



Anthony G. Brown
 Lt. Governor

Martin O'Malley
 Governor

Sam Abed
 Secretary

**DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES
 RECIDIVISM REPORT**

JANUARY 1, 2012

INTRODUCTION

Senate Bill 200 (Chapter 194, 2011 Laws of Maryland) requires the Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) to report to the General Assembly on the recidivism rates of children committed to the DJS for placement in residential care. The General Assembly further required that the report include: (1) recidivism rates for all children committed to DJS for placement in residential care; (2) recidivism rates by region for all children committed to DJS for placement in residential care; and (3) recidivism rates for each residential care program in which a child committed to DJS is placed. Additionally, DJS is directed to include data from the prior three fiscal years and include recidivism rates that are calculated for one year and three year time frames.

Table of Contents

Measuring Recidivism Rates.....1

 Recidivism Reports History.....1

 Recidivism Definition.....2

 Data Sources.....2

Recidivism Rates.....3

 General Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases.....3

 Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases by Program Type.....5





Measuring Recidivism Rates

As part of its comprehensive strategy to handle serious offenders while reducing recidivism rates, the Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) has expanded and improved its recidivism research. A primary goal of the Department's recidivism studies is to assist the Department and the legislature in the assessment of program effectiveness and resource allocation.

Although recidivism rates are essential performance measurements, these data are merely indicators of a program's effectiveness. Such indicators are impacted by aftercare and other community-based programs, as well as by numerous risk factors often beyond the Department's control such as the characteristics of each youth's community, local economic opportunities, as well as intractable family problems. Other indicators of each program's ability to serve the designated population are evaluations of the youth's educational achievements, skills development, counseling progress, and improved decision-making. These indicators are continuously evaluated through site inspections, ongoing monitoring activities, incident reporting requirements, regular reviews, as well as youth grievances and complaints.

Acknowledging that recidivism is only one potential indicator of each program's impact on serious juvenile offenders, the Department's recidivism studies analyze recidivism rates and their implications for the juvenile justice system, its major programs, and youth in the Department's custody. These reports reflect the Department's commitment to a balanced approach that ensures juvenile offender's accountability and, similarly, increases programmatic accountability. The Department believes that incorporating recidivism data into its evaluations is integral for program development and juvenile justice enhancements. Given the combination of resource limitations, complex caseloads, and public safety risks, it is crucial that every Department program be designed not only to prevent future offenses, but also to reduce the need for subsequent confinement in either juvenile or criminal justice facilities.

RECIDIVISM REPORTS HISTORY

In the past fifteen years, DJS has greatly expanded and improved its recidivism research. The Maryland General Assembly's 1996 Joint Chairmen's Report (JCR) guided the Department to develop and use indicators to measure the success of existing juvenile residential programs, to evaluate new programs using these measures and to identify the most efficient and cost-effective programs. The Department, in response to the 1996 JCR, prepared the following recidivism and evaluation reports:

1. *Juvenile Justice and Recidivism Prevention* (August 15, 1996) - detailed the history of the Department's recidivism definitions and data use; outlined plans for measuring recidivism rates at six major residential programs; provided preliminary recidivism rate estimates; and explained long-term plans for a comprehensive system-wide study tracking all youths born in 1977 as they are processed in the juvenile justice system.
2. *Maryland Department of Juvenile Justice Recidivism Analyses: A Program-by-Program Review of Recidivism Measures at Major Residential Facilities for Department of Juvenile Justice Youths* (January 1, 1997) - studied 947 youths released from six major residential programs during FY1994; examined recidivism rates and cost effectiveness indicators for each of these six major programs; and found that although most youths had subsequent contact with the juvenile/criminal justice systems, the majority (54%) were not again committed to the DJS' custody or incarcerated as adults.
3. *Maryland Department of Juvenile Justice Recidivism Analyses: A Program by Program Review of Recidivism Measures at Major Residential Facilities Releasing Department of Juvenile Justice Youths in 1995* (July 1, 1997) - studied 1,334 youths released from seven major residential programs in calendar year 1995; examined recidivism rates and cost effectiveness indicators for each of these seven major programs; and identified a reduction in the combined recommitment and/or incarceration recidivism rate.
4. *A Review of Recidivism Rates Among All Juvenile Justice Youths Born in 1977* (February 2, 1998) - examined 20,053 youths as they were processed through the juvenile justice system, i.e., from intake to probation, to detention, to committed residential programs; found that 56% of youths never returned to the juvenile justice system after their first intake counseling session, and only 8% of the total 20,053 youths were committed for placement in residential programs. The rereferral, readjudication and recommitment juvenile recidivism rates for this first commitment group were 43%, 23%, and 15%, respectively.
5. *Recidivism Rates for Youths Released in Fiscal Year 1995 by Major Programs for one, two, and three years after release* (February 9, 1999) - studied 1,270 youths released from nine major residential programs in fiscal year 1995; examined recidivism rates and cost effectiveness indicators for each of these nine major programs; and identified a reduction in the combined recommitment and/or incarceration recidivism rate.
6. *Recidivism Rates for Youths Released in Fiscal Year 1997 by Major Programs for one, two, and three years after release* (February 2000) - studied 1,735 youths released from major residential programs in fiscal year 1997; examined their recidivism rates and population characteristics and the most serious adjudicated offenses.
7. *Recidivism Rates for Youths Released from Secure and Non-residential Programs in FY 2001 and 2002* (within one and two years after release for the FY 2001 cohort and within one year after release for the FY 2002 cohort). The results of this study are provided on Page 4 of the Department's Managing for Results (MFR) submitted in August 2003.



