



**State of Maryland
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL**

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE PEOPLE'S INSURANCE COUNSEL DIVISION

Fiscal Year 2022

I. INTRODUCTION

The People’s Insurance Counsel Division in the Office of the Attorney General (hereinafter referred to as the “Division” or “PICD”) submits this annual report as required by the Maryland General Assembly.¹ On or before the first of January of each year, the Division reports on its activities for the prior fiscal year. This report covers the time period from July 1, 2021, through June 30, 2022.

A. Statutory Basis and Funding

The Division was created in 2005 with the enactment of the Maryland Patients’ Access to Quality Health Care Act of 2004 (hereinafter referred to as “Act”).² The provisions of the Act relating to the Division have been codified in Md. Code Ann., State Government Section 6-301 through 6-308.

Funding of the Division is provided through a People’s Insurance Counsel Fund consisting of funds collected by the Maryland Insurance Commissioner (hereinafter referred to as the “Commissioner”) through an annual assessment from each medical professional liability insurer and homeowners insurer issuing policies in the State. The purpose of the Fund is to pay the costs and expenses of the Division in carrying out its duties.³

B. Statutory Duties

The duties of the Division include evaluation of each medical professional liability insurance and homeowners insurance matter pending before the Commissioner to determine whether the interests of insurance consumers are affected.⁴ The Division has explicit statutory authority to conduct investigations and request the Commissioner to initiate an action or proceeding to protect the interests of insurance consumers (hereinafter referred to as “insurance consumers”, “consumers” and/or “consumer”). If the Division determines that a rate increase is adverse to the interests of consumers, its representative shall appear before the Commissioner at any hearing on the rate filing. At any time, the Division may conduct investigations and request

¹ Md. Code Ann., State Government § 6-308.

² The Act was introduced as an emergency measure as House Bill 2 in a 2004 Special Session of the Maryland General Assembly convened on December 28, 2004. The Bill passed and was enacted in 2005 over the Governor’s veto with an effective date of January 11, 2005. The Act was amended in 2005, effective March 31, 2005, by another emergency measure, House Bill 836.

³ Md. Code Ann., State Government §§ 6-304 and 6-305. Because the duties of the Division only involve two types of insurance, homeowners insurance and medical professional liability insurance, the insurers who are assessed for the Fund are limited to the insurers issuing those types of policies in Maryland.

⁴ Md. Code Ann., State Government § 6-306(a). The Act defines insurance consumers as those insured under homeowners policies or medical professional liability insurance policies.

the Commissioner to initiate an action or proceeding to protect the interests of insurance consumers.⁵

In any appearance before the Commissioner or the courts, the Division has the rights of counsel for a party to the proceeding, including summoning witnesses, cross-examination of witnesses, presenting evidence and argument.⁶ The Division may also take depositions in proceedings before the Commissioner and in proceedings in court, in accordance with applicable law and procedure.

The Division “shall have full access to the Commissioner’s records,” including rate filings, and shall have the benefit of all other information of the Commissioner.⁷ The Division is entitled to the assistance of the Commissioner’s staff provided that the assistance is consistent with the staff’s responsibilities and with the respective interests of the staff and the Division.⁸

The Division may recommend legislation on matters that promote the interests of insurance consumers in Maryland.⁹

In 2011, Counsel was granted access to vendor explanations of catastrophe models but also required to maintain the confidentiality of them.¹⁰

II. DIVISION STAFF AND BUDGET

During the 2022 Legislative Session, Dorianne A. Meloy was confirmed with the advice and consent of the Maryland Senate as the People’s Insurance Counsel. Additional staff members include an assistant attorney general, an analyst/investigator, whose primary responsibilities include review of homeowners and medical liability insurance filings, and a management associate who provides office support including consumer contact.

Three actuarial firms provided consulting services to the Division by reviewing rates and other documents that are filed by insurers issuing policies in Maryland. The following consultants were selected for their expertise in property and casualty rate filings: Kufera Consulting, Inc., Madison Consulting Group, Inc., and Taylor and Mulder, Inc.

⁵ The Division’s duties are described in Md. Code Ann., State Government § 6-306.

⁶ Md. Code Ann., State Government § 6-307.

⁷ Md. Code Ann., State Government § 6-307(c). The Division’s access to information is only limited by applicable statutes in the Insurance Article and the Maryland Public Information Act, General Provisions Article, §§ 4-101 to 4-601.

