In a continuing effort to have a staff of a sufficient size to be able to investigate effectively all matters which are within the jurisdiction of the office, non-budgeted investigative personnel have been added to the staff through the assistance of the Baltimore City Police Department, and the Maryland State Police.

During the 1982 calendar year, the Baltimore City Police
Department and the Maryland State Police assigned two detectives
each to the office. Both agencies also provided significant
additional personnel as needed on a case-by-case basis. In
addition, other State and local agencies which provided assistance
in the investigative personnel area during the year include the
Maryland State Comptroller's Office, the Baltimore City Comptroller's
Office, the Maryland Automobile Insurance Fund, the Anne Arundel
County Police Department and the Annapolis City Police Department.

During the 1982 calendar year, this office had occasion to work with the investigative personnel of several federal agencies, including the Federal Bureau of Investigation, U.S. Customs, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, the Internal Revenue Service and the Inspector General's Office of the Department of Housing and Urban Development, on cases being investigated by the State Prosecutor's Office.

In the area of fiscal management this office assumed, to the extent practible, the day to day fiscal operations of the office. It should be noted that the time demands of other workload requirements prohibited this office from assuming total responsibility for the office's fiscal operations as recommended by the recent legislative audit. In an attempt to fully implement the recommendations of the legislative audit, this office included a request for a part-time fiscal clerk's position in its FY 1984 budget.

#### PERFORMANCE CONSIDERATIONS

Again in calendar year 1982, the greatest expenditure of investigative and prosecutorial manpower was directed to those areas of criminal activity which are perceived to have the greatest impact upon the operation and integrity of the State and local governments. These areas included the bribery of public officials and employees; fraud in contractual relationships between the State of Maryland and private entities which provided services and goods to the State of Maryland and the defrauding of State agencies of monies and benefits by recipients not entitled to benefits and monies received.

During the calendar year 1982, this office's investigations resulted in 55 charging documents containing 258 counts being filed against 18 individuals for violations of the criminal laws of the State of Maryland. (See Appendix "A").

During this same period, the prosecutions conducted by this office resulted in the conviction of 8 individuals on 18 charging documents containing 93 counts of criminal violations. (See Appendix "B"). These convictions resulted in the imposition of sentences involving incarceration totalling over 22 years, probation totalling 38 years, and 2000 hours of community service. These convictions also resulted in the imposition of fines, orders of restitution, etc. totalling \$317,241.20. (See Appendix "C").

In addition to the above, the investigations and prosecutions conducted by this office have also permitted the State to pursue additional claims against convicted defendants in the amount of \$252,000 for unpaid taxes and over charges. Also an investigation conducted by this office resulted in the recovery of over \$300,000.00 in stolen checks, stocks and bonds of which \$200,000.00 were Maryland State obligations.

In compliance with the requirements of Section 33B(m), I continued my policy of meeting regularly with the various State's Attorneys on an individual as well as on a group basis through the auspices of the Maryland State's Attorney's Association's Board of Directors. I also continued to meet frequently with the Attorney General. Aside from the meetings required by investigative matters, meetings were also held to

discuss mutual interests in proposed legislation and to promote the exchange of information and general law enforcement concerns.

In a continuing effort to accomplish the purposes of this office, I continued to participate in the Federal-State Law Enforcement Committee for Maryland. The purpose of this Committee is to improve communications between Federal and State law enforcement officials and to provide a continuing forum in which to discuss and resolve common problems, to set and coordinate priorities, to exchange information about policies and programs, to find ways to fill law enforcement voids created by the budgetary limitations and resource allocations and to determine and arrange joint legislative initiatives.

Finally, this office extended its services to the State's Attorney's Coordinator in his attempts to provide continuing legal education in the area of how to prevent, detect and prosecute white collar crime.

### CONCLUSION

The calendar year 1982, represented a year of significant accomplishment for the State Prosecutor's Office during which it demonstrated its effectiveness and ability to accomplish its stated purposes.

Respectfully submitted,

GERALD D. GLASS

State Prosecutor for the State of Maryland

## APPENDIX A

# DEFENDANTS CHARGED

Number of Defendants Charged During Period:	18
Number of Charging Documents Filed During Per	riod: 55
Counts:	
Perjury Bribery Conspiracy Obstruction of Justice Tax Fraud Licensing Violations Obliterated Serial Numbers Theft Failure to File Campaign Fund Report Misconduct in Office Fraudulent Misappropriation by Fiduciaries	56 3 8 4 11 2 19 117 4 5 29
TOTAL NUMBER OF COUNTS	258

# APPENDIX A

## DEFENDANTS CHARGED

Number of Defendants Charged During Period:	18
Number of Charging Documents Filed During Pe	riod: 55
Counts:	
Perjury Bribery Conspiracy Obstruction of Justice Tax Fraud Licensing Violations	56 3 8 4 11 2
Obliterated Serial Numbers Theft	19 117
Failure to File Campaign Fund Report Misconduct in Office	4 5
Fraudulent Misappropriation by Fiduciaries	_29
TOTAL NUMBER OF COUNTS	258

## APPENDIX C

# MONIES RECOVERED

ANNUAL YEAR 1982

Fines: \$ 31,200.00

Restitutions: 285,876.20

	FINES	RESTITUTION	COURT COSTS
DIFFENDERFFER (Theft from Governmental Agency)	) T	\$187,723.37 13,999.90	
FOWLER (Multi-jurisdictional Theft)	\$15,200		х
GAIN (Theft from Governmental Agency)	10,000	84,153.83	Х
GOFF (Multi-jurisdictional Theft)	1,000		X
LOMAX (Bribery)	5,000		\$135.00
TOTALS:	\$31,200	\$285,876.20	\$135.00

TOTAL MONIES: \$317,241.20