8. *Recidivism Rates for Youths Released from residential commitment programs by program type:* Recidivism rates within one, two, and three years after release are tracked from FY 2003 for all committed released youths by program type such as group homes, therapeutic group homes, foster care, treatment foster care, residential treatment centers, substance abuse programs, psychiatric hospital, alternative living units, independent living units, and secure programs by impact, intermediate and enhanced levels and state operated programs: Waxter Nurturing Impact Accountability (NIA), Waxter Secure, and Youth Centers.

RECIDIVISM DEFINITION

The juvenile justice community has not reached a consensus on how best to define recidivism with one measure. Therefore, consistent with other studies, DJS focuses on several measures, including subsequent juvenile and/or criminal involvement of youths released from DJS' commitment programs. The majority of youth released from DJS' major residential programs are 17 years old. Therefore, it is important to track these youth in the adult system and report the recidivism rates both in the juvenile and adult systems. For purposes of recidivism studies, all offenses including VOP and traffic are included. The Department prepares the following nine recidivism measures in three categories.

A. Juvenile Justice Recidivism

1. Rereferral refers to any subsequent contact that a juvenile has with DJS intake staff because of a new referral or alleged charge. Therefore, by definition, a re-referred juvenile has had at least two contacts with DJS.
2. Readjudication refers to any juvenile who is re-referred, has a judiciary hearing, and is adjudicated delinquent.
3. Recommitment refers to any juvenile who is re-referred, re-adjudicated, and again committed to the Department's custody for residential placement.

B. Criminal Justice Recidivism

1. Arrest refers to any individual, who after contact with juvenile services re-offends and enters the adult criminal justice system.
2. Conviction refers to any individual, who is arrested, has a criminal hearing in the adult system, and is convicted or found guilty.
3. Incarceration refers to any individual who is arrested, convicted, and incarcerated in the adult prison system.

C. Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism

1. Rereferral/arrest refers to any subsequent contact a youth has either in the juvenile or adult system.
2. Readjudication/conviction refers to any youth who has a judiciary hearing and is adjudicated delinquent or is arrested and has a criminal hearing in the adult system and is convicted or found guilty.

3. Recommitment/incarceration refers to any juvenile who is again committed to the Department's custody for placement or is arrested, convicted, and incarcerated in the adult system.

DATA SOURCES

Information from two different databases (juvenile and adult) is retrieved, processed, and compiled for each of the selected released cohorts. Data gathering involved the following procedures:

- A list of all youths released from the Department's committed residential programs is obtained from the Department's computerized system, known as ASSIST. In earlier years the Department used the then computerized system Information System for Youth Services (ISYS).
- A master file containing gender, race, date of birth, county of jurisdiction, region of jurisdiction, county of residence, region of residence, the last program name, and program type from which youth was released during the release cohort is created.
- Using the fields mentioned in the master file, sub-programs are created to obtain subsequent referrals, adjudications, and commitments with related fields. Each sub-program data set is compiled in such a way to help divide the follow-up time into one, two, and three years after release.
- To obtain the arrest and disposition information from the Criminal Justice Information System (CJIS), a list of all youth released from each year's cohort is arranged according to the specified data layout.
- CJIS input data is received in a text format. Using the SPSS syntax, first the data fields are separated out. The CJIS file includes DJS youth name, date of birth, and DJS release cohort release date. Once the CJIS data is received any arrest following or equal to the DJS' release date is selected and kept as a master file for each released cohort.
- From the above master file, sub-programs are created to obtain subsequent arrests, convictions, and incarcerations.
- Any youth who recidivated in both systems is included in the DJS recidivism and counted only once by discarding that youth in the adult system.

A Note to Readers

For purposes of this report, rates will be combined for the juvenile and adult system into three overall categories using the above mentioned Juvenile and/or Criminal Justice Recidivism measures and labeled as:

1. Rearrest
2. Reconviction
3. Reincarceration



General Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases

12-, 24-, AND 36-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2008-2010 RELEASES, TRACKED THROUGH FY 2011

Follow-up Period	FY 2008			FY 2009			FY 2010		
	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration	Rearrest	Re-conviction	Re-incarceration
12 Months	56.3%	19.6%	14.4%	58.0%	20.1%	14.8%	57.2%	20.1%	13.9%
24 Months	69.6%	36.8%	30.2%	71.0%	35.6%	28.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A
36 Months	74.3%	46.8%	40.9%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

- Recidivism rates for FY 2010 releases at 12-months were:
 - Rearrest - 57.2%
 - Reconviction - 20.1%
 - Reincarceration - 13.9%
- The following reoffending patterns were noted at 12-months when comparing FY 2009 and FY 2010:
 - Both rearrest and reincarceration rates decreased while reconviction rates stayed the same.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES BY DEMOGRAPHICS, FY 2010 RELEASES