⁸ Md. Code Ann., State Government § 6-307 (c)(2).

⁹ Md. Code Ann., State Government § 6-307(d).

¹⁰ 2011, chapter 154 —see Md. Code Ann., Insurance §19-211.

III. DIVISION RESPONSIBILITIES

The Division concentrates its efforts in several areas:¹¹

- Review of consumer complaints filed with the Maryland Insurance Administration (hereinafter “MIA”) relating to homeowners insurance and medical professional liability insurance;
- Response to concerns of consumers who contact the Division;
- Review of rate, rule and form filings in those two lines of insurance;¹²
- Review of proposed legislation and participation in the legislative process, as required, to represent consumer interests;
- Investigation of issues generated by patterns identified in consumer complaints and rate filings;¹³
- Review of “lack of good faith complaints” under Insurance Article Section 27-1001;¹⁴ and
- Outreach to consumers.

A. Review of Consumer Complaints filed with the MIA

After a consumer has initiated a complaint with the MIA regarding the action of an insurance company, the MIA conducts an investigation and issues a determination letter to the complainant and insurer at the completion of its investigation. MIA supplies the letters to the Division on a regular basis, enabling the Division to do timely reviews of all the letters to identify issues that potentially impact a number of consumers and to assess the existence of patterns of insurer conduct contrary to the insurance laws. The complaints primarily relate to the cancellation

¹¹ The Division has interpreted its statutory authority to include the review of any matter before MIA that impacts homeowners and medical professional liability policyholders. This decision derives from the Division’s broad mandate to review “each medical professional liability insurance and homeowners insurance matter pending before the Commissioner.” Md. Code Ann., State Government § 6-306(a). Rate filings are reviewed pursuant to a specific mandate to “review any rate increase of 10 percent or more filed with the Commissioner by a medical professional liability insurer or homeowners insurer.” Md. Code Ann., State Government § 6-306(a).

¹² In this Report, references to “Rate Filings” shall mean all filings made under Insurance Article, Title 11, including new and revised rates, rating rules, policy forms and supplementary rate information.

¹³ Several of these are discussed in greater detail later in the Report.

¹⁴ Md. Code Ann., Ins. Art. § 27-1001, effective October 1, 2007.

or non-renewal of coverage, increase in premiums, modifications of coverage, claim denials or claim settlements.

It has become the practice of the Division to issue its own explanatory letter and printed materials to the majority of individuals who have received MIA determination letters.¹⁵ The Division's letter explains that a staff member is available to discuss a consumer's right to an administrative hearing and explains applicable statutory and regulatory frameworks for hearings. Through calls from consumers who have received the Division's letter, the Division obtains additional information about company practices beyond the information detailed in the determination letters themselves. The Division's review of the determination letters and its conversations with affected consumers has provided an opportunity to understand the broader impact of insurers' procedures and policies in making underwriting and claims decisions.

The Division routinely advises consumers that it does not provide legal representation for individuals in their disputes with insurers. The Division does provide information to assist consumers in filing their requests for hearings and aids consumers in understanding the process for using Maryland's Public Information Act to obtain the relevant MIA files regarding their complaints.

In addition to the initial explanatory letter, the Division, upon being notified by the MIA that a complainant has sought and been granted a hearing, sends a letter offering to assist the complainant in understanding the hearing process. The Division has developed a handout about the process so that complainants may better understand how the hearings are conducted. Additionally, the Division has sample subpoena and discovery forms for complainants' use in summoning witnesses and obtaining additional documents for their hearings. The Division attorneys also review Final Orders issued by the Commissioner after hearings held at the MIA or delegated to hearing officers at the Office of Administrative Hearings.

In FY22, the Division reviewed 317 homeowners insurance complaint determination letters and one (1) medical professional liability insurance-related complaint determination letters issued by MIA. In FY22, most of those complaints involved either consumer dissatisfaction with the handling or payment of a claim, with the action taken by an insurer to cancel insurance coverage or decline to renew coverage, or premium increases. At the determination letter stage, the MIA found violations of Maryland insurance laws in none (0) of the complaints. The Division reviewed 38 final orders from administrative hearings on these complaints; the MIA found a violation of Maryland insurance laws in none (0) of these matters. Of the follow-up letters issued by the Division to consumers, 56 contacted the Division to discuss their complaints further.

¹⁵ Division letters are not sent to all individuals. Among those who do not receive letters are those whose complaints have been resolved in their favor, who have withdrawn their complaints, or who have replaced their coverage resulting in an MIA letter stating that the issue is rendered moot, and no remedy is available.