Demographics	FY 2010 Releases						
	Total	Rearrests	Reconvictions	Reconvictions	Reconvictions	Reconvictions	Reconvictions
Race/Ethnicity							
Black	1,108	670	60.5%	231	20.8%	165	14.9%
White	406	202	49.8%	76	18.7%	47	11.6%
Hispanic/Other	80	40	50.0%	14	17.5%	10	12.5%
Sex							
Male	1,354	800	59.1%	294	21.7%	208	15.4%
Female	240	112	46.7%	27	11.3%	14	5.8%
Age							
11 and Under	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
12	2	1	50.0%	0	N/A	0	N/A
13	10	8	80.0%	4	40.0%	3	30.0%
14	68	48	70.6%	20	29.4%	12	17.6%
15	216	141	65.3%	49	22.7%	30	13.9%
16	371	239	64.4%	80	21.6%	50	13.5%
17	470	270	57.4%	95	20.2%	63	13.4%
18 or older	457	205	44.9%	73	16.0%	64	14.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>1,594</i>	<i>912</i>	<i>57.2%</i>	<i>321</i>	<i>20.1%</i>	<i>222</i>	<i>13.9%</i>

Note: Throughout this report, programs that had no releases within a fiscal year will have N/A reported for all recidivism measures.

- Demographic data for 12-month recidivism rates are presented in the table above:
 - Males had higher recidivism rates than females for all years and all measures.
 - Black youth had the highest recidivism rates for all years and all measures.
 - Some age groups comprise a small number of youth. Therefore the reoffense of a few can strongly influence the overall rate. For this reason, caution should be used when attempting to compare age groups.

Note: All data represents both juvenile and/or adult involvement



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2008-2010 RELEASES,
BY REGION AND COUNTY**

Region/ County	FY 2008				FY 2009				FY 2010			
	# of Releases	Rearrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Rearrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
R-I (Balt. City)	329	67.5%	25.2%	19.5%	334	73.1%	23.7%	18.9%	376	67.6%	19.9%	17.0%
Baltimore City	329	67.5%	25.2%	19.5%	334	73.1%	23.7%	18.9%	376	67.6%	19.9%	17.0%
R-II (Central)	239	60.3%	17.6%	11.7%	272	61.4%	25.7%	19.1%	209	63.2%	24.4%	16.3%
Baltimore Co.	130	67.7%	15.4%	10.8%	142	65.5%	26.8%	21.1%	115	67.8%	23.5%	18.3%
Carroll	49	40.8%	16.3%	10.2%	60	53.3%	26.7%	13.3%	38	50.0%	23.7%	10.5%
Harford	35	60.0%	20.0%	8.6%	48	58.3%	22.9%	16.7%	38	57.9%	28.9%	13.2%
Howard	25	60.0%	28.0%	24.0%	22	63.6%	22.7%	27.3%	18	72.2%	22.2%	22.2%
R-III (Western)	172	57.6%	32.0%	20.9%	140	55.0%	20.0%	11.4%	155	60.0%	29.0%	14.2%
Allegany	21	57.1%	28.6%	23.8%	25	52.0%	16.0%	8.0%	23	56.5%	26.1%	21.7%
Frederick	65	43.1%	24.6%	20.0%	50	58.0%	14.0%	8.0%	58	58.6%	27.6%	10.3%
Garrett	12	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	11	45.5%	9.1%	9.1%
Washington	74	71.6%	44.6%	24.3%	56	57.1%	30.4%	17.9%	63	65.1%	34.9%	15.9%
R-IV (Eastern)	200	57.0%	17.0%	13.0%	180	48.3%	12.2%	9.4%	177	57.1%	17.5%	13.6%
Caroline	21	47.6%	19.0%	4.8%	14	57.1%	14.3%	7.1%	15	46.7%	26.7%	20.0%
Cecil	16	37.5%	18.8%	18.8%	18	27.8%	11.1%	5.6%	17	70.6%	29.4%	17.6%
Dorchester	14	64.3%	21.4%	21.4%	15	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	12	50.0%	8.3%	8.3%
Kent	13	61.5%	46.2%	38.5%	16	25.0%	6.3%	6.3%	8	75.0%	25.0%	12.5%
Queen Anne's	16	56.3%	12.5%	6.3%	14	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18	50.0%	11.1%	5.6%
Somerset	15	60.0%	6.7%	6.7%	11	63.6%	9.1%	9.1%	6	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%
Talbot	15	80.0%	20.0%	6.7%	18	66.7%	11.1%	0.0%	12	41.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Wicomico	67	55.2%	11.9%	10.4%	51	47.1%	15.7%	13.7%	65	63.1%	21.5%	18.5%
Worcester	23	60.9%	17.4%	17.4%	23	47.8%	13.0%	13.0%	24	45.8%	8.3%	8.3%
R-V (Southern)	271	52.0%	15.5%	11.4%	251	55.4%	19.5%	13.9%	241	47.7%	17.8%	13.7%
Anne Arundel	124	52.4%	11.3%	8.9%	110	52.7%	13.6%	10.0%	116	53.4%	19.8%	16.4%
Calvert	30	50.0%	6.7%	3.3%	33	51.5%	18.2%	12.1%	23	52.2%	13.0%	8.7%
Charles	68	58.8%	22.1%	11.8%	67	64.2%	28.4%	16.4%	69	37.7%	10.1%	8.7%
St. Mary's	49	42.9%	22.4%	22.4%	41	51.2%	22.0%	22.0%	33	45.5%	30.3%	18.2%
R-VI (Metro)	419	49.2%	15.8%	12.2%	389	51.4%	18.0%	13.4%	414	51.9%	17.9%	10.4%
Montgomery	192	50.5%	20.8%	14.6%	185	53.5%	19.5%	13.0%	159	48.4%	22.0%	11.3%
Prince George's	227	48.0%	11.5%	10.1%	204	49.5%	16.7%	13.7%	255	54.1%	15.3%	9.8%
Out of State	27	25.9%	7.4%	7.4%	25	32.0%	4.0%	0.0%	22	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%
Statewide Total	1,657	56.3%	19.6%	14.4%	1,591	58.0%	20.1%	14.8%	1,594	57.2%	20.1%	13.9%

• When examining the percentages presented above, it is important to consider the number of releases. Some counties have a small number of releases; therefore if a few youth reoffend, this can greatly impact the recidivism rate.

Note: All data represents both juvenile and/or adult involvement



Recidivism Rates for Committed Program Releases by Program Type

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR RELEASES¹ BY PROGRAM TYPE SUMMARY, FY 2008 - FY 2010*

Totals for Each Program Type	FY 2008				FY 2009				FY 2010			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Foster Care	91	53.8%	16.5%	11.0%	88	58.0%	15.9%	13.6%	93	40.9%	12.9%	9.7%
Group Home	393	56.0%	17.8%	11.7%	427	58.1%	19.0%	13.6%	427	58.1%	18.0%	11.7%
Independent Living	31	48.4%	25.8%	12.9%	44	43.2%	22.7%	22.7%	43	53.5%	14.0%	11.6%
ICFA*	247	51.8%	24.3%	16.2%	180	52.8%	17.8%	10.0%	224	54.0%	19.6%	13.4%
RTC**	93	39.9%	13.0%	10.9%	150	48.7%	14.0%	8.7%	154	49.4%	13.6%	9.1%
Out-of-State	148	60.1%	20.3%	19.6%	115	60.0%	16.5%	14.8%	99	56.6%	16.2%	14.1%
State-Operated	490	63.3%	21.6%	16.7%	545	61.1%	23.7%	17.6%	520	63.3%	26.7%	18.5%

¹ Totals presented in the table above include each type of facility reported in that broad category. (For example: "Total Foster Care" includes Treatment Foster Care as well as In-Home Foster Care). The only exception is the Total State-Operated which does not include Privately-Operated Facilities. *Intermediate Care For Additions Facility. ** Residential Treatment Centers.

- Analysis of trends is presented on the specific program type's page.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR FOSTER CARE RELEASES, FY 2008 - FY 2010*

Foster Care	FY 2008				FY 2009				FY 2010			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
Arrow Foster Care	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0	3	2	1	0
Board of Child Care	6	1	0	0	3	2	1	1	3	0	0	0
Children's Choice Stevensville	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Concern	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Contemporary Family Services	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	8	3	1	1	8	4	1	1
Foundations for Home & Community	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
Greenleaf	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
Hearts & Homes - Family Ties	4	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	1	0	0
Maple Shade Youth & Family Services	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Martin Pollack	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD-Baltimore	55	31	9	6	42	27	9	8	48	17	5	5
Mentor MD-Easton	7	4	1	0	3	1	0	0	8	4	1	1
New Pathways-Second Generation	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Pressley Ridge	8	5	4	3	14	8	3	2	8	5	3	2
PSI Services III	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
San Mar	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Treatment Foster Care Total	85	55.3%	17.6%	11.8%	81	58.0%	17.3%	14.8%	85	41.2%	12.9%	10.6%
In-Home Foster Care Total	6	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	7	57.1%	0.0%	0.0%	8	37.5%	12.5%	0.0%
Total Foster Care	91	53.8%	16.5%	11.0%	88	58.0%	15.9%	13.6%	93	40.9%	12.9%	9.7%

- For Total Foster Care releases (including treatment and in-home foster care) between FY 2008 and FY 2010, all measures decreased: rearrest by 13.0%, reconviction by 3.6%, and reincarceration by 1.3%.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of only a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR GROUP HOME RELEASES,
FY 2008 - FY 2010***

Group Home	FY 2008				FY 2009				FY 2010			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
ARC of Washington Co.	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Board of Child Care	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	4	0	0
Catoctin Summit	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	28	14	2	0	26	10	4	2
Cedar Ridge	21	16	5	3	19	15	5	4	32	25	12	5
Children Resource's - Big Pine	10	7	3	1	11	10	4	1	9	5	2	1
Children's Home	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	4	1	1	2	1	0	0
Children's Shiningtree	1	1	1	1	4	3	2	2	4	4	1	0
Eastern Point	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	4	0	0	7	5	1	1
Florence Crittenton	13	5	3	2	7	1	0	0	15	5	1	1
H&H - Jump Start ¹	13	9	1	0	10	8	2	2	6	2	1	0
H&H - Kemp Mill ¹	10	8	3	2	7	6	3	2	11	7	0	0
H&H - John C. Tracey ¹	10	7	4	1	14	9	4	2	8	5	3	3
H&H - Helen Smith ¹	3	1	0	0	6	3	0	0	9	3	1	0
Jane Egerton House	6	4	1	1	5	2	1	1	14	10	3	2
Karma Acad. for Boys	30	15	2	1	25	9	3	2	28	14	4	1
Kent Youth	8	4	1	1	7	2	0	0	17	10	4	3
Koba Institute at Ft Wash	3	1	1	1	9	6	2	1	3	3	1	1
Koba Institute Programs	13	9	3	2	17	14	4	4	15	14	4	4
Larrabee House	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Liberty House	4	2	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Maple Shade	9	6	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
MD Salem Children's Trust	3	2	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
MD Sheriff's Youth Ranch	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1	0	0	7	5	0	0
Morning Star Youth Academy	58	34	8	6	61	36	9	8	55	33	9	8
Mt. Clare House	2	1	0	0	4	3	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
NCCF - Greentree	13	8	2	2	15	10	4	3	10	5	0	0
New Dominion	18	8	4	2	9	3	2	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Oak Hill House	11	6	5	4	19	12	4	3	12	7	4	4
Our House	21	5	2	1	20	13	8	6	21	15	4	2
Potomac Ridge Crownsville	26	16	7	5	22	10	4	4	22	15	4	4
Salem Residential	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	0	0	0	5	2	1	1