B. Response to Consumers who Initiate their Complaints with the Division

Periodically, consumers contact the Division to discuss their concerns with their insurance companies that are not related to a complaint filed with MIA. These calls typically concern homeowners insurance issues similar to those addressed to the MIA in complaints.¹⁶ Division attorneys advise callers about options that are available for resolution of their complaints, including policy appraisal procedures and use of public adjusters for claims resolution. The attorneys also often refer consumers to the MIA website for further information about resolving claims through the Rapid Response Program, how to file a formal complaint and/or how to file a lack of good faith complaint. Because Division attorneys do not represent individual consumers, the Division has referred callers to the Maryland Courts Self-Help Centers for limited no-cost legal advice in civil matters. PICD also provides consumers with contact information for the Maryland State Bar Association's and county bar associations' Attorney Referral Programs. When appropriate, the Division has exercised its statutory authority to request review by the MIA. The Division responded to 58 consumer calls during the fiscal year.

C. Division Review of Rate Filings

Insurance companies issuing homeowners policies in Maryland are required by Title 11 of the Insurance Article to file with the Commissioner all rates, supplementary rate information, policy forms, endorsements and modifications of any of these documents.¹⁷ Homeowners insurance is subject to the competitive ratings laws. Insurers are allowed to use the filed rates without obtaining the prior approval of the Commissioner.¹⁸ All policy forms must be approved by the Commissioner before use in Maryland.

Insurance companies issuing medical professional liability insurance policies in Maryland are required by statute to obtain the approval of the Commissioner before using rates, rules, policy forms and any modifications of such documents.¹⁹ These filings may not take effect until 30 working days after filing with the Commissioner.²⁰

The Division reviewed a total of 427 insurance filings for FY22. The Division expressed concern about several filings either directly to the company or to MIA. If the company did not address the Division's concerns, the Division contacted MIA. Accordingly, the Division did not initiate any hearings in FY22 on these filings.

¹⁶ Consumers calling the Office are the Attorney General are often directed to the Division regarding insurance complaints other than homeowners or medical malpractice. The Division attorneys respond to those calls also, redirecting the consumers to other resources that may be of assistance to them.

¹⁷ Md. Code Ann., Ins. Art. § 11-206.

¹⁸ Md. Code Ann., Ins. Art. § 11-307.

¹⁹ Md. Code Ann., Ins. Art. § 11-206(a).

²⁰ Md. Code Ann. Ins. Art. § 11-206(g).

Homeowners Insurance

The Division reviewed 300 new homeowners filings made with the MIA during the fiscal year. These filings included rate increases and decreases, new rating rules, rule changes, new policy forms, and revisions to policy forms. The Division selects for further review filings in which the insurers are requesting increases or decreases of over ten (10) percent on all or some policyholders, or when significant increases or decreases have been requested in successive years. Typically, the effect of a rate, rule or form change on consumers is not easily ascertained without in-depth analysis of the filing. The three actuarial consulting firms previously mentioned, each under contract with the Division, are referred significant filings that require actuarial review.

As found in prior years, the Division's consultants determined that multiple filings did not include adequate supporting actuarial data; therefore, the Division's consultants generated questions on the filed documents and made requests for additional supporting information. The Division, through its staff or consulting actuaries, advised the MIA of inquiries forwarded to the insurers. With few exceptions, the Division consultants received satisfactory responses from the insurers' actuaries. When necessary, the insurance company after responding to PICD's actuary consultants' inquiries, filed additional or corrected information with MIA.

Significant Homeowners Filing Issues

Predictive Modeling

A large number of insurers use non-catastrophe predictive models (known as generalized linear models) in setting their rates. The data used in these models is extensive and difficult to evaluate. In light of insurers' increased use of these models, staff members engage in continuing education to better understand the issues presented by modelling.

During Fiscal Year 2018, the MIA Associate Commissioner for Property and Casualty notified the Division that it was implementing procedures to disclose proprietary rate-related information ("PRRI"), pursuant to Md. Code Ann., Ins. Art. §11-307(c)(3)(iii), although it was not obligated to do so. Generally, MIA has disclosed PRRI when the Division sees reference to it in the public section of a filing and requests it. The Division has no knowledge which filings have confidential information unless the public sections available to the Division make reference to it.