¹ Hearts and Homes

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of only a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.



12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR GROUP HOME RELEASES, FY 2008 - FY 2010* (CONTINUED)

Group Home (continued)	FY 2008				FY 2009				FY 2010			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
San Mar- Anna Findlay	19	9	2	2	7	1	1	0	15	5	2	1
St. Ann's Prenatal	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Starflight Enterprises	6	5	0	0	4	4	1	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
TuTTie's Place	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	4	3	1	1
Way Home-Mt Manor	10	5	2	1	11	5	0	0	5	1	1	1
Group Home Total	352	55.7%	17.0%	11.1%	371	57.1%	18.1%	12.9%	370	58.9%	18.4%	12.4%
Therapeutic Group Home (TGH)												
All That Matters	3	1	0	0	5	4	1	1	1	1	1	1
Bd. of Child Care - Triad House	6	3	1	1	6	5	1	0	6	4	1	0
Cedar Ridge Ministries	5	4	1	0	3	2	1	1	2	1	1	0
Guide	10	6	2	1	7	6	3	2	10	5	2	1
H&H-Mary's Mount Manor ¹	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	1	0	0	6	2	1	1
H&H - Muncaster Mill ¹	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
H&H - Redl House ¹	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	4	2	1	7	5	0	0
Maple Shade - Mardela	6	4	1	1	11	5	2	2	7	3	2	1
Mosaic I and II	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
San Mar - Jack E. Barr	9	5	4	3	13	8	3	2	17	9	1	0
TGH Total	41	58.5%	24.4%	17.1%	56	64.3%	25.0%	17.9%	57	52.6%	15.8%	7.0%
Total All Group Homes	393	56.0%	17.8%	11.7%	427	58.1%	19.0%	13.6%	427	58.1%	18.0%	11.7%

¹ Hearts and Homes

For Total Group Home (including Therapeutic Group Home) releases between FY 2008 and FY 2010:

- The rearrest rate increased 2.1%.
- The reconviction rate increased by less than 1% and reincarceration rates remained the same.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR INTERMEDIATE CARE FOR ADDICTIONS FACILITY (ICFA) RELEASES, FY 2008 - FY 2010*

ICFA	FY 2008				FY 2009				FY 2010			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Catoctin Summit	3	2	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lois E. Jackson Unit	91	50	29	20	62	29	11	5	78	45	16	11
MTC-Mountain Manor	127	61	24	17	98	55	16	8	146	76	28	19
Right Turn of MD	26	15	6	2	20	11	5	5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total ICFA	247	51.8%	24.3%	16.2%	180	52.8%	17.8%	10.0%	224	54.0%	19.6%	13.4%

For Total ICFA releases between FY 2008 and FY 2010:

- The rearrest rate increased 2.2%.
- The reconviction rate decreased 4.6% and the reincarceration rate decreased 2.8%.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of only a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING RELEASES,
FY 2008 - FY 2010***

Independent Living	FY 2008				FY 2009				FY 2010			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Future Bound	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	8	3	2	2	8	3	1	1
Hearts & Homes-Starting Over	7	5	2	0	4	1	1	1	2	1	0	0
Jumoke	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	2	2	2	6	3	1	1
Martin Pollack	3	0	0	0	6	3	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mentor MD-Teens In Transition	3	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
NCCF - Future Bound	5	2	2	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
New Pathways	1	0	0	0	5	2	2	2	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
The Board of Child Care	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	0	0	0	6	3	1	1
Transition Age Youth Program	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Independent Living Total	19	42.1%	26.3%	10.5%	33	36.4%	21.2%	21.2%	23	47.8%	13.0%	13.0%
Alternative Living Units												
Arrow Child & Family Ministries	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	1	0	0
NCIA - Youth in Transition	6	5	2	2	8	5	2	2	18	11	3	2
REM Maryland	4	2	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Alternative Living Units Total	10	70.0%	30.0%	20.0%	10	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20	60.0%	15.0%	10.0%
Respite Care												
Sheppard Pratt Towson Respite	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Independent Living, Alternative Living Units, and Respite Care	31	48.4%	25.8%	12.9%	44	43.2%	22.7%	22.7%	43	53.5%	14.0%	11.6%

For Total Independent Living releases including Alternative Living Units and Respite Care:

- Between FY 2008 and FY 2010
 - The rearrest rate increased 5.1%.
 - The reconviction rate decreased 11.9% and the reincarceration rate decreased 1.3%
- Between FY 2009 and FY 2010
 - The rearrest rate increased 10.3%.
 - The reconviction rate decreased 8.8% and the reincarceration rate decreased 11.1%

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of only a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.



**12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR RTC RELEASES,
FY 2008 - FY 2010***

Residential Treatment Centers	FY 2008				FY 2009				FY 2010			
	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration	# of Releases	Re- arrest	Recon- viction	Reincar- ceration
Good Shepherd Center	25	10	2	1	24	9	2	1	26	14	2	1
Jefferson School	8	3	1	1	7	4	2	2	7	3	2	1
New Directions	11	2	1	1	8	1	0	0	9	4	2	2
Potomac Ridge	57	24	7	5	38	20	6	4	32	20	5	5
RICA - Rockville	13	5	2	2	16	9	1	0	10	6	2	1
RICA - Southern MD	12	7	4	4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
RICA- Baltimore	3	1	0	0	4	2	1	0	2	2	1	1
Sheppard Pratt Towson	4	3	1	1	4	2	1	0	6	2	0	0
Villa Maria	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Woodbourne	22	9	3	3	15	6	2	2	18	9	3	1
Residential Treatment Center Total	155	41.3%	13.5%	11.6%	116	45.7%	12.9%	7.8%	111	54.1%	15.3%	10.8%
Psychiatric Hospitals												
Spring Grove Hospital Ctr	21	8	2	2	24	14	3	2	26	7	2	0
Thomas Finan Center	13	4	2	1	4	1	0	0	4	1	1	1
Psychiatric Hospital Total	34	35.3%	11.8%	8.8%	28	53.6%	10.7%	7.1%	30	26.7%	10.0%	3.3%
Diagnostic Units / CEU												
Arrow Child & Family Ministries	2	1	0	0	4	3	1	1	8	5	1	1
Woodbourne	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	1	5	3	0	0
Diagnostic Units / CEU Total	4	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6	83.3%	50.0%	33.3%	13	61.5%	7.7%	7.7%
Total RTC	193	39.9%	13.0%	10.9%	150	48.7%	14.0%	8.7%	154	49.4%	13.6%	9.1%

For RTC releases including Psychiatric Hospitals and Diagnostic Units/CEU:

- Between FY 2008 and FY 2010
 - The rearrest rate increased 9.5% and the reconviction rates increased 0.7%.
 - The reincarceration rate decreased 1.8%
- Between FY 2009 and FY 2010
 - The rearrest rate and reincarceration rate increased less than 1% (0.7% and 0.4% respectively).
 - The reconviction rate decreased 0.4%

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of only a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.



12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR OUT-OF-STATE (OOS) FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2008 - FY 2010*

Residential Treatment Facility - OOS	FY 2008				FY 2009				FY 2010			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Reconviction	Reincarceration
AdvoServ	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
Concern RTC	4	3	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cottonwood Treatment Center	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0
Devereux (Florida)	2	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	3	1	1
Devereux (Georgia)	8	2	0	0	6	3	0	0	2	2	2	2
Laurel Heights Hospital	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0
Macon Behavioral Health	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Manatee Palm Yth Serv.	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
New Hope Carolinas	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	2	0	0	3	3	2	1
North Spring Behavioral Healthcare	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	2	1	0	1	1	0	0
PA Clinical Schools	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	2	0	0	2	1	0	0
Pines	13	7	0	0	5	4	1	1	4	2	0	0
Southern Peaks Regional	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Spring Brook	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Residential Treatment Facility Total	29	48.3%	6.9%	6.9%	20	75.0%	15.0%	10.0%	20	70.0%	25.0%	20.0%
Staff Secure - OOS												
Adelphoi Village	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	0	0	0
Bennington School	6	4	2	2	3	1	0	0	4	2	1	1
Canyon State Academy	1	1	0	0	3	2	0	0	6	4	0	0
Clarinda Academy	17	10	4	4	19	10	2	2	18	9	2	1
Cornell Abraxas	15	7	4	3	16	11	6	6	4	2	1	1
Glen Mills	13	6	1	1	8	4	1	0	12	5	0	0
Keystone-McDowell Ctr	5	4	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Keystone-Natchez Trace	6	5	2	2	7	6	1	1	9	9	2	2
Summit School - Traditional Program	8	5	0	0	3	3	1	1	2	1	0	0
William George Agency	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Woodward Academy	9	5	3	3	8	3	1	1	4	2	1	1
Staff Secure-OOS Total	81	58.0%	21.0%	19.8%	67	59.7%	17.9%	16.4%	60	56.7%	11.7%	10.0%

• The Out-of-State table is continued on the next page and the trend analysis is presented there.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of only a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.