Rating Factors

A significant number of companies use 'age of insured' and 'marital status' as factors in calculating their rates.²¹ Division actuaries have been analyzing the use of the factors in the filings

²¹ The marital status factor first came to the Division's attention in Fiscal Year 2017 when a consumer complaint was made by a widow who realized her homeowners premium increased when her husband died. Maryland Insurance Article 27-501(e-2)(7) now prohibits an insurer from increasing the homeowner's insurance premium on an insured who becomes a surviving spouse based solely on the insured's change in marital status (enacted 2019).

of multiple companies. PICD actuaries have noted that the generalized linear models currently employed by companies do generate correlations between age of insured and insurance losses. The correlations, however, are not consistent across the industry as one might expect.²² Some companies' models reveal higher losses for individuals over forty (40) and therefore have rating factors increase with age of insured. Others decrease the factors for individuals of retirement age. PICD continues to survey companies about the actuarial bases for their use of these factors, anticipating that more industry data will assist PICD in the evaluation of the validity of these factors.

The Division has also noticed some other unusual rating factors being used by companies, including various crime statistics, job types, education levels, poverty levels, and the use of 9-digit zip codes that may negatively affect Maryland insurance consumers. Further, the Division has noted some companies are excluding coverage for virtual currency and brought this trend to MIA's attention²³.

Medical Professional Liability Insurance

There are significantly fewer medical professional liability insurance filings received each year by MIA as compared to homeowners insurance filings. The Division reviewed 59 new filings made by medical professional liability insurers during the fiscal year. The Division's consultants reviewed the medical professional liability filings in the same manner as the homeowners filings; requests for additional documentation were sent to the insurers with copies to MIA actuaries. PICD noted that filing provisions included matters such as a communicable disease exclusion mandatory endorsement, provides a discount for part-time coverage for professionals who temporarily limited their practice due to the impact of the pandemic, or the filing indicated that actuarial estimates for rates were subject to uncertainty from various sources including but not limited to the Covid-19 pandemic.

D. 2022 Legislative Session

During the 2022 Legislative Session, the Division conducted an initial review of 10 bills with a potential impact on homeowners or medical professional liability insurance; PICD determined that seven of those bills did not relate to its' legislative mandate. Four of the bills are detailed here.

The Division monitored the progress of four bills during the Session:

HB 553 – Condominiums – Mandatory Insurance Coverage – Alterations. This bill related to the insurance policy that must be held by a condominium association, rather than an individual unit

²² For example, when it comes to fire risk, there is agreement in the industry that the older a home, a roof, or the greater distance to a fire hydrant, the higher the risk.

²³ On July 11, 2022, MIA issued a consumer advisory. See, <https://insurance.maryland.gov/Consumer/Documents/publications/ConsumerAdvisory-HomeownersandCrypto.pdf>

owner's policy. The bill had a hearing in the House Economic Matters Committee, but it does not appear that it was ever called for a vote.

SB 52 – Real Property – Satisfaction of a Mortgage – Required Homeowner's Insurance (Cassidy's Law). This bill would require a residential property owner to maintain a homeowners insurance policy for liability purposes after all mortgages have been satisfied. The bill received an unfavorable report from the Senate Finance Committee; no action was taken in the House of Delegates.

SB 185 – Maryland Insurance Administration – Virtual Hearings. This was a departmental bill from MIA to allow the Insurance Commissioner to direct that an administrative hearing be held virtually, and other related provisions. The Division monitored the bill to review concerns about public access to computers and the internet. At a legislative hearing, MIA indicated that it has computer access and staff assistance available at its office for consumers when needed.²⁴ These concerns were addressed by amendments to the bill. The bill ultimately passed both chambers and was signed into law by Governor Hogan. It became effective on July 1, 2022.

SB 207 – Insurance Carriers and Managed Care Organizations – Cybersecurity Standards. This was also a departmental bill from MIA to establish certain cybersecurity standards applicable to insurance carriers generally, but primarily directed at health maintenance organizations and third-party administrators. The Division monitored the progress of this bill, as a number of smaller homeowners insurance companies expressed concerns about the compliance requirements and suggested amendments to the bill. The legislation passed in both the House and the Senate and was signed into law by Governor Hogan. It became effective on October 1, 2022.