12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR OUT-OF-STATE FACILITY RELEASES (OOS), FY 2008 - FY 2010* (CONTINUED)

Hardware Secure - OOS	FY 2008				FY 2009				FY 2010			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
CCS-Turning Point	2	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	6	2	1	1
Cornell Abraxas Acad.	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	3	0	0	5	3	1	1
Keystone-Mountain Youth Academy	1	1	1	1	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
KidsPeace - Mesabi Academy	14	8	5	5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mid-Atlantic-Luzerne	10	8	3	3	12	5	2	2	5	2	1	1
Mid Atlantic-Western PA	7	5	1	1	6	3	1	1	2	1	1	1
Southwest Indiana Reg.	4	4	0	0	4	2	1	1	1	0	0	0
Hardware Secure - OOS Total	38	73.7%	28.9%	28.9%	28	50.0%	14.3%	14.3%	19	42.1%	21.1%	21.1%
Total Out-of-State	148	60.1%	20.3%	19.6%	115	60.0%	16.5%	14.8%	99	56.6%	16.2%	14.1%

For Out-of-State releases including Residential Treatment Facilities, Staff Secure, and Hardware Secure:

- Between FY 2008 and FY 2010, all measures decreased: rearrest by 3.6%, reconvection by 4.1%, and reincarceration by 5.5%.
- All measures decreased between FY 2009 and FY 2010: rearrest by 3.4%, reconvection by 0.4%, and reincarceration by 0.6%.

12-MONTH JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM FOR STATE OPERATED AND PRIVATELY OPERATED FACILITY RELEASES, FY 2008 - FY 2010*

State Operated Facilities	FY 2008				FY 2009				FY 2010			
	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration	# of Releases	Re-arrest	Recon-viction	Reincar-ceration
Backbone Mtn Yth Ctr	77	40	16	13	84	42	17	14	90	55	21	13
Cheltenham ReDirect	98	56	14	12	126	80	34	25	121	64	26	19
Green Ridge Yth Center	94	69	28	21	76	47	21	16	82	57	32	19
Meadow Mtn Yth Center	60	44	17	15	54	30	7	1	69	50	19	15
Savage Mountain Yth Ctr	66	46	17	11	65	48	17	12	34	24	13	8
Schaefer House	62	31	6	5	56	38	14	12	43	26	5	4
Victor Cullen Center	26	20	7	5	78	47	18	15	71	48	22	17
Waxter Children's Ctr	7	4	1	0	6	1	1	1	10	5	1	1
Total State Operated	490	63.3%	21.6%	16.7%	545	61.1%	23.7%	17.6%	520	63.3%	26.7%	18.5%
Privately Operated Facilities**												
Silver Oak Academy	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	34	21	6	4
Thomas O'Farrell Yth Ctr	64	45	10	6	42	34	13	11	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

** Since each privately-operated facility uses a unique program model, it is not meaningful to present a combined overall recidivism rate for these facilities.

For State-Operated Facility releases (not including Privately Operated Facilities)

- Between FY 2008 and FY 2010:
 - The rearrest rates remained the same.
 - The reconvection rate increased 5.1% and the reincarceration rate increased 1.7%.

*Some programs/facilities serve a small number of youth each year; in such instances the reoffenses of only a few juveniles may result in a seemingly high overall reoffense rate. For this reason, numbers rather than rates are presented at the program level.



**ONE, TWO, AND THREE YEAR JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR
FY 2008 RELEASES BY PROGRAM TYPE**

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrests			Reconvictions			Reincarcerations		
		1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr	3 Yr
Total Foster Care	91	53.8%	68.1%	72.5%	16.5%	30.8%	40.7%	11.0%	26.4%	36.3%
Treatment Foster Care	85	55.3%	68.2%	72.9%	17.6%	32.9%	41.2%	11.8%	28.2%	36.5%
In- Home Foster Care	6	33.3%	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
Total Group Homes	393	56.0%	70.2%	74.0%	17.8%	35.9%	43.8%	11.7%	27.2%	36.9%
Group Home	352	55.7%	70.5%	73.9%	17.0%	35.2%	41.8%	11.1%	27.3%	35.8%
Therapeutic Group Home	41	58.5%	68.3%	75.6%	24.4%	41.5%	61.0%	17.1%	26.8%	46.3%
Total Independent Living	31	48.4%	58.1%	58.1%	25.8%	38.7%	38.7%	12.9%	29.0%	32.3%
Independent Living	19	42.1%	57.9%	57.9%	26.3%	36.8%	36.8%	10.5%	26.3%	26.3%
Alternative Living Units	10	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	30.0%	50.0%	50.0%	20.0%	40.0%	50.0%
Respite Care	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
ICFA Total	247	51.8%	67.2%	74.1%	24.3%	40.1%	49.8%	16.2%	30.8%	41.7%
RTC Total	193	39.9%	53.9%	60.1%	13.0%	24.9%	32.6%	10.9%	21.2%	28.5%
Residential Treatment Facility	155	41.3%	52.3%	58.7%	13.5%	25.8%	34.8%	11.6%	21.3%	29.7%
Psychiatric Hospital	34	35.3%	61.8%	64.7%	11.8%	23.5%	23.5%	8.8%	23.5%	23.5%
Diagnostic Units/CEU	4	25.0%	50.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%
Out-of-State (OOS) Total	148	60.1%	67.6%	73.0%	20.3%	34.5%	49.3%	19.6%	33.8%	48.6%
Residential Treatment Facility	29	48.3%	69.0%	75.9%	6.9%	20.7%	44.8%	6.9%	20.7%	41.4%
Staff Secure - OOS	81	58.0%	64.2%	69.1%	21.0%	34.6%	49.4%	19.8%	33.3%	49.4%
Hardware Secure - OOS	38	73.7%	73.7%	78.9%	28.9%	44.7%	52.6%	28.9%	44.7%	52.6%
State-Operated Total	490	63.3%	75.7%	79.6%	21.6%	42.4%	53.3%	16.7%	36.1%	47.1%
Backbone Mountain Youth Center	77	51.9%	66.2%	71.4%	20.8%	41.6%	49.4%	16.9%	36.4%	44.2%
Cheltenham ReDirect	98	57.1%	69.4%	74.5%	14.3%	28.6%	38.8%	12.2%	25.5%	35.7%
Green Ridge	94	73.4%	78.7%	79.8%	29.8%	48.9%	60.6%	22.3%	40.4%	52.1%
Meadow Mountain Youth Center	60	73.3%	88.3%	90.0%	28.3%	60.0%	73.3%	25.0%	50.0%	65.0%
Savage Mountain Youth Center	66	69.7%	80.3%	84.8%	25.8%	47.0%	54.5%	16.7%	40.9%	48.5%
Victor Cullen Center	26	76.9%	88.5%	88.5%	26.9%	42.3%	57.7%	19.2%	38.5%	53.8%
Waxter Children's Center	7	57.1%	71.4%	71.4%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
William Donald Schaefer House	62	50.0%	71.0%	79.0%	9.7%	35.5%	48.4%	8.1%	30.6%	45.2%
Thomas O' Farrell (Privately-Operated)	64	70.3%	87.5%	92.2%	15.6%	35.9%	53.1%	9.4%	26.6%	45.3%
Statewide Total	1,657	56.3%	69.6%	74.3%	19.6%	36.8%	46.8%	14.4%	30.2%	40.9%