E. Division Review of Section 27-1001 Complaints

In 2007, the General Assembly amended the Insurance Article to provide policyholders a procedure for review when they believe their insurer has not acted in good faith. The provisions, codified in Section 27-1001 of the Insurance Article,²⁵ and accompanying regulations,²⁶ require a policyholder to file a complaint with the MIA, with supporting documentation, stating the facts underlying the allegation that the insurer failed to act in good faith. This procedure is only available to a policyholder. Injured third parties--*e.g.*, a neighbor with damage to their home—may not file under Section 27-1001.²⁷ MIA issued decisions in 28 consumer-generated complaints of lack of

²⁴ Maryland Insurance Administration – Virtual Hearings: Hearing on S.B. 185 Before the H. Comm. on Health and Gov't. Operations, 2022 Leg., 444th Sess. (Md. 2022).

²⁵ Md. Code Ann., Ins. Art. § 27-1001.

²⁶ COMAR 31.08.11.

²⁷ As an alternative to filing under Section 27-1001, consumers may file a regular complaint with MIA alleging that an insurer has failed to act in good faith. The list of unfair claim settlement practices in Section 27-303 was amended in 2007 to add “fail to act in good faith.” Like Section 27-1001, an insurer can be found in violation of failing to act in good faith when the consumer who makes the allegation is the policyholder of that insurer (first party claims). An

good faith on a homeowners insurance matter under Section 27-1001; the consumer prevailed in none (0) of those decisions in FY22.²⁸

IV. INVESTIGATIONS

During FY22, the Division investigated eighty-six (86) matters. PICD investigations may include matters raised in filings, complaints, or consumer calls. Investigations are commenced when the Division identifies an issue in an insurance complaint that potentially affects a broad number of consumers or requires a more in-depth review of a consumer complaint. These investigations are usually prompted by contact from the consumer who filed the complaint, but sometimes arise from consumers who contact the Division before a complaint is filed with the MIA. Additionally, a few investigations are commenced from a pattern or practice noted by the Division following review of numerous determination letters from particular insurance companies.

Investigations are more intensive than much of the Division's other consumer-assistance practices, such as responding to complainants' inquiries about MIA complaint and hearing practices. For example, investigations often require Division personnel to obtain MIA investigative files, request additional documents from insurers, conduct interviews and do additional background and legal research in order to fully assess an MIA decision's impact on consumers.

In FY22, PICD investigated forty-three (43) allegations raised in determination letters and one (1) prompted by cold calls by consumers directly to the Division. As part of a more in-depth review of a consumer complaint, PICD routinely makes public information act requests (PIA)²⁹ to MIA to obtain the investigative file of consumer complaint. PIA files often contain a substantial number of documents concerning the issues raised in the complaint. The files routinely contain the consumer's complaints, the company's response (may exclude confidential documents), and MIA's determination and related documents. PICD reviews the files to better understand the issues raised by the consumer and the insurance companies' actions. In addition, as indicated previously, the Division has several continuing projects regarding homeowners insurance practices affecting consumers.³⁰

Finally, the Division considers in-depth reviews of rate filings by PICD consulting actuaries to be investigations. In FY22, actuarial consultants reviewed 36 new filings, five (5) of

insurer cannot be held in violation of the law for failing to act in good faith if the person who suffered a loss and filed a claim is not the policyholder of the insurer (a third-party claim).

²⁸ MIA did not routinely advise the Division if there are any pending Section 27-1001 matters before the Commissioner. The Division periodically checked the MIA website for these matters concerning homeowners or medical professional liability insurance. In FY23, the Division met with MIA to discuss MIA's 27-1001 process and developed a process for the Division to receive notification and copies of the filings and final orders.

²⁹ When PICD reviews a PIA file to better understand the issues raised, it is now designated as a PICD investigation (eff. FY22 annual report).

³⁰ Age of insured, marital status, communicable disease and virtual currency rating factors and exclusions from coverage policy availability are some of the continuing projects.

which were continuing filing investigations from FY21. PICD reviews all filings and when necessary, PICD may contact the insurance company or contact MIA directly, rather than refer the matter to a consulting actuary. PICD investigated an additional six (6) rate filings internally in FY22.

The Division conducted extensive investigations into cases involving resolution of claims, non-renewals of policies and policyholder access to policy documents. The Division also monitored several matters in which a homeowner requested an administrative hearing.

As noted previously in this report, PICD actuaries routinely contact companies regarding the insufficiency of the actuarial bases for their filings or apparent errors in calculations. In the vast majority of cases, the companies supply PICD with the additional and/or corrected information sufficient for PICD actuaries to do a complete analysis of the filing.

The Division has several long-term investigations as noted above. In particular, the Division continues to monitor filings from insurers who employ ‘age of insured’ and ‘marital status’ as rating factors in the determination of premium rates. One of the Division’s consulting actuaries is available to assist the Division in assessing whether these factors generate rates that are unfairly discriminatory in violation of Maryland’s insurance laws.

The Division had in its prior annual reports stated a goal to obtain data on ratios of consumer complaints to number of policyholders for homeowners insurance companies.³¹ The Division reviewed the data provided by MIA in its annual reports (at appendix 3) for FY17-FY21³². The reports listed 3,092 complaints filed by homeowners. The Division reviewed the five companies that had at least one complaint for each complaint year and/or more than 15 complaints in total to determine if the individual companies were within the same company group. It was determined that none of the five companies had the same group name or code. The Division’s review did not indicate that any specific insurance company or insurance company group had an egregious number of complaints such that a clear pattern of complaints warranted additional action at this time. The Division will continue its review of complaints filed with MIA by homeowners and will evaluate any impact on consumers.

V. CONSUMER ASSISTANCE EFFORTS

The Division has determined that there is a benefit to Maryland consumers for the Division to participate in consumer events as well as developing educational materials posted on its webpage. The Division refined and supplemented its webpages on the OAG website in FY22. In FY22, the Division explored additional technology to reach consumers and engaged in consumer

³¹ PICD was unable to commence this project previously due to limited personnel and time spent on the implementation of a new case management system.

³² The number of homeowner’s policies issued by each individual insurance company per fiscal year was not included as a data point in MIA’s Homeowner’s Chart. Rather MIA reported the overall premium value for each individual insurance company that MIA had received a homeowners’ complaint for that year. This data was sufficient for PICD to review the trend of the number of complaints by homeowners against insurance companies in the Maryland homeowner’s insurance market.

events. The Covid-19 pandemic greatly reduced the number of available in-person events for consumer outreach. The Division is committed to consumer outreach including in person events that will provide information to consumers and provide consumers with an opportunity to provide feedback to the Division.

The Division has continued dialogue with MIA representatives in order to better represent consumer interests. The Associate Commissioner for Consumer Advocacy and Education has advised PICD on efforts by MIA to assist consumers. This has resulted in PICD's increased referral of consumers to the Rapid Response Program for claims resolution and to MIA's programming including educational series such as Lunch with MIA.

The Division worked collaboratively with a number of state, county, and local agencies to assist consumers. After Tropical Depression Ida, PICD contacted Maryland legislatures resulting in constituent referrals to PICD for assistance. PICD participated in a tele town hall with numerous other stakeholders to provide information and responded to inquiries by consumers. Further, PICD spoke with local officials such as Anne Arundel County Office of Emergency Management and other state agencies to discuss issues of concern after the storm. PICD collaborated with the MIA and Maryland Department of Environment about some of these concerns resulting in an MIA consumer advisory being released regarding insurance coverage for piers, docks, wharves and bulkheads. Additionally, after a severe winter storm, PICD wrote Maryland federal legislative offices providing PICD's contact information for constituents impacted by the storm.

VI. FY 2023 ACTIVITIES

The Division closes FY22 with several goals for FY23:

- Continue to monitor insurer filings to ensure thorough review and meaningful feedback to the MIA to protect consumer interests.
- Review and advocate for consumer interests in all proposed bills filed in the legislative session, and advocate for the legislation proposed by the Division.
- Continue to examine issues of policy availability for policyholders.
- Monitor trends and issues facing homeowners insurance and medical professional liability insurance policyholders.
- Engage in consumer outreach to provide educational materials and to obtain feedback from consumers in multiple forums across the state of Maryland.
- Produce additional educational materials and add specific information on topics relating to homeowners insurance to the Division's website.
- Continue to examine the use of 'marital status' and 'age of insured' as factors used by insurers in homeowners insurance rate-making.

- Monitor the impact climate change has on the availability, scope, and coverage of homeowner's insurance for Maryland consumers and provide information to Maryland homeowners insurance consumers.

VII. CONCLUSION

The Division will continue its efforts to advocate on behalf of consumers regarding homeowners insurance and medical professional liability insurance matters pending before the MIA. The Division will continue its review of all insurance filings in those two areas and will evaluate any impact on consumers. As in past years, the Division will represent consumer interests before the House and Senate committees, reviewing insurance bills and supporting legislation that will protect consumer interests. The Division will engage in state-wide consumer outreach.