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2008 releases at 1 year and 3 years post release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 56.3% and within 3 years it was 74.3%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 19.6% and within 3 years it was 46.8%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 14.4% and within 3 years it was 40.9%.



**ONE AND TWO YEAR JUVENILE AND/OR CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECIDIVISM RATES FOR FY 2009 RELEASES
BY PROGRAM TYPE**

Program Type	# of Releases	Rearrests		Reconvictions		Reincarcerations	
		1 Yr	2Yr	1 Yr	2Yr	1 Yr	2 Yr
Total Foster Care	88	58.0%	68.2%	15.9%	31.8%	13.6%	26.1%
Treatment Foster Care	81	58.0%	69.1%	17.3%	34.6%	14.8%	28.4%
In-Home Foster Care	7	57.1%	57.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Group Homes	427	58.1%	70.7%	19.0%	36.5%	13.6%	28.6%
Group Home	371	57.1%	70.1%	18.1%	37.2%	12.9%	29.4%
Therapeutic Group Home	56	64.3%	75.0%	25.0%	32.1%	17.9%	23.2%
Total Independent Living	44	43.2%	63.6%	22.7%	29.5%	22.7%	29.5%
Independent Living	33	36.4%	60.6%	21.2%	27.3%	21.2%	27.3%
Alternative Living Units	10	60.0%	70.0%	20.0%	30.0%	20.0%	30.0%
Respite Care	1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
ICFA Total	180	52.8%	63.3%	17.8%	30.0%	10.0%	22.8%
RTC Total	150	48.7%	67.3%	14.0%	30.0%	8.7%	17.3%
Residential Treatment Facility	116	45.7%	65.5%	12.9%	27.6%	7.8%	14.7%
Psychiatric Hospital	28	53.6%	67.9%	10.7%	28.6%	7.1%	21.4%
Diagnostic Units/CEU	6	83.3%	100.0%	50.0%	83.3%	33.3%	50.0%
Out-of-State (OOS) Total	115	60.0%	73.9%	16.5%	33.0%	14.8%	32.2%
Residential Treatment Facility	20	70.0%	70.0%	15.0%	25.0%	10.0%	20.0%
Staff Secure - OOS	67	59.7%	77.6%	17.9%	32.8%	16.4%	32.8%
Hardware Secure - OOS	28	53.6%	67.9%	14.3%	39.3%	14.3%	39.3%
State Operated Total	545	61.1%	73.8%	23.7%	38.7%	17.6%	31.6%
Backbone Mountain Youth Center	84	50.0%	60.7%	20.2%	32.1%	16.7%	28.6%
Cheltenham ReDirect	126	63.5%	73.0%	27.0%	38.9%	19.8%	33.3%
Green Ridge Youth Center	76	61.8%	68.4%	27.6%	50.0%	21.1%	38.2%
Meadow Mountain Youth Center	54	55.6%	81.5%	13.0%	42.6%	1.9%	29.6%
Savage Mountain Youth Center	65	73.8%	83.1%	26.2%	38.5%	18.5%	33.8%
Victor Cullen Center	78	60.3%	76.9%	23.1%	35.9%	19.2%	28.2%
Waxter Children's Center	6	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%
William Donald Schaefer House	56	67.9%	85.7%	25.0%	35.7%	21.4%	28.6%
Thomas O' Farrell (Privately-Operated)	42	81.0%	88.1%	31.0%	52.4%	26.2%	47.6%
Statewide Total	1,591	58.0%	71.0%	20.1%	35.6%	14.8%	28.5%

Comparing Statewide cumulative rates for FY 2009 releases at 1-year with 2-years post-release:

- Within 1 year of release, the rearrest rate was 58.0% and within 2 years it was 71.0%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reconviction rate was 20.1% and within 2 years it was 35.6%.
- Within 1 year of release, the reincarceration rate was 14.8% and within 2 years it was 28.5